WORKS

вұ

THE LATE

HORACE HAYMAN WILSON,

M.A., F.R.S.,

MEMBER OF THE ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY, OF THE ASIATIC SOCIETIES OF

CALCUTTA AND PARIS, AND OF THE ORIENTAL SOCIETY OF GERMANY;

FOREIGN MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FRANCE;

MEMBER OF THE IMPERIAL ACADEMIES OF ST. PETERSBURGH AND VIENNA,

AND OF THE ROYAL ACADEMIES OF MUNICH AND BERLIN;

PH. D. BRESLAU; M. D. MARBURG, ETC.;

AND BODEN PROFESSOR OF SANSKRIT IN THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD.

VOL. X.



LONDON:

TRÜBNER & CO., 60, PATERNOSTER ROW. 1870.



सद्यमेव जयते

THE

VISHŃU PURÁŃA

A SYSTEM

OF

HINDU MYTHOLOGY AND TRADITION.

TRANSLATED

FROM THE ORIGINAL SANSKRIT,

AND

ILLUSTRATED BY NOTES

DERIVED CHIEFLY FROM OTHER PURÁNAS,

BY THE LATE

H. H. WILSON, M.A., F.R.S.,
SODEN PROFESSOR OF SANSKRIT IN THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD,
ETC., ETC.

EDITED BY

FITZEDWARD HALL.

VOL. V.

LONDON:

TRÜBNER & CO., 60, PATERNOSTER ROW.

1870.



सद्यमेव जयते

VISHŃU PURANA.

BOOK V. (continued).

CHAPTER XVII.

Akrúra's meditations on Kŕishńa: his arrival at Gokula: his delight at seeing Kŕishńa and his brother.

AKRÚRA, having set off in his quick travelling-car, proceeded to visit Kŕishňa at the pastures * of Nanda; and, as he went along, he congratulated himself on his superior good fortune, in having an opportunity of beholding a descended portion of the deity. † "Now," thought he, "has my life borne fruit; my night is followed by the dawn of day: since I shall see the countenance of Vishňu, whose eyes are like the expanded leaf of the lotos.: I shall behold that lotos-eyed aspect § of Vishňu, which, when seen only in imagination, takes away the sins of men. I shall, to-day, behold that glory of glories, ||, the mouth of Vishňu, ¶ whence proceeded

श्रव में सफले नेत्रे श्रव में सफला गिरः। यसे परस्परालापो दृष्टा विष्णुं भविष्यति॥

Srithara gives this stanza; but Ratnagarbha does not appear, from my MSS. of his commentary, to recognize it.

^{*} Gokula. † Namely, Chakrin, in the original.

[.] Here follows, in the Sanskrit, a stanza left untranslated:

[§] Literally, "the lotos-eye."

^{||} धाम धास्त्राम् । Variant, preferred by the commentator Ratnagarbha: धाम देवानां, "light of the gods".

The original has Bhagavat.

the Vedas and all their dependent sciences.* I shall see the sovereign of the world, by whom the world is sustained; † who is worshipped as the best of males, the male of sacrifices in sacrificial rites. I shall see Keśava, who is without beginning or end; by worshipping whom with a hundred sacrifices, Indra obtained the sovereignty over the gods. That Hari, whose nature is unknown to Brahmá, Indra, Rudra, the Aświns, the Vasus, Ádityas, and Maruts, will (this day,) touch my body. The soul of all, the knower of all, he who is all, and is present in all, he who is permanent, undecaying, all-pervading, will converse with me. He, the unborn, who has preserved the world in the various forms of a fish, a tortoise, a boar, a horse, 1

सन्ते ममास भगवान्हयशीर्षायो साचात्स यचपुरुषसपनीयवर्णः। कृन्दोमयो मखमयोऽखिबद्देवताता वाचो बभवुरुशतीः समतोऽस्य नस्तः॥

"Dans mon sacrifice. Bhagavat lui-même fut Hayaçîrcha, le mâle du sacrifice, dont la couleur est celle de l'or, dont les Vêdas et les sacrifices sont la substance, et les divinités l'âme; quand il respira, de ses narines sortirent de ravissantes paroles."

Professor Wilson's view of the meaning of the stanza just quoted is more than usually imaginative.

¹ The commentator || explains this to mean Hayagriva,—or Vishiu with the neck and head of a horse,—who, it is said, in the Second Book ¶ of the Bhagavata, appeared at the end of a great

^{*} To render vedánga.

⁺ Alhiládhára.

[‡] Purushottama. See Vol. I., p. 16, note ‡.

[§] Yajna-purusha. See Vol. I., p. 163, note *.

^{||} The words of the commentators are, in common, simply अश्व: | इय्योव: | But I show, presently, that they must be wrong

[¶] The passage referred to is Chapter VII., 11, where Brahma is the speaker. The original and Burnout's translation are subjected:

a lion,* will, this day, speak to me. Now, the lord of the earth, who assumes shapes at will, has taken upon him the condition of humanity, to accomplish some object cherished in his heart. That Ananta, who holds

sacrifice performed by Brahmá, and breathed from his nostrils the texts of the Vedas. The fourth Avatára is always, elsewhere, said to be the Vámana, or dwarf. †

In the *Bhágavata-purána*, VIII., XXIV., 7, 8, it is said, that, as Brahmá slumbered, the Vedas slipped out of his mouth, and Hayagríva came, and furtively carried them off. Hari, or Vishúu, it is subsequently stated, at last slew Hayagríva.

According to Vol. II., p. 125, Vishńu is worshipped, in Bhadráśwa, as Hayaśiras,—the Hayaśirsha of the verses cited above, and of the Bhagavata-puráńa, V., XVIII., 1. For Aśwaśiras, as an epithet of Náráyańa, or Vishńu, see the Mahábhárata, Śánti-parvan, śl. 13100, &c.

With this divinity Professor Wilson has confounded the demon Hayagríva, for whom see Vol. II., p. 70, note \$, and p. 210, note 1. Aśwagriva, mentioned in the *Mahábhárata*, *Adi-parvan*, śl 2533, is, presumably, identical with the latter, who has a fellow in Aśwaśiras,—ibid., śl. 2531 and 2646.

The passage in Vol. I., Preface, p. LXXXVI., where "Vishńu, as Hayagriva" is spoken of, I have not yet been able to verify. In the meantime, it may pretty safely be surmised that there is a mistake.

Hayasirsha, Hayasiras, and Aswasiras are, being interpreted, 'Horse-headed'; Hayagriva and Aswagriva, 'Horse-necked.'

In the Sabdakalpadruma, the first definition of Hayagríva makes him an epiphany of Vishúu, for the sake of recovering the Vedas, which had been carried off by Madhu and Kaitabha. The passage there quoted—Mahabharata, Santi-parvan, 8l. 13497—13503,—does not, however, mention Hayagríva at all, but Aśwaśiras.

My friend Mr. C. P. Brown informs me, that, in the Madras Presidency, Hayagriva is a not uncommon name for a Brahman to bear. The fact is noteworthy. Hayagrivahan, "Slayer of Hayagriva," is an epithetical designation of Hayasirsha, i. e., Vishiu.

* Simha; which here denotes nri-simha, the commentators say. See Vol 1V., p. 277, text and note :.

+ See Vol. III., p. 18, text and note 1.

the earth upon his crest, and who has descended upon earth for its protection, will (this day,) call me by my name. Glory to that being, whose deceptive adoption of father, son, friend, brother, mother, and relative the world is unable to penetrate! Glory to him, who is one with true knowledge, who is inscrutable,* and through whom, seated in his heart, the Yogin crosses the wide expanse of worldly ignorance and illusion! I bow to him, who, by the performers of holy rites, is called the male of sacrifice (Yajnapurusha); by pious worshippers† is termed Vásudeva; and, by the cultivators of philosophy, Vishnu. May he in whom cause, and effect, and the world itself is comprehended be propitious to me, through his truth; for always do I put my trust in that unborn, eternal Hari, by meditation on whom man becomes the repository of all good things."\$

His mind thus animated by devout faith, and meditating in this manner, Akrúra (proceeded on his road, and) arrived at Gokula a little before sunset, at the time of the milking of the cows. And there he saw Krishna, amongst the cattle, dark as the leaf of the

^{*} Ameya.

⁺ Sátwata.

[†] Vedánta.

श्रे यथा तव जगदािक धातर्थे तत्प्रतिष्ठितम् । सद्सत्तेन सत्येन मय्यसौ यातु सौम्यताम् ॥ स्रृते सक्तकल्पाणभाजनं यव जायते। पुरुषस्तमजं नित्यं व्रजामि श्र्रणं हरिम् ॥

^{||} The original here has "at the milking-place of the cows", आदोहने गवाम। Śridhara's and Ratnagarbha's comment: आदोहने। दोहनस्थाने।

full-blown lotos; his eyes of the same colour,* and his breast decorated with the Śrívatsa† mark; longarmed, and broad-chested; having a high nose,‡ and a lovely countenance brightened with mirthful smiles; treading firmly on the ground, with feet whose nails were tinted red; clad in yellow garments, and adorned with a garland of forest-flowers; \$ having a freshgathered creeper in his hand, || and a chaplet of white lotos-flowers on his head. ¶ Akrúra** also beheld, there, Balabhadra, white as a swan, a jasmine, or the moon, and dressed in blue raiment; having large and powerful arms, †† and a countenance as radiant as a lotos in bloom,—like another Kailása-mountain, crested with a wreath of clouds.

When Akrúra saw these two youths, his countenance expanded with delight, ## and the down of his body stood erect (with pleasure). For this he thought to be supreme happiness and glory; this, the double manifestation of the divine Vásudeva. §§ This was the twofold gratification of his sight, to behold the creator

^{*} प्रस्पष्टपद्मपत्राचम ।

[†] See Vol. IV., p. 268.

[🕽] प्रसम्बबाइमासीमं तुङ्गोरखसमुद्रसम् ।

इ वन्यपुष्पविभूषितम् ।

[|] सार्द्रगीललताहस्तम् । Variant, accepted by the commentator Ratnagarbha: सन्द्रगीलाचलाभं तम् ।

सिताभोजावतंसकम् ।

^{**} Yadu-nandana, "descendant of Yadu," in the or ginal.

⁺⁺ Add "and shoulders".

[‡] विकसद्वत्तसरोजः।

^{§§} एतत्तत्परमं धाम तदेतत्परमं पदम् । भगवद्वासुदेवांश्रो द्विधा योऽयमवस्थितः॥

of the universe: now he hoped that his bodily form would yield fruit, - as it would bring him in contact with the person of Krishna,*-and that the wearer of infinite forms would place his hand on his back; the touch of whose finger alone is sufficient to dispel sin, and to secure imperishable felicity; that hand which launches the fierce irresistible discus, blazing with all the flames of fire, lightning, and the sun, and, slaughtering the demon-host, washes the collyrium from the eyes of their brides; that hand into which Bali poured water, and thence obtained ineffable enjoyments below the earth, + and immortality, and dominion over the gods for a whole Manwantara, without peril from a foe. "Alas! He will despise me for my connexion with Kamsa,-an associate with evil, though not contaminated by it. How vain is his birth, who is shunned by the virtuous!: And yet, what is there,

ं सापक्षमच्यायुंगमेतद्व दृष्टे जगडाति यातमुद्धैः। ऋषङ्गमेतङ्गगवत्पसादा-द्वीऽङ्गसङ्गे पजवन्मम स्थात्॥

† The original implies that Bali, who had dwelt below the earth, obtained; &c.:

* * * * * विकर्मनोज्ञा-नवाप भोगान्वसुधातनः ॥: ।

For Bali,—a Daitya, son of Virochana,—successively sovereign of Patala and an Indra, see Vol. II., p. 69, and p. 210, note 1; Vol. III., p. 18, note 1, and p. 23.

The translation, towards the end of the present chapter, is very free, generally.

ः ऋषेष मां कंसपरिग्रहेण दोषास्पदोभूतमदोषदृष्टम् । कर्ता न मौनोवहतं धिगसु तज्जन्म तत्साधु बहिष्कृतो यः॥ in this world, unknown to him who resides in the hearts of all men, who is ever existent, exempt from imperfection, the aggregate of the quality of purity, and identical with true knowledge?* With a heart wholly devoted to him, then, I will approach the lord of all lords, the descended portion of Purushottama, of Vishńu, who is without beginning, middle, or end."

Some of my MSS. have, instead of **न मीनोपहतं**, **श्रवमानोपहतम्** I This variant is noted by the commentator Ratnagarbha, and appears in the text of Śridhara.

> ' ज्ञानात्मकसामकसलराज्ञी-रपेतदोषस्य सदा स्फुटस्य। किं वा जगत्मच समसपुंसा-मज्ञातमस्यासि हृदि स्थितस्य॥



CHAPTER XVIII.

Grief of the Gopís, on the departure of Křishňa and Balaráma with Akrúra: their leaving Gokula. Akrúra bathes in the Yamuná; beholds the divine forms of the two youths, and praises Vishńu.

THUS meditating, the Yádava approached Govinda, (and addressed him,) and said "I am Akrúra," and bowed his head down to the feet of Hari. * But Krishna laid upon him his hand, which was marked with the flag, the thunderbolt, and the lotos, and drew him (towards him), and affectionately embraced him. Then Ráma† and Kesava entered into conversation with him, and, having heard from him all that had occurred, were much pleased, and led him to their habitation: there they resumed their discourse, and gave him food to eat, and treated him with proper hospitality.; Akrúra told them how (their father) Ánakadundubhi, § the princess Devakí, and (even his own father,) Ugrasena had been insulted by the iniquitous demon, Kamsa: he also related to them the purpose for which he had been despatched. When he had told them all these things, the destroyer of Kesin¶ said to him: "I

[&]quot; चरणी नमाम भिरसा हरेः।

⁺ The original has Bala.

मह ताभ्यां तदाक्र्रः क्रतसंवादनादिकः।
 मुक्तभोज्यो यथान्यायमाचचचे ततस्वयोः॥

[§] See Vol. IV., p. 101, text and note 1.

^{||} Dánava.

[¶] Keśi-súdana. Compare the cognate epithet of Krishńa, Madhusudana, "slayer of Madhu."

was aware of all that you have told me, lord of liberal gifts.* Ráma and I will go, to-morrow, to Mathurá, along with you. The elders of the cowherds shall accompany us, bearing ample offeriugs.† Rest here tonight, and dismiss all anxiety.: Within three nights I will slay Kamsa and his adherents."

Having given orders, accordingly, to the cowherds, Akrúra, with Keśava and Ráma, § retired to rest, and slept soundly in the dwelling of Nanda. The next morning was bright; and the youths prepared to depart for Mathurá, with Akrúra. The Gopís, seeing them about to set forth, were much afflicted; they wept bitterly; their bracelets were loose upon their arms; and they thus communed together: | "If Govinda depart for Mathurá, how will he return to Gokula? His ears will there be regaled with the melodious and polished conversation of the women of the city. Accustomed to the language of the graceful females of Mathurá, he will never again endure the rustic expressions of the Gopis. Hari, the pride of the station, T is carried off; and a fatal blow is inflicted upon us by inexorable destiny. Expressive smiles, soft language, graceful airs, elegant gait, and significant

करिये च महाभाग यद्वीपियकं मतस्। विचिन्तं नान्ययैतत्ते विज्ञिकंसं हतं मया॥

These verses are recognized, in their texts and comments, by both the scholiasts. Furthermore, they are found translated at length in Professor Wilson's Hindu-made English version.

ः निश्चेयं नीयतां वीर न चिन्तां कर्तुमईसि।

^{*} Here follows a stanza left untranslated:

[†] Upayana.

[§] Balabhadra, in the Sanskrit.

^{||} The translation is, hereabouts, free and expanded.

[¶] Goshtha.

glances belong to the women of the city.* Hari is of rustic breeding; and, captivated by their fascinations, what likelihood is there of his returning to the society of any one amongst us?† Keśava, who has mounted the car, to go to Mathurá, has been deceived by the cruel, (vile), and desperate Akrúra. Does not the unfeeling traitor know the affection that we all here feel for our Hari, the joy of our eyes, that he is taking him away? Unkind that he is, Govinda is departing from us, along with Ráma. Haste! Let us stop him. Why talk of telling our seniors that we cannot bear his loss? What can they do for us, when we are consumed by the fires of separation? The Gopas, with Nanda at their head, are, themselves, preparing to depart. No one makes any attempt to detain Govinda. Bright is the morning that succeeds to this night, for the women of Mathurá; for the bees of their eyes will feed upon the lotos-face of Achyuta. Happy are they who may go hence without impediment, and behold, enraptured, Krishna on his journey. A great festival will give pleasure, to-day, to the eyes of the inhabitants of Mathurá, when they see the person of Govinda.: What a blissful vision will be seen by the happy women (of the city), whose brilliant eyes shall regard, unchecked,

भावगर्भिक्षतं वाक्यं विलासलिलता गितः । नागरीणामध्येतत्काटाचेचितमेव च ॥
 ग्राम्यो हरिरयं तासां विलासिनगर्डियुंतः । भवतीनां पुनः पार्श्वं कया युक्त्या समेखित ॥

[ः] धन्यासे पणि ये क्षणमितो यान्यनिवारिताः। उद्वहिष्यन्ति पग्नस्यनः स्वदेहं पुलकाश्चितम्॥

मथुरानगरोपौर्नयनानां महोत्सवः। गोविन्दावयवर्दृष्टैरतीवाय भविष्यति॥

the countenance of Kŕishňa!* Alas! The eyes of the Gopís have been deprived of sight by the relentless Brahmá,† after he had shown them this great treasure. In proportion as the affection of Hari for us decays, so do our limbs wither, and the bracelets slip from our arms.: And now the cruel Akrúra urges on the horses. All conspire to treat unhappy females with unkindness. Alas! alas! We see, now, only the dust of his chariot-wheels. And now he is far away; for even that dust is no longer to be seen." Thus lamented by the women, § Keśava and Ráma quitted the district of Vraja.¹ Travelling in a car drawn by fleet horses, they arrived, at noon, at the banks of the Yamuná, when Akrúra requested them to halt a little, whilst he performed the usual daily ceremonial in the

In the Bhágavata, Hari Vamsa, &c., several adventures of Krishna, during his residence at Vraja, are recorded, of which our text makes no mention. Of these, the two most popular are Krishna's taking away the clothes of the Gopis whilst bathing, and his liberating the Gopas from the mouth of Aghásura, —disguised as a vast serpent,—into which they had entered, thinking it a cavern in a mountain. The omission of these two legends, or of any of the rest, is not much to be regretted.

^{*} The original has Adhokshaja, for the signification of which word see Vol. I., p. 28, note †.

[†] Vidhatri, in the Sanskrit. Vide infra, p. 15, note ¶.

[:] त्रनुरागेण शैथिखभसासु व्रजता हरेः। शैथिखमुपयान्याशु करेषु वलयान्यपि॥ § दृखेवमतिहार्देन गोपोजननिरोचितः।

^{||} That is to say, Agha the Asura. He was Kamsa's generalissimo; and it seems that little more than this fact is known of him. See the Bhágavata-purana, X., Prior Section, Chapter XII.

river. 1* Accordingly, the intelligent Akrúra bathed, and rinsed his mouth; and then, entering the stream, he stood meditating upon the supreme being. † But he beheld (mentally, 2) Balabhadra, having a thousand hooded heads, a garland of jasmine-flowers, and large red eyes, 2 attended by Vásuki, § Rambha, || and other mighty serpents, ¶ praised by the Gandharvas, decorated with wild flowers, wearing dark-coloured garments, crowned with a chaplet ** of lotoses, ornamented with brilliant ear-rings, inebriate, and standing at the bottom of the river, in the water. 3 On his lap he also

ं श्रयाह कृष्णमकूरो भवद्यां तावदाखताम्। यावत्करोमि कालिन्यामाद्विकाईग्रमससि॥

The Yamuna is here called Kalindi.

¹ The noonday prayer, or Sandhyá.

² By his Dhyána, or force of meditation, in which it is attempted to bring before the mind's eye some definite form of the object of adoration. In this case, Akrúra is compelled to see a form he did not anticipate. The Hari Vamsa, †† very clumsily, sets him to meditate upon the serpent Sesha, which spoils the story; intended, as that is, to exhibit the identity of Balaráma and Krishna with the Supreme.

³ Balaráma was, thus, visible in his real character of Sesha, :: the chief of serpents, the couch of Vishńu, and supporter of the world.

[†] To translate Brahma.

[ः] उन्निद्रपद्मपनाक्षीचणम्।

[§] See Vol. Il., p. 74.

^{||} See Vol II., p. 287, note *. The conjecture which I there ventured thus turns out to be correct.

[¶] Pavanásin.

^{**} Avatamsaka.

⁺⁺ Chapter XCIII.

^{**} See Vol. II., pp. 74 and 85, and p. 211, note 1; also, Vol. III., pp. 30, 31.

beheld, at his ease,* Krishńa, of the complexion of a cloud,¹ with full and coppery eyes, having an elegant form, and four hands, armed with the discus and other weapons, wearing yellow clothes, decorated with many-coloured flowers, and appearing like a cloud embellished with streams of lightning and the bow of Indra.† His breast was marked with the celestial sign;: his arms were radiant with bracelets; a diadem shone on his brow; \$ and he wore a white lotos for his crest. He was attended by Sanandana¶ and other holy sages, who, fixing their eyes upon the tips of their noses, were absorbed in profound meditation.**

When Akrura beheld Balarama †† and Krishna in this situation, he was much amazed, and wondered how they could so quickly have got there from the chariot. He wished to ask them this; but Janardana deprived him of the faculty of speech, at the moment. Ascending, then, from the water, he repaired to the car; and there he found them both, (quietly) seated, in the same human persons as before. Plunging, again, into the water, there he again beheld them, hymned, as before,

¹ Or, rather, he beheld Ghanaśyáma,—an appellation of Kŕishúa, who is so called from being as black (śyáma) as a cloud (ghana).

^{*} Aklishťa.

⁺ Śakra, in the original.

[†] To render śrivatsa. Vide supra, p. 5.

[§] श्रीवत्सवचसं चार्केयुरमुक्टो ज्वलम्।

^{||} Avatamsaka.

[¶] See Vol. II., p. 226; and p. 227, note 1.

[&]quot; सनन्दनावीर्मुनिभिः सिजयोगैरकत्सपैः। विचिन्त्यमानं तत्रस्थैनीसायन्यसत्तोचनैः॥

tt The Sanskrit has Bala.

by the Gandharvas, saints,* sages,† and serpents. Apprehending, therefore, their real character, he thus eulogized the eternal deity, who consists of true knowledge::

"Salutation to thee, who art uniform and manifold, all-pervading, supreme spirit, of inconceivable glory, and who art simple existence! Salutation to thee, O inscrutable, who art truth, and the essence of oblations! Salutation to thee, O lord, whose nature is unknown, who art beyond primeval matter, who existest in five forms, as one with the elements, with the faculties, with matter, with the living soul, with supreme spirit! Show favour (to me), O soul of the universe, essence of all things, perishable or eternal, whether addressed by the designation of Brahmá, Vishnu, Siva, or the like. I adore thee, O god, whose nature is indescribable, whose purposes are inscrutable, whose name, even, is unknown; §§ for the at-

ः तुष्टाव सर्वविज्ञानमयमच्युतमीश्वरम् । § अकूर उवाच। सन्तात्रकृषिणेऽचिन्यमहिन्ने पर्माताने। व्यापिने नैककृषेकस्वकृषाय नमो नमः॥

Havis.

सत्यमेव जयते

^{*} Muni.

[†] Siddha.

 $[\]P$ See Vol. I., p. 2, note 1, where this passage is referred to and enlarged on.

^{**} Indriya.

^{††} Atman and paramatman. The first is the same as jivátman. See Vol. IV., p. 253, note •.

^{**} Parameśwara.

^{§§} স্থানা মান। Abhidhána here takes the place of the more ordinary náman, the term rendered "appellation", just below. See Vol. IV., p. 346, supplement to p. 267, note *

tributes of appellation or kind* are not applicable to to thee, who art that, the supreme Brahma, eternal, unchangeable, uncreated. † But, as the accomplishment of our objects cannot be attained except through some specific form,: thou art termed, by us, Krishna, Achyuta, Ananta, or Vishnu. § Thou, unborn (divinity), art all the objects of these impersonations; thou art the gods, and all other beings; thou art the whole world; thou art all. Soul of the universe, thou art exempt from change; and there is nothing except thee in all this existence. Thou art Brahmá, Pasupati, Aryaman, Dhátri, and Vidhátri; thou art Indra, ** air, fire, the regent of the waters, †† the god of wealth, # and judge of the dead; §§ and thou, although but one, presidest over the world, with various energies addressed to various purposes. Thou, identical with the solar ray, createst the universe; all elementary substance is

ः कल्पनामृते।

§ ततः कृष्णाचुतानन्तविष्णुसंज्ञाभिरीड्यसे।

¹ Tad, 'that'; all that is, or that can be conceived.

^{*} It should seem, from a collation of passages, that játi, the expression here used, is synonymous with rúpa. Do náman and játi signify 'genus' and 'species'? See Vol. II., p. 328, text and note ‡; and p. 337, supplementary note to p. 59, l. 8.

[†] Aja.

^{||} Corrected from "Aryaman".

[¶] Dhátíi and Vidhátíi are said to be Brahmá as protector and creator. See Colebrooke's *Miscellaneous Essays*, Vol. I., p. 191. In lieu of Dhátíi, some MSS, yield Vishúa.

^{**} To represent tridasapati, 'lord of the gods.'

^{††} Toyesa; Varuna, to-wit.

[†] Dhana-pati; namely, Kubera.

^{§§} The original yields Antaka, who is one with Yama. See Vol. II., p. 216, note 1.

composed of thy qualities; and thy supreme form is denoted by the imperishable term SAT (existence). To him who is one with true knowledge; who is, and is not, perceptible, * I bow. Glory be to him, the lord Vásudeva, to Sankarshańa, to Pradyumna, and to Aniruddha!"¹

¹ Akrúra's piety is, here, prophetic: the son and grandson of Kŕishúa (see Vol. IV., p. 112,) are not yet born. But this is the Vaishúava style of addressing Kŕishúa, or Vishúu, as identical with four Vyúhas,—'arrangements' or 'dispositions',—Kŕishúa, Balaráma, Pradyumna, and Aniruddha.† See the Asiatic Researches, Vol. XVI., p. 35.‡ In this, as in several other places, the Vishúu Puráúa differs from some of the other narratives of Kŕishúa, by the length and character of the prayers addressed to Vishúu. The Hari Vaínsa, for instance, here has no prayer or panegyric at all; the Bhágavata inserts one.

^{*} Sat and asat, 'real' and 'unreal'.

[†] Ratnagarbha, one of the commentators on the Vishhu-purána, refers, to a similar purport, to the Mahábhárata. The passage intended is, apparently, in the Śánti-parvan,—śl. 12888, et seq.

Or Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. I., p. 45.

CHAPTER XIX.

Akrúra conveys Křishňa and Ráma near to Mathurá, and leaves them: they enter the town. Insolence of Kamsa's washerman: Křishňa kills him. Civility of a flower-seller: Křishňa gives him his benediction.

THUS, the Yádava (Akrúra), standing in the river, praised Krishna, and worshipped him with imaginary* incense and flowers. Disregarding (all) other objects, he fixed his (whole) mind upon the deity; and, having continued, for a long time, in spiritual contemplation, † he (at last,) desisted from his abstraction, conceiving he had effected the purposes of soul.: Coming up from the water of the Yamuná, he went to the car; and there he beheld Ráma and Krishna, seated as before. As his looks denoted surprise, Krishna said to him: "Surely, Akrúra, you have seen some marvel in the stream of the Yamuná; for your eyes are staring, as if with astonishment." Akrúra replied: "The marvel that I have seen in the stream of the Yamuna I behold before me, even here, in a bodily shape; for he whom I have encountered in the water, Krishna, is, also, your wondrous self, of whose illustrious person the whole world is the miraculous development. § But

This is in the same stanza with what immediately follows, and should have been connected with it, in the translation.

^{*} Mano-maya.

⁺ Brahma-bhúta.

[🗅] इतक्रत्यमिवात्मानं मन्यमानी महामतिः।

[§] जगदेतनाहास्रयं इपं यस्य महातानः ।
तेनास्रयंवरेणाहं भवता कृष्ण संगतः ॥

enough of this. Let us proceed to Mathurá. I am afraid Kamsa will be angry at our delay: such is the wretched consequence of eating the bread of another."*
Thus speaking, he urged on the quick † horses; and they arrived, after sunset, at Mathurá. When they came in sight of the city, Akrúra said to Krishna and Ráma: "You must now journey on foot, whilst I proceed alone in the car.: And you must not go to the house of Vasudeva; for the elder has been banished, by Kamsa, on your account."

Akrúra, having thus spoken, left them, and entered the city; whilst Ráma and Kŕishňa continued to walk along the royal road. Regarded, with pleasure, by men and women, they went along sportively, looking like two young elephants. As they roamed about, they saw a washerman § colouring clothes; and, with smiling countenances, they went and threw down some of his fine linen. The washerman was the servant of Kaińsa, made insolent by his master's favour; ¶ and he provoked the two lads with loud and

^{&#}x27; तित्कमेतेन मथुरां त्रजामो मधुसूदन। विभेमि कंसाडिग्जन परिपिण्डोपजीविनाम॥

⁺ Váta-ramhas.

[🕏] पद्मां यातं महावीर्थी र्घनैको विशाम्यहम् ।

[§] Rajaka. From the context the word seems to denote a dyer.

[॥] ऋयाचेतां सुरूपाणि वासांसि रुचिराननी ।

The lads did not "throw down some of his fine linen", but asked him for it.

बिराणि तो is the reading preferred by the commentator Ratnagarbha; इचिराननी, that accepted by Śridhara: and neither of them mentions that of the other.

[¶] कंसस्य रजकः सोऽघ प्रसादाक्डविसायः। Instead of प्रसादा॰, some MSS. have प्रमादा॰.

scurrilous abuse, until Krishna struck him down, with his head to the ground, and killed him. Then, taking the clothes, they went their way, clad in yellow and blue raiment, until they came to a flower-seller's shop. The flower-seller looked at them with astonishment, and wondered who they could be, or whence they could have come. Seeing two youths so lovely, dressed in vellow and blue garments, he imagined them to be divinities descended upon earth. Being addressed by them with mouths budding like lotoses, and asked for some flowers, he placed his hands upon the ground. and touched it with his head, saying: "My lords have shown me great kindness, in coming to my house,*fortunate that I am. I will pay them homage." Having thus spoken, the flower-seller, with a smiling aspect, gave them whatever choice flowers they selected, to conciliate their favour. Repeatedly prostrating † himself before them, he presented them with flowers, beautiful, fragrant, and fresh.: Krishna, then, being much pleased with him, gave him this blessing: "Fortune, good friend, who depends upon me, shall never forsake you. Never shall you suffer loss of vigour or loss of wealth. As long as time shall last, your descendants shall not fail. § Having long tasted various de-

' प्रसादपर्मी नाथी मम गेहमुपागती।

[†] प्रवास्थ, which implies nothing more than a respectful inclination of the head and uplifting of the hands.

[:] Amala.

[§] यावहिनानि तावञ्च न निश्चिति संतिः।

This lection, Ratnagarbha's, is the one followed by the Translator. Sridhara's and that of nearly all my best MSS. begins the verse with the words **214** - 14

lights (on earth), you shall, finally, obtain, by calling me to recollection, a heavenly region, the consequence of my favour. Your heart shall ever be intent on righteousness; and fulness of days shall be the portion of your posterity. Your descendants shall not be subject to natural infirmities, as long as the sun shall endure."* Having thus spoken, Krishna and Rama,† worshipped by the flower-seller, went forth from his dwelling.

† Baladeva, in the original.



¹ These incidents are told, with some unimportant differences, in the other accounts of Krishna's youth.

^{*} नीपसर्गादिकं दोषं युष्मत्संतित्संभवः। संप्राप्खति महाभाग यावत्सूर्यो धरिष्यति॥

CHAPTER XX.

Křishňa and Balaráma meet Kubjá; she is made straight by the former: they proceed to the palace. Křishňa breaks a bow intended for a trial of arms. Kańsa's orders to his servants. Public games. Křishňa and his brother enter the arena: the former wrestles with Cháňúra, the latter, with Mushtika, the king's wrestlers, who are, both, killed. Křishňa attacks and slays Kańsa: he and Balaráma do homage to Vasudeva and Devakí: the former praises Křishňa.

AS they proceeded along the high road, they saw coming (towards them) a young girl, who was crooked carrying a pot of unguent. Krishna addressed her sportively, and said: "For whom are you carrying that unguent? Tell me, lovely maiden;* tell me truly." Spoken to as it were through affection, Kubjá,† well disposed towards Hari, replied to him also mirthfully, being smitten by his appearance: "Know you not, beloved, that I am the servant of Kamsa, and appointed, crooked as I am, to prepare his perfumes?! Unguent ground by any other he does not approve of: hence I am enriched through his liberal rewards." Then said Krishna: "Fair-faced damsel, give us of this unguent,—

ः कान्त कस्मात्र जानासि कंसेनाभिनियोजिताम् । नैकवक्रीति विख्यातामनुर्त्तेपनकर्मणि ॥

The name of the damsel, we are thus told, was Naikavakrá. In the Bhágavata-puráña, X., Prior Section, XLII., 3, her name appears as Trivakrá. She was so called, the commentator Śridhara remarks, because triply deformed,—namely, in the neck, in the chest, and in the waist.

^{*} Indivara-lochaná.

[†] No proper name, here and near the top of the next page, but "the deformed girl". The word kubjá is rendered "crooked", above.

fragrant, and fit for kings, -as much as we may rub upon our bodies." "Take it," answered Kubjá. And she gave them as much of the unguent as was sufficient for their persons. And they rubbed it on various parts of their faces and bodies, till they looked like two clouds, one white and one black, decorated by the many-tinted bow of Indra. Then Krishna, + skilled in the curative art, took hold of her, under the chin, with the thumb and two fingers, and lifted up her head, whilst, with his feet, he pressed down her feet; and, in this way, he made her straight. When she was thus relieved from her deformity, she was a most beautiful woman;; and, filled with gratitude and affection, she took Govinda by the garment, and invited him to her house. Promising to come at some other time, Krishna & smilingly dismissed her, and then

For some of the additions to the literal sense of the original, the Translator has drawn on Śridhara.

¹ They had their bodies smeared in the style called Bhaktichchheda (भितिच्छेदानुजिप्ताज्ञा); that is, with the separating or distinguishing (chheda) marks of Vaishnava devotion (bhakti),—certain streaks on the forehead, nose, cheeks, breast, and arms, which denote a follower of Vishnu. See the Asiatic Researches, Vol. XVI., p. 33.

^{*} The original here gives them the epithet purusharshabha.

[†] Sauri, in the Sanskrit. See the original in the next annotation.

ततस्तां चिनुके शौरिक्ज्ञापनविधानवित् ।
 उत्पद्य तोलयामास द्वाङ्गुलेनायपाणिना ॥
 चकर्ष पद्मां च तथा ऋजुलं केशवोऽनयत् ।
 ततः सा ऋजुतां प्राप्ता योषितामभवद्दरा ॥

[§] The Sanskrit has Hari.

[|] Or Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. I., p. 41.

laughed aloud, on beholding the countenance of Bala-deva. 1*

Dressed in blue and yellow garments, and anointed with fragrant unguents, † Keśava and Ráma proceeded to the hall of arms, which was hung round with garlands.: Inquiring of the warders which bow he was to try, and being directed to it, he took it, and bent it. § But, drawing it with violence, he snapped it in two; 2 and all Mathurá resounded with the noise which its fracture occasioned. Abused by the warders for breaking the bow, Krishńa and Ráma retorted, and defied them, and left the hall.

When Kamsa knew that Akrura had returned, and heard that the bow had been broken, he thus said to Chanura and Mushtika, (his boxers): "Two youths, cowherd boys, have arrived. You must kill them both, in a trial of strength, I in my presence; for they prac-

श्वायोगं च धनूरत्नं ताभ्यां पृष्टैस रिचिभिः। श्वाखातं सहसा क्रणो गृहीलापूरयदनुः॥

Instead of **ষাহা**ন **च**, the lection of Śridhara, Ratnagarbha has **মাহানৰ**, and says that we here have the name of the bow, Ayogava. The commentators mention and explain other readings; and my MSS. supply still more.

¹ The story is similarly told in the Bhágavata, &c.

² The bending or breaking of a bow is a favourite incident in Hindu heroic poetry; borrowed, no doubt, from the Rámá-yańa, where, however, it has an object: here it is quite gratuitous.

[·] Ráma, in the original.

[†] भिक्तिकेदानुसिप्ताको। See note 1 in the preceding page.

According to the original, in all my MSS., &c., the youths, not the hall, were thus adorned.

[🛚] रिचिसैन्यं निक्तत्वोभी निष्कानती कार्मुकालयात् ।

[¶] Malla-yuddha. See note ¶ in p. 39, infra.

tise against my life. I shall be well pleased if you kill them in the match, and will give you whatever you wish; not else. These two foes of mine must be killed by you, fairly or unfairly. The kingdom shall be ours in common, when they have perished." Having given them these orders, he sent, next, for his elephant-driver, and desired him to station his (great) elephant, Kuvalayápída,—who was as vast as a cloud charged with rain,—near the gate of the arena, and drive him upon the two boys, when they should attempt to enter. * When Kamsa had issued these commands, and ascertained that the platforms were all ready (for the spectators), he awaited the rising of the sun, unconscious of impending death. †

In the morning, the citizens assembled on the platforms set apart for them; and the princes, with the ministers and courtiers,: occupied the royal seats. Near the centre of the circle, judges of the games § were stationed by Kamsa, whilst he himself sat apart, close by, upon a lofty throne. Separate platforms were erected for the ladies of the palace, || for the

* प्रोवाचोचैस्त्वया मज्ञसमाजद्वारि कुझरः। स्थायः कुवलयापीडसेन तौ गोपदारकी॥

Instead of মন্ত্ৰ, there occurs, as a common variant, the lection accepted by Śridhara, মিরে ৷ This, mistaken for মঘু, with the supposition that equi was misread নায়, is the only ground that I have discovered for the Translator's clause "who was as vast as a cloud charged with rain."

[†] The original has त्रासत्तमर्णः, which only implies that his death was near, not that he knew it to be so.

[†] These two words are to represent amatya. Bhritya, 'dependents', is a variant.

[§] मज्ञप्राञ्चिकवर्गः । Variant: मज्ञपार्श्विकवर्गः ।

^{||} Antakpura.

courtesans,* and for the wives of the citizens.¹ Nanda and the cowherds had places appropriated to them, at

"The king, Kamsa, meditating on these things, went forth, from his palace, to the place which had been prepared for the sight of the ceremonial (1), to inspect the scaffolds (2) which had been constructed. He found the place close set with the several platforms (3) of the different public bodies (4), strongly put together, and decorated with roofed pavilions of various sizes, supported by columns, and divided into commodious chambers. (5) The edifice was extensive, well arranged, secured by strong rafters, (6) spacious and lofty, and commodious and secure. Stairs led to the different galleries. (7) § Chairs of state (8) were placed in

¹ The Bhágavata enters into even fewer particulars than our text, of the place set apart for the games. The Hari Vamsa gives a much more detailed description, which is, in some respects, curious. The want of any technical glossary, and the general manner in which technical terms are explained in the ordinary dictionaries, render it difficult to understand exactly what is intended; and any translation of the passages must be defect-The French version, + however, probably represents a much more splendid and theatrical scene than the text authorizes, and may, therefore, admit of correction. The general plan is nothing more than an enclosed space, surrounded by temporary structures of timber or bamboos, open or enclosed, and decorated with hangings and garlands. It may be doubted if the details described by the compiler of the Hari Vamsa were very familiar even to him; for his description is not always very consistent or precise. Of two commentators, one evidently knows nothing of what he attempts to explain; but, with the assistance of the other, the passages may be thus, though not always confidently, rendered:

^{*} Vára-mukhyá.

[†] M. Langlois's translation, Vol. I., pp. 354, 355, and pp. 362-364.

[†] Swayata. Variant: swayuta.

[§] The translation of the last two sentences educes much more from the original than even the commentaries warrant.

the end of which sat Akrúra and Vasudeva. Amongst the wives of the citizens appeared Devakí, mourning

various parts of it. The avenues that conducted to it were narrow (9). It was covered with temporary stages and sheds, (10) and was capable of sustaining the weight of a multitude.

"Having seen the place of the festival thus adorned, Kamsa gave orders, and said: 'To-morrow let the platforms, and terraces, and pavilions (11) be decorated with pictures, and garlands, and flags, and images; (12) and let them be scented with fragrant odours, and covered over with awnings. (13) Let there be ample heaps of dry pounded cow-dung (14) provided on the ground,* and suitable refreshment-chambers be covered over, and decorated with bells and ornamented arches. (15) Let large water-jars be securely fixed in order, capable of holding a copious supply, and provided with golden drinking-cups. Let apartments be prepared (16), and various kinds of beverage, in appropriate vessels, be ready. Let judges of the games be invited, and corporations, with their chiefs. Let orders be issued to the wrestlers, and notice be given to the spectators; and let platforms, for their accommodation, be fitted up in the place of assembly.'" (17) †

एवं राजा विचिन्याय निष्कस्य खगृहोत्तमात्।
प्रेजागारं जगामात्रा मञ्जानामवलोककः॥
स दृष्टा सर्वनिर्धृक्तं प्रेचागारं नृपोत्तमः।
श्रेणीनां दृढसंयुक्तिर्मञ्जवाटैर्निर्नरम्॥
सोत्तमागार्युक्ताभिर्वेजभीभिर्विभूषितम्।
सुटीभिश्च प्रवृज्ञाभिरकसमीश्च भूषितम्॥
सर्वतः सार्राचर्यूहं खायतं सुप्रतिष्ठितम्।
उद्याश्विष्टसुश्चिष्टमञ्चारोहण्युत्तमम्॥
नृपासनपरिचिन्नं संचार्पयसंसुलम्।
क्तं तद्वेदिकाभिश्च मानवीचभर्चमम्॥
स दृष्टा भूषितं रङ्गमाज्ञापयत बुज्ञिमान्।
श्वः सचित्राः समान्याञ्च सपताकास्यीव च॥

Aksha-váťa is the reading of my oldest MS., instead of the ordinary ranga-váťa.

[†] Harivainsa, sl. 4527 - 4537:

for her son,* whose lovely face she longed to behold, even in the hour of his destruction. When the musical

When the meeting takes place, the site of the games is thus described: "Upon the following day, the amphitheatre(18) was filled by the citizens, anxious to behold the games. The place of assembly (19) was supported sy octagonal painted pillars, (20) fitted up with terraces, and doors, and bolts, with windows, circular or crescent; shaped, and accommodated with seats with cushions; (21) and it shone like the ocean, whilst large clouds hang upon it, with spacious, substantial pavilions, (22) fitted up for the sight of the combat; open to the front, (23) but screened with beautiful and fine curtains, (24) crowned with festoons of flowers, and glistening with radiance, like autumnal clouds. The pavilions + of the different companies and corporations, vast as mountains, were decorated with banners, bearing upon them the implements and emblems of the several crafts. (25) The chambers of the inhabitants of the inner apartments shone near at hand, bright with gold, and painting, and net-work of gems: they were richly decorated with precious stones, were enclosed below with costly hangings, (26) and ornamented above with spires and banners, and looked like mountains spreading their wings in the sky;

सुवासिता वपुष्मन उपनीतोत्तर्च्हरः।
क्रियनां मञ्चवाटा स वडम्यो वीषयस्त्रथा॥
स्रचवाटे करीषस्य कल्प्यनां राश्योऽव्ययाः।
घण्टासोर्णशोभास बलयसानुक्ष्यतः॥
स्राप्यनां सुनिखातास पानकुभा यथाक्रमम्।
उदभारसहाः सर्वे सकास्रनघटोत्तराः॥
बलयसोपकल्प्यनां कषायास्रि कुभशः।
प्राप्तिकास निमन्त्र्यनां त्रेस्यस सपुरोगमाः॥
साज्ञा च देया मक्कानां प्रेचकाणां तषिव च।
समाजे मस्रवाटास कल्प्यनां सूपकल्पिताः॥

^{*} पुत्रगृद्धिनी, which means that Devaki loved her son.

[†] Mancha; previously rendered "scaffolds"; "pavilions" being used to represent vadabhi or valabhi.

instruments sounded, Chánúra sprang forth, and the people cried "Alas!" and Mushtika slapped his arms

while the rays of light reflected from the valuable jewels were blended with the waving of white chowries and the musical tinkling of female ornaments. The separate pavilions of the courtesans were graced by lovely women, attired in the most splendid dresses, (27) and emulated the radiance of the cars of the gods. In the place of assembly there were excellent seats, couches made of gold, and hangings of various colours, intermixed with bunches of flowers; and there were golden vases of water, and handsome places for refreshment, filled with fruits of various kinds, and cooling juices, and sherbets fit for drinking. (28) And there were many other stages and platforms,* constructed of strong timber; and hangings, by hundreds and thousands, were displayed; and, upon the tops of the houses, chambers (29) fitted up with delicate jalousies, through which the women might behold the sports, appeared like swans flying through the air.

"In front stood the pavilion of Kamsa, surpassing all the rest in splendour, looking like Mount Meru, in radiance; its sides, its columns, being covered with burnished gold; fastened with coloured cords, and every way worthy the presence of a king.";

^{*} These two substantives are to render mancha. See note † in the preceding page.

⁺ Harivámsa, śl. 4642-4656:

तसिवहिन निर्वृत्ते दितीये समुपिखते।
त्रापूर्यत महारङ्गः पौरेर्यृद्धदिदृनुमिः॥
सिचनाष्टासिचरणः सार्गलद्वारविदिकः।
सगवाचार्धचन्द्रस सतल्पोत्तमभूषितः॥
प्राद्मुखैसार्गनिर्मुत्तेमीस्बदामावतंसितः।
त्रालंक्वतेविराजद्भिः भारदैरिव तोयदैः॥
मह्मागारैः सुनिर्मुत्तेर्युद्धाय सुविभूषितः।
समाजवाटः ग्रुगुमे समेघौघ द्वाण्वः॥
स्वक्मद्रव्ययुक्ताभिः पताकाभिर्निर्नरम्।
त्रेणीनां च गणानां च मह्मा भान्यचलोपमाः॥

in defiance. Covered with must* and blood from the elephant, whom, when goaded upon them by his

In justification of the rendering of the above, an explanation of the technical terms, taken either from dictionaries or from the commentators, † may be subjoined. (¹) Kamsa went to the Prekshágára (प्रेयागर), literally, 'house of seeing;' but it is evident, from its interior being visible to spectators on the tops of the houses,—as subsequently mentioned,—that it was not a

अन्तःपुरगतानां च प्रेचागाराखनेकशः। रेजुः काञ्चनचित्राणि रत्नज्वालाकुलानि च॥ तानि रत्नीघक्षप्तानि ससानुप्रगृहाणि च। रेजुर्जवनिकाचेपैः सपना इव खे नगाः॥ त्रव चामरहासैश्व भूषणानां च शिक्षितैः। मणीनां च वराष्ट्रीणां विचित्राश्चेत्ररचिषः॥ गणिकानां प्यदाशाः शुभैरास्तरणाम्बरैः। शोभिता वारमुखाभिर्विमानप्रतिमीजसः॥ तत्रासमानि सुखानि पर्यद्वाञ्च हिरएसयाः। प्रकीर्णाश्च कृषाश्चित्राः सप्रव्यस्तवकद्रमाः॥ सीवर्णाः पानकुस्राञ्च पानभूस्यञ्च शोभिताः। फलावदंशपूर्णाञ्च चाङ्गर्यः पानयोजिताः॥ असे च मशा बहदः काष्ट्रसंचयबन्धनाः। रेजः प्रसारणास्त्रच भातभोऽय सहस्रभः॥ उत्तरागारिकायान्ये सुत्यजालावलोकिनः। स्त्रीयां प्रेचागृहा भान्ति राजहंसा इवाम्बरे॥ प्राज्यख्याद्निर्युक्ती मेद्रशुक्रसमप्रभः। रकापविभक्तकश्चिवियोगशोभितः॥ प्रैचागारः स कंसस्य प्रचकाग्नेऽधिकं श्रिया। शोभितो माल्यदामैश्र निवासक्रतन्त्रणः॥

^{*} This word, a popularized form of the Persian mast, ..., is here used to translate mada, the ichor which exudes from the temples of a rutting elephant. Mast is an adjective, signifying 'proud', 'in rut'.

[†] Nilakantha and Arjuna Misra. To the scantlings of scholia, adduced in the following pages, that are taken from the former, I have annexed his name. The remaining elucidations which Professor Wilson indicates to be commentatorial are derived from the latter.

driver, they had slain, and armed with his tusks, Balabhadra and Janárdana confidently* entered the arena,

theatre, or covered edifice. If a building at all, it was merely a sort of stockade. One commentator † calls it "a place made for seeing the sacrifice": धनुमेहप्रचणार्थं कर्त खानम्। (²) Manchánám avalokakah (मञ्चानामवज्ञाककः). The Mancha is commonly understood to signify a raised platform, with a floor and a roof, ascended by a ladder: see Dictionary. (³) Mancha-váía (मञ्चार). Váía is either 'site' or 'inclosure,' and is used, here, without much affecting the sense of Mancha. The compound is explained, by the commentators, ‡ 'prepared places' (रचित्रभूमयः), or 'the sites of the platforms' (मञ्जूमयः). (¹) The Śreńis (श्रेणानां), associations of artificers practising the same art. One of the commentaries understands the term to be here used to denote, not their station, but their labours: "The structure was the work of the artificers" (प्रयान श्रेणानाम्). (⁵) Several words occur, here, of technical import. The passage is:

सोत्तमागारयुक्ताभिर्वसभीभिर्विभूषितम् । § कुटीभिश्च प्रवृद्धाभिरेकसभीश्च भूषितम् ॥

Valabhi is said, by the commentator, to mean a structure with a pent roof, supported by six columns; Kuti, a circular one, having seven roofs (something, perhaps, like a Chinese pagoda,) and four columns. The Eka-stambha is a chamber, supported by one column: वसभीभिष्भयतो नमत्पचद्याभिष्कदीभिः षदुभाभिः। कुटीभिश्च प्रवृक्षाभिः कोष्ठकैः सप्तक्कदिकेश्वनुक्षभिः। (6) Sárahirvyúha

^{*} गर्वलीलाविलोकितौ।

⁺ Nilakańtha.

The ensuing definitions I find in Arjuna Misra only.

[§] Some MSS. begin this line with उत्तरागार.

What is meant, here, as the first sentence is from Nilakaútha, who therein explains, first, and then explains, which he reads instead of ather. The rest of the Sanskrit, giving a definition of the latter term, is, perhaps, altered from the same commentator.

like two lions amidst (a herd of) deer. Exclamations of pity arose from all the spectators, along with ex-

(सार्निर्वेष्ट).* It is difficult to understand the necessity of rafters in an inclosure in which the platforms and stages seem to have been erected independently of any floor or wall; but the commentary + explains Nirvyúha, "strong brackets, projecting from a house:" सार्निर्वृहं दृढं नागदन्ता गृहान्निर्गतदार्रूपाणि यच। (1) Aślishta-suślishta-manchárohanam. The first epithet is explained, 'not contracted' (ग्रसंकुचितम्); the second, 'well constructed' (साधरचितम); and, for the 'ascending' (Árohańa), we have सोपानपङ्कियेच 'where was a line of steps' or 'ladders'. There is another reading of the text, however, which may be rendered: "Having steps well secured in their ascent above" (उदक्पवग्रम्सिष्टं भश्चारोहण्य). (8) 'Seats for kings' (न्पास-नानि।). (9) Such is the literal purport of Sanchára-patha-sankula (संचारपथसंबद्ध); implying, possibly, the formation of passages by fences on either side. (10) This is doubtful. The phrase is (कन्नं तदेदिकाभिः) Chhannam tad-vedikabhih. Chhanna means, literally, 'covered,' and can scarcely be used in the sense of 'overspread' or 'filled with.' Vediká means an elevated floor or terrace, with which a hall or edifice cannot well be 'covered', and, therefore, requires the sense here given to Chhanna. The commentators are silent. (11) The Manchavátas and Valabhis, as above. The other term is Vithi, 'a shop,' 'a stall,' 'a terrace,' 'a road.' (12) Let them be Vapushmantah (व्युष्मन्त:), "having painted or sculptured figures" (चित्रपुर्वादियुक्ताः). ¶ The other

^{*} I have altered, here and below, "niryyiha", and the same form in Sanskrit. सार्विर्कृतं is a variant.

[†] Nílakantha's.

[្]នា Nilakantha's explanation of ធាតែខា

[§] उद्क्यवण्मिक्कष्टं—the reading of Arjuna Miśra,—and उद्याक्तिष्ट-सुक्षिष्टं are further variants that I find.

^{||} See the original, at the foot of p. 26, supra.

[¶] Nilakańtha.

pressions of astonishment. "This, then," said the people, "is Krishna. This is Balabhadra. This is he

commentary renders it merely 'pleasant' or 'agreeable' (सृहणीया:). (12) 'Covered above with cloths' (उपनीतोत्तरऋदः). The use of the awning or Shamiyána is very common in India. (14) For the wrestlers to rub over their bodies, to absorb the perspiration (मज्ञानां खेदापमार्जनार्थम्). (15) This is, all, rather questionable. The passage is, most usually:

घष्टास्तोरणशोभाय वलययानुक्पतः।

Vali, or Bali, in one sense, means 'the edge of a thatch,' and may be put for some sort of temporary structure, -a kind of retiring or refreshment-room for the boxers and wrestlers. In some copies, it is read पराजाताता: "beautiful with cloths spread," on which the performers may sit, when disengaged; perhaps, a sort of carpet on the ground. (16) The expression is, again, Vali (वलयशोपकल्यनाम). Another sense of the word is "offering of viands, or of the remains of a sacrifice, to all beings;"* but that cannot be its purport here: nor is it ever used in the sense of viands in general. The verb Kalp or Klip also usually implies 'making'. (17) Manchaváta; † 'in the Samája' or 'assembly'. (18) Maháranga (महार्ड), "the great place of the performance." Ranga is 'acting' or 'representation'; also, the place or site of it. (19) All the copies consulted, except one, offer an irregularity of construction, which, although defended by the commentators, is a license scarcely allowable. The epithets of the first verse are, all, in the plural number; they then occur in the singular, to agree with the only substantive in the description, Samájaváta. According to the commentaries, the plural term Manchah (HET:) understood is the substantive to the epithets of the first stanza; and Samajavata (the singular), to those of the other verses. This awkwardness is, however, avoided by the reading of an old and very good copy, which puts it, all, in the singular; as:

^{*} See Vol. III., p. 118, and p. 220, note 1.

[†] To render "platforms". My oldest MS. has महाशोभाः।

^{*} So do the Calcutta edition of the Harivainsa, my oldest MS., and, so far as I know them, MSS. generally.

by whom the fierce night-walker Pútaná was slain;* by whom the waggon was overturned, and the two

सचित्राष्टासिचरणः सार्गलद्वार्वेदिकः। सगवाचार्धचन्द्रस सतलोत्तमभूषितः॥

(20) The expression is Charana; literally, 'foot;' explained, by the commentator,† Stambha, 'post' or 'pillar'. (21) The reading of most of the copies is Sayanottama (श्रयनोत्तम), which may be taken as the sense of Talottama, 'couches or benches with cushions.' (22) Manchagaraih' (महागारें:), 'temporary houses.' (23) Or, 'fronting to the east' (प्राह्मवें:)‡ (24) Nirmuktaih' (निर्मृतेः); explained, by the commentator,§ to mean 'fine threads', 'net-work', or 'gauze', through which persons, females especially, may see, without being seen. (25) स्वर्मद्रयमाभः पतानाभः। (26) "With ridges and projections" (समानुप्रगृहाणि). The commentator explains this: "with flags on the top of them" (उपरिदेश सप-तानानि). (27) This appears to be intended for an epithet of the women; although Astarana is not usually applied to dress: यासर्णाब्दे: शोभिता वारस्वाभि:।

(28) फलावदं ग्रपूर्णाञ्च चाङ्गर्यः पानयोजिताः।

Phala, of course, is 'fruit'. Avadamsa is explained, in lexicons, "what is eaten to excite thirst:" one comment** gives it, "what may be sucked," as tamarinds and the like. Changeri is explained "fluids for drinking, made with sorrel or acid fruits"; that is, sherbets. (29) उत्तमागारिका: or उत्तरागारिका: is an epithet of the Prekshágára, †† or look-out house of the women (स्त्रीणां प्रचागृहा:), situated on the tops of their houses, according

^{*} See Vol. IV., p. 276.

^{+ ?}

[!] Nilakańtha.

[§] Idem.

I Idem.

[¶] Parts of two lines. See the original, in p. 29, supra.

^{**} Nilakaúfha's.

^{††} The original exhibits the plural, as does the translation which Professor Wilson here annotates.

Arjuna-trees felled.* This is the boy who trampled and danced on the serpent Káliya;† who upheld the mountain Govardhana for seven nights;; who killed, as if in play, the iniquitous Arishta, \$ Dhenuka, || and Keśin.¶ This, whom we see, is Achyuta.** This is he who has been foretold by the wise, skilled in the sense of the Puráńas, as Gopála, who shall exalt the depressed Yádava race. This is a portion of the all-existing,†† all-generating Vishńu, descended upon earth,

to the commentators; गृहोपरि गृहं यत्तद्वतमागारं तत्रभवाः
* * भेतागृहाः; an arrangement very compatible with the form of Indian houses, which have flat roofs, commonly enclosed by a trellis-work or jalousie of masonry. §§ It is observable, that, in the Visháu Puráña, and in the Mahábhárata, on various public occasions, the women take their places on the platforms, or in the pavilions, without curtains or screens.

सन्धमेव जयत

त्रयं चास्य महाबाज्जर्बनभद्रोऽयजोऽयतः। प्रयाति नीनया योषिन्यनोनयननन्दनः॥

Both the commentators recognize these verses; and Ratnagarbha expounds them.

^{*} See Vol. IV., pp. 279 and 281.

[†] Ibid., p. 291.

[;] Ibid., pp. 315, 316.

[§] Ibid., pp. 333, 334.

^{||} Ibid., pp. 297, 298.

[¶] *Ibid.*, p. 340.

^{**} Here the original, according to all my MSS., &c., has the following stanza, unrendered by the Translator, as in his Hindu-made version:

^{††} Sarva-bhúta. Ratnagarbha explains it by sarvátman.

^{‡‡} The words quoted are Nilakańtha's. Arjuna Miśra has something different.

^{§§} Professor Wilson's translation of the two passages quoted in pp. 25, 26, and pp. 27, 28, supra, and his notes on the same, have suggested numerous remarks which I have withheld; the subject of this episodical matter not being one with which the Vishhu-puraha is very intimately connected.

who, will, assuredly, lighten her load." Thus did the citizens describe Ráma and Kŕishňa, as soon as they appeared; whilst the breast of Devakí glowed with maternal affection; * and Vasudeva, forgetting his infirmities, felt himself young (again), on beholding the countenances of his sons as a season of rejoicing. The women of the palace, and the wives of the citizens, wide opened their eyes, and gazed intently upon Krishna. † "Look, friends," said they to their companions; "look at the face of Krishna. His eyes are reddened by his conflict with the elephant; and the drops of perspiration stand upon his cheeks, outvying a full-blown lotos in autumn, studded with glittering dew.: Avail yourself, now, of the faculty of vision. Observe his breast, - the seat of splendour, marked with the mystic sign, § - and his arms, menacing destruction to his foes. Do you not notice Balabhadra, dressed in a blue garment,—his countenance as fair as the jasmine, as the moon, as the fibres of the lotosstem? See how he gently smiles at the gestures of Mushtika and Chánúra, as they spring up. And now behold Hari advance to encounter Chánúra. What! Are there no elders, judges of the field? How can the delicate form of Hari, - only yet in the dawn of

ं उरस्तताप देवकाः स्नेहस्नुतपयोधरम्।

Here we have an expression of the idea, that, when a woman is deeply moved in her maternal feelings, she experiences a secretion of milk.

[🕆] द्रष्टुं न विर्राम तम्।

[†] This sentence is somewhat interpolated, and otherwise freely rendered. Avasyaya, as appears from the context, here means, as the commentators interpret it, 'hoar-frost,' rather than "dew".

[§] To render śrivatsa. See Vol. IV., p. 268.

Yukta-kárin.

adolescence,—be regarded as a match for the vast and adamantine bulk of the great demon?* Two youths, of light and elegant persons, are in the arena, to oppose athletic fiends, headed by the cruel Chánúra.† This is a great sin: in the judges of the games, for the umpires to suffer a contest between boys and strong men."

As thus the women of the city conversed (with one another), Hari, having tightened his girdle, danced in the ring, shaking the ground (on which he trod). Balabhadra, also, danced, slapping his arms in defiance. Where the ground was firm, the invincible Krishna contended, foot to foot, with Chánúra. The practised demon Mushtika was opposed by Balabhadra. Mutually entwining, and pushing, and pulling, and beating each other with fists, arms, and elbows, pressing each other with their knees, interlacing their arms, kicking with their feet, pressing with their whole weight upon one another, 1 fought Hari and Chánúra. Desperate

सन्यमव जयन

The terms here used are technical, and refer to the established modes of wrestling amongst Hindu athletæ. § 1. Samnipáta (संनिपात) is described "mutual laying hold of." || 2. Avadhúta (स्वधूत), "letting go of the adversary." 3. Kshepana (चिपण) "pulling to and casting back." 4. Mushti-nipáta (स्ष्टि-

^{*} क्व वज्रकठिनाभोगिश्वरीरोऽयं महासुरः।

[†] इमी सुललिती एक्ने वर्तेते नवयीवनी। देतेयमझासास्राम्सास्वितदारुगाः॥

[†] Vyatikrama.

[§] The following definitions are taken from the two commentaries.

[ा] परस्परं संह्रेष:। Śrídhara. 'Mutual onset', परस्परं संघर्ष:। Ratna-garbha.

was the struggle, though without weapons, and one for life and death, to the great gratification of the

निपात), * "striking with fists." 5. Kila-nipátana (कीजनिपातन), "striking with the elbow." 6. Vajra-nipátana (वज्रनिपातन), "striking with the fore-arm." † 7. Jánu-nirgháta (जानुनिर्धात), "pressing or striking with the knees." 8. Báhu-vighattana (बाज्ज-विघटुन), § "interlacing the arms." 9. Pádoddhúta (पादोज्जत), "kicking." 10. Prasřishtá¶ (प्रसृष्टा), "intertwining of the whole body." In some copies, ** another term occurs, Aśma-nirgháta (श्रमनिर्धात), "striking with stones," or "striking blows as hard as with stones;" for stones could scarcely be used in a

जानुभियारमनिर्घातैसया बाङविघट्टितैः।

Some excellent copies give, instead of ऋग्रमनिर्धातै:, ऋग्रमभि-

Professor Wilson, when he accepted, in his translation, the seventh and eighth technicalities, should have accepted asma-nirgháta, also, which cannot be divorced from the other two, since it stands, in the original, between them.

^{*} The original has no such term, but मृष्टिभि:, "with the fists."

[†] Aratni-dwaya. Aratni never, I believe, signifies "fore-arm"; and the definition of the fifth technicality shows that it cannot bear the import of 'elbow', its more ordinary signification, when it does not denominate a measure. Again, as it does not here mean 'fist',—one of its senses,—it seems likely that it must denote the ulnar side of the hand. So, indeed, the term is explained by Arjuna Misra, where he comments on the Mahabharata, Vana-parvan, &l. 15781. His words are: अर्तिना।

[‡] The Sanskrit has जानुभि:, simply. See note **, below.

[§] I find a different reading. See note **, below. The Translator's definition, which is conjectural, is little likely to be correct.

[।] पद्मामृत्चेपणम् । परस्परं पादञ्चतोडूननम् । Ratnagarbha.

[¶] Variant: prasiishta.

^{**} The subjoined verse is rejected, without remark, by Ratnagarbha, and is absent from my two old copies of the mere text, but occurs, though uncommented, in Śridhara's text, and in several of my MSS.:

spectators.* In proportion as the contest continued, so Chánúra was gradually losing something of his original vigour,† and the wreath upon his head trembled from his fury and distress;¹ whilst the world-comprehending: Kŕishňa wrestled with him as if but in sport. Beholding Chánúra losing, and Kŕishňa gaining, strength, Kańsa, furious with rage, commanded the music to cease. As soon as the drums and trumpets were silenced, a numerous band of heavenly instruments was heard in the sky;§ and the gods invisibly exclaimed: "Victory to Govinda! Keśava, kill the demon Chánúra!" Madhusúdana, having, for a long time, dallied with his adversary, at last lifted him up,

contest specified as "one without weapons" | (ऋग्स्तं * * युद्धम्). ¶

¹ Křishňa contended with Chánúra, "who, through distress and anger, shook the flowers of his crest:"

खेदाचालयता कोपान्निजग्रेखरकेसरम्।

The two last terms are explained: "the flower of the wreath on his head:" खग्नीषापीडमतं प्रथम । **

- * त्रशस्त्रमितघोरं तत्तयोर्युतं सुद्रार्णम् । बनप्राणविनिष्पायं समाजोत्सवसंनिधौ॥
- † प्राणहानिमवापाग्यां तावत्तावस्रवास्वम्।
- ‡ Jagan-maya.

मृदङ्गादिषु तूर्येषु प्रतिषिज्ञेषु तत्वणात् । खे संगतान्यवाद्यन्तदेवतूर्याखनेक्यः ॥

As to the use of stones in the combat, which is designated as asastra, we must suppose,—if the verse quoted above has not been foisted into the text,—that the term sastra, embodied in this epithet, is employed technically, so as to comprehend those rude and ready missiles.

[¶] See note *, above.

^{**} Ratnagarbha.

and whirled him round, with the intention of putting an end to him. Having whirled Chánúra round a hundred times, until his breath was expended in the air, Krishna* dashed him on the ground, with such violence as to smash his body into a hundred fragments, and strew the earth with a hundred pools of gory mire. † Whilst this took place, the mighty Baladeva was engaged, in the same manner, with the demon bruiser,: Mushtika. Striking him on the head with his fists, and on the breast with his knees, he stretched him on the ground, and pummelled him there, till he was dead. Again, Krishna encountered the royal bruiser § Tosalaka, || and felled him to the earth with a blow of his left hand. When the other athlete ¶

† भूमावास्फोटितसेन चासूरः ग्रतथा व्रजन्। रक्तस्रावमहापङ्कां चकार ग्रतथा भुवम्॥

- ‡ Malla.
- § Malla-rája, "prince of mallas". For malla, see note ¶, below.
- || Corrected from "Tomalaka". Professor Wilson's MS. may have shown a broken A. Most copies yield Tośalaka, the reading, apparently, accepted by Ratnagarbha, and that of the Bhágavata-puráña, which has, likewise, the short form, Tośala. In very good MSS. of the Harivainsa I find Toshala and Toshalaka. Professor Wilson's Bengal translation has Salaka.
- ¶ Malla; which Professor Wilson renders, indifferently, by "athlete", "boxer", and "bruiser". The last two terms are inadequate, while the first is inadequative, as being much too wide for the occasion. 'Pancratiast', in an accommodated acceptation, may answer to represent malla; for the pancratiast fought nude, whereas it appears that his Hindu analogue contended clothed.

The fighting of Hari, Chánúra, and Baladeva clearly evinces, that the malla-yuddha corresponded to the classical παγχυάτιον or παμμάχιον,—not, indeed, the regular agonism, so much as the lawless description that was practised at Sparta,—the characteristic of which was a combination of boxing and wrestling.

^{*} Called, in the original, not by his name, but by the epithet amitrajit.

saw Chánúra, Mushtika, and Tosalaka killed, they fled (from the field); and Krishna and Sankarshana danced, victorious,* on the arena, dragging along with them, by force, the cowherds of their own age. Kamsa, his eyes reddening with wrath, called aloud to the surrounding people: "Drive those two cowboys out of the assembly: seize the villain Nanda; and secure him with chains of iron: put Vasudeva to death with tortures intolerable to his years: and lay hands upon † the cattle, and whatever else belongs to those cowherds who are the associates of Krishna."

Upon hearing these orders, the destroyer of Madhu laughed at Kamsa, and, springing up to the place

Nilakańtha, commenting on the Mahábhárata, Vana-parvan, śl. 15779, quotes from some Niti-śástra, as follows:

वामपाणिकचोत्पीडा भूमी निष्पेषणं बलात्।
मूर्भि पादप्रहर्णं जानुनोद्रमर्दनम्॥
मालूराकार्या मुख्या कपोले दृहताडनम्।
कफोणिपातोऽ यसक्रत्सर्वतस्त्वताडनम्।
तालेन युद्धे आमणं मार्णं स्कृतमष्ट्रधा॥
चतुर्भिः चित्रयं हन्यात्पञ्चभिः चित्रयाधमम्।
षड्जिवैंग्रं सप्तभिस्तु यूद्धं संकरमष्टभिः॥

It appears, from this, that the Hindu pancratium recognized eight modes of procedure: tearing out the hair, felling to the ground, kicking on the head, punching the belly with the knee, pommelling the cheeks with clenched fists, elbowing, slapping, and whirling round. Four of these modes of annoyance, counted from the first, are reckoned legitimate as against an ordinary Kshattriya; five, as against a reprobate or titular Kshattriya; six, as against a Vaiśya; seven, as against a Súdra; and all eight, as against an adversary of mixed extraction.

Our modern fancy have nothing to learn, on the score of humanity, from their Aryan predecessors of the ring, any more than from the roughs of Sparta, among whom even biting and scratching were not accounted foul play.

^{*} Harshita, 'delighted.'

[†] Insert 'the towns', pur.

where he was seated, laid hold of him by the hair of his head, and struck his tiara* to the ground. Then, casting him down upon the earth, Govinda threw himself upon him. Crushed by the weight of the upholder of the universe, the son of Ugrasena (Kańsa), the king, gave up the ghost. Kŕishńa† then dragged the dead body, by the hair of the head, into the centre of the arena; and a deep furrow was made by the vast and heavy carcass of Kańsa, when it was dragged along the ground by Kŕishńa, as if a torrent of water had run through it.¹ Seeing Kańsa thus treated, his brother Sunáman‡ came (to his succour): but he was encountered, and easily killed, by Balabhadra. Then arose a general cry of grief from the surrounding

t Et latus mediam sulcus diducit arenam. §

The yielding sand being furrowed into a ditch, or a water-course, by the dead bodies being dragged over it.

The text is:

गौरवेणातिमहता परिखा तेन क्रष्यता। क्रता वंसस्य देहेन वेगेनेव महास्रसः॥॥

सुमालिनामा बलभद्रेण लीलयैव निपातितः।

Professor Wilson's Hindu-made version has Sumali.

By striking out the syllables - ATTe, the verse is restored to its proper form. But the Translator, in due adherence to his copy, should have given the name as Sumálináman.

For Sunáman, see Vol. IV., p. 98.

^{*} Kirita.

⁺ Madhusúdana, in the original.

[‡] Corrected from "Sumalin". This mistake is easily accounted for. In Professor Wilson's favourite MS., which is carelessly transcribed, and has few of the copyist's inadvertencies corrected, we read:

[§] This looks as if intended for Juvenal., Sat. I., 157:

Et latum media sulcum diducit arena.

^{||} Literally: "By the trailing body of Kamsa, with its prodigious weight, a channel was made, as by the velocity of a great stream."

circle, as they beheld the king of Mathurá* (thus) slain, (and treated) with (such) contumely, by Krishna. Krishna, accompanied by Balabhadra, embraced the feet of Vasudeva and of Devakí: but Vasudeva raised him up; † and, he and Devakí recalling to recollection what he had said to them at his birth, they bowed to Janárdana; and the former thus addressed him: "Have compassion upon mortals, O god, benefactor, and lord of deities. It is by thy favour to us two, that thou hast become the (present) upholder (of the world). That, for the punishment of the rebellious, § thou hast descended (upon earth,) in my house, having been propitiated (by my prayers), sanctifies our race. Thou art the heart | of all creatures; thou abidest in all creatures; and all that has been, or will be, emanates from thee, O universal spirit. Thou, Achyuta, who comprehendest all the gods, art eternally worshipped with sacrifices: thou art sacrifice itself, and the offerer of sacrifices. The affection that inspires my heart, and the heart of Devakí, towards thee, as if thou wast our child, is, indeed, but error and a great delusion.** How shall the tongue of a mortal such as I am call the creator of all things, who is without beginning or

^{*} See Vol. IV., p. 338.

[†] The original makes both the father and mother show him this honour: उत्थाप वसुदेवसं देवती च जनार्दनम्।

⁺ Varada.

[§] Durvritta.

[|] Anta.

[🎙] प्रवर्तेते समसातांस्त्वत्तो भूतभविष्यती।

^{**} सापह्रवं मम मनी यदेतत्त्वयि जायते। देवकाश्वात्मजप्रीत्या तदत्वनविडम्बना॥

end, son? Is it consistent that the lord of the world, from whom the world proceeds, should be born of me, except through illusion?* How should he, in whom all fixed and moveable things are contained, be conceived in the womb, and born of a mortal being? Have compassion, therefore, indeed, O supreme lord, and, in thy descended portions, protect the universe. Thou art no son of mine. This whole world, from Brahmá to a tree, thou art. Wherefore dost thou, who art one with the Supreme, beguile us? Blinded by delusion, I thought thee my son; and for thee, who art beyond all fear, I dreaded the anger of Kainsa; and, therefore, did I take thee, in my terror, to Gokula, where thou hast grown up. But I no longer claim thee as mine own. § Thou, Vishńu, - the sovereign lord of all, whose actions Rudra, the Maruts, the Aświns, Indra, I and the gods cannot equal, although they behold them; thou, who hast come amongst us, for the benefit of the world,—art recognized; and delusion is no more."

^{*} जगदेतज्जगद्वाथ संभूतमिखलं यतः। कया युक्त्या विना मायां सोऽस्नित्तः संभविष्यति॥

[ं] स कोष्ठोत्सङ्गण्यनो मनुष्याज्जायते कथम्।

[‡] ग्राब्रह्मपादपमयं जगदेतत् । See Vol. III., p. 202, note ||.

[§] This sentence is not rendered very literally.

This phrase is to represent isa.

[¶] The original has Śatakratu. See Vol. I., p. 150.

CHAPTER XXI.

Krishna encourages his parents; places Ugrasena on the throne; becomes the pupil of Sandipani, whose son he recovers from the sea: he kills the marine demon Panchajana, and makes a horn of his shell.

HAVING permitted to Devakí and Vasudeva (an interval of) true knowledge, through the contemplation of his actions, Hari again spread the delusions of his power* over (them and) the tribe of Yadu. He said to them: "Mother; venerable father; you have, both, been long observed, by Sankarshana and myself, with sorrow, and in fear of Kamsa. He whose time passes not in respect to his father and mother is a vile being, who descends, in vain, from virtuous parents. † The lives of those produce good fruit who reverence their parents, their spiritual guides, the Brahmans, and the gods. Pardon, therefore, father, the impropriety of which we may have been culpable, in resenting, without your orders,—to which we acknowledge that we are subject,—the oppression we suffered from the power and violence of Kamsa.": Thus speaking, they offered homage to the elders of the Yadu tribe, in order, and, then, in a suitable manner, paid their respects to the citizens. The wives of Kamsa, and those of his father, §

^{*} मायां * * वैष्णवीम्।

[†] कुर्वतां याति यः कालो मातापित्रोरपूजनम् । तत्खण्डमायुषो व्यर्थं साधृनामुपजायते॥

[†] This sentence is much expanded in translation.

^{\$} मात्राख, "and his — Kamsa's — mothers," i. e., it seems, his mother and his mother-in-law.

then surrounded the body of the king, lying on the ground, and bewailed his fate, in deep affliction. Hari, in various ways, expressed his regret (for what had chanced), and endeavoured to console them, his own eyes being suffused with tears. The foe of Madhu then liberated Ugrasena from confinement, and placed him on the throne, which the death of his son had left vacant.* The chief of the Yádavas, † being crowned, performed the funeral rites of Kamsa, and of the rest of the slain. When the ceremony was over, and Ugrasena had resumed his royal seat, Krishna: (addressed him,) and said: "Sovereign lord, command, boldly, what else is to be done. § The curse of Yayati has pronounced our race unworthy of dominion; but, with me for your servant, you may issue your orders to the gods. How should kings disobey them?"

Thus having spoken, the human ¶Keśava summoned, mentally, the deity of the wind,—who came upon the instant,—and said to him: "Go, Váyu, to Indra, and desire him** to lay aside his pomp,†† and resign to

¹ The curse pronounced on the elder sons of Yayáti, on their refusing to take upon them their father's infirmities. See Vol. IV., p. 48.

[📑] त्रभाषिञ्चत्तरीवैनं निजराज्ये हतात्मजम् ।

⁺ Yadu-simha.

[‡] Hari, in the original.

उवाचाज्ञापय विभो यत्कार्यमविश्वक्कितः।
 मिय भृष्टे स्थिते देवानाज्ञापयतु किं नृषैः।

[¶] कार्यमानुषः।

^{**} Vasava, in the original.

^{†† &#}x27;Pride', rather, -garva; namely, says Retuagarbha, at the recollection of Krishna's having lifted up Mount Govardhana.

Ugrasena his (splendid) hall, Sudharman.* Tell him that Krishna commands him to send the royal hall, the unrivalled gem of princely courts, for the assemblage of the race of Yadu." + Accordingly, Váyu: went, and delivered the message to the husband of Sachí, § who (immediately) gave up to him the hall Sudharman; and Váyu conveyed it to the Yádavas, the chiefs of whom, thenceforth, possessed this celestial court, emblazoned with jewels, and defended by the arm of Govinda. The two excellent Yadu youths, versed in all knowledge, and possessed of all wisdom,** then submitted to instruction, as the disciples of teachers. Accordingly, they repaired to Sándípaniwho, though born in Kásí, ++ resided at Avantí, #- to study (the science of) arms, and, becoming his pupils, were obedient and attentive to their master; exhibiting

† क्रणो व्रवीति राजाईमेतद्रलमनुत्तमम् । सुधमीख्या सभा युक्तमस्यां यद्भिरासितुम् ॥

† The Sanskrit has Pavana.

वायुनोपहतां दिव्यां सभां ते यदुपुङ्गवाः । वुभुजः सर्वरत्नाद्धां गोविन्दभुजसंत्रयात् ॥

The ordinary reading, and that accepted by Ratnagarbha, ends the second line with -संश्रया:।

^{*} Or the name may be read Sudharmá. Both forms are authorized.

[§] In the original, Sachipati, an epithetical designation of Indra. See Vol. II., p. 72, note 2.

Here the original calls Indra by his appellation Purandara.

^{**} Sarva-jnána-maya.

^{††} Kásya, "of the Kási tribe." See my Benares, &c., p. 9, note 1; also, Vol. IV., p. 345, supplement to p. 159, note ††. But the Translator has the support of both the commentators. Kásya is the word used in the corresponding passage of the Bhágavata-purána, viz., X., Prior Section, XLV., 31; but Śrídhara offers no explanation of it.

^{‡‡} The city so called. The Sanskrit has Avantipura. See Vol. III., p. 246. I have corrected "Avanti".

an example, to all men, of the observance of instituted rules.* In the course of sixty-four days,† they had gone through the elements of military science, with the treatises on the use of arms, and directions for the mystic incantations, which secure the aid of supernatural weapons.¹: Sándípani, astonished at such proficiency, and knowing that it exceeded human faculties, imagined that the Sun and Moon had become his scholars. When they had acquired all that he could teach, they said to him: "Now say what present shall be given to you, as the preceptor's fee." § The prudent Sándípani, perceiving that they were endowed with more than mortal powers, requested them to give him his dead son, (drowned) in the sea of Prabhása.²

¹ They read through the Dhanur-veda, || which treats of military matters; with the Rahasya, 'the mystical part,' ¶ and the Sangraha, 'collection' or 'compendium',—said to be, here, the Astra-prayoga, ** 'the employment of weapons.'

² Prabhása is a place of pilgrimage in the west of India, on the coast of Gujerat, near the temple of Somanátha, and town of Puttun Somnath. It is also known by the name of Somatírtha; Soma, or the Moon, having been here cared of the con-

^{• &}quot;An example of the observance of instituted rules" is to translate áchára. See Vol. III., p. 107, note ‡.

[†] Ahorátra, 'nycthemera.' The term, apparently, is chosen with a view to imply diligent studentship.

^{*} These three expansions are to represent the expressions specified in note 1 in this page.

[§] Guru-dakshina.

See Vol. III., p. 67.

The mantrus and Upanishads, the commentators allege.

^{**} Śridhara and Ratnagarbha.

Taking up their arms, they marched against the Ocean. But the all-comprehending Sea said to them: "I have not killed the son of Sándípani.* A demon† named Panchajana,‡ (who lives) in the form of a conch-shell, seized the boy. He is still under my waters.§ On hearing this, Krishna plunged into the sea; and, having slain the vile Panchajana, he took the conch-shell,—which was formed of his bones, (and bore it as his horn),—the sound of which fills the demon-hosts with dismay, animates the vigour of the gods, and annihilates unrighteousness. || The heroes also recovered the boy from the pains of death, and restored him, in his former person, to his father. Ráma and Janárdana

sumption brought upon him by the imprecation of Daksha, his father-in-law. Mahábhárata, Śalya Parvan; Vol. III., p. 249.

* गृहीतास्त्री ततस्ती तु सार्घपाची महोद्धिः। उवाच न मया पुत्रो हृतः सान्हीपनेरिति॥

Various MSS. have, instead of सार्चणाच:, सार्धहस्तः, पाद्यणाच:, जनेनार्त:, and भयेनार्त:। It is impossible to say what reading the Translator accorded the preference to.

- † Daitya.
- For his origin, see Vol. II., p. 69, note ||.
- § The Sea here addresses Krishna as asura-súdana, "slayer of demons."
- || Professor Wilson has here omitted to translate a stanza, of some little importance, if only by way of connecting what precedes with what follows. It is recognized by both the commentators, and runs thus:

तं पाञ्चजन्यमापूर्य गला यमपुरी हरिः। बलदेवस बलवास्त्रिता वैवस्वतं यमम्॥

We learn, from this, that Hari blew Panchajanya,—the name of his newly acquired shell,—and proceeded to the city of Yama; and that Baladeva conquered Yama, son of Vivaswat.

For the origin of Yama, see Vol. III., p. 20; for the situation of Yama's city, Vol. II., p. 239.

then returned to Mathurá, which was well presided over * by Ugrasena, and abounded in a happy population, both of men and women.¹



¹ The incidents of the two last chapters are related in the Bhágavata and Hari Vamáa,—often in the words of the text, but with many embellishments and additions, especially in the latter. The Brahma Vaivarta, on the other hand, makes still shorter work of these occurrences than our text.

^{*} Pálita.

CHAPTER XXII.

Jarásandha besieges Mathurá; is defeated, but repeatedly renews the attack.

PARÁŚARA.—The mighty Kamsa had married the two daughters of Jarásandha,* one named Asti, the other, Prápti.† Jarásandha was king of Magadha, and a very powerful prince;¹ who, when he heard that Krishna & had killed his son-in-law, was much incensed, and, collecting a large force, marched against Mathurá, determined to put the Yádavas and Krishna to the sword. Accordingly, he invested the city with three and twenty numerous divisions of his forces.² Ráma and Janárdana sallied from the town, with a slender, but resolute, force, and fought bravely with the armies

¹ See Vol. IV., pp. 150, 151.

² With twenty-three Akshauhińís, each consisting of 109,350 ¶ infantry, 65,610 horse, 21,870 chariots, and as many elephants. ** The Hari Vaṁśa †† enumerates, as the allies, or tributaries, of Jarásandha, a number of princes from various parts of India. But this is a gratuitous embellishment.

^{*} See Vol. IV., pp. 150 and 173; also, note in p. 344.

[†] Corrected from "Asti" and "Prapti".

[†] Corrected, here and everywhere, from "Magadha". See Vol. IV., p. 151, note ‡.

[§] Hari, in the original.

^{||} This sentence is rendered with great looseness.

[¶] Corrected from "109,300".

^{**} So say the commentators on the Amara-kośa, II., VIII., II., 49. For a venerable statement of the component parts of an akshauhini, see the Mahabharata, Adi-parvan, śl. 292—296.

^{††} Śl. 5013—5022.

of Magadha.* The two youthful leaders prudently resolved to have recourse to their ancient weapons; and, accordingly, the bow of Hari, with two quivers filled with exhaustless† arrows, and the mace: called Kaumodakí, § and the ploughshare || of Balabhadra, as well as the club ¶ Saunanda, descended, at a wish, from heaven. Armed with these weapons, they (speedily) discomfited the king of Magadha and his hosts, and reentered the city, (in triumph).

Although the wicked (king of Magadha,) Jarásandha was defeated, yet Kŕishňa knew, that, whilst he escaped alive, he was not subdued; and, in fact, he soon returned, with a (mighty) force, and was again forced, by Ráma and Kŕishňa, to fly. Eighteen times¹ did the haughty prince of Magadha** renew his attack

* निष्कम्याच्यपरीवारावुमौ रामजनार्द्नौ। युगुधाते समं तस्य विसनी विसिनिकः॥

¹ The Bhágavata†† and Hari Vamsa‡‡ say 'seventeen times.' The latter indulges in a prolix description of the first encounter, nothing of which occurs in the Bhágavata, any more than in our text.

[†] Akshaya, 'indestructible.'

[:] Gadá.

[§] A present from Varuńa, according to the *Mahábhárata*, *Ádi-parvan*, &1. 8200. It takes its name from Kumodaka, an epithetical appellation of Kŕishńa.

^{||} Hala, which means, ordinarily, 'plough.' For its synonym, sira, synecdochically used in the same way as in the text, see Vol. III., p. 332, note \P .

[¶] Musala; a weapon shaped like a pestle, it should seem.

^{**} To render मागधी राजा।

^{††} X., Latter Section, I., 44.

^{##} Sl. 5126.

upon the Yádavas, headed by Krishńa, and was as often defeated and put to the rout, by them, with very inferior numbers. That the Yádavas were not overpowered by their foes was owing to the present might of the portion of the discus-armed Vishnu.* It was the pastime + of the lord of the universe, in his capacity of man, to launch various; weapons against his enemies: (for) what effort of power to annihilate his foes could be necessary to him whose flat creates and destroys the world? But, as subjecting himself to human customs, he formed alliances with the brave, and engaged in hostilities with the base. § He had recourse to the four devices of policy,-or, negotiation, presents, sowing dissension, and chastisement, |-and, sometimes, even betook himself to flight. Thus, imitating the conduct of human beings, the lord of the world pursued, at will, his sports. ¶

यद्वलं याद्वानां तैर्जितं यद्नेकगः। तत्तु संनिधिमाहात्म्यं विष्णोरंग्रस्य चिक्रणः॥

† Lilá. See Vol. IV., p. 325, note §.

‡ Aneka-rúpa.

इ तथापि यो मनुष्याणां धर्मस्तमनुवर्तते। सुर्वन्वस्वता संधिं हीनैर्युद्धं करोत्यसी॥

Ratnagarbha reads the first verse as follows:

तथा ये ये मनुष्याणां धमीस्तदनुवर्तनम् ।

Other variants, of little importance, might be added from my MSS. unaccompanied by commentary.

|| Sáman, upapradána, bheda, and danda-páta. See, further, the Amara-kośa, II., VIII., I., 20.

मनुष्यदेहिनां चेष्टामित्येवमनुवर्ततः ।
 नीना जगत्पतेन्तस्य च्छन्दतः संप्रवर्तते ॥

CHAPTER XXIII.

Birth of Kálayavana: he advances against Mathurá. Kŕishúa builds Dwáraká, and sends thither the Yádava tribe: he leads Kálayavana into the cave of Muchukunda: the latter awakes, consumes the Yavana king, and praises Kŕishúa.

PARÁŚARA.—Śyála* having called Garga,† the Brahman, whilst at the cow-pens, impotent, in an assembly of the Yádavas,: they all laughed; at which he was highly offended, and repaired to the shores \$ of the western sea, where he engaged in arduous penance, to obtain a son, who should be a terror to the tribe of Yadu. Propitiating Mahádeva, and living upon iron-sand** for twelve years, the deity†† (at last) was pleased with him, and gave him the (desired) boon. The king of the Yavanas, who was childless,

^{*} On the credit of both the commentators, we here have no proper name, but the word for brother-in-law, syála. According to Ratnagarbha, Śiśiráyańa is thus alluded to, and proleptically; for Garga was still to marry his sister, Gauri.

[†] Corrected from "Gargya", with the suffrage of several MSS. Probably the Garga is meant who—as we read in the Bhágavata-purána, X., Prior Section, Chapter XLV.,—served as instructor to Krishna and Balarama. See Vol. IV., p. 279. But Gargya seems to be the reading of the Harvansa, &l. 1957—1959.

Two Gargas, then, are named in the present Chapter. See p. 58, infra.

[ः] यदूनां संनिधी।

[§] There is no word for this in the Sanskrit.

^{||} Instead of dakshińábdhi, the reading of Śridhara, most MSS. have dakshińápatha, 'the south', the lection preferred by Ratnagarbha.

[¶] सुतमिच्छंसपसेपे यद्चक्रभयावहम्।

^{**} Ayaś-chúrńa; 'iron-filings', more likely.

^{††} Hara, in the original.

became the friend of Garga; * and the latter begot a son, by his wife, who was as (black as) a bee, and was, thence, called Kálayavana. The Yavana king, having placed his son, whose breast was as hard as the point of the thunderbolt, upon the throne, retired to the woods. Inflated with conceit of his prowess, Kálayavana demanded of Nárada who were the most mighty heroes on earth. To which the sage answered "The Yádavas." Accordingly, Kálayavana assembled many myriads of Mlechchhas and barbarians, † and, with a

The original, it will be observed, does not here name Garga, which I have corrected from "Gargya".

† स्नेक्क्कोटीसहस्राणां सहस्रेर्वक्रभिर्वृतः।

मुकं च नरकं चैव शास्ति यो यवनाधियः। अपर्यन्तवलो राजा प्रतीच्यां वक्षो यथा॥

¹ This legend of the origin of Kálayavana is given, also, by the Hari Vamśa.‡ The Bhágavata, like our text, comes, at once, to the siege of Mathurá by this chief; but the Hari Vamśa suspends the story, for more than thirty chapters, to narrate an origin of the Yádavas, and sundry adventures of Krishna and Ráma to the south-west. Most of these have no other authority, and are, no doubt, inventions of the Dakhní compiler; and the others are misplaced.

² So the Bhágavata describes him, § as leading a host of Mlechchhas (or barbarians) against Kŕishńa; but, in the Mahábhárata,—Sabhá Parvan, Vol. I., p. 330,—where Kŕishńa describes the power of Jarásandha, he admits that he and the Yádavas fled from Mathurá to the west, through fear of that king; but no account is given of any siege of Mathurá by Kálayavana. The only indication of such a person is the mention, || that Bhagadatta,

^{*} स भाजयामास च तं यवनेशो ह्यनाताजः।

t Chapter CXV.

[§] It calls him Yavana the Asura.

^{|| \$1. 578, 579:}

vast armament of elephants, cavalry, and foot, advanced, impatiently, against Mathurá and the Yádavas;

the Yavana king, who rules over Muru* and Naraka, in the west and south, is one of his most attached feudatories. This king is, in various other places, called king of Prágjyotisha; as he is in a subsequent passage of the same book,—Sabhá Parvau, p. 374;† and this name is always applied to the the west of Assam.‡ His subjects are, however, still Yavanas and Mlechchhas; and he presents horses, caps set with jewels, and swords with ivory hilts,—articles scarcely to be found in Assam, which cannot well be the seat of his sovereignty. It seems most likely, therefore, that the story may have originated in some knowledge of the power and position of the Greek-Bactrian princes, or their Scythian successors; although, in the latter compilations, it has been mixed up with allusions to the first Mohammedan aggressions. See Asiatic Researches, Vol. VI., p. 506, and Vol. XV., p. 100.

भगदत्तो महाराज वृज्ञस्तव पितुः सखा। स वाचा प्रणतस्त्रस्य कर्मणा च विशेषतः॥

Here, Bhagadatta—with the epithet aparyanta-bala, 'of boundless might',—is said to be paramount over Muru and Naraka, in like manner as Varuna rules the west. The direction of Bhagadatta's kingdom is not assigned.

M. Fauche's translation of this passage, while correcting Professor Wilson's view of its meaning, as to some points, turns an epithet into a king, and gives him what belongs to Bhagadatta:

"De lui relève encore le roi Aparyantabala, souverain des Yavanas, qui règne sur le Mourou et le Naraka, comme Varouna sur la plage occidentale;

"Et Bhagadatta, le vieil ami de ton père, Bhagadatta, qui se courbe plus que toute autre, puissant roi, devant sa parole et son geste."

For the position of Varuna's city, see Vol. II., p. 239.

* It has more than once been conjectured that we should read Maru, in this place. But Muru and Naraka, I take it, are, here, not names of countries,—as is generally supposed,—but two demons, so called, at last slain by Krishna. See Chapter XXIX. of this Book.

+ Śl. 1836.

‡ I. e., to the ancient Kamarupa; for which see Vol. II., p. 132, and p. 133, note 1.

wearying, every day, the animal that carried him, but insensible of fatigue, himself.

When Krishna knew of his approach, he reflected, that, if the Yádavas encountered the Yavana, they would be so much weakened by the conflict, that they would then be overcome by the king of Magadha;* that their force was much reduced by the war with Magadha, † whilst that of Kálavavana was unbroken: and that the enemy might be, therefore, victorious.: Thus, the Yádavas were exposed to a double danger. § He resolved, therefore, to construct a citadel, for the Yadu tribe, that should not be easily taken,—one that even women might defend, and in which, therefore, the heroes of the house of Vrishnill should be secure: one in which the male combatants of the Yadavas should dread no peril, though he, himself, should be drunk, or careless, asleep, or abroad. Thus reflecting, Krishna¶ solicited a space of twelve furlongs from the ocean; and there he built the city of Dwaraka,1 defended by high ramparts,** and beautified with gardens

* कृष्णोऽपि चिन्तयामास चिततं यादवं बलम्। यवनेन रणे गम्यं मागधस्य भविष्यति।

¹ According to the Mahábhárata, he only enlarged and fortified the ancient city of Kuśasthalí, founded by Raivata. Sabhá Parvan.†† See, also, Vol. III., p. 253, of this work.

[†] Literally, "by the king of the Magadhas," मागधेन।

A free translation; and so is much of what follows.

[§] There is no Sanskrit for this sentence. It is taken from the commentaries.

^{||} Vŕishńi-pungava. For Vŕishńi, see Vol. IV., p. 58.

[¶] The original has Govinda. •• Mahá-vapra.

^{††} Śl. 614. M. Fauche, in his translation, converts Raivata into a mountain.

and reservoirs of water, crowded with houses and buildings,* and splendid as the capital of Indra, Amarávatí.† Thither Janárdana conducted the inhabitants of Mathurá, and then awaited, at that city, the approach of Kálayavana.:

When the hostile army encamped round Mathurá, Krishna, § unarmed, went forth, and beheld the Yavana king. Kálayavana, the strong-armed, recognizing Vásudeva, pursued him,-him whom the thoughts of perfect ascetics | cannot overtake. Thus pursued, Krishna entered a large cavern, where Muchukunda, the king of men, was asleep. The rash I Yavana, entering (the cave), and beholding a man lying asleep there, concluded it must be Krishna, and kicked him; at which Muchukunda awoke, and, casting on him an angry glance, the Yavana was instantly consumed, and reduced to ashes.** For, in a battle between the gods and demons, Muchukunda had, formerly, contributed to the defeat of the latter; and, being overcome with sleep, he solicited of the gods, as a boon, that he should enjoy a long repose. "Sleep long, and soundly," ++ said the gods; "and whoever disturbs you shall be instantly burnt to ashes by fire emanating from your body."1

¹ The name of Muchukunda, as one of the sons of Mandhatri, ;;

[•] Prákára; 'strong buildings', Ratnagarbha says.

[†] See Vol. II., p. 240, text and notes.

[💠] त्रासन्ने कालयवने मथुरां च खयं ययौ।

[§] The Sanskrit has Govinda. || Mahá-yogin.

[¶] Sudurmati.

[&]quot; दृष्टमात्रसु तेनासी जञ्जास यवनोऽपिना। तत्कोधजेन मैत्रेय भस्नीभूतस्र तत्वणात्॥

⁺ These words are interpolated by the Translator.

^{!!} Corrected from "Mańdhatri".

Having burnt up the iniquitous Yavana, and beholding the foe of Madhu, Muchukunda asked him who he was. "I am born," he replied, "in the Lunar race, in the tribe of Yadu, and am the son of Vasudeva." Muchukunda, recollecting the prophecy of old Garga, fell down before the lord of all, Hari, saying: Thou art known, supreme lord, to be a portion of Vishnu;* for it was said, of old, by Garga, + that, at the end of the twenty-eighth Dwápara age, Hari would be born in the family of Yadu. Thou art he, without doubt, the benefactor of mankind; for thy glory I am unable to endure. Thy words are of deeper tone than the muttering of the rain-cloud; and earth sinks down beneath the pressure of thy feet. As, in the battle between the gods and demons, the Asuras were unable to sustain my lustre, so even am I incapable of bearing thy radiance.: Thou alone art the refuge of every

occurs in Vol. III., p. 268; but no further notice is taken of him. The Bhágavata § specifies his being the son of that king, and relates the same story of his long sleep as the text. The same occurs in the Hari Vamsa. || The general character of the legends in this chapter is that of reference to something familiar, rather than its narration. In the Hari Vamsa the opposite extreme is observable; and there the legends are as prolix as here they are concise. The Bhágavata follows a middle course; but it seems unlikely, that, in either of the three, we have the original fables.

^{*} प्राह ज्ञातो भवान्विष्णोरंग्रस्त्वं परमेश्वरः। Instead of विष्णोरंग्नः, some MSS. have विष्णुः पूर्णः।

[†] Probably, the Garga mentioned in Vol. II., p. 213.

[ः] देवासुरे महायुद्धे दैत्यसैन्ये महाभटाः। न भेकुर्मम तत्तेजस्वत्तेजो न सहाम्यहम्॥

[§] X., Latter Section, II.

^{||} Chapter CXV.

living being who has lighted on the world. Do thou, who art the alleviator of all distress, show favour upon me, and remove from me all that is evil.* Thou art the oceans, the mountains, the rivers, the forests; thou art earth, sky, air, water, and fire: thou art mind, intelligence, the unevolved principle, † the vital airs, the lord of life; the soul; all that is beyond the soul; the all-pervading; exempt from the vicissitudes of birth; devoid of (sensible properties,) sound and the like; undecaying, illimitable, imperishable, subject neither to increase nor diminution: § thou art that which is Brahma,—without beginning or end. From thee the immortals, the progenitors, the Yakshas, Gandharvas, and Kimnaras, the Siddhas, the nymphs of heaven, T men, animals, ** birds, reptiles, ++ deer, # and all the vegetable world, proceed; and all that has been, or will be, or is now, moveable or fixed. All that is amorphous, or has form; all that is gross, subtile, moveable, or stable, §§ thou art, O creator of the world; and beside thee there is not anything. O lord, I have been whirl-

ं संप्रसीद् प्रपन्नार्तिहर्ता हर ममाशुभम्।

[†] Avyákřita.

[:] Pums.

[§] Náśa.

^{||} Corrected from "Gandharbhas".

[¶] Apsaras.

^{**} Paśu, which often means 'sacrificial animals'. Compare the title Paśupati; also, the terms paśubandha and nirúdhapaśubandha, Vol. III., p. 40, note §, and p. 113, note †.

^{††} Saristipa; rather "reptiles" than "insects", as it is rendered in Vol. II., p. 92.

^{** &#}x27;Wild beasts', rather; mriga.

^{\$\ \}text{Ratnagarbha has, instead of **\quad \text{qui = i levi, quant new**: and this reading gets rids of two tautologies; for "moveable or fixed" occurs just before.

ed round, in the circle of worldly existence, for ever; and have suffered the three classes of affliction; and there is no rest whatever. I have mistaken pains for pleasures, like sultry vapours: for a pool of water; and their enjoyment has yielded me nothing but sorrow. The earth, dominion, forces, treasures, friends, children, wife, dependants, all the objects of sense, thave I possessed, imagining them to be sources of happiness; but I found, that, in their changeable nature, to lord, they were nothing but vexation. The gods themselves, though (high) in heaven, were in need of my alliance. Where, then, is everlasting repose? Who, without adoring thee,—who art the origin of all worlds,—shall attain, O supreme deity, that rest which endures for ever? Beguiled by thy delusions, and

* These are alluded to in the opening stanza of the Sankhya-karika:

दुः खत्रयाभिघाताज्ञिज्ञासा तद्पधातके हेतौ। दृष्टे सापार्था चेत्रैकान्तात्यन्तोऽभावात्॥

"Because of the disquietude which results from threefold pain, there arises a desire to know the means of doing away therewith effectually. If it be objected, that, visible means to this end being available, such desire is unprofitable, I demur; for that these means do not, entirely and for ever, operate exemption from disquietude."

The three sorts of pain, the adhyatmika, adhibhautika, and adhidaivika, are, in the words of Colebrooke, "evil proceeding from self," "from external beings," and "from divine causes". See his Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 238.

- + Nirvriti.
- * Mŕiga-tŕishná, the mirage.
 - § तथा नाथ गृहीतानि तानि तापाय चाभवन् ।
- || Rashtra.
- ¶ Insert 'adherents', paksha.
- ** भ्रब्दाबा विषयाः।
- †† परिणामे, 'in the end,' 'at last,' 'after all.'

ignorant of thy nature,* men, after suffering the various penalties of birth, death, and infirmity, behold the countenance of the king of ghosts,† and suffer, in hell, dreadful tortures,—the reward of their own deeds. Addicted to sensual objects, through thy delusions I revolve in the whirpool of selfishness and pride; and, hence, I come to thee, as my final refuge, who art the lord deserving of all homage, than whom there is no other asylum;; my mind afflicted with repentance for my trust in the world, and desiring the fulness of felicity, emancipation from all existence." §

- + Preta-raja, an epithet of Yama.
- : पर्मपदं यतो न किंचित्।
- १ निर्वाणे परिणतधानि साभिनाषः ।

This means: "longing for emancipation,—of uncreated glory." So says Ratnagarbha, who also allows the epithet of 'emancipation' to signify "resort of perfect yogins". Sridhara gives the second interpretation only.

The 'emancipation' of the Hindus is not release "from all existence", but from consciousness of pleasure and pain. The distinction is, at all events, good, as a piece of idealism.

[&]quot; ग्रस्वरूपविदस्तव।

CHAPTER XXIV.

Muchukunda goes to perform penance. Krishna takes the army and treasures of Kalayavana, and repairs, with them, to Dwaraka. Balarama visits Vraja: inquiries of its inhabitants after Krishna.

THUS praised by the wise Muchukunda, the sovereign of all things, the eternal lord, Hari, said to him: "Go to whatever celestial regions you wish, lord of men, possessed of might irresistible, honoured by my favour. When you have fully enjoyed all heavenly pleasures, you shall be born in a distinguished family, retaining the recollection of your former births; and you shall, finally, obtain emancipation." Having heard this promise, and prostrated himself before Achyuta, the lord of the world, Muchukunda went forth from the cave, and, beholding men of diminutive stature, now first knew that the Kali age had arrived. The king, therefore, departed to Gandhamádana,: the shrine § of Naranáráyańa, to perform penance.

Kŕishńa, having, by this stratagem, destroyed his

· Upabřimhita.

† भुत्का भोगाबहादियाक्यविषयि महावुंबे। जातिसारो महासादात्ततो मोचमवाप्यसि॥

Probably, the mountain spoken of in Vol. II., p. 115.

§ Sthána. A shrine of Naranaráyana is referred to in Chapter XXXVII. of this Book.

|| A name of Krishna, for which see the Mahabharata, Šanti-parvan, sl. 12658; also, the Bhagavata-purana, V., XIX., 9.

"In various parts of the Mahábhárata, Křishňa and Arjuna are singularly represented as having formerly existed in the persons of two Kishis, Náráyaňa and Nara, who always lived and acted together." Original Sanskrit Texts, Part IV., p. 192.

The Devarshis Nara and Náráyana are mentioned in Vol. I., p. 111, note 1, and in Vol. III., p. 68, note 1. Also see Vol. IV., p. 246, note 1.

enemy, returned to Mathurá, and took captive his army, rich in horses, elephants, and cars, which he conducted to Dwáraká,* and delivered to Ugrasena; and the Yadu race was relieved from all fear of invasion. † Baladeva, when hostilities had entirely ceased. being desirous of seeing his kinsmen, went to Nanda's cow-pens,: and there again conversed with the herdsmen and their females, with affection and respect. By some, the elders, he was embraced; others, the juniors, he embraced; and with those of his own age, male or female, he talked and laughed. § The cowherds made many kind speeches to Haláyudha; || but some of the Gopis spoke to him with the affectation of anger, T or with feelings of jealousy, as they inquired after the loves of Krishna with the women of Mathurá. "Is all well with the fickle and inconstant Krishna?" said they. "Does the volatile swain, the friend of an instant, amuse the women of the city by laughing at our rustic efforts (to please him)? Does he ever think of us, singing in chorus to his songs? Will he not come here once again, to see his mother? But why talk of these things? It is a different tale to tell. for him without us, and for us without him. Father.

की सापि संपरिष्वकः कांसित्स परिष्विते । हास्यं चक्रे समं कै सिक्षक्षेपिगों पीजनिस्तथा ॥

The Translator's specifications of "elders", &c., are taken from the commentators.

^{*} The original has Dwaravatí, of which "Dwaraka" is a synonym.

[†] Parábhibhava.

^{*} Nanda-gokula.

^{| &}quot;Ploughshare-weaponed," literally; a name of Baladeva or Balabhadra. Vide supra, p. 51, text and note ||.

[¶] Prema-kupita. Compare the terms in Vol. IV., p. 323, notes § and **.

mother, brother, husband, kin,—what have we not abandoned for his sake? But he is a monument of ingratitude.* Yet tell us, does not Krishna talk of coming here? Falsehood is never, O Krishna, to be uttered by thee. Verily, this is Dámodara, + this is Govinda, who has given up his heart to the damsels of the city,—who has, no longer, any regard for us, but looks upon us with disdain.": So saying, the Gopís, whose minds were fixed on Krishna, § addressed Ráma, in his place, calling him Dámodara and Govinda, and laughed, and were merry; and Ráma consoled them by communicating to them agreeable, modest, affectionate, and gentle messages from Krishna. With the cowherds he talked mirthfully, as he had been wont to do, and rambled, along with them, over the lands of Vraja.1

ः दामोदरोऽसौ गोविन्दः पुरस्त्रीसक्तमानसः।

अपेतमोतिरसासु दुर्दर्शः प्रतिभाति नः॥
"Of this Damodara, or Govinda, whose heart is attached to the women of the city, the love has departed, as towards us; and, therefore, he is hard to be seen. And yet he delights us."

Ratnagarbha has दामोदरासी; i. e., he puts 'Damodara' in the vocative. By reading, also, which, likewise, he is peculiar,he gives the sentence a very different meaning; but his explanation of this expression by गतप्रीत: can scarcely be accepted.

🎙 त्रामन्त्रितः स क्रणेति पुनर्दामोदरेति च। जहसः सखरं गोष्यो हरिएा हतचेतसः॥

¹ This visit of Balarama to Vraja is placed, by the Hari Vamsa, anterior to the fall of Mathurá; by the Bhágavata, long súbsequent to the establishment of the Yadus at Dwáraká.

[&]quot; त्रष्टातज्ञध्वजः।

[†] See Vol. IV., p 281.

[§] Hari, in the original.

^{||} The Sanskrit has Krishna.

CHAPTER XXV.

Balaráma finds wine in the hollow of a tree; becomes inebriated; commands the Yamuná to come to him, and, on her refusal, drags her out of her course: Lakshmí gives him ornaments and a dress: he returns to Dwáraká, and marries Revatí.

WHILST the mighty * Sesha, 1 + the upholder of the globe, was thus engaged in wandering amidst the forests, with the herdsmen, in the disguise of a mortal, -having rendered great services to earth, and still considering what more was to be achieved,-Varuna, in order to provide for his recreation, said to (his wife,) Váruní § (the goddess of wine): "Thou, Madirá, art ever acceptable to the powerful Ananta. T Go, therefore, auspicious and kind goddess,** and promote his enjoyments." Obeying these commands, Váruní went and established herself in the hollow of a Kadambatree, in the woods of Vrindávana. Baladeva, roaming about, (came there, and,) smelling the pleasant fragrance of liquor, ++ resumed his ancient passion for strong drink. The holder of the ploughshare, # observ-

¹ The great serpent, of whom Balaráma is an incarnation.

^{*} Mahátman.

[†] See Vol. II., pp. 74, 85, and 211, note 1.

^{*} See Vol. II., p. 85.

[§] See Vol. I., p. 146, note 1; and Vol. II., p. 212.

Different, of course, from the Madira named in Vol. IV., p. 109.

[¶] The same as Sesha. See Vol. II., p. 211.

[&]quot; गच्छ मुद्दे शुभे। Ratnagarbha reads, instead of मुद्दे, मुदा।

⁺⁺ Madirá.

^{‡‡} Lángalin, in the original; the same, in effect, as Haláyudha. Vide supra, p. 63, note ||.

ing the vinous drops distilling from the Kadamba-tree, was much delighted, (and gathered) and quaffed them, along with the herdsmen and the Gopís; whilst those who were skilful with voice and lute celebrated him in their songs.* Being inebriated (with the wine), and the drops of perspiration standing like pearls upon his limbs, he called out, not knowing what he said:"† Come hither, Yamuná ríver. I want to bathe." The river, disregarding the words of a drunken man, came not (at his bidding). On which, Ráma,; in a rage,

* उपगीयमानी लिलतं गीतवायविशार्दैः।

This verse, it is observable, has an excessive syllable at the end of its first half.

There is no vinous exudation from the Kadamba-tree (Nauclea Kadamba); but its flowers are said to yield a spirit, by distillation;—whence Kádambarí§ is one of the synonyms of wine, or spirituous liquor. The grammarians, however, also derive the word from some legend; stating it to be so called, because it was produced from the hollow of a Kadamba-tree on the Gomanta mountain: The Hari Vamsa, which alone makes the Gomanta mountain the scene of an exploit of Krishna and Rama, makes no mention of this origin of wine; and the Bhagavata** merely says, that Váruní took up her abode in the hollow of a tree. †† There must be some other authority, therefore, for this story.

⁺ Vihwala.

The Sanskrit has Langalin. See the preceding page, note : ...

[§] Personified, Kádambarí is said to be daughter of Chitraratha and Madirá. For Chitraratha, see Vol. II., p. 86, note 1.

^{||} Corrected, here and below,—and in the Sanskrit,—from "Gomantha". For the mountain in question, see Vol. II., p. 141, note 2, ad finem. The Harivainsa places it near the Sahya mountains.

[¶] Chapter XCVII.

^{**} X., Latter Section, XVI., 19.

^{††} Compare the Harivainsa, Chapter XCVIII.

took up his ploughshare, * which he plunged into her bank, and dragged her to him, calling out: † "Will you not come, you jade? Will you not come? Now go where you please, (if you can)." Thus saying, he compelled the dark river to quit its ordinary course, and follow him whithersoever he wandered through the wood. \$ Assuming a mortal figure, || the Yamuná, with distracted looks, approached Balabhadra, ¶ and entreated him** to pardon her, and let her go. But he replied: "I will drag you with my ploughshare, in a thousand directions, since you contemn my prowess and strength." †† At last, however, appeased by her reiterated prayers, he let her go, after she had watered all the country. When he had bathed, the goddess

Sridhara, like one of my MSS. unaccompanied by commentary, has, not RET, but REUT, the reading followed by the Translator.

¹ The Bhágavata and Hari Vamsa §§ repeat this story; the latter, very imperfectly. The former adds, that the Yamuna is

Hala. Vide supra, p. 51, note ||.

[†] गृहीला तां तटे तेन चकर्ष मद्विद्वसः।

[;] Pápa.

श्री क्षष्टा सहसा तेन मार्ग संख्य निम्नगा।
 यवासे बलभद्रोऽसी ज्ञावयामास तदनम्॥

[🏿] भ्ररीरिणी।

[¶] Rama, in the original.

^{**} The Sanskrit here exhibits Musalayudha, "Bludgeon-armed", a name of Baladeva. Vide supra, p. 51, note ¶.

^{††} सी १ विविद्यानासि मम शौर्यवर्ते यदि। सी १ हं लां हनपातेन विनेषामि सहस्रधा॥

इस्तुक्तयातिसंवासात्तया गया प्रसादितः । भूभागे साविते तस्तिनुमोच यसुनां बलः ॥

^{§§} Chapter CIII.

of beauty, * Lakshmí, came, and gave him a beautiful lotos to place in one ear, and an ear-ring for the other; † a fresh necklace of lotos-flowers, sent by Varuńa; and garments of a dark blue colour, as costly as the wealth of the ocean:; and, thus decorated with a lotos in one ear, a ring in the other, \$ dressed in blue garments, and wearing a garland, Balaráma appeared united with loveliness. Thus decorated, Ráma sported two months in Vraja, and then returned to Dwáraká, where he married Revatí, the daughter of King Raivata, || by whom he had (two sons,) Niśatha ¶ and Ulmuka.¹

still to be seen following the course along which she was dragged by Balaráma. The legend, probably, alludes to the construction of canals from the Jumna, for the purposes of irrigation; and the works of the Mohammedans in this way—which are well known,—were, no doubt, preceded by similar canals, dug by order of Hindu princes.

Professor Wilson has rendered the explanation of the commentators.

¹ See Vol. IV., p. 109.

^{*} The Sanskrit has only Kanti for "the goddess of beauty." It is a name of Lakshmi, who is mentioned, in the original, several stanzas further on.

[🕆] ऋवतंसोत्पलं चार गृहीत्वैकं च कुण्डलम् ।

[†] This expression is to render samudrárha.

^{इतावतंसः स तदा चाद्कुण्डलभूषितः ।}

[|] See Vol. III., pp. 249-254.

Torrected from "Nishatha".

CHAPTER XXVI.

Křishňa carries off Rukmini: the princes who come to rescue her repulsed by Balaráma. Rukmin overthrown, but, spared by Křishňa, founds Bhojakata. Pradyumna born of Rukmini.

BHÍSHMAKA* was king of Vidarbha, † residing at Kuńdina.¹: He had a son named Rukmin, and a beautiful daughter termed Rukmiń. Kŕishňa fell in love with the latter, § and solicited her in marriage; but her brother, who hated Kŕishňa, || would not assent to the espousals. At the suggestion of Jarásandha, and with the concurrence of his son, the powerful (sovereign) Bhíshmaka affianced Rukmińí to Śiśupála.¶ In order to (celebrate) the nuptials, Jarásandha and other princes, the friends of Śiśupála,** assembled

इकिमणीं चक्रमें क्रष्णः सा च तं चाइहासिनी।

Cháruhásini is an epithet, not a second name, of Rukmińi. For Cháruhásini, another of Krishńa's numerous wives, see Vol. IV., p. 112; also, infra, p. 81, note §, and p. 83, note §.

¹ Vidarbha is the country of Berar; and the name remains in the present city of Beder. The capital, however, Kuńdinapura, is, commonly, identified with a place called Kundapoor, about forty miles north-east of Amarávatí (in Berar).

[•] The elongated form of Bhishma, as this king is called in the Mahabharata.

tonarata. † Literally, "in the country of the Vidarbhas": विदर्भविषये।

[;] See Vol. II., p. 158, note 3.

[§] And she, we are told, with him:

^{||} Called Chakrin, in the Sanskrit.

The beginning of this Chapter is translated in Original Sanskrit Texts, Part IV., p. 179, note 161.

^{**} See Vol. IV., pp. 104 and 106.

in the capital of Vidarbha; * and Krishńa, attended by Balabhadra and many other Yádavas, also went to Kuńdina, † to witness the wedding. When there, Hari contrived, on the eve of the nuptials, to carry off the princess; ¹ leaving Ráma and his kinsmen to sustain the weight of his enemies. ‡ Pauńdraka, § the illustrious Dantavakra, | Vidúratha, ¶ Śiśupála, Jarásandha, Śálwa, ** and other kings, indignant (at the insult), exerted themselves to kill Krishńa, but were repelled by Balaráma and the Yádavas. †† Rukmin, vowing that he would never enter Kuńdina again, until he had slain Keśava in fight, pursued and overtook him. ‡ (In the combat that ensued), Krishńa destroyed, with his discus, §§ as if in sport, the host (of Rukmin),—with all

+ Corrected, throughout this Chapter, from "Kundina".

ः विपन्तभारमासच्य रामाविष्वय बन्धुषु।

- § See Chapter XXXIV. of this Book.
- | See Vol. IV., p. 103.
- ¶ Vide ibid., p. 153.
- ** Exchanged for "Salya", which I nowhere find, and which is, probably, corrupted from Salya, a reading peculiar to Professor Wilson's favourite MS. Salwa was king of the Saubhas, according to the Harivamsa, śl. 6143.

†† रामावैर्यदुपुङ्गवैः।

हन्तुं क्रष्णमभिद्रतः।

§§ The "discus", chakra, is not here mentioned; but Krishna is designated as Chakrin. The original of the passage where the discus was supposed to be spoken of is as follows:

निर्जितः पातितश्चीर्या सीलयैव स चित्रणा

I find no reading but this.

^{&#}x27; When she had gone forth from the city to worship Ambiká: Bhágavata. Indrání, the wife of Indra: Hari Vaméa. || || Our text tells the circumstance more concisely than the others.

^{*} भीष्मकस्य पुरीं जग्मः।

^{|| ||} Sl. 6612.

its horse, and elephants, and foot, and chariots,—and overthrew him, and hurled him on the ground, and would have put him to death, but was withheld by the entreaties of Rukmińi.* "He is my only brother," she exclaimed, "and must not be slain by thee. Restrain your wrath, O divine lord; and give me my brother, in charity." Thus addressed by her, Krishńa, whom no acts affect,† spared Rukmin;¹ and he (in pursuance of his vow,) founded the city Bhojakata,²; and (ever afterwards) dwelt therein. § After the defeat of Rukmin, Krishńa married Rukmińí, ¶ in due form; having, first, made her his own by the Rákshasa ritual. 3** She bore him the gallant Pradyumna, a

' इनुं इतमतिः कृष्णो ६किम्णं युष्ठदुर्मद्म् । प्रणम्य याचितो ब्रह्मन्द्किम्णा भगवान्हरिः॥

¹ After depriving him of his eyebrows and hair. In the Bhágavata, Balaráma also interferes in favour of Rukmin, and reproves Krishúa for disfiguring him.

³ Of course, this was somewhere in the neighbourhood of Kuńdina, or Vidarbha, and is, usually, supposed to be situated on the Narmadá.

³ That is, by violence. Thus Manu: "The seizure of a maiden, by force, from her house, while she weeps, and calls for

[†] Aklishta-karman. See Vol. IV., p. 282, note †.

[‡] See Vol. II., p. 158, note 3.

[§] Ratnagarbha, with whom agree several of my MSS. unaccompanied by commentary, omits a passage of three stanzas, beginning with the verses cited in note *, above, and ending at the point indicated. Quite possibly, too, this passage has been unwarrantably introduced into the text as recognized by Śridhara. He does not gloss any part of it.

^{||} Madhusúdana, in the original.

[¶] She was one of Krishna's chief wives. See Vol. IV., p. 112.

^{**} See Vol. III., p. 105.

portion of the deity of love.* The demon Sambara + carried him off; but he slew the demon.;

assistance, after her kinsmen and friends have been slain in battle, or wounded, and their houses broken open, is the marriage styled *Rákshasa*." § III., 33. According to the Bhágavata, Rukmińi sends to invite Krishńa to carry her off, and instructs him how to proceed.

- ः जहार भ्रम्बरो यं वै यो जघान च भ्रम्बरम्।
- § This is from the translation of Sir William Jones.
 - । हला च्छित्ता च भित्ता च क्रीशनीं ब्दतीं गृहात्। प्रसद्ध कन्याहर्णं राचसी विधिबच्यते॥



^{* &}quot;The deity of love" is to render Madana, a name of Kama or Ananga.
† See Vol. II., p. 70.

CHAPTER XXVII.

Pradyumna stolen by Śambara; thrown into the sea, and swallowed by a fish; found by Máyádeví: he kills Śambara, marries Máyádeví, and returns, with her, to Dwáraká. Joy of Rukmińí and Krishna.

MAITREYA.—How, Muni, happened it that the hero Pradyumna was carried away by Sambara? And in what manner was the mighty Sambara killed by Pradyumna?

Parassara.—When Pradyumna was but six days old, he was stolen, from the lying-in chamber, by Sambara, terrible as death;* for the demon foreknew that Pradyumna, if he lived, would be his destroyer.† Taking away the boy, Sambara cast him into the ocean, swarming with monsters, †—into a whirlpool of roaring waves, the haunt of the huge creatures of the deep.§ A large fish swallowed the child; but he died not, and was born anew, from its belly:¹|| for that fish,

¹ The Bhagavata tells the story in the same manner; but the Hari Vamsa omits the part of the fish.

[•] Here, as below, the original has kálasambara, i. e., according to Ratnagarbha, "black Śambara", or "Śambara, equal to death." Śridhara gives only the latter interpretation.

[†] षष्ठे ऽहि जातमाचं तु प्रवुक्तं सूतिकागृहात्। ममैष हनति सुने हतवान्कालग्रस्वरः॥

[;] Gråhogra.

क को ल ज नितावर्ते सुघोरे मक रालचे।

[|] पिततं तत्र चैवैको मत्यो जद्याह बालकम्। न ममार च तस्यापि जठरेण न जीर्थते॥

with others, was caught by the fishermen, and delivered, by them, to the great Asura Sambara. His wife Máyádeví, the mistress of his household, superintended the operations of the cooks, and saw, when the fish was cut open, a beautiful child, looking like a new shoot of the blighted tree of love. Whilst wondering who this should be, and how he could have got into the belly of the fish, Nárada came, to satisfy her curiosity, and said to the graceful dame: "This is the son of him by whom the whole world is created and destroyed, *-the son of Vishnu, who was stolen, by Sambara, from the lying-in chamber, and tossed (by him,) into the sea, where he was swallowed by the fish. He is now in thy power. Do thou, beautiful woman, tenderly rear this jewel of mankind." Thus counselled by Nárada, Máyádeví took charge of the boy, and carefully reared him, from childhood, being fascinated by the beauty of his person. Her affection became still more impassioned, when he was decorated with the bloom of adolescence. The gracefully-moving: Máyávatí, § then, fixing her heart and eyes upon the high-minded Pradyumna, gave him, whom she regarded as herself, all her magic (and illusive) powers.

So reads Śridhara. Ratnagarbha ends the second verse with आहरा-नवदीयित:; several MSS. unaccompanied by commentary, with आहर् इन-बदीपित:।

^{*} समस्तजगतः सूतिसंहारकारियाः। 🔭 🕆 विस्रव्या।

[†] Gajá-gámini; literally, "having the gait of an elephant."

[§] Another name of Máyádeví. Some MSS. yield, here and elsewhere, Máyárati.

मायावती द्दी चासी मायाः सर्वा महाताने । प्रयुव्यायात्मभूताय तत्मसहृदयेखणा॥

Observing these marks of passionate affection, the son of Krishna* said to the lotos-eyed (Máyádeví): "Why do you indulge in feelings so unbecoming the character of a mother?" To which she replied: "Thou art not a son of mine; thou art the son of Vishńu, whom Kála Śambara† carried away, and threw into the sea. Thou wast swallowed by a fish, but wast rescued, by me, from its belly.: Thy fond mother, O beloved, is still weeping for thee." When the valiant § Pradyumna heard this, he was filled with wrath, and defied Sambara to battle. In the conflict that ensued, the son of Mádhava slew the whole host of Sambara. Seven times he foiled the delusions of the enchanter, and, making himself master of the eighth, turned it against Sambara, and killed him. By the same faculty he ascended into the air,** and proceeded to his father's house, where he alighted, along with Máyávatí, in the inner apartments. When the women beheld Pradyumna, they thought it was Krishna himself. Rukmińí, her eyes dimmed with tears, spoke tenderly to him, and said: "Happy is she who has a son like this, in the bloom of youth. Such would be the age

This is the reading of Ratnagarbha. Śridhara begins the second verse with the words प्रवृक्षायातिरागाचा।

^{*} Kárshńi, in the original.

[†] See note * in p. 73, supra.

[💲] चिप्तः समुद्रे मत्यस्य संप्राप्तो जठरानाया।

[§] Mahá-bala.

^{||} Mádhavi, in the Sanskrit.

[¶] Here the original again has kála-śambara.

^{**} In the original there is only the word sauta, to denote this procedure. Neither of the commentators remarks on the passage. See Vol. III., p. 311, note ||.

of my son Pradyumna, if he was alive. Who is the fortunate mother adorned by thee? And yet, from thy appearance, and from the affection I feel for thee, thou art, assuredly, the son of Hari."

At this moment, Krishna, accompanied by Nárada, arrived; and the latter said to the delighted Rukminí:*
"This is thine own son, who has come hither, after killing Sambara, by whom, when an infant, he was stolen from the lying-in chamber. This is the virtuous Máyávatí, his wife, and not the wife of Sambara. Hear the reason. When Manmatha (the deity of love,) had perished,¹ the goddess of beauty,† desirous to secure his revival, assumed a delusive form, and, by her charms, fascinated the demon Sambara, and exhibited herself to him in various illusory enjoyments.: This

" त्रनःपुरचरीं देवीं रुक्तिणी प्राह हर्षयम्।

The state of the s

¹ When he was reduced to ashes by a fiery glance from Siva, in resentment of his inflaming him with passion for Umá. This legend is a favourite with the Saiva Puránas, and is told in the Linga and Káliká; also, in the Padma Purána, and Kásí Khanda of the Skanda Purána. They do not say much about his resuscitation, however; Siva, in pity of Rati's grief, restoring him only to a bodiless existence, as Ananga, whose place is to be in the hearts of men. The Linga adds, that, when Vishnu, in consequence of the curse of Bhrigu, shall be born as the son of Vasudeva, Káma shall be born as one of his sons.

I find no variants of this passage.

[†] This expression is to render *ruipińi*, "the beautiful one,"—an epithet, here, of Rati.

[‡] Śridhara has व्यवायानुप्रभागेषु। Ratnagarbha, while mentioning this lection, prefers विहारानुप्रभागेषु; and some MSS. of the mere text exhibit विवाहानुप्रभागेषु। The Translator seems to have taken the first

thy son is the descended Káma; and this is (the goddess) Rati, his wife. There is no occasion for any uncertainty: this is thy daughter-in-law." Then Rukmińí was glad, and Keśava, also. The whole city resounded with exclamations of joy; and all the people of Dwáraká were surprised at Rukmińí's recovering a son who had so long been lost.:

सन्धमेव जयते

¹ The daughter of Daksha, but not enumerated amongst those formerly specified (Vol. I., p. 109). She was born from his perspiration, according to the Káliká Puráňa.

of these readings; in which, apparently, he thought he saw **दायब**, in the sense of something 'airy' or 'unreal'. The whole expression signifies "sexual congress and other enjoyments".

^{&#}x27; नगरी च समस्ता सा साधु साध्वित्यभाषत।

[†] The original has Dwaravati.

^{*} The rendering of this Chapter deviates rather more widely than usual from literality.

CHAPTER XXVIII.

Wives of Krishna. Pradyumna has Aniruddha: nuptials of the latter. Balaráma, beat at dice, becomes incensed, and slays Rukmin and others.

RUKMIŃÍ bare to Kŕishňa these other sons: Chárudeshňa, Sudeshňa, Chárudeha,* Susheňa, Chárugupta, Bhadracháru, Cháruvinda,† Sucháru, and the very mighty Cháru; also, one daughter, Chárumatí. Kŕishňa had seven other beautiful wives: § Ká-

Several other sons of Rukmińi, elsewhere recognized as such, are here unnoticed. See Vol. IV., p. 112, note **.

§ The original is as follows:

श्रन्याश्व भार्याः कृष्णस्य वभूतुः सप्त श्रोभनाः।

It is signified, by this verse, that seven beautiful wives are about to be named; but it is not expressly said that the specification is restricted to seven wives, or to beautiful ones only. The commentators, however, endeavour to reduce the catalogue to a septenary. Sridhara's identification, in order to this reduction, is spoken of, by the Translator, in his note in p. 81, infra, but is not accepted by him. Further particulars Sridhara does not enter into; and the Translator, who does not even allude to Ratnagarbha's roll of the ladies, assumes that we are to find, here, precisely the same seven—and no more,—that are enumerated at the beginning of Chapter XXXII. of this Book. But, as will appear from my annotations that follow, it is more or less possible that several wives are designated over and above the heptad with which the commentators content themselves. My Ajmere MS. ends the verse quoted above with angentalianian; thus premising 'very beautiful' wives,—not simply 'beautiful',—and omitting all definition of their number.

Ratnagarbha asserts that the seven are: Mitravindá, Satyá, Jámbavatí, Rohiúí, Suśilá, Satyabhámá, and Lakshmaúá; and the words connected with these names are, he pronounces, epithets. Unlike Śrídhara, he

^{*} Mahábala, in one MS., follows Chárudeha. It would be difficult to prove that it is not a proper name.

[†] Instead of Sushena and Charnvinda, the Bhágavata-purána has Vicháru and Cháruchandra.

[‡] A single copy has Chandra.

lindí, Mitravindá, † the virtuous: Nágnajití, § the queen Jámbavatí; Rohińí, ** of beautiful form; †† the

takes Jámbavatí to be a different person from Rohińi; and he considers Kálindí to be a synonym of Mitravindá.

In Vol. IV., p. 112, we read that Krishna's principal wives "were Rukmini, Satyabhama, Jambavati, Charuhasini, and four others." If we knew who these four others were, it could be ascertained how far the principal wives tallied with the beautiful ones, and whether the group under discussion contains additions to those two categories.

* For her origin, see Vol. IV., p. 286, note *. Her offspring, as named in the *Bhágavata-puráňa*, were Śruta, Kavi, Víisha, Víra, Subáhu, Bhadra, Śánti, Darśa, Purńamása, and Somaka.

† Corrected from "Mitravfinda", which I find nowhere but in a carelessly executed copy of Śridhara's text and commentary, to which Professor Wilson was very partial, and which he too often used without controlling it.

Mitravinda's children, the *Bhágavata-purána*, alleges, were ten: Víika, Harsha, Anila, Gridhra, Vardhana, Annada, Mahasa, Pavana, Vahni, and Kshudhi.

- † The original is सत्या नागनिती तथा। According to Śridhara, in his commentary on the Bhágavata-puráña, the lady here intended is Satyá, patronymically called Nágnajití. For the Translator's "the virtuous Nágnajití", we should, therefore, read 'Satyá, daughter of Nagnajit.' M. Langlois makes the same mistake, in his translation of the Harivaínsa, Vol. I., p. 500. See further, note § in the preceding page, and note ‡‡ in p. 82, infra.
- § Mother, the Bhágavata-purána alleges, of Víra, Chandra, Aswasena, Chitragu, Vegavat, Víisha, Áma, Sanku, Vasu, and Kunti.
- || देवी जाउबवती चापि। It is barely possible that we should read 'Devi,' not "the queen". Compare the Harivainsa, sl. 9179.

For Jambavati, see Vol. IV., p. 79.

- ¶ The Bhágavata-purána represents her as mother of Sámba, Sumitra, Purujit, Śatajit, Sahasrajit, Vijaya, Chitraketu, Vasumat, Dravida, and Kratu.
- ** An elder Rohińi was one of Kŕishńa's numerous step-mothers. See Vol. IV., pp. 109 and 260.

Křishňa's wife Rohińi had, on the authority of the Bhágavata-puráńa, the following sons: Váma, Áyus, Satyaka, Diptimat, Támratapta, and others unnamed.

†† कासक्षिणी। Possibly, this is a proper name, Kámarúpiní; but, probably, it is an epithet. See the Harivaínsa, ઇ. 6701.

amiable and excellent daughter of the king of Madra,*

* Madrarája. Leaving out of question the interpretation "king of Madra", our choice lies, according to circumstances, between "king of the Madras" and "King Madra." In the purest Sanskrit, only the former of these two significations is admissible. There are many cases, in later literature, similar to Madrarája, thus considered; such as Káśirája, Vatsarája, Bhojarája, Bhojapati, &c. &c. Instances in which there is not the option spoken of above, but in which, at variance with classical canons, substantival members of a compound stand in apposition, are Varadarája, Bhojadeva, Kámadeva, Mádhavadeva, Nandisa, Venkatesa, Bháillesa, Kapileśwara, Rámeśwara, Gorakshanátha, Śeshanága, Aghásura, &c. &c.

Mádri's father might, as has been said, be Madrarája in the sense of "King Madra"; only no such ruler has been discovered among Kfishúa's contemporaries. Conclusively, Lakshmańá—as the lady who bears the patronym of Mádri is distinctively called,—is said, in the Bhágavatapuráńa, to have been daughter of Madrádhipati, which it would be very unsafe to translate otherwise than by "king of the Madras".

In Sanskrit, names of countries and kingdoms are denoted, very frequently, by compounds ending with a word for country or kingdom,—deśa, vishaya, rájya, ráshtra. Examples of such names standing independently are rare, and seem to be confined to feminines. Most generally, a plural is employed; and this plural imports a people. Thus, where we should be disposed to say "he lives in Malava", an ancient Hindu would have said "he lives in the country of the Malavas", and alwaz , or, in accordance with the somewhat more prevalent idiom, "he lives among the Málavas", at a traff.

The preponderant usage here referred to may, perhaps, be accounted for in this wise. The earliest Hindus were grouped in tribes, not in nations; and these tribes were distinguished by lineage, not by place of abode. The titles which they bore were eponymous; and it should seem that they were long in acquiring fixed habitations. Hence, it may be surmised,—and not for the reason that led Louis Philippe to style himself "king of the French", -- it is that we read of, for instance, "the king of the Panchalas"; somewhat as, from a sense of vagueness, the Yavanas, Húnas, Chinas, Turushkas, and Sakas were never localized, further than by the indeterminate forms Yavana-deśa, "country of the Yavanas," and the like. In later times, as history informs us, kingdoms of more or less definite bounds were established, and lasted for long periods. But the old idiom had become so firmly rooted as, in spite of the altered state of things, to hold its ground; and the option of mentioning a region or state (by its simple name), instead of its people, was seldom accepted. The sole exceptions seem to be afforded (Mádrí); * Satyabhámá, † the daughter of Sattrájita; and Lakshmańá, of lovely smiles. § Besides these,

¹ The number specified, however, both in this place and in Chapter XXXII., is nine, instead of eight. The commentator || endeavours to explain the difference, by identifying Rohińi with Jámbavatí. But, in the notices of Kŕishńa's posterity, both in

by the names of cities which were, of themselves, kingdoms; and most Hindu cities bore appellations of the feminine gender. The Kurukshetra, Mashúára, Sáchiguúa, and Avachatnuka of the Aitareya-bráhmaúa I take to be cities with masculine names,—like Kanyakubja, &c.,—not countries.

If this view be not fallacious, we can, therefore, only plead convenience, when we speak of Abhíra, Ánarta, Chedi, Kerala, Kosala, Kuru, Madra, Magadha, Málava, Šúrasena, &c. &c., after the manner of the latest and most corrupt Sanskrit, and of the living vernaculars of India. Similarly, we find, in Latin, only Sabinorum ager or Sabinus ager, and in Sabinis versari, in Sabinos proficisci; but, in the present day, we have la Sabina. The Greeks, it is true, devised the name $\Sigma \alpha \beta \ell \nu \eta$; and, in the same way, they invented the names Abiria, Cirrhadia, Gandaritis, etc., though the ancient Hindus recognized no countries denominated Abhíra, Kiráta, Gandhára, &c.

* I have parenthesized this name, as being added by the Translator. The original has **yales are not all and are not ar**

To Mádri the *Bhágavata-purána* assigns progeny bearing the names of Praghosha, Gátravat, Siúnha, Bala, Prabala, Úrdhwaga, Maháśakti, Saha, Oja, and Parájita.

- † According to the *Bhágavata-puráña*, she had ten children: Bhánu, Subhánu, Swarbhánu, Prabhánu, Bhánumat, Chandrabhánu, Bříbadbhánu, Atibhánu, Šríbhánu, and Pratibhánu.
- † Corrected from "Satrujit". The original has Sattrajiti, patronymic of Sattrajita, for whom and his daughter Satyabhama, see Vol. IV., pp. 79, 80.
- \$ चार्शियों | Here we have, possibly, another name of Lakshmańá, rather than an epithet. For Cháruhásiní, see Vol. IV., p. 112, note ||. Also see note § in p. 83, infra. My Ajmere MS. gives प्रयद्शाना; and Priyadaráaná, too, is a lady's name, as in my Vásavadattá, p. 236.

Sridhara is intended. Vide supra, p. 78, note §.

he* had sixteen thousand other wives. 1+

this work and in the Bhágavata, she is distinct from Jámbavatí. She seems, however, to be an addition to the more usually specified eight, of whose several marriages the Bhágavata gives the best account. In addition to the three first, - respecting whom particulars are found in all,-Kálindí, or the Yamuná, is the daughter of the Sun, whom Krishna meets on one of his visits to Indraprastha, § and who claims him as the reward of her penance. His next wife, Mitravindá, is the daughter of his maternal aunt, Rájádhideví (Vol. IV., pp. 101 and 103), and sister of Vinda and Anuvinda, kings of Avantí: | she chooses him at her Swayamvara. The Hari Vamsa T calls her Sudatta, ** daughter of Sibi; and she is, subsequently, termed Saibyá by our text. ++ Nágnajití, or Satyá, ‡ the next wife, was the daughter of Nagnajit, king of Kauśala, §§ and was the prize of Krishna's overcoming seven fierce bulls, whom no other hero had encountered with success. Bhadrá, princess of Kekaya, ¶¶—also Kŕishňa's cousin, the daughter of Śrutakirtti (Vol. IV., pp. 101 and 103), - was his next; and his eighth wife was Madri, *** the daughter of the

^{*} Chakrin, in the original.

[†] In all, Krishna's wives amounted to sixteen thousand and one hundred, as we read in Vol. IV., p. 112. But vide infra, p. 105, note §§.

[‡] See Vol. III., p. 20.

[§] A city on the Jumna, near the present Delhi. It belonged to the Pandavas.

| See Vol. IV., supplementary note on p. 103.

[¶] \acute{Sl} . 9179 and 9187. In \acute{sl} . 6703 of the same work, this or another daughter of \acute{S} ibi seems to be called Tanwi.

^{**} Corrected from "Saudatta". See note || in the page following.

^{††} In p. 107, infra.

^{‡‡} Transformed, in the text, into "the virtuous". See note ‡ in p. 79, supra.

^{§§} The Bhágavata-purána describes Nagnajit as Kausalya, which Śridhara interprets by Ayodhyá-pati, "King of Ayodhyá"; and this city was the capital of Kosala.

^{||} Her children, we read in the Bhágavata-puráńa, were Sangramajit, Břihatsena, Súra, Praharańa, Arijit, Jaya, and Subhadra.

^{¶¶} See Vol. IV., p. 103, text and note §. The Bhágavata-purána simply gives Bhadrá the epithet Kaikeyi.

*** See note * in the last page.

The heroic Pradyumna was chosen, for her lord, at her public choice of a husband, by the daughter of Rukmin;* and he had, by her, the powerful and gallant prince Aniruddha, who was fierce in fight,† an ocean of prowess, and the tamer of his foes. Keśava demanded, in marriage, for him, the granddaughter of

king of Madra, — named, according to the Bhágavata, Lakshmańá, § and, to the Hari Vamsa, Subhímá; || distinguishing, as does our text, clearly, Lakshmańa from Mádrí, and, like it, having no satisfactory equivalent for Bhadrá. The Hari Vamsa does not name Rohińi, but specifies other names, as Břihatí, ¶ &c. In the life of Křishňa—taken from the Bhágavata, through a Persian translation,—published by Maurice, there is a curious instance of the barbarous distortion of Sanskrit names by the joint labours of the English and Persian translators. The wives of Křishňa are written: Rokemenee (Rukmińí), Setubhavani (Satyabhámá), Jamoometee (Jámbavatí), Kalenderee (Kálindí), Lechmeena (Lakshmańá), Soeta (Satyá), Bhedravatee (Bhadrá), Mihabenda (Mitravindá).

¹ These, according to the Mahábhárata, Ádi Parvan, were Apsarasas, or nymphs. In the Dána Dharma, they become Křishňa's wives through a boon given him by Umá.

^{*} Kakudmatí was her name. See Vol. IV., p. 112, text and note ††. The *Bhágavata-puráńa* calls her Rukmavatí, also. For Rukmin, *vide supra*, p. 67.

[†] रणे क्रुडः।

¹ Vide supra, p. 80, note ..

[§] Corrected from "Lakshańa". Vide supra, p. 80, note . In the Harivamsa, sl. 6702 and 9179, Lakshmańa takes the place of Madri, and is followed, according to the Calcutta and Bombay editions, by जाल-हासिनी, for which see Vol. IV., p. 112, note ||. Is it a third synonym? Vide supra, p. 81, note §.

^{||} Corrected from "Saubhima". See the Harivainsa, sl. 9180.

Professor Wilson's "Saudattà" and "Saubhima" were taken, I suspect, from the "Soudattâ" and "Soubhîmâ" of M. Langlois; his ou, a translettering of \mathbf{S} , u, being supposed to represent \mathbf{S} , au.

[¶] Daughter of Sibi. Harivamsa, śl. 9192.

Rukmin; and, although the latter was inimical to Krishna,* he betrothed the maiden† (who was his son's daughter,) to the son of his own daughter (her cousin Aniruddha). Upon the occasion of the nuptials, Ráma and other Yádavas attended Krishna; to Bhojakata, the city of Rukmin. After the wedding§ had been solemnized, several of the kings, headed by him of Kalinga, said to Rukmin: "This wielder of the ploughshare is ignorant of the dice, which may be converted into his misfortune. Why may we not contend with him, and beat him, in play?"** The potent Rukmin replied to them, and said "So let it be." And he engaged Balaráma‡ soon lost to Rukmin a thousand Nishkas. He then staked and lost another thousand,

सत्यमव जयस

** भनचत्तो हली यूते तथास्य व्यसनं महत्। न जयामो बसं कस्माद्यूतेनैनं महायुते॥

Ratnagarbha has अनिभन्न: instead of अनन्त्र:।

The Nishka is a weight of gold, but, according to different authorities, of very different amount. The commentator §§ here terms it a weight of four Suvarúas, each about 175 grains Troy.

^{*} Sauri, in the original.

[†] Subhadrá. See Vol. IV., p. 112.

[†] The Sanskrit has Hari.

[§] Of Prádyumni,—according to the original,—that is to say, Aniruddha.

[।] किल्किराजप्रमुखा:, "the king of Kalinga, and others."

[¶] Halin, i. e., Balarama. Vide supra, p. 63, note | , and p. 65, note ##.

^{††} Ráma, in the original.

^{**} The Sanskrit has Bala.

^{§§} Śridhara. Ratnagarbha is silent.

^{||} In reckoning money by tale, the nishka, according to the first chapter of the Lilávati, is equivalent to sixteen drammas. See Colebrooke's Algebra, &c., p. 1.

and then pledged ten thousand, which Rukmin, who was well skilled in gambling, also won. At this, the king of Kalinga laughed aloud; * and the weak and exulting Rukmin grinned, and said:† Baladeva is losing; for he knows nothing of the game; although, blinded by a vain passion for play, he thinks he understands the dice.": Haláyudha, galled by the broad laughter of the Kalinga prince, \$ and the contemptuous speech of Rukmin, was (exceedingly) angry, and, overcome with passion, increased his stake to ten millions of Nishkas. Rukmin accepted the challenge, and, therefore, threw the dice. Baladeva won, and cried aloud "The stake is mine." But Rukmin called out, as loudly, that he was the winner. "Tell no lies, Bala," | said he. "The stake is yours; that is true: but I did not agree to it. Although this be won by you, yet still I am the winner." A deep voice was then heard in the sky, -inflaming still more the anger of the high-spirited Baladeva, -saying: "Bala has rightly won the whole sum, and Rukmin speaks falsely. Although he did not accept the pledge in words, he did so by his acts, ** (having cast the dice)." Bala-

^{*} Swanavat.

[†] दन्तान्विदर्शयमूढो रुकी चाह मही बतः।

[ः] मुधैवाचावनेपान्धो यः स्वं मेनेऽचकोविदम्।

So read most MSS., and Sridhara. Ratnagarbha has:
मुधैवाचावलेपान्धो योऽवसेनेऽचकोविदान्।

दृष्टा किल्क्कराजानं प्रकाश्दश्नाननम् ।

मलीकोक्तरलं बल: "Have done with lies, Bala."

[🎙] एवं लया चेदिजितं मया न विजितं कथम्।

^{**} The expression in the original looks proverbial: त्र नुकापि वचः विचित्कृतं भवति कर्मणा।

ráma,* thus excited, his eyes red with rage, started up, and struck Rukmin with the board on which the game was played,† and killed him.¹ Taking hold of the trembling king of Kalinga, he knocked out the teeth which he had shown when he laughed.‡ Laying hold of a golden column, he dragged it from its place, and used it as a weapon to kill those princes who had taken part with his adversaries.§ Upon which, the whole circle, crying out with terror, took to flight, and escaped from the wrath of Baladeva. || When Krishna¶ heard that Rukmin had been killed by his brother, he made no remark, being afraid of Rukminí (on the one hand,) and of Bala (on the other); but, taking with him the (newly) wedded Aniruddha, and the Yádava tribe, he** returned to Dwáraká.

¹ The Bhágavata and Hari Vamsa—which, both, tell this story,—agree in the death of Rukmin; but, in the Mahábhárata, he appears, in the war, on the side of the Pándavas. The occurrence is a not very favourable picture of courtly manners; but scenes of violence have never been infrequent at the courts of Rajpoot princes.

^{*} The Sanskrit has Bala. .

[†] Ashťápada.

[.] See the original words quoted in note § in the preceding page.

श्राक्रण च महास्तमं जातक्पमयं बतः ।
 जघान येऽन्ये तत्प्चा भूभृतः कुपितो बनात् ॥

गतो हाहाक्यतं सर्वं पनायनपरं दिज । तद्राजमण्डलं सर्वं वभूव कुपिते वले ॥

Madhusúdana, in the original.

^{**} Keśava, according to the reading accepted by Śridhara. The other, Ratnagarbha's lection, represents Bala as leading off Keśava and the rest.

CHAPTER XXIX.

Indra comes to Dwáraká, and reports to Kŕishúa the tyranny of Naraka. Kŕishúa goes to his city, and puts him to death. Earth gives the ear-rings of Aditi to Kŕishúa, and praises him. He liberates the princesses made captive by Naraka, sends them to Dwáraká, and goes to Swarga, with Satyabhámá.

SAKRA, * the lord of the three worlds, came mounted on his fierce † (elephant,) Airávata, to (visit) Sauri (Krishňa,) at Dwáraká.: Having entered the city, and been welcomed by Hari, he related (to the hero) the deeds of the demon § Naraka. || "By thee, Madhusúdana, lord of the gods," said Indra, "in a mortal condition, all sufferings have been soothed. Arishta, Dhenuka, Chánúra, Mushtika, ¶ Keśin, who sought to injure helpless man, ** have, all, been slain

by thee. Kamsa, Kuvalayapı'da, the child-destroying Putana, have been killed by thee; and so have other oppressors of the world. By thy valour and wisdom

^{*} Or Indra. For him and his mother, see Vol. II., p. 27. Sakra and Hari were, both, sons of Aditi. See Vol. I., p. 151; and Vol. III., p. 18, text and notes 1 and †.

[†] Matta.

Dwaravati, in the original.

[§] Daitya. See the next note.

The less famous Naraka was son of Viprachitti, son of Kasyapa and Danu, and, hence, was a Danava, according to our Purana. See Vol. II., pp. 70—72. The Naraka of the text was not a Danava; nor can he be called a Daitya, except by a very loose use of the term. As appears from note 1 in the next page, his father was Vishnu; and our text expresses that his mother was Bhumi.

[¶] Ratnagarbha's text does not mention the two pancratiasts Chánúra and Mushfika.

^{**} Tapaswi-jana,

the three worlds have been preserved; and the gods, obtaining their share of the sacrifices offered by the devout, enjoy satisfaction. But now hear the occasion on which I have come to thee, and which thou art able to remedy. The son of the earth, called Naraka, who rules over the city of Prágjyotisha, sinflicts a great injury upon all creatures. Carrying off the maidens of gods, saints, demons, and kings, he shuts them up in his own palace. He has taken away the umbrella of Varuńa, impermeable to water, the jewel-mountain crest of Mandara, and the celestial nectar-dropping ear-rings of my mother: Aditi; \$\$ and

* युष्मदोर्दण्डसङ्गुजिपरिचाते जगच्चे। † सोऽहं साम्प्रतमायातो यझिमित्तं जनार्दन। तक्कृता तत्प्रतीकारप्रयत्नं कर्तुमहीस॥

For Pragjyotisha, vide supra, p. 55, note ‡.

¹ By Vishńu, as the Varáha Avatára; || but found and adopted by Janaka. Káliká Puráńa.

² In the centre of the country of Kámarúpa, ¶¶ inhabited by Kirátas; the site of the shrines of Deví, as Dikkaravásiní and Kámákhyá.*** Káliká Puráňa.

[:] Bhauma.

[§] The original does not make him ruler over that city, but simply says that it was the scene of his cruelties.

^{||} Siddha.

[¶] Mandira.

^{**} Called Prachetas, in the Sanskrit.

tt See Vol. II., p. 115.

^{**} See note * in the preceding page.

^{§§} Corrected, here and everywhere below, from "Aditi".

IIII See Vol. I., p. 61, note 2, ad finem.

^{¶¶} Vide supra, p. 54, note 2.

^{***} In Assam, according to Professor Wilson, in his collected Works, Vol. III., p. 77. Also see Vol. I., Preface, p. XC. of the present work, where correct "Kamakshya".

he now demands my elephant, Airávata.* I have, thus, explained to you, Govinda, the tyranny of the Asura. You can best determine how it is to be prevented."†

Having heard this account, the divine Hari: (gently) smiled, and, rising from his throne, § took Indra || by the hand. Then, wishing for the eater of the serpents, Garuda immediately appeared; upon whom his master, having first seated Satyabhámá upon his back, ascended, and flew to Prágjyotisha. Indra¶ mounted his elephant, and, in the sight of the inhabitants of Dwáraká, went to the abode of the gods.

The environs of Prágjyotisha were defended by nooses, constructed by the demon Muru,** the edges of which were as sharp as razors;†† but Hari, throwing his discus Sudarśana;; (amongst them), cut them to pieces. Then Muru started up; but Keśava slew him,

अमृतस्राविणी दिवे मन्तातः कृष्ण कृण्डले। जहार सोऽसुरोऽदिला वाञ्कलैरावतं गजम्॥

So reads Śridhara. Ratnagarbha has, for the first verse:

त्रमृतसाविणी दिवे मण्निर्मितकुण्डले।

Some MSS., further, deviate from Śridhara's reading only by नासात, instead of सनात:; from which it appears that the ear-rings were called Divya.

† यद् प्रतिपत्तव्यं तत्त्वयं प्रविमुख्यताम् ।

- "Son of Devaki," after the Sanskrit.
- § Varásana.
- II In the original, Vasava.
- ¶ Sakra, in the Sanskrit.
- ** Vide supra, p. 54, notes 2 and ||, and p. 55, note *.

†† प्रारच्योतिषपुरस्यासीत्समनाच्छतयोजनम् त्राचिता मीर्वः पाग्नैः कुरानीर्भूर्विजोत्तम॥

We have before had mention of it. See Vol. II., p. 52.

and burnt his * seven thousand sons, like moths, + with the flame of the edge of his discus. Having slain Muru, Hayagriva,: and Panchajana, § the wise Hari rapidly reached the city of Prágivotisha. There a (fierce) conflict took place with the troops of Naraka, in which Govinda destroyed thousands of demons; and, when Naraka came into the field, showering upon the deity all sorts of weapons, the wielder of the discus and annihilator of the demon-tribe cut him in two with his celestial missile. Naraka being slain, Earth, ** bearing the two ear-rings of Aditi, approached the lord of the world, †† and said: "When, O lord, I was upheld by thee in the form of a boar, thy contact then engendered this my son. He whom thou gavest me has now been killed by thee. Take (therefore,) these two ear-rings, and cherish his progeny. Thou, lord, whose aspect is (ever) gracious, # hast come to this sphere, in a portion of thyself, to lighten my burthen. Thou art the eternal §§ creator, preserver, | and destroyer

श स्त्रास्त्रवर्षं मुझन्तं भौमं तं नर्कं बली। चिह्वा चकं दिधा चके चकी दैतेयचकहा॥

^{*} म्रास्, or else म्रास्, in the original. The variant of some copies, म्रस्, substitutes Mura for Muru. These persons—whose names are often confounded in MSS.,—were, both, slain by Krishna. The latter is said, by Śridhara, to have been a Rakshasa.

⁺ Salabha.

[;] Vide supra, p. 2, note ¶.

[§] Vide supra, p. 48.

[|] Samupádravat, "assaulted."

^{**} Bhúmi.

^{††} Jagannátha.

[🗱] प्रसादसुमुखः ।

^{§§} Avyaya. See Vol. I., p. 17, note *.

^{||||} Vikart'ri; 'transformer,' literally: "by means of rain and the like," say the commentators.

of the universe; the origin of all worlds; and one with the universe.* What praise can be worthily offered to thee? † Thou art the pervader, and that which is pervaded; the act, the agent, and the effect; the universal spirit of all beings. What praise can be worthily offered to thee?: Thou art the abstract soul, the sentient and the living soul of all beings, the imperishable. But, since it is not possible to praise thee worthily, then why should the hopeless attempt proceed?§ Have compassion, O universal soul, and forgive the sins which Naraka has committed. Verily, it is for the sanctification of thy son, that he has been killed by thee." The lord, who is the substance of all creatures, Thaving replied to the Earth ** "Even so," proceeded to redeem the (various) gems from the dwelling of Naraka. In the apartments of the women ++ he found sixteen thousand and one hundred damsels.1

परमात्मा लमात्मा च भूतात्मा चाव्ययो भवान् । यदा तदा सुतिर्नास्ति किमधी ते प्रवर्तते॥

Ratnagarbha says: जासित्वमेवाह। किमर्था। किमाश्रया। For the expressions paramátman, átman, and bhútátman, here employed, vide supra, p. 14, note ††.

¹ These were captive princesses, according to the Bhágavata;

^{*} Of this passage there are several different readings, of little consequence.

[†] खूयतेऽच्युत किंतव।

[!] Here the translation is not literal.

[।] अदोषाय त्वत्युतः स निपातितः। So reads Śridhara; and his explanation has been followed by the Translator. Ratuagarbha speaks of this lection, but prefers to end the verse with तत्युतिः परिपाचाताः; in accepting which he connects ऋदोषाय with चन्यतां, which precedes it.

[¶] Bhúta-bhávana, "creator of beings."

^{**} Dharani,

⁺⁺ Kanya-pura,

He also beheld (in the palace,) six thousand large* elephants,† each having four tusks; twenty-one lakhs: of horses of Kámboja§ and other excellent breeds. These¶ Govinda despatched to Dwáraká, in charge of the servants of Naraka. The umbrella of Varuńa, the jewel-mountain, which he also recovered, he placed upon Garuda;** and, mounting him, himself, and taking Satyabhámá with him, he set off to the heaven of the gods, to restore the ear-rings of Aditi.¹

Apsarasas, or celestial nymphs, according to the Káliká Puráňa; and these, upon their rescue by Křishňa, became his wives. †

¹ The legend of Naraka is related, in more detail, in the Bhágavata and Hari Vamsa, but is still more fully narrated in the Káliká Upapurána. It may be considered as one of the various intimations that occur in the Puránas, of hostilities between the worshippers of Vishnu and Śiva; Naraka being, in an especial degree, favoured by the latter.

" दृढ्ये वार्णं क्वं तथैव मणिपर्वतम् । जारोपयामास हरिर्गर्डे पत्नगायने॥

I find no variants of this stanza, save as to its closing word, which Ratnagarbha reads पत्रेश्वरी।

^{*} Ugra.

[†] According to the reading of some MSS., there were as many horses,—common ones, it is to be supposed, since a particular kind is specified just below.

[‡] Niyuta; a term variously defined by different authorities. See notes on the beginning of Chapter III. of Book VI.

[§] काम्बोजानाम्। For the Kambojas, see Vol. III., p. 291, note 1.

^{||} I find nothing, in the original, answering to the words "and other excellent breeds".

[¶] That is to say, agreeably to the original, the girls, the elephants, and the horses.

^{††} According to the text of our Purana, also, Krishna married them. Vide infra, p. 105.

CHAPTER XXX.

Krishna restores her ear-rings to Aditi, and is praised by her: he visits the gardens of Indra, and, at the desire of Satyabhama, carries off the Parijata-tree. Sachi excites Indra to its rescue. Conflict between the gods and Krishna, who defeats them. Satyabhama derides them. They praise Krishna.

GARUDA, laden with the umbrella of Varuna, and the jewel-mountain, and bearing Hrishíkeśa* (on his back, to the court of Indra), went (lightly), as if in sport, along. When they arrived at the portals of Swarga, Hari blew his shell; on which the gods advanced to meet him, bearing respectful offerings.+ Having received the homage of the divinities, Krishna went to the palace of the mother of the gods, whose turrets: resembled white clouds; and, on beholding Aditi, paid his respects to her, along with Sakra; and, presenting to her her own ear-rings, informed her of the destruction of (the demon) Naraka. The mother of the world, well pleased, then fixed her whole thoughts upon Hari, the creator, and thus pronounced his praise: "Glory to thee, O god with the lotos-eyes, who removest all fear from those that worship thee. Thou art the eternal, universal, and living soul; the origin of all beings; § the instigator of the mental fac-

^{* &}quot;And his wife:" सभायें च इषीकेशम्। For Hrishikesa, see Vol. I., p. 2, note 1.

[†] सार्घपात्राः।

[.] Sikhara.

[§] सनातनात्मसर्वात्ममूतात्ममूतभावन ।

ulty and faculties of sense;* one with the three qualities; beyond the three qualities; exempt from contraries; pure; existing in the hearts of all; void of colour, extension, and every transient modification; † unaffected by (the vicissitudes of) birth, or death, sleep, or waking. Thou art evening, : night, and day; earth, sky, air, water, and fire; mind, intellect, and individuality. § Thou art the agent of creation, duration, and dissolution; the master over the agent; -in thy forms which are called Brahmá, Vishńu, and Śiva. Thou art gods, Yakshas, Daityas, Rákshasas, Siddhas, Pannagas, Kúshmándas, Pisáchas, Gandharvas, men, animals, ¶ deer, ** elephants, reptiles, †† trees, shrubs, creepers,# climbers, §§ and grasses; | all things large, middling, small, immense, or minute: thou art all bodies whatsoever, composed of aggregated atoms. II This thy illusion beguiles all who are ignorant of thy true nature,—the fools who imagine soul to be in that

प्रेणिता मनसी बुद्वीरिन्द्रियाणाम्। † सितदीर्घादिनिःश्रेषकत्यनापरिवर्जित।

^{*} Sandhyá.

[§] भूतादिः । Ratnagarbha explains it by ahamkára. For bhútádi, see
Vol. I., p. 33, note *.

^{||} Corrected from "Punnagas". Pannaga is the same as sarpa, for which see Vol. I., p. 83. The next term, "Kushmandas," is rendered "goblins" in Vol. IV., p. 277.

[¶] Paśu; "sacrificial animals." Vide supra, p. 59, note **.

^{**} Mriga, "wild beasts."

^{††} Sarisripa. See Vol. I., p. 84, note §; and Vol. II., p. 92, text and note §.

^{**} Latá.

^{§§} Valli.

For similar enumerations, see Vol. I., p. 84; and Vol. Il., p. 92.

[¶] देहभेदा भवान्सवे ये केचित्पृत्तकाश्रयाः।

which is not spirit.* The notions that "I am-this is mine", which influence mankind, are but the delusions of the mother of the world, originating in thy active agency. † Those men who, attentive to their duties, diligently worship thee, traverse all this illusion, and obtain spiritual freedom. Brahmá and all the gods, men, and animals,: are, alike, invested by the thick darkness of fascination, in the gulf of the illusions of Vishńu. That men who, having worshipped thee, should seek the gratification of their desires, and their own preservation,—this, O lord, is, also, thy delusion. It is the sport of thy fascinations that induces men to glorify thee, to obtain, thereby, the continuance of their race, or the annihilation of their enemies, instead of eternal liberation. § It is the fault of the impure acts of the unrighteous (to proffer such idle requests to one able to confer much more important benefits). - like asking for a rag to cover one's nakedness from the tree that bestows whatever is solicited. T Be propitious,

At the end of the second verse, in lieu of আৰুৰ্থন, the reading of Sridhara, Ratnagarbha has অৰ্থথনৈ. Another lection which I find is বিৰ্থান।

- † ऋहं ममिति भावोऽच यत्युंसामभिजायते । संसारमातुर्मायायासवैतन्नाय चेष्टितम्॥
- ‡ Paśu.
 - श्रीराध्य लामभीप्यने कामाझास्यमवस्यम् ।
 यदेते पुद्दवा माया सैवेयं भगवंस्तव ॥
 मया लं पुचकामिन्या वैरिपद्यवयाय च ।
 श्रीराधितो न मोचाय मायाविस्तितं हि तत् ॥

[ं] माया तवेयमज्ञातपरमार्थातिमोहिनी। जनात्मन्यात्मविज्ञानं यया मूढोऽनुक्थते॥

[|] Kaupina.

[¶] Kalpadruma.

then, imperishable, author of all the error that deceives the world; and dispel, O lord of all creatures, the conceit of knowledge, which proceeds from ignorance.* Glory to thee, grasper of the discus, wielder of the bow, brandisher of the mace, holder of the shell! For such do I behold thee, in thy perceptible form. Nor do I know that form of thine which is beyond perception. Have compassion on me, supreme god." †

Vishńu, thus hymned by Aditi, smiled, and said to the mother of the gods: "Mother, goddess, do thou show favour unto me, and grant me thy blessing." "So be it," replied Aditi, "even as thou wilt; and, (whilst thou dwellest) amongst mortals, the first of men, thou shalt be invincible by gods or demons." Then Satyabhámá, accompanied by the queen of Indra, "addressed Aditi respectfully, and solicited her benedictions; and Aditi (in reply,) said to her: "Fair-browed dame, thou shalt never suffer decay, nor loss of beauty. Thou shalt be the asylum of all loveliness, dame of

Ratnagarbha says: ज्ञानसङ्घावभूतम्। ज्ञानवानहमित्यभिमानात्म-कम्। And Śridhara comments to precisely the same effect.

† एतत्पश्चामि ते रूपं खूलचिह्नोपलचितम् ॥ न जानामि परं यत्ते प्रसीद परमेश्वर ॥

[&]quot; अज्ञानं ज्ञानसङ्गावभूतं भूतेश नाश्य।

^{*} Surárani. Similarly, Kunti is called Pándavárani, in the Mahá-bhárata.

For arani, see Vol. III., p. 330, note ..

[🤞] वरदा भव।

^{||} Corrected from "ever",—a typographical error. The original is

[¶] Purusha-vyághra, 'tiger of a man,' and in the vocative. See Vol. IV., p. 320, note ¶; also, supra, p. 22, note *.

** Śakráńi, in the original.

faultless shape." With the assent of Aditi, Indra+ then respectfully saluted Janárdana in all due form, and conducted him and Satyabhámá through Nandana and other pleasant gardens of the gods; where Keśava, the destroyer of Keśin, saw the Párijáta-tree, the favourite of Sachi, which was produced when the ocean was churned for ambrosia: the bark was of gold; and it was embellished with young sprouting leaves of a copper colour, and fruit-stalks bearing numerous clusters of fragrant fruit. When Satyabhámá noticed this tree, she said to her beloved lord, Govinda: "Why should not this divine tree T be transported to Dwáraká? If what you say is true, and I am really dear to you, then let this tree be taken away from hence, and planted in the garden ** of my dwelling. You have often said to me: Neither Jámbavatí nor Rukmińi is so dear to me, Satyá, as you are.' If you have spoken the truth, and not mere flattery, †† then let this Párijátatree be the ornament of my mansion. I long to shine amidst my fellow-queens, wearing the flowers of this tree in the braids of my hair."#

ं मत्रसादात्र ते सुभु जरा वैक्ष्यमेव च। भविष्यसम्बद्धाङ्कि सर्वकामा भविष्यसि॥

- + The Sanskrit has deva-rája.
- * Keśisúdana. I have corrected "Keśi". See Vol. IV., p. 340.
- § See Vol. I., p. 144, and p. 146, note 1.
- This description of the Parijata-tree is rendered very freely. The original gives it one epithet not here translated,—suyandhadhya, 'rich in fragrance'. The text followed is that of Śridhara, from which various MSS, offer many unimportant deviations.
- পু Professor Wilson read देवपादप: but, no doubt, we should read देव पादप: A variant is found: স্তাঞ্জ पादप: ।
 - ** Nishkuta.
- †† Upachára.
- 11 Kesa-paksha.

v.

Thus solicited by Satyabhámá, Hari smiled upon her, and, taking the Párijáta-plant, put it upon Garuda.* The keepers of the garden (remonstrated and) said: "This Párijáta-tree belongs to Sachí, the queen of the sovereign of the gods. It is not proper, Govinda, for you to remove it. At the time when the ocean was churned for the beverage of immortality, † this tree was produced, for the purpose of providing Sachí with flowery ornaments. You cannot be suffered to depart with it.: It is through ignorance that this is sought for by any one; as it is the especial property of her on whose countenance the king of the gods delights to look. And who shall go away with impunity, who attempts to carry it off? § Assuredly, the king of the gods will punish this audacity; for his hand launches the thunderbolt, and the immortals attend upon his steps. Forbear, then, Krishna; nor provoke the hostility of all the gods. The wise will not commence actions that can be productive only of unpleasant consequences."** Satyabhámá, on hearing these words, was exceedingly offended, and said: "What right has

Some MSS. read देव, instead of जेमी।

- ॥ वज्रोद्यतकरं शक्रमनुयास्यन्ति चामराः।
- ९ तद्बं सक्बेटेंवैर्विग्रहेण तवाच्युत।
- " विपाननरु यत्नमं तन्न शंसन्ति पण्डिताः।

^{*} Called, in the original, Garutmat.

[†] अमृतमन्थने।

[ः] न चेमी गृहीलैनं गमिष्यसि।

देवराजो मुखप्रेची यस्त्रास्त्रस्यः परिग्रहम् ।
 मौद्धात्प्रार्थयमे चेमी गृहीत्वैनं हि को वजत ॥

[&]quot;The wise do not commend an act disagreeable in its consequences,'

Sachí, what has Indra,* to the Párijáta-tree? It was produced at the churning of the ocean, as the common property of all worlds. Wherefore, gods, should Indra+ alone possess it? In the same manner, guardians of the grove, as nectar, as the moon, as (the goddess) Śrí (herself), so the Párijáta-tree is the common property of all the world; and, since Sachi, confiding in the strength of her husband's arm, would keep it to herself, away with submission to her!: Satyá takes away the tree. Go quickly; and let Paulomi & be told what I have said. Repeat to her this contemptuous message from Satyabhámá: If you are the beloved wife of your lord, if your husband is obedient to your authority, let him prevent my husband from carrying off this tree. I know your husband, Sakra; I know the sovereign of the divinities; and I, who am a mortal, I take this Párijáta-tree away from you."

Accordingly, the warders (of the garden) went and reported to Sachí the message (of Satyabhámá). Sachí appealed to her husband, and excited the king of the gods to resent this affront; ¶ and Indra, accordingly, attended by the army of the celestials, marched to attack Hari, in defence of the Párijáta-tree. The gods were armed with clubs, ** swords, †† maces, and darts;

^{*} Śakra, in the Sanskrit.

[†] The original has Vásava.

[🕯] तत्काध्यतामलं चानया।

[§] Patronymic of Puloman, father of Sachi. See Vol. II., p. 72, note 2. For a less famous Paulomi, see Vol. I., p. 152, note 1, ad finem.

^{||} Manushi, 'a woman.' Śachi, no less than Satyabhámá, was "a mortal".

[🎙] भची चीत्साहयामास विद्शाधिपतिं पतिम् ।

^{**} Parigha.

and Indra* wielded the thunderbolt. As soon as Govinda saw the king of the gods + advancing against him, on his elephant, attended by the immortals, the blew his shell, so that the sound filled all the regions, and he showered, smilingly, myriads of arrows upon his assailants. § Beholding the air, in all directions, overspread with his darts, the celestials (in return,) hurled innumerable missiles; but every one of these the destroyer of Madhu, | and lord of all worlds, cut, playfully, into a thousand pieces (with his shafts). The devourer of serpents (Garuda,) laid hold of the noose of the sovereign of the waters, I and tore it to fragments with his beak, as if it had been a little snake. The son of Devakí threw his mace at the club of Yama, and cast it, broken, upon the ground. He cut in bits ** the litter++ of the lord of wealth, # with his discus; a glance of his eye eclipsed the radiance of the sun; §§ he severed Agni into a hundred parts, with his arrows, and scattered the Vasus through the realms of space. With his discus he cut off the points of the tridents of the Rudras, and cast themselves upon the earth; and,

§ मुमोच च ग्रवातं सहस्रायुतसंमितम्।

Professor Wilson's favourite MS. indefensibly ends this verse with सहस्रायुतसिखतं; whence "smilingly". Read: "And he discharged a volley of arrows, amounting to myriads of thousands."

^{*} Śakra, in the original.

⁺ For "the king of the gods" the Sanskrit has Sakra.

Literally, 'the gods,'-deva.

Madhusúdana. ¶ Namely, Varuúa.

^{**} Tilasas. This word denotes that the pieces were no larger than sesamum-seeds.

^{††} Śibiká. † Kubera, to wit.

^{§§} चकार शौरिरकें च दृष्टिदृष्टं हतीजसम्।

[🏥] Śúla, 'pikes.'

with the shafts shot from his bow, he dispersed the Sádhyas, Viśwas,* Maruts, and Gandharvas, like fleeces of cotton from the pods of the Simel† tree, through the sky. Garuda,‡ also, diligently plied his beak, and wings, and nails, and bit, and bruised, and scratched the deities who opposed his lord.§

Then the king of the gods and the foe of Madhu (encountered, and) overwhelmed each other with countless shafts, like rain-drops falling from two heavy clouds. Garuda, in the conflict, engaged with Airávata; and Janárdana was opposed to all the deities. When all the other weapons had been cut to pieces, Indra¶ stood armed with his thunderbolt, and Krishna, with the discus Sudarśana.** Beholding them thus prepared for fight, all the people of the three spheres exclaimed

श्वानिप वक्तेण पचान्यां नखराङ्करैः। भचयंसाडयन्देवान्दारयं चचार वै॥

Herein is exemplified the figure of speech which is called, in Sanskrit, yathá-sankhyá. A similar, but more complete, instance of construction by the correspondent order of terms is afforded in the following couplet:

"Vir simplex, fortasse bonus, sed pastor ineptus,

Vult, tentat, peragit, plurima, pauca, nihil."

Stanzas of like verbal collocation might be adduced, in any quantity, from medieval compositions. In the Third Book of Sir Philip Sidney's Arcadia is a sonnet contrived, throughout, like its beginning:

"Vertue, beautie, and speech, did strike, wound, charme, My heart, eyes, eares, with wonder, love, delight."

|| Madhusudana. ¶ Vasava, in the original.

^{*} Viśwe. These deities are not mentioned severally, but always collectively. See Vol. III., p. 189, note beginning near the foot.

^{**} कित्तेष्वशेषवाणेषु शस्तेष्वस्तेषु च त्वरन्। जयाह वासवो वज्रं क्रण्यस्त्रं सुदर्शनम्।

"Alas! alas!" Indra* launched his bolt; but in vain; for Hari caught and arrested it. He forbore, however, to hurl his discus, and only called out to Indra to stay. + Satyabhámá, seeing Indra disarmed, and his elephant disabled by Garuda, and the deity, himself, about to retreat, said to him: "King of the triple sphere, it ill becomes the husband of Sachí to run away. Ornamented with Párijáta-garlands, she will approach you. Of what use: is the sovereignty of heaven, embellished with the Párijáta-tree, no longer beholding Sachí meet you with affection, as of yore? Nay, Sakra: fly not! You must not suffer shame. Here, take the Párijátatree. Let the gods be no longer annoyed. Sachí, inflated with pride of her husband, has not welcomed me to her dwelling with respectful presents. As a woman, I am light of purpose, and am anxious for my husband's fame. Therefore have I instigated, Sakra, this contest with you. But I do not want the Párijáta-tree; nor do I wish to take that which is another's property. Sachí is proud of her beauty. What woman is not proud of her husband?" Thus spoken to (by Satyabhámá), the king of the gods turned back, and said to her: "Desist, wrathful dame, from afflicting your friend by further reproaches. § I am not ashamed of being vanquished by him who is the author of the creation, preservation,

कीदृशं देवराज्यं ते पारिजातसगुज्ज्वलम् । ज्रपस्रतो यथापूर्वं प्रणयादागतां श्रचीम् ॥ § प्राह चैनामलं चण्डि सख्युः खेदातिविस्तरैः ।

^{*} Mahendra, in the Sanskrit.

[†] Hereabouts the translation is free.

[†] The insertion, here, of the words "to you", justified by the original, would make this sentence intelligible. The Sanskrit is as follows:

and destruction of the world; who is the substance of all things;* in whom, without beginning or middle, the universe is comprised; and from whom, and by whom, identical with all things, it proceeds, and will cease to be.† What disgrace is it, O goddess, (to any one,) to be discomfited by him who is the cause of creation, continuance, and dissolution? His form is the parent of all worlds, though infinitely subtile, and known to those only by whom all that may be known is known. Who is able to overcome the unborn, unconstituted, eternal lord, who has willed to become a mortal, for the good of the world?"

¹ The Bhágavata‡ merely says: "Incited by his wife, Křishňa took away the Párijáta-tree, having subdued the gods, and planted it in the garden of Satyabhámá." The Hari Vamsa makes a long story of it, and tells it with some variations, especially in the commencement; Satyabhámá's desire for the Párijáta-tree having been excited by Nárada's presenting a flower from it to Křishňa's other spouse, Rukmińí.

^{*} Viśwa-rúpin.

[†] यसिञ्जगत्सवलमेतद्गादिमधे यस्रावतञ्च न भविष्वति सर्वभूतात् ।

^{*} X., Latter Section, IX., 39, 40:

नोदितो भार्ययोत्पाय पारिजातं गर्तात । बारोष्य सेन्द्रान्विबुधान्निर्जित्वोपानयत्पुरम् ॥ स्वापितः सत्वभामाया गृहोवानोपशोभनः। बन्वगुर्श्रमराः खगीत्तन्नन्यासवसं पटाः॥

CHAPTER XXXI.

Křishna, with Indra's consent, takes the Párijáta-tree to Dwáraká; marries the princesses rescued from Naraka.

KEŚAVA, being thus eulogized by the king of the gods, smiled, and spake gravely to him (in reply). "Thou art Indra," said he, "the king of the celestials. We are (but) mortals, O lord of the world. Thou must pardon, therefore, the offence that I have committed. Let this Párijáta-tree be taken to its appropriate situation. I removed it in compliance with the words of Satyá. Receive back, also, this your thunderbolt, cast at me: for this is your proper weapon, *-the destroyer of your foes." Indra+ answered, and said: "Thou beguilest us, O lord, in calling thyself a mortal. But we know thee to be the lord, although not endowed: with subtlety of discernment. Thou art that thou art, engaged in the (active) preservation § of the earth; thou extractest the thorns implanted in her bosom, destroyer of the demon-race. Let this Párijáta-tree be transferred to Dwáraká; ¶ and it shall remain upon earth as long as thou abidest in the world of mortals."** Hari, having assented to the proposal of Indra, ++ returned to

^{*} Praharańa.

[†] Śakra, in the original.

[†] That is to say, "although we are not endowed."

[§] Trána.

[🎚] जगतः ग्रः खनिष्कषं करोष्यसुरसृद्न ।

[¶] The Sanskrit has Dwaravatí.

[&]quot; मर्त्यकोके लया मुक्ते नायं संख्यास्वते भुवि।

^{††} Devendra, in the Sanskrit.

earth, hymned by attendant sages, * saints, † and quiristers of heaven.

When Krishna arrived over Dwaraka, he blew his shell, and delighted all the inhabitants with the sound. Then, alighting from Garuda, he proceeded, with Satvabhámá, to her garden, and there planted the great Párijáta-tree, the smell of which perfumed the earth for three furlongs, § and an approach to which enabled every one to recollect (the events of) a prior existence; so that, on beholding their faces in that tree, all the Yádavas contemplated themselves in their (original) celestial forms. Then Krishna took possession of the wealth, elephants, horses, and women, which he had recovered from Naraka, and which had been brought (to Dwaraka) by the servants (of the demon); and, at an auspicious season, he responsed all the maidens whom Naraka had carried off (from their friends): at one and the same moment, ** he ++ received the hands of all of them, according to the ritual, in separate mansions.# Sixteen thousand and one hundred was the number of the maidens. §§ And into so many dif-

🎚 ततसी यादवाः सर्वे देहबन्धानमानुषान् ।

^{*} Siddha.

⁺ Rishi.

^{*} Nishkuťa.

[§] Yojana.

दृह्याः पादपे तिसान्तुर्वनो मुखदर्शनम् ॥

The Sanskrit here has Janardana.

^{**} Kála.

^{††} Govinda, in the original.

[#] Instead of पृथागेहेषु, there is a variant, पृथादेहेषु, importing "in multiplied persons"

^{§§} Our Purána is at variance with itself as to the number of Krishna's wives. See Vol. IV., p. 112, with which compare pp. 81, 82, supra.

ferent forms did the foe of Madhu* multiply himself; so that every one of the damsels thought that he had wedded her, in his single person. † And the creator of the world, Hari, the assumer of universal shape, abode severally in the dwelling of each of these his wives.:

- * Madhusúdana.
 - † एकैकक्षेन ताः कन्या मेनिरे मधुसूदनम्। समैव पाणियहणं भगवान्कृतवानिति॥

Sridhara, at least according to my single MS. of his commentary, begins this stanza with vary, at the expense of metrical correctness.

: निशासु च जगत्स्रष्टा तासां गेहेषु केशवः। खवास विप्र सर्वासां विश्वक्षधरो हरिः॥



CHAPTER XXXII.

Children of Krishna. Úshá, the daughter of Bána, sees Aniruddha in a dream, and becomes enamoured of him.

PARÁŚARA.—I have enumerated to you Pradyumna and the other sons of Rukmińi. Satyabhámá bore Bhánu* and Bhaimarika.† The sons of Rohińi were Díptimat, Támrapaksha,; and others. The powerful Sámba § and other sons were born of Jámbavatí. Bhadravinda || and other valiant youths were the sons of Nágnajití. Śaibyá (or Mitravindá¶) had several sons, of whom Sangrámajit was the chief. Vŕika and others were begotten (by Hari) on Mádrí.** Lakshmańá had Gátravat and others; and Śruta and others were the sons†† of Kálindí¹,‡ Kŕishňa §§ had sons, also, by his

¹ The Bhágavata says, each of his eight queens had ten sons,

^{*} Two MSS. have Bharu.

[†] Corrected from "Bhairika." In giving this mangled form of the name, Professor Wilson was misled by an error in his favourite MS. The scansion of the line shows that a syllable is missing. Messrs. Böhtlingk and Roth have helped to perpetuate "Bhairika".

Corrected from "Tamrapakshi", in which reading Professor Wilson was again led astray by an error in the MS. referred to in the last note. Messrs. Böhtlingk and Roth wrongly credit our Translator with "Tamrapakshin". One of my MS. yields Tamravarna; another, "Diptimat and others". Also vide supra, p. 79, note **.

[§] Corrected from "Samba". || My Ajmere MS. has Bhadramanda.

[¶] Supplied by the Translator, on the authority of the commentator Śridhara. Ratnagarbha considers Śaibya as one of Krishna's eight wives of the first order; but he does not identify her, by name, with any one of those specified in note § to p. 78, supra.

^{**} Vide supra, p. 81, note *.

^{††} There were three of them,—unnamed,—according to the reading of my Arrah MS.

‡‡ Vide supra, p. 78, note §, and p. 81, note 1.
§§ Chakrin, in the original.

other wives,—in all, one hundred and eighty thousand. The eldest of the whole was Pradyumna, the son of Rukmiń: his son was Aniruddha, from whom Vajra* was born: his mother was Úshá,†—the daughter of Báńa, and granddaughter of Bali,:—whom Aniruddha won in war.§ On that occasion, a fierce battle took place between Hari and Śankara, in which the thousand arms of Báńa were lopped away by the discus of the former.

MAITREYA.—How happened it, (venerable) Brahman, that a contest on account of Úshá arose between Śiva¶ and Krishńa? And in what manner did Hari cut off the thousand arms of Báńa?** This, illustrious sir, thou art able to narrate.††

Paráśara.—Úshá, the daughter of Báńa, having seen Párvatí sporting with (her lord,) Śambhu, was inspired with a wish for similar dalliance. The beautiful Gaurí, :: who knows the hearts of all, said to Úshá:

and gives the ten names of each set, with one or two exceptions. §§

महत्वीतृहलं जातं वाथां श्रोतुमिमां हरें:।

So reads Śridhara. Ratnagarbha has:

महत्वौतूहलं जातं वाथयात्र वाथामिमाम्।

^{*} Corrected from "Vraja". See Vol. IV., pp. 112, 113.

[†] Corrected, here and everywhere below, from "Usha", which, likewise, occurs, but not in our Purana. † See Vol. II., p. 69.

[§] The original is अनिक्डी र्गी क्ड:।

The Sanskrit has Chakrin.

[¶] Hara, in the Sanskrit.

^{**} कथं चयं च बाणस्य बाह्ननां क्रतवान्हरिः।

^{††} The original adds:

tt The same as Párvatí. See Vol. I., p. 104, note 1.

^{\$\$} Vide supra, p. 79, notes *, †, \$, ¶, and **; p. 81, notes * and †; and p. 82, note || ||.

"Do not grieve. You shall have a husband." * "But when will this be?" thought Úshá to herself. "Or who will be my lord?" On which, Párvatí continued: "He who shall appear to you, princess, in a dream, on the twelfth lunation + of the light half of Vaisákha, he will be your husband.": Accordingly, as the goddess had foretold, on that lunar day a youth appeared (to Úshá,) in a dream, of whose person she became enamoured. When she woke, and no longer perceived him, she was overcome with sorrow, and, unrestrained by modesty, demanded of her companion whither he had gone. The companion and friend of the princess was Chitralekhá, the daughter of Kumbhánda, § the minister of Bána. "Of whom do you speak?" inquired she (of Úshá). But the princess, recollecting herself, was ashamed, and remained silent. At length, however, Chitralekhá conciliated her confidence; and she related to her what had passed, and what the goddess had foretold; and she requested her friend to devise some means of uniting her with the person whom she had beheld in her dream.

Chitralekhá then delineated the most eminent gods,*** demons, †† spirits, ;; and mortals, and showed them (to

ं त्रनमत्यर्थतापेन भनी त्वमपि रंखसे।

्यदा लज्जाकुला नास्त्रै कथयामास सा सखी। ^शदेव्या तथैव तस्राप्त्री योऽस्रुपायः करुष्व तम् ।

[†] By this word Professor Wilson intends, at variance with scientific precedent, and otherwise entirely without justification, 'lunar day'.

[‡] In this sentence, and in the next, the Translator, with commendable taste, has not reproduced the grossness of the original.

[§] Corrected from "Kubhanda".

^{**} Sura.

^{††} Daitya.

^{##} Gandharva.

Úshá). Putting aside the portraits of gods, spirits, snake-gods, * and demons, † the princess selected those of mortals, and, amongst them, the heroes of the races of Andhaka and Vŕishńi.: When she came to (the likenesses of) Kŕishńa and Ráma, she was confused with shame. § From (the portrait of) Pradyumna she modestly averted her eyes; but, the moment she beheld the picture of his son, the object of her passion, her eyes wide expanded, and all her bashfulness was discarded. || "This is he! This is he!" said she (to Chitralekhá). And her friend, who was endowed with magic power, ¶ bade her be of good cheer, and set off, through the air, to Dwáraká.**

For Andhaka and Vrishni, see Vol. IV., pp. 71, et seq.

^{*} Uraga.

[†] Asura.

[ः] मनुष्येषु ददौ दृष्टिं तेष्यपन्धकवृष्णिषु।

[§] Lajjá-jaďa.

[🛘] दृष्यात्यर्थविकाभिन्या सज्जा क्वापि निराक्ता।

[¶] Yoga-gámin.

^{**} Dwáravatí, in the original.

CHAPTER XXXIII.

Bána solicits Śiva for war: finds Aniruddha in the palace, and makes him prisoner. Krishna, Balaráma, and Pradyumna come to his rescue. Śiva and Skanda aid Bána: the former is disabled; the latter, put to flight. Bána eucounters Krishna, who cuts off all his arms, and is about to put him to death. Śiva intercedes; and Krishna spares his life. Vishnu and Śiva are the same.

BEFORE this took place, Báúa had been engaged in the adoration of the three-eyed* (god), and had thus prayed to him: "I am humiliated,† O lord, by (the possession of) a thousand arms in a state of peace. Let some hostilities ensue, in which I may derive some advantage from their possession. Without war, what is the use of these arms? They are but a burthen to me.": Sankara replied: "When thy peacock-banner shall be broken, thou shalt have war,—the delight of the evil spirits that feast on the flesh of man." Sana, pleased (by this promise), proffered his thanks to Sambhu, and returned to his palace, where he found his standard broken; at which his joy was increased.

At that time, the nymph Chitralekhá returned (from Dwáraká), and, by the exercise of her magic power, brought Aniruddha (along with her). The guards of

^{*} Trilochana. See Vol. I., p. 141.

[†] Nirvinna.

[ः] कचित्रमेषां बाह्रमां साप्तन्त्रजनको र्गः। भविष्यति विना युद्धं भाराय मम किं भुजैः॥

पिशिताशिजनान्दं प्राप्स्यसि त्वं तदा रणम्।

^{||} The Sanskrit has simply प्रणस्य।

the inner apartments, discovering him there with Ushá,* reported it to the king, who immediately sent a body of his followers (to seize the prince). But the valiant youth, taking up an iron club, slew his assailants;† on which, Báńa mounted his car, advanced against him, and endeavoured to put him to death. Finding, however, that Aniruddha was not to be subdued by prowess, he followed the counsel of his minister, and brought his magical faculties into the conflict, by which he succeeded in capturing the Yadu prince, and binding him in serpent-bonds. ‡

When Aniruddha was missed from Dwáravatí, and the Yádavas were inquiring of one another whither he had gone, Nárada came to them, and told them that he was the prisoner of Báńa; having been conveyed, by a female possessed of magic faculties, to Śońitapura. 18 When they heard this, they were satisfied;

100

The synonyms of Śońitapura, in the Trikáńda Śesha, are Devikofa, Báńapura, Kotivarsha, and Ushávana. The first is, usually, considered to be the modern Devicotta, in the Carnatic, which is commonly believed to be the scene of Báńa's defeat. The name, however, occurs in other parts of India: in the Deccan, on the banks of the Godávarí,—according to Wilford, the capital of Munja (Asiatic Researches, Vol. IX., p. 199); and in Assam,

[ं] तं रममाणं सहीषया।

[†] जघान परिघं लौहमादाय परवीरहा।

[ः] ततस्तं पत्नगास्त्रेण बबन्ध यदुनन्दनम् ।

[§] The translation, hereabouts, is rather free.

[|] II., I., 17.

The better reading, it seems, is Umavana, as in the Haima-kośa, IV., 43, where, also, we find all the synonyms of Śońitapura which are specified above.

for they had imagined he had been taken away by the gods, (in reprisal for the Párijáta-tree).* Křishňa,† therefore, immediately summoned Garuda, who came with a wish;: and, mounting upon him, along with Bala and Pradyumna, he set off for the city of Báňa. On their approach to the city, they were opposed by the spirits who attend on Rudra: § but these were soon destroyed by Hari; and he and his companions reached the vicinity of the town. Here, mighty Fever—an emanation from Maheśwara, having three feet and three heads¹,—fought desperately with Vishňu, ** in defence of Báňa. Baladeva, upon whom his ashes were

near Gwálpára, as the city of the Daityas. Asiatic Researches, Vol. XIV., p. 443. Hamilton †† notices the remains of a city, so called, in Dinajpoor. In the Káliká Purána, Bána is described as the friend and, apparently, neighbour of Naraka, king of Prágjyotisha; or Assam.

¹ Alluding to the three stages of febrile paroxysms, or to the recurrence of tertian ague. A contest with this enemy, in the course of military operations, is an allegory which the British armies in India too often illustrate.

ं तं शोणितपुरे श्रुला मीतं विद्याविद्ग्धया। योषिता प्रत्ययं जग्मुयीद्वा नामरेरिति॥

- + The Sanskrit has Hari.
- * I. e., "at his wish".
- § The Translator takes from Śridhara this explanation of pramatha.

ा पुरीप्रवेशे प्रमर्थेर्युद्धमासीत्महात्मनः । ययौ वाण्पुराभ्याशं नीत्वा तान्संचयं इरिः॥

- ¶ Maheswara is the word translated by "an emanation from Maheswara."

 ** Sarnga-dhanwan, "armed with the bow Sarnga," is the term by which Krishna is here called, in the original.
- †† History, &c. of Eastern India, Vol. II., p. 660.
- * Vide supra, p. 54, note 1; p. 55, note 1; and p. 88, note 1.

scattered, was seized with burning heat, and his eyelids trembled; but he obtained relief by clinging to the body of Krishńa.* Contending, thus, with the divine holder of the bow,† the Fever (emanating from Siva) was quickly expelled from the person of Krishńa by Fever: which he himself engendered. § Brahmá, || beholding the impersonated malady bewildered by the beating inflicted by the arms of the deity, entreated the latter to desist; and the foe of Madhu refrained, and absorbed into himself the Fever he had created. The rival Fever then departed, saying to Krishńa: "Those men who call to memory the combat between us shall be (ever) exempt from febrile disease."***

Next, Vishnu overcame and demolished the five fires, ††† and, with perfect ease, ‡‡ annihilated the army

* तद्गसास्पर्शसंभूततापः कृष्णाङ्गसंगमात् । त्रवाप बलदेवोऽपि श्ममामीलितेचणः॥

- † To render Śárngin, as Kŕishúa is denominated from his bow spoken of in note ** in the preceding page.
 - $^{\scriptsize +}_{\scriptsize +}$ Vaishńava is the epithet given it in the Sanskrit.
 - § Krishna here figures as a practitioner of homoeopathy.
 - || Pitámaha, in the original.
 - ¶ नारायणभुजाघातपरिपीडनविद्वलम् । तं वीच्य चम्यतामस्येत्याह देवः पितामहः॥ ततस् चान्तमेवेति प्रोत्का तं वैष्णवं ज्वरम् । स्रात्यन्येव लयं निन्ये भगवानसभुसुदनः॥
 - ** Vijwara.
 - †† "The protectors of that city",-namely, Bana's, says Ratnagarbha.
 - 👯 लीलया।

¹ The Áhavaníya, Gárhapatya, Dakshińa, Sabhya, and Ávasathya are the five fires; of which the three first have a religious, and the other two, a secular, character. The first is a fire pre-

of the Dánavas. Then the son of Bali (Báńa), with the whole of the Daitya* host, assisted by Śankara and Kárttikeya,† fought with Śauri. A fierce combat took place between Hari and Śankara. All the regions shook, scorched by their flaming weapons; and the celestials felt assured that the end of the universe was at hand. Govinda, with the weapon of yawning, set Śankara agape; and then the demons: and the demigods attendant upon Śiva § were destroyed on every side; for Hara, overcome with incessant gaping, sat down in his car, and was unable longer to contend with Kŕishúa, whom no acts affect. | The deity of war, Kárttikeya,¶ wounded in the arm by Garuda,*** struck by the weapons of Pradyumna, and disarmed by the shout of

pared for oblations at an occasional sacrifice; the second is the household fire, to be perpetually maintained; the third is a sacrificial fire, in the centre of the other two, and placed to the south; the Sabhya is a fire lighted to warm a party; and the Avasathya, †† the common domestic or culinary fire. Manu, III., 100, 185, ‡‡ and Kullúka Bhatta's explanation. §§

उचतवाहः।

^{*} Daiteya, in the Sanskrit.

[†] See Vol. I., Preface, p. LXXXIX.; and Vol. II., p. 23.

[.] Daiteya.

[§] In definition of pramatha.

Aklishta-karman, "un weariable."

The for "the deity of war, Karttikeya," the Sanskrit has, simply, Guha.

^{*} Professor Wilson has followed the reading of Śridhara, as it appears in the only copy of his commentary to which we, in common, have had access,— নৰ্ভবানৰাক্ত: Ratnagarbha adopts the ordinary lection, নৰ্-

⁺⁺ Corrected from "Avasatthya."

[‡] Neither thence nor from Kullúka's comments is much to be gleaned touching the five fires.

^{§§} Also see Vol. III., p. 175, note §; and Vol. IV., p. 11, note 1.

Hari,* took to flight. Bána, when he saw Sankara disabled, the Daityas destroyed, Guha+ fled, and Śiva's followers: slain, & advanced, on his vast car,- the horses of which were harnessed by Nandíśa, - 1 to encounter Krishna and his associates Bala and Pradyumna.** The valiant Balabhadra, attacking the host of Bána, wounded them, in many ways, with his arrows, and put them to a shameful rout; †† and their sovereign beheld them dragged about by Ráma, # with his ploughshare, or beaten, by him, with his club, or pierced, by Krishna, §§ with his arrows. He, therefore, attacked Krishna; and a fight took place between them. They cast at each other fiery shafts, that pierced through their armour: but Krishna intercepted, with his arrows, those of Bána, and cut them to pieces. Bána, nevertheless, wounded Kesava; and the wielder of the discus wounded Bána; and both, desirous of victory, and seeking, enraged, the death of his antagonist, hurled (various) missiles at each other. When

†† बलभद्रो महावीर्यो बाणसैन्यमनेकधा। विद्याध बाणैः प्रभन्न धर्मतस्तर्यनायत॥

Ratnagarbha ends the second verse with धर्मतश्चापलायत:; and some MSS. give, with this reading, संहष्ट:, instead of प्रसन्ध।

^{*} The original has Krishna.

[†] I. e., Karttikeya.

[†] To render pramatha-sainya.

[§] शार्क्षधन्वना, "by the bearer of the bow Sarnga", the original particularizes.

^{||} Read 'driven',—sangrihita.

[¶] Also called Nandi and Nandin. Generally he is represented as a follower of Siva. See Vol. I., Preface, p. LXXXIX., and p. 122.

^{**} The Sanskrit here calls him by his patronym, Kárshúi.

^{**} Bala, in the original.

^{§§} The Sanskrit has Chakrin.

an infinite number of arrows* had been cut to pieces, and the weapons began to be exhausted, † Krishňa: resolved to put Báňa to death. The destroyer § of the demon-host, therefore, took up his discus, Sudarśana, blazing with the radiance of a hundred suns. As he was in the act of casting it, the mystical goddess Kotáví, ¶ the magic lore of the demons, stood, naked, before him.¹** Seeing her before him, Krishňa, †† with unclosed eyes, cast Sudarśana, to cut off the arms: of Báňa. The discus, dreaded, in its flight, by the whole of the weapons of the demons, lopped off, successively,

** नया दैतेयविद्याभूत्कोटवी पुरतो हरेः।

Ratnagarbha says: कोट्टवी दैखविद्या मन्त्रमयी दैखकुलदेवता। Also see note §§, below.

^{&#}x27;Kofaví (कोटनी) is said to be an eighth portion of Rudráńí, and the tutelary goddess of the Daityas, composed of incantations (मन्त्रमधी). §§ The Hari Vańśa प्रत्यों calls her, also, Lambá, and intimates her being the mother of Báńa, and as identical with Durgá. The word, in the lexicous, designates a naked woman, and is, thence, applicable to Durgá, in some of her forms.

^{*} The Sanskrit yields "all the arrows".

[ं] ऋस्ते च सीद्ति प्राचुर्येण। Ratnagarbha explains this as follows: प्राचुर्येणास्त्रे सीद्ति चीण सति।

Hari, in the original.

[§] Read "enemy",—ari.

Here called, in the original, by his epithetical appellation, Madhudwish, or Madhuvidwish, according to various copies.

[¶] Variant: Kodavi. And Ratnagarbha, in my best MSS., has Kottavi.

⁺⁺ The Sanskrit has Hari.

[🏥] बाज्जवनं, according to Śridhara. बाज्जबनुं is Ratnagarbha's reading.

^{§§} This is from Śridhara, who says: कोटवी नाम दैतेयानां विद्या-मन्त्रमयी कुलदेवता रूट्राख्या ऋष्टमांशः।

^{|| | \$}l. 10722.

the numerous arms of the Asura. Beholding Krishna with the discus again in his hand, and preparing to launch it once more, for the total demolition of Bána, the foe of Tripura * (Siva,) respectfully addressed him.† The husband of Umá, * seeing the blood streaming from

Where this tale is briefly rehearsed in the Gańeśa Upapurańa,— Prior Section, Chapter LXXI.,—Bảńa carries off Pradyumna, whose father, Krishńa, attacks the giant, and, after propitiating Gańeśa, overcomes the monster, and takes possession of his city, Śońitapura. Some ten chapters of the first half of the Gańeśa Upapurańa, beginning with the thirty-eight. are taken up with Tripura or Bańa.

Tripuri, the capital of the Chedis,—a place connected with the preceding legends,—I discovered, while exploring the banks of the Narmadá, in the insignificant village of Tewar. See the Journal of the American Oriental Society, Vol. VI., p. 516.

† The Translator has strangely misunderstood the import of the following stanzas:

क्रमेण तत्तु वाह्ननां वाणस्याच्युतनोदितम् । केदं चक्रेऽसुरापास्त्रग्रस्तीघचपणादृतम् ॥ किन्ने वाहुसहस्रे तु करस्यं मधुसूद्नः। सुमुजुर्वाणनाशाय विज्ञातस्त्रिपुरदिषा॥

Ratnagarbha reads -चोदितं, for -नोदितं; -चेपण्॰, for -चपण्॰; and बाइबर्स तस्य, for बाहुसहस्रे तु. One other variant which I find is बाइबर्न तस्य; and my Ajmere MS. interpolates, after the first verse of the extract:

चिच्छेद चक्रं बाह्रनां सहस्रमतिवेगवत् । ! Umapati.

^{*} In the Eighth Chapter of the Revá-máhátmya, it is said, that Tripurí-kshetra, where Śiva flung down Tripura, the Asura, lies to the north of the Narmadá. The Twenty-ninth Chapter of the same work somewhat discordantly relates as follows. The demon Báúa, in reward of his austerities as a votary of Śiva, received from him the gift of a city. Brahmá and Vishúu adding, each, another, he obtained the epithet of Tripura, or Tvinoùis. When slain by Śiva, as he was traversing the heavens, a part of his carcase fell near the well-known mountain Śriśaila, in Siddhakshetra; another fragment, not far from Amarakańtaka; and the remainder, in the vicinity of Gangáságara. The weapon, Aghorástra, with which he was demolished, reached the earth at a point of the Narmadá hard by Jaleśwaratírtha, and sank to Rasátala, the nethermost of the infernal stages.

the dissevered arms of Bána, approached Govinda, to solicit a suspension of hostilities,* and said to him: "Krishna, Krishna, lord of the world, I know thee, first of spirits, the supreme lord, infinite felicity, without beginning or end, and beyond all things.: This sport of universal being, in which thou takest the persons of god, animals, and men, is a subordinate attribute of thy energy. § Be propitious, therefore, O lord, (unto me). I have given Bána assurance of safety. Do not thou falsify that which I have spoken. He has grown old in devotion to me. Let him not incur thy displeasure. The Daitya has received a boon from me: and, therefore, I deprecate thy wrath." When he had concluded, Govinda, dismissing his resentment against the Asura, looked graciously on the lord of Umá, I the wielder of the trident, ** and said to him: "Since you. Sankara, have given a boon unto Bána, let him live. From respect to your promises, my discus is arrested. †† The assurance of safety granted by you is granted (also,) by me. You are fit to apprehend that you are not distinct from me.:: That which I am thou art; and

^{*} सामपूर्वम् ।

[†] Purushottama.

^{; &}quot;Beyond all things" is to render para.

देवितर्यक्मनुष्येषु ग्रारीरयहणात्मिका।
 लीलेयं सर्वभूतस्य तव चेष्टोपलचणा॥

[|] Saméraya.

[¶] Umápati.

^{**} Śúla-páńi.

[†] लद्दाकागीरवादेतनाया चक्रं निवर्तितम्।

[😀] मत्तोऽविभिन्नमातानं द्रष्टुमईसि श्लार्।

[&]quot;You must perceive," &c.

that, also, is this world, with its gods, demons, and mankind. Men contemplate distinctions, because they are stupified by ignorance, So saying, Krishna went to the place where the son of Pradyumna was confined. The snakes that bound him were destroyed, being blasted by the breath of Garuda; and Krishna, placing him, along with his wife, upon the celestial bird, returned, with Pradyumna and Ráma, to Dwáraká.

¹ There can be little doubt that this legend describes a serious struggle between the Śaivas and Vaishńavas, in which the latter, according to their own report, were victorious; and the Śaivas, although they attempt to make out a sort of compromise between Rudra and Krishńa, are obliged to admit his having the worst of the conflict, and his inability to protect his votary. The Bhágavata tells the story much as the text. The Hari Vaṁsa amplifies, even more than usual; the narrative occupying nearly seventy pages of the French translation. The legend is to be found, to the same purport, but in various degrees of detail, in the Agni Purána, Kúrma Purána, Padma Purána (Uttara Khańda), Vámana Purána, and Brahma Vaivarta Purána, (Krishńa Janma Khańda).

^{*} Asura.

[†] In the original, Pradyumni. Aniruddha is intended.

[.] The Sanskrit has Aniruddha.

[§] To represent Garutmat.

[॥] त्राजग्मुद्वीरकां रामकार्ष्णिदामोदराः पुरीम् ।

[&]quot;Rama, Karshni, and Damodara went to the city of Dwaraka."

CHAPTER XXXIV.

Paundraka, a Vásudeva, assumes the insignia and style of Krishna. supported by the king of Kási. Krishna marches against and destroys them. The son of the king sends a magical being against Krishna: destroyed by his discus, which also sets Benares on fire, and consumes it and its inhabitants.

MAITREYA.—Of a truth, the divine Sauri, having assumed a mortal body, performed great achievements in his easy victories over Sakra, and Siva,* and all their attendant divinities.† I am now desirous to hear from you, illustrious (sage), what other mighty exploit the humiliator of the prowess of the celestials performed.

PARASARA.—Hear, excellent Brahman, with reverent attention, an account of the burning of Váránasí by Krishna, in the course of his relieving the burthens of the earth.

There was a Vásudeva who was called Pauńdraka,

† चक्रे कर्म महक्कीरिर्विश्वाणी मानुषीं तनुम्। जिगाय शुक्रं श्वें च सर्वदेवां स्र लीलया॥

^{&#}x27; From being, the commentator T says, king of Pundra. ** The

^{*} Śarva, in the original.

^{*} Viprarshi; the same as Brahmarshi, for which term see Vol. 111., p. 68, text and note 1.

[§] Corrected, here and everywhere below, from "Varanasi" or "Varanasi."

^{||} भारावतारे। Variant: नरावतारे।

The two commentators have identically the same explanation of the name in question: ঘাঁডেইয়েল:, "born in the country of the Pauńdras." They do not call Pauńdraka a king.

^{**} See Vol. II., p. 170, note 5.

and who, though not the Vásudeva, was flattered, by ignorant people, as the descended deity, until he fancied himself to be the Vásudeva¹ who had come down upon earth.* Losing all recollection (of his real character), he assumed the emblems of Vishńu, and sent an ambassador to the magnanimous Kŕishńa, with this message: "Relinquish, thou foolish fellow, the discus. Lay aside all my insignia, my name, and the character of Vásudeva, and come and do me homage; and I will vouchsafe thee means of subsistence." † At which, Janárdana laughed, and replied: "Go, messenger, back to Pauńdraka, and say to him, from me: I will despatch: to thee my emblem the discus, without fail. Thou wilt rightly apprehend my meaning, and consider what is to be done; for I shall come to

Bhágavata § calls him chief of the Kárúshas; it the Padma, king of Káši; but the Bhágavata, as well as our text, makes the king of Káši This friend and ally.

According to the Padma Purána, he propitiates Siva, and obtains from him the insignia which constitute a Vásudeva. The different authorities for this legend all use the term Vásudeva in the sense of a title.

गर्व, for स्व, is the reading of some MSS.

^{*} पीराष्ट्रको वासुदेवस्तु वासुदेवोऽभवसुवि। अवतीर्णस्वमित्युक्तो जनैरच्चानमोहितैः॥ स मेने वासुदेवोऽहमवतीर्णो महीतने।

[†] वासुदेवातानं मूढ मुत्का सर्वे विशेषतः। त्रातानो जीविताधाय ततो मे प्रणतिं व्रज्ञ॥

[ः] समुत्स्रच्छे ।

[§] X., Latter Section, Chapter XVI.

See Vol. III., p. 240, notes 1, etc.

[¶] In all such cases, read "king of the Kasis."

thy city, bringing the discus with me, and shall, undoubtedly, consign* it to thee. If thou wilt command me to come, I will immediately obey, and be with thee to-morrow. There shall be no delay. † And, having sought thy asylum, I will so provide, O king, that I shall never more have anything to dread from thee. "So saying, he dismissed the ambassador, (to report these words to his sovereign), and, summoning, the Garuda, § mounted him, and set off for the city (of Pauńdraka").

¹ The Hari Vamsa and Padma Purana send Paundraka to Dwaraka. According to the latter, Narada incites Paundraka to the aggression, telling him he cannot be a Vásudeva till he has overcome Krishna. He goes, and is killed. The former work, as usual, enters into particulars of its own invention. Krishna is absent on a visit to Siva at Kailása; and, during his absence. Paundraka, assisted by Ekalavya, king of the Nishadas, makes a night-attack upon Dwáraká. They are resisted by the Yádavas. under Sátyaki and Balaráma; by the former of whom Pauńdraka is repeatedly overthrown, and all but slain. He requires so much killing, however, that he is likely to obtain the victory; when Krishna comes to the aid of his kinsmen, and, after a protracted encounter, described in language employed a hundred times before, kills his competitor. The whole of the sections called the Kailása Yátrá-or Krishna's Journey to Kailása,-must have been wanting in the copy used by M. Langlois, as they are not included in his translation. The chapters of the Hari Vanisa.

^{*} समुत्स्रच्यामि ।

म आजापूर्वं च यदिद्मागक्केति खयोदितम् ।
 संपाद्यिथे यसुभं तद्येषोऽ विसम्बितम् ॥

[‡] संसाख, "calling to mind."

[§] In the Sanskrit, Garutmat.

[|] See Vol. IV., p. 113, note 1.

When the king of Káśi* heard of the preparations of Keśava, he sent his army (to the aid of Pauńdraka), himself bringing up the rear; † and, with the force of the king of Káśi, ‡ and his own troops, Pauńdraka, the (false) Vásudeva, marched to meet Kŕishňa. § Hari beheld him afar off, standing in his car, holding a discus, a club, a mace, || a scimitar, and a lotos, in his hands; ornamented with a garland of flowers; bearing a bow; and having his standard made of gold. He had, also, the Śrívatsa¶ mark delineated on his breast; he was dressed in yellow garments, and decorated with ear-rings and a tiara. ** When the god whose standard is Garuda beheld him, he laughed with a deep laugh, and engaged in conflict with the hostile host of cavalry and elephants, fighting with swords, †† scimitars, ;;

according to his enumeration of them, are 261: my copy has 316. §§

† सर्वसैन्यपरीवारः पार्षिण्याह उपाययौ।

Ratnagarbha defines पार्क्यिग्राह: by सहाय:, 'ally.' Compare Vol. IV., p. 2, text and note ¶.

† Káši-rája. See Vol. IV., p. 344, supplementary note on p. 87.

§ The original has Keśava.

|| Probably the Translator forgot to strike out this word, after inserting 'club'. Krishna has only four insignia, named, in the original, as follows:

चन्नहस्तं गदाखङ्गबाङं पाणिगताम्बजम् ।

For Krishńa's complete equipment of weapons, vide infra, p. 149.

¶ Vide supra, p. 13, text and note ‡. For the śrivatsa of the Jainas, see Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. II., p. 210.

** Kiríta. †† Nistriúisa. ; Řishti.

§§ The Calcutta edition has 326; the Bombay edition, 317, in three Sections of 55, 128, and 134, severally.

^{*} Káśi-pati. See note +, below.

maces, tridents,* spears, † and bows. Showering upon the enemy the shafts from his Sárnga! bow, and hurling at them his mace and discus, he \ quickly destroyed both the army of Pauńdraka and that of the king of Káśi. He then said to the former, who was foolishly wearing his emblems: "Pauńdraka, you desired me, by your envoy, to resign to you all my insignia. I now deliver them to you. Here is my discus. Here I give up my mace. And here is Garuda: ¶ let him mount upon thy standard." Thus speaking, he let fly the discusand the mace, by which Pauńdraka was cut to pieces and cast on the ground; whilst the Garuda (on his banner) was demolished by the Garuda (of Vishim. The people, beholding this sight, exclaimed "Alas! alas!" But the valiant king of Kási, ** adhering to the imposture of his friend, continued the conflict, †† till Sauri # decapitated him with his arrows, shooting his head into the city of Kási, §§ to the marvel of all the inhabitants. Having, thus, slain Pauńdraka and the king of Kási, || || with all their followers, Sauri returned

া युष्धे वासुदेवेन मित्रखापचित्तौ स्थितः।

The two commentators remark, in the same words: ऋपचिता ।

^{*} Śúla, 'pikes'.

[†] Śakti.

Corrected from "Såranga", a mistake found in Professor Wilson-Hindu-made version.

[§] Janárdana, in the original.

Káśi-rája.

T Garutmat, in the Sanskrit; and so twice, just below.

^{**} काशीनामधिप:, "king of the Kasis," literally.

त्रानृष्ये स्थितः सन्युयुधे।

This name does not appear in the original.

^{§§} Káśi-puri, "the city of the Káśis."

Káśi-rája.

to Dwáraká,* where he lived in the enjoyment of heavenly delights.†

When the inhabitants of Káśi; saw the head of their king § shot into their city, they were much astonished, and wondered how it could have happened, and by whom the deed could have been done. Having ascertained that the king had been killed by Kŕishńa, I the son of the monarch (of Káśi), together with the priest of the family, propitiated Śankara; and that deity, well pleased to be adored in the sacred place ** Avimukta, †† desired the prince to demand a boon. On which he prayed, and said: "O lord, mighty god, through thy favour, let thy mystic spirit!" arise to destroy Kŕishńa, the murderer of my father." "It shall be so," answered Śankara. And from out of the southern fire §§ upsprang a vast and formidable female, like flame out of

¹ The Bhágavata names him Sudakshina; the Padma, Danda-páni.

² A personified Krityá, a magical creation. The Padma has

^{*} The Sanskrit has Dwáravatí.

[†] पुनद्वारवतीं प्राप्ती रेमे खर्गगती यथा।

[!] Not literal.

[§] Káśi-pati.

[॥] तच्छिरः पतितं दृष्टा तत्र काशिपतेः पुरे। जनः किमेतदिखाह केनेखखनतिस्मितः॥

[¶] Vasudeva, in the original.

^{**} Mahá-kshetra.

^{††} This name of Benares is found in the Káśi-khańda, as well. It occurs, also, in the Jábála Upanishad. See my Benares, &c., p. 4, note 2.

^{** &}quot;Thy mystic spirit" is to translate krityá, for which see note 2 in the present page.

^{§§} Dakshińágni. Vide supra, p. 114, note 1.

III X., Latter Section, XVI., 27.

fire, blazing with ruddy light, and with fiery radiance streaming amidst her hair.* Angrily she called upon Krishna, and departed to Dwaraka; † where the people. beholding her, were struck with dismay, and fled, for protection, to Madhusúdana, the refuge of all worlds. The wielder of the discus, knowing that the field & had been produced by the son of the king of Kási, through his adoration of the deity whose emblem is a bull, and being engaged in sportive amusements, and playing at dice, said to the discus: "Kill this fierce creature, whose tresses are of plaited flame." Accordingly, Sudarsana, the discus of Vishnu, immediately attacked the fiend, ** fearfully enwreathed with fire. and wearing tresses of plaited flame. †† Terrified at the might of Sudarsana, the creation of Maheswara!! awaited not his attack, but fled with speed, pursued by him with equal velocity, until she reached Váránasí. repelled by the superior might of the discus of Vishnu.

the same. The Bhágavata makes the product of the sacrificial fire a male, and sends him to Dwáraká, accompanied by a host of Bhútas, Śiva's attendant goblins.

^{*} My MSS, present a great variety of readings in the epithets applied to the being under description.

[†] Dwaravati, in the Sanskrit.

[:] Chakrin.

[§] Mahá-křitvá.

Káśi-rája.

[¶] Kŕityá.

^{**} Kŕityá.

^{††} This is far from literal; and the same may be said of the remainder of the paragraph.

[🗄] इत्या माध्यपी।

The army of Kási,* and the host of the demigods attendant upon Siva,† armed with all kinds of weapons, then sallied out, to oppose the discus; but, skilled in the use of arms, he consumed (the whole of) the forces by his radiance, and then set fire; to the city, in which the magic power of Siva had concealed herself.¹ Thus was Váránasí burnt, with all its princes and their followers, its inhabitants, horses, elephants, and men, treasures and granaries, houses, palaces, and markets. The whole of a city that was inaccessible to the gods** was, thus, wrapped in flames by the discus of Hari, and was totally destroyed. The discus, then, with unmitigated wrath, and blazing fiercely, and far from satisfied with the accomplishment of so easy a task, returned to the hand of Vishúu.²††

^{&#}x27;According to the Bhágavata, the magical being, himself, destroys Sudakshińa and his priest; but Sudarśana consumes the people and the city. The Padma ascribes the destruction of the king and all his city to the discus. The Hari Vamsa closes its narrative with the death of Pauńdraka, and makes no mention of the destruction of Benares. The circumstance; is alluded to, in a preceding section (s. 159), by Nárada, when detailing the exploits of Křishňa.

² In this legend, again, we have a contest between the followers

^{*} Káśi-bala; which may mean either "the army of the Káśis" or "the army of the king of the Káśis."

[†] This phrase is to represent pramatha.

[‡] दाध्वा implies combustion.

^{§ &}quot;The magic power of Śiva" is to render kritya.

Prákára.

[¶] Chatwara.

[&]quot; दुर्निरीचां सुरैरपि।

^{††} The latter portion of this paragraph is translated very freely.

¹¹ Namely, of the burning of Benares.

of Vishńu and Śiva intimated; as, besides the assistance given. by the latter, to Pauńdraka, Benares—Váráňasí or Avimukta, *—has been, from all time, as it is at present, the high place of the Śaiva worship.† There is, also, an indication of a Vaishńava schism, in the competition between Pauńdra and Křishňa for the title of Vásudeva and the insignia of his divinity.

[•] Corrected from "Atimukti". Vide supra, p. 126, text and note ††. † See my Benares, &c., p. 18, note 2.



CHAPTER XXXV.

Sámba carries off the daughter of Duryodhana, but is taken prisoner. Balaráma comes to Hastinápura, and demands his liberation: it is refused: in his wrath, he drags the city towards him, to throw it into the river. The Kuru chiefs give up Sámba and his wife.

MAITREYA.—I have a (great) desire to hear, (excellent) Brahman, some further account of the exploits of Balaráma.* You have related to me his dragging the Yamuná,† and other mighty deeds; but you can tell me, venerable sir, * some other of his § acts.

Parassara.— Attend, Maitreya, to the achievements performed by Ráma, who is the eternal, illimitable Sesha, the upholder of the earth. At the choice of a husband by the daughter of Duryodhana, the princess was carried off by the hero Sámba, the son of Jámbavatí. Being pursued by Duryodhana, Karńa, †† Bhíshma, Drońa, and other celebrated chiefs, who were incensed at his audacity, he was defeated and taken prisoner. §§ When the Yádavas heard of the oc-

§§ ततः कुद्या महावीर्याः कर्णदुर्योधनादयः ।
भीष्मदोणादयः विकंतमः
॥

भीष्मद्रोणाद्यश्चैनं बबन्धुर्युधि निर्जितम् ॥ The translation of this stanza, as will be seen, is considerably interpolated.

^{*} Balabhadra, in the Sanskrit.

[†] Vide supra, pp. 65-68.

[†] Mahábhága.

[§] Bala's, according to the original.

^{||} Her name was Lakshmańá, according to the Bhágavata-puráńa, X., Latter Section, XVIII., 1.

[¶] Vide supra, p. 79, note ¶; and p. 107.

^{**} See Vol. IV., p. 158.

^{††} Ibid., pp. 102 and 126.

^{##} *Ibid.*, p. 157,

currence, their wrath was kindled against Duryodhana and his associates; and they prepared to take up arms against them.* But Baladeva, † in accents interrupted by the effects of ebriety, the forbade them, and said: "I will go, alone, to the sons of Kuru. § They will liberate Sámba, at my request." Accordingly, he went to the elephant-styled city | (Hastinapura), but took up his abode in a grove without the town, which he did not enter. When Duryodhana and the rest heard that he ¶ had arrived there, they sent him a cow, a present of fruits and flowers, and water.** Bala received the offering in the customary form, and said to the Kauravas: "Ugrasena†† commands you to set Sámba at liberty." When Bhishma, Drona, Karna, Duryodhana, and the others heard this, they were (very) angry; and Báhlíka! and other (friends of the) Kauravas, who looked upon the Yadu race as not entitled to regal dignity. said to the wielder of the club: \$\\$ "What is this, Balabhadra, that thou hast uttered? What Yádava shall give orders to the chiefs of the family of Kuru? II If Ugrasena issues his mandates to the Kauravas, then

" गामर्घ्यमुद्वं चैव रामाय प्रत्यवेदयन्।

^{*} चनुष्य तानिहन्तं महोयमम्।

[†] The Sanskrit has Bala.

[🕽] मदलोलक्लाचरम्।

[§] Kaurava.

^{||} I find two readings, -- नगरं नागसाह्यं and नगरं गजसाह्यम्। For Hastinapura or Hastinapura, see Vol. IV., p. 139.

[¶] Bala, in the original.

tt See Vol. IV., p. 98; also, supra, p. 45.

^{##} See Vol. IV, p. 154, where correct "Vahlika".

^{§§} Musalayudha. Vide supra, p. 67, note **.

[|] See Vol. IV., pp. 148 and 152.

we must take away the white umbrella that he has usurped, and which is fit only for kings.* Depart, therefore, Balaráma.† You are entitled to our respect: but Sámba has been guilty of improper conduct; and we will not liberate him, either at Ugrasena's commands, or yours. The homage that is due to us, their superiors, by the Kukura: and Andhaka tribes, may not be paid by them. But who ever heard of a command issued by a servant to his master? Elevation to an equal seat has rendered you arrogant. We have committed a great mistake, in neglecting, through our friendship for you, the policy (that teaches the danger of treating the abject with deference). Our sending you (to-day,) a respectful present** was an intimation

* तद्वं पाण्डुरैपक्षत्रैर्मृपयोग्यैर्विडम्बितैः।

So reads Śridhara, from whom Ratnagarbha differs, in ending the verse with অবভারের: I

॥ प्रणतिया क्रतास्मानमायाणां नुकुरान्धकैः। न नाम सा क्रता नेयमाज्ञा स्वामिनि भृत्यतः॥

This is Śridhara's reading. Ratnagarbha substitutes मान्यानां for भूतां। Also see note §, above. It is scarcely worth while to dwell on the Translator's misapprehension of this unimportant passage.

In the couplet cited occurs the word $\dot{a}rya$, in connexion with which the reader will, perhaps, pardon a digression. The reverse of $\dot{a}rya$, $an\dot{a}rya$, 'disreputable', has, in Hindi, become corrupted into $an\dot{a}ri$, 'inexpert', 'artless', 'stupid'. It being assumed, further, by popular etymology, that $an\dot{a}ri$ is compounded of a and $n\dot{a}ri$, we have, by the prefixing of su, 'good', to the latter, $sun\dot{a}ri$, 'expert', 'knowing', 'clever'.

को दोषो भवतां नीतिर्यत्पीत्या नावलोकिता।

⁺ Bala, in the Sanskrit.

Corrected from "Kukkura". For Kukura, see Vol. IV., p. 97.

[§] See Vol. IV., p. 96. Instead of the ordinary reading, जुन्। स्वी:, my oldest and best MSS. of Ratnagarbha's text have नुत्वान्धवे:, "titular Kurus."

^{**} Argha.

of (personal) regard, which it was neither fit for our race to have proffered, nor for yours to have expected."

Having thus spoken, the Kuru chiefs, unanimously refusing to set the son of Hari at large, immediately returned into the city.* Bala, † rolling about with intoxication, and the wrath which their contemptuous language had excited, struck the ground furiously with his heel, so that it burst to pieces with a loud sound that reverberated through the regions of space.: His eyes reddened with rage, and his brow was curved with frowns, as he exclaimed: "What arrogance is this, in such vile and pithless creatures! The sovereignty of the Kauravas, as well as our own, is the work of fate, § whose decree it, also, is, that they now disrespect or disobey the commands of Ugrasena. Indra may, of right, give his orders to the gods; and Ugrasena exercises equal authority with the lord of Sachí. Fie upon the pride that boasts a throne the leavings of a hundred mortals! I Is not he the sovereign of the earth, the wives of whose servants adorn themselves with the blossoms of the Párijáta-tree? Ugrasena shall be the undisputed king of kings; for I will not return to his capital, until I have rid the world wholly of the sons of Kuru. ** I will destroy Karńa,

^{&#}x27; विविशुर्गजसाह्रयम् । † The original has Haláyudha.

A free rendering; and so is the rest of the Chapter, generally. Kála. Sanskrit.

[¶] धिञ्चानुष्यभ्रतोच्छिष्टे तृष्टिरेषां नृपासने । So reads Śrídhara. Ratnagarbha begins the verse with धिञ्चानुषा-णामुच्छिष्टे ।

^{**} समस्तभूभुजां नाथ उग्रसेनः स तिष्ठतु । त्रुद्य निष्कौरवामुर्वीं क्रत्या याखामि तत्पुरीम् ॥

Duryodhana, Drońa, Bhíshma, Báhlíka, Duhsásana,* Bhúriśravas,† Somadatta,‡ Śala, § Bhíma, Arjuna, Yudhishthira, || the twins,¶ and all the other vile descendants of Kuru, with their horses, elephants, and chariots. I will rescue the hero Sámba from captivity, and carry him, along with his wife, to Dwáraká, where I shall again behold Ugrasena and the rest of my kin. Or, authorized by the king of the gods to remove the burthens of the earth, I will take this capital of the Kauravas,** with all the sons of Kuru, and cast the city of the elephant†† into the Bhágírathí.";;

So saying, the wielder of the club, §§ (Baladeva), his eyes red with rage, plunged the blade of his ploughshare downwards, beneath the ramparts of the city, ¶¶ and drew them towards him. When the Kauravas beheld Hastinápura tottering, they were much alarmed, and called loudly (on Ráma), saying: "Ráma, Ráma! Hold, hold! Suppress your wrath! Have mercy upon us! Here is Sámba, and his wife, also, delivered up to

See Vol. IV., p. 158.

[†] Ratnagarbha's text introduces both Bhúri and Bhúrisravas.

[‡] For Somadatta and his sons, Bhúri, Bhúriśravas, and Śala, see Vol. IV., p. 157.

^{\$} Corrected from "Salya", for which I find no authority. See note ;, above; also, supra, p. 70, text and note **.

^{||} For these three personages, see Vol. IV., pp. 102 and 159.

[¶] According to the commentators, Nakula and Sahadeva. See, for them, Vol. IV., pp. 103 and 159.

^{**} Kauravádháni.

^{††} नगरं नागसाह्यम्।

^{**} See Vol. III., p. 303.

^{§§} Musalayudha. Vide supra, p. 67, note **.

^{|||| &}quot;The blade of his ploughshare" is to render hala. Vide supra, p. 51, note ||.

^{¶¶} Prákára-vapra.

thee. Forgive our sins, committed in ignorance of thy wondrous power."* Accordingly, issuing, hurriedly, from the city, the Kauravas delivered Sámba and his bride to the mighty Balaráma, who, bowing to Bhíshma, Drońa, and Kripa, † who addressed him in conciliatory language, said "I am satisfied," and so desisted. The city bears the marks of the shock it received, even to the present day,—such was the might of Ráma,—proving both his strength and prowess. The Kauravas, then, offering homage to Sámba and to Bala, dismissed the former, with his wife and a bridal portion.

¹ This adventure is related in the Bhágavata, and very briefly noticed in the Hari Vamsa; but I have not found any mention of it in the Mahábhárata. It may have been suggested, originally, by Hastinápura having sustained some injury, either from an earthquake, or from the encroachments of the river, which, as is recorded, compelled the removal of the capital to Kausámbí (Vol. IV., p. 164).

^{*} अविज्ञातप्रभावाणां चभ्यतामपराधिनाम्।

[†] See Vol. IV., p. 147.

[†] Halin, in the Sanskrit. Vide supra, p. 84, note ¶. Halin means the same as Halayudha, for which vide supra, p. 63, text and note ||.

CHAPTER XXXVI.

The Asura Dwivida, in the form of an ape, destroyed by Balaráma.

HEAR, also, Maitreya, another exploit performed by the mighty Balaráma.* The great Asura,† the foe of the friends of the gods, Naraka,: had a friend, of exceeding prowess, in the monkey named Dwivida, who was animated by implacable hostility against the deities, and vowed to revenge on the whole of them the destruction of Naraka by Krishna, at the instigation of the king of the celestials, by preventing sacrifices, and effecting the annihilation of the mortal sphere. Blinded by ignorance, he, accordingly, interrupted all religious rites, subverted all righteous observances, and occasioned the death of living beings. He set fire to the forests, to villages, and to towns; sometimes he overwhelmed cities and hamlets with falling rocks; or, lifting up mountains in the waters, § he cast them into the ocean: then, taking his place amidst the deep, he agitated the waves, until the foaming sea rose above its confines, and swept away the villages and cities situated upon its shores. Dwivida, also, who could assume what shape he would, enlarged his bulk to an immense size; and, rolling, and tumbling, and trampling amidst the cornfields, he crushed and spoiled

^{*} Bala, in the original.

[†] Asurendra.

Vide supra, pp. 87, et seq.

[§] तीरेषु। Some MSS. have पोतेषु,—"in boats",—a reading noticed by Ratnagarbha.

the harvests.* The whole world, disordered by this iniquitous monkey, was deprived of sacred study † and religious rites,; and was greatly afflicted.

On one occasion, Haláyudha § was drinking in the groves of Raivata, along with the illustrious Revatí || and other beautiful females; and the distinguished Yadu, in whose praises songs were sung, and who was preeminent amidst graceful and sportive women, resembled Kubera, the god of riches, in his palace. ¶ Whilst thus engaged, the monkey (Dwivida) came there, and, stealing the ploughshare *** and the club of Baladeva,†† grinned at and mocked him, : and laughed at the women, and threw over and broke the cups filled with wine. §§ Balaráma, || becoming angry at this,

* कामक्पी महाक्पं कला सञ्चान्यभेषतः। जुख्न्भ्रमणसंमद्धेः संचृश्यिति वानरः।

+ Swadhyaya.

† Vashatkara. See Vol. II., p. 29, note §; and Vol. III., p. 122, note †.

§ Vide supra, p. 63, note ||. || See Vol. III., p. 254.

प्रगीयमानो विलसञ्जलनामौलिमध्यगः। रेमे यदुवरश्रेष्ठः कुवेर इव मन्दिरे॥

So reads Śridhara, according to the only copy of his commentary at my command. Both the scholiasts give the first line hypermetrical, as above; but Ratnagarbha has - नाक, instead of - मोजि. In lieu of Śridhara's मिन्दि, at the end of the stanza, I find, everywhere else, मन्दि, "on Mandara". On this mountain was Chaitraratha, the garden of Kubera. See Vol. II., p. 110, note *; and Vol. IV., p. 6. ** Hala. †† The Sanskrit has Sirin. See Vol. IV., p. 82, note †; and Vol. III., p. 332, note ¶. Sirin is the same as Halin, &c. Vide supra, p. 135, note ‡.

For आह्य, some MSS. have आह्य।

|| || Bala, in the original.

threatened the monkey: but the latter disregarded his menaces, and made a chattering noise; " on which, Bala, starting up, seized his club, in wrath; and the monkey † laid hold of a large rock, which he hurled at the hero. Bala, casting his club at it, as it neared him, broke it into a thousand fragments, which, together with the club, fell upon the ground.: Beholding the club prostrate, the monkey sprang over it, and struck the Yádava violently on the breast with his paws. Bala replied with a blow of his fist upon the foreheads of Dwivida, which felled him-vomiting blood, and lifeless,-to the earth. The crest of the mountain on which he fell was splintered into a hundred pieces by (the weight of) his body, as if the Thunderer | had shivered it with his thunderbolt. The gods threw down a shower of flowers upon Ráma, and approached him, and praised him for the glorious feat he had performed. "Well has the world been freed," said they, "by thy prowess, O hero, of this vile ape, who was the ally of the enemy of the gods!" Then they and their attendant spirits ** returned, well pleased,

^{&#}x27; चक्रे किलिकिलाध्वनिम् । Variants: किलिकिल' and किलिकिला'। † Plavaga.

[:] चिचेप च स तां चिप्तां मुसलेन सहस्रधा। बिभेद यादवश्रष्ठः सा पपात महीतले॥ श्रापतन्त्रुसलं चासौ समुद्धाञ्च अवंगमः। विगेनागम्य रोषेण तलेनोरस्थताउयत्॥

[§] Múrdhan.

^{||} Vajrin, i. e., Indra.

[¶] Daitya-pakshopakárin.

^{** &}quot;Attendant spirits" is to render guhyaka. The Guhyakas attended on Kubera. See Vol. I., p. 122; Vol. III., p. 116, note †.

to heaven. Many such inimitable * deeds were wrought by the illustrious † Baladeva, (the impersonation of) Sesha, the supporter of the earth.

- * Aparimeya.
- + Dhimat.
- * Śl. 9802.
- & Corrected from "Menda".



¹ This exploit of Balaráma is, also, similarly, but more vulgarly, related in the Bhágavata. It is simply said, in the Hari Vamsa,;—and erroneously,—that Mainda§ and Dwivida were conquered by Krishna.

CHAPTER XXXVII.

Destruction of the Yádavas. Sámba and others deceive and ridicule the Rishis. The former bears an iron pestle: it is broken, and thrown into the sea. The Yádavas go to Prabhása, by desire of Křishňa: they quarrel and fight, and all perish. The great serpent Śesha issues from the mouth of Ráma. Křishňa is shot by a hunter, and again becomes one with universal spirit.

IN this manner did Krishna, assisted by Baladeva, destroy demons* and iniquitous monarchs, for the good of the earth; and, along with Phálguna, † also, did he; relieve earth of her load, by the death of innumerable hosts. § Having, thus, lightened the burthens of the earth, and slain many (unrighteous princes), he exterminated, by the pretext of an imprecation denounced by Brahmans, his own (Yádava) race. Then, quitting Dwáraká, and relinquishing his mortal being,

त्यत्वा मानुष्यकं भावं देवदेवो जतार्दनः। क्रवा चान्यानि कार्याणि देवानां हितकाम्यया॥ दुर्योधनस्य विप्रवे युधिष्ठिरपुरोगमैः। पाण्डवैभेदमुत्पद्ममृपेचत विभुक्तदा॥

¹ A name of Arjuna, the great friend of Krishńa, to whom the latter served as charioteer, in the war between the Páńdus and Kurus.

Daitya.

[†] For the various names of Arjuna, and their origin, see the Mahábhárata, Viráta-parvan, śl. 1375, et seq.

[†] The original here names Hari.

^{\$} समसाचीहिणीवधात्। For akshauhińi, vide supra, p. 50, notes 2 and **.

^{||} My Arrah MS. here inserts as follows:

the self-born reentered, with all his emanations, his own sphere of Vishnu.*

MAITREYA.—Tell me how Janárdana effected the destruction of his own race, under the plea of Brahmanical imprecation; and in what manner he relinquished his mortal body.²

Parasara.—At the holy place† Pińdáraka, 3: Viśwamitra, § Kańwa, || and the great sage Nárada were

- ¹ With Balaráma, Pradyumna, Aniruddha, and the rest.
- ² The legend of the destruction of the Yádava race and the death of Křishňa appears, probably, in its earliest extant form, in the Mausala Parvan of the Mahábhárata. It forms the narrative portion of the Eleveuth Book of the Bhágavata; having been previously briefly adverted to in the First and Third Books; and it is summarily told in the Uttara Khańda of the Padma Puráňa,
- ³ The village of Pińdáraka, still held in veneration, is situated in Gujerat, about twenty miles from the north-west extremity of the Peninsula. Hamilton, Vol. I., p. 664.

अन्वमोदत्ततः क्षण्यातो वैरमकारयत् । तव हत्वा कुरून्सवीन्पाण्डवेदीः परस्परम् । जगाम निर्वृतिं देवो जगतां पतिरीश्वरः । अचौहिष्णो हतासाव अष्टादश् महासूने ॥

A second of my copies gives the same verses, with the variation of only three words.

It is not palpable that this passage is an interpolation. The first line of it does not repeat the sense of what immediately precedes it,—Professor Wilson's "relinquishing his mortal body",—the Sanskrit of which is खाला मानुष्यं, i. e., according to both the commentators, मनुष्यनायं, "personation of man."

ं सांग्रो विष्णुमयं स्थानं प्रविवेश पुनर्निजम्।

- † Mahá-tírtha.
- ‡ Connected, perhaps, with Pińdaraka, son of Vasudeva and Rohińi. See Vol. IV., p. 109, text and note ‡‡.
 - § See Vol. III., p. 14, note 1, near the end.
 - || *Ibid.*, p. 57.

observed by some boys of the Yadu tribe. Giddy with youth, and influenced by predestined results,* they dressed and adorned Sámba, the son of Jámbavatí, as a damsel; and, conducting her to the sages, they addressed them with the usual marks of reverence, and said: "What child will this female, the wife of Babhru, † who is anxious to have a son, give birth to?" The sages, who were possessed of divine wisdom, were very angry to find themselves thus tricked by the boys, and said: "She will bring forth a club, that shall crush the whole of the Yádava race." The boys, thus spoken to by the sages, went and related all that had occurred to Ugrasena; and (as foretold,) a club was produced from the belly of Sámba. Ugrasena had the club-which was of iron,-ground to dust, and thrown into the sea; but the particles of dust (there) became rushes. There

¹ The term is Eraká (एएका), which is explained, in some medical lexicons, "a kind of grass." The commentator; also calls it a kind of grass; and, in the text of the Mahábhárata, the term subsequently used, and as synonymous with it, is Trińa (ऋण), 'grass.' The Mahábhárata, when describing the affray which follows, mentions, that the grass, or rushes, on being plucked by Krishńa and the Yádavas, turn to clubs. The text, and that of the Bhágavata, here say, that the powdered particles, floating on the sea, became rushes. Or the latter may imply, that they fastened upon grass or weeds. The commentator, however, explains, that, the particles of iron being borne to land, they were so transformed. The Mahábhárata says nothing of the piece

^{*} भाविकार्यप्रचोदिताः।

[†] See Vol. IV, p. 72.

[ः] एर्काः । धाराचयोपेतास्तृणभेदाः । Śridhara. एर्काः । चिधार-तृणविश्रेषाः । Ratnagarbha.

was one part of the iron club which was like (the blade of) a lance,* and which the Andhakas could not break.† This, when thrown into the sea, was swallowed by a fish: the fish was caught, the iron spike was extracted from its belly, and was taken by a hunter named Jaras.: The all-wise \square and glorious Madhusúdana did not think fit to counteract what had been predetermined by fate.

Then there came to Keśava, when he was private and alone, a messenger from the gods, who addressed him with reverence, and said: "I am sent to you, O lord, by the deities. And do thou hear what Indra, together with the Viśwas, "Aświns, ** Maruts, Adityas, Rudras, and Sádhyas, respectfully represents. "More than a hundred years have elapsed since thou, in favour to the gods, †† hast descended upon earth, for

which could not be pounded; and this seems to be an embellishment, either of our text or the Bhágavata. The Mahábhárata, however, adds another precaution, which the two others have left unnoticed. Ugrasena causes a proclamation to be made, that none of the inhabitants of Dwáraká shall, thenceforth, drink wine, on pain of being impaled alive; and the people, for some time, observe the prohibition.

^{*} Tomara.

[†] The original yields 'triturate': चूर्णयितुं शेकुर्न।

[‡] Corrected from "Jara". Vide infra, p. 152, note ‡.

[§] विज्ञातपरमार्थः ।

Sakra, in the Sanskrit.

[¶] Vide supra, p. 101, note *. Ratnagarbha reads 'Vasus'. My Ajmere MS. yields "Ádityas, Rudras, Sádhyas, Aświns, Vasus, Agnis, Maruts, &c.," and in this order.

^{**} I have inserted this word, inadvertently omitted by the Translator.

^{††} चिद्रशैः संप्रसादितः।

the purpose of relieving it of its load. The demons* have been slain, and the burthen of earth has been removed. Now let the immortals once again behold their monarch in heaven. † A period exceeding a century has passed. Now, if it be thy pleasure, return to Swarga. This is the solicitation of the celestials. But, should such not be thy will, then remain here as long as it may be desirable to thy dependants."1: To this, Krishna replied: § "All that thou hast said I am well aware of. The destruction of the Yádavas by me has commenced. The burthens of the earth are not removed, until the Yádavas are extirpated. I will effect this, also, in my descent, and quickly; for it shall come to pass in seven nights. When I have restored the land of Dwaraka to the ocean, and annihilated the race of Yadu, I will proceed to the mansions of the immortals. Apprise the gods, that, having abandoned my human body, and accompanied by Sankarshańa, I will then return to them. The tyrants that oppressed the earth,

¹ Nothing of this kind occurs in the Mahábhárata. Our text, therefore, offers an embellishment. The Bhágavata, again, improves upon the text; for, not content with a messenger, it makes Brahmá (with the Prajápatis), Śiva (with the Bhútas), Indra (with the other divinities), all come, in person; indicating, evidently, a

^{*} Daitya.

[†] लया सनाथास्त्रिदशा भवन्तु चिदिवे पुनः।

[ः] देवैर्विज्ञायते चेदमथावैव रतिस्वव। तत्स्थीयतां यथाकालमाख्येयमनुजीविभिः॥

^{श्रीभगवानुवाच ।}

[ा] मानुषं देहमुत्पृच्य संकर्षणसहायवान् । प्राप्त एवास्मि मन्त्रचो देवेन्द्रेण तथा सुरैः॥

-Jarásandha and the rest,—have been killed; and a youth, even, of the race of Yadu, is, no less than they, an incumbrance. When, therefore, I have taken away this great weight upon earth, I will return to protect the sphere of the celestials.* Say this to them." The messenger of the gods, having received this reply, bowed, and took his heavenly course to the king of the gods.

The mighty (Krishna) now beheld signs and portents,† both in earth and heaven, prognosticating, day and night, the ruin of Dwaraka. Showing these to the

later date, as plainly as the addition of the text shows it to be subsequent to the date of the legend in the Mahábhárata.

The Mahabharata, which delights in describing portents and signs, does not fail to detail them here. A dreadful figure, death personified, haunts every house, coming and going no one knows how, and being invulnerable to the weapons by which he is assailed. Strong hurricanes blow; large rats multiply, and infest the roads and houses, and attack persons in their sleep; Sárikás (or starlings,) utter inauspicious screams in their cages; storks imitate the hooting of owls; and goats, the howling of jackals; cows bring forth foals; and camels, mules; food, in the moment of being eaten, is filled with worms; fire burns with discoloured flames; and, at sunset and sunrise, the air is traversed by headless and bideous spirits. There is more to the same effect, which neither our text nor the Bhágavata has ventured to detail. The whole passage has been published in Maurice's Ancient History of Hindustan, Vol. II., p. 463; translated, apparently, by the late Sir Charles Wilkins. The names have been much disfigured either by the copyist or compositor.

^{*} Amara-loka.

^{† &}quot;Signs and portents" is to render utpáta.

Yádavas, he said: "See! Behold these fearful phenomena! Let us hasten to Prabhása, to avert these omens."* When he had thus spoken to the eminent Yádava, † the illustrious Uddhava: saluted and said to him: "Tell me, O lord, what it is proper that I should do. For it seems to me, that thou wilt destroy all this race. The signs (that are manifest) declare (nothing less than) the annihilation of the tribe." Then Krishna \$ replied to him: "Do you go by a celestial route, which my favour shall provide you, to the holy (place) Badarikáśrama, in the Gandhamádana mountain, the shrine of Naranáráyana; I and, on that spot, sanctified by them, thou, by meditating on me, shalt obtain perfection,** through my favour. When the race (of Yadu) shall have perished, I shall proceed to heaven; and the ocean shall inundate Dwaraka, when I have quitted it." Accordingly, Uddhava, thus instructed †† by Keśava, saluted him with veneration, and departed to the shrine of Naranárávana.1

† एवमुक्ते तु क्रणीन याद्वप्रवर्सतः।

This verse is recognized by Sridhara, but not by Ratnagarbha; and the sense is complete without it. My Ajmere MS. gives it; my Arrah MS. omits it.

¹ In the Mahábhárata, it is said, merely, that Uddhava, who was versed in Yoga, foreseeing the destruction of the Yádavas,

^{*} ग्रमायैषां प्रभासं याम मा चिरम्।

^{*} See Vol. IV., p. 113, notes 1 and *.

[§] Bhagavat, in the original.

^{||} Ratnagarbha calls this hermitage by its shorter name, Badarí, instead of Badariká, the form preferred by Śridhara.

T We have already had mention of it. Vide supra, p. 62.

^{**} Siddhi.

^{††} Anumodita.

Then the Yadavas ascended their rapid cars, and drove to Prabhasa, along with Krishna, Rama, and the rest of their chiefs. They bathed there; and, excited by Vasudeva, the Kukuras and Andhakas indulged in liquor. As they drank, the destructive flame of dissension was kindled amongst them by mutual collision, and fed with the fuel of abuse. Infuriated by the divine influence, they fell upon one another with missile weapons; and, when those were expended, they had recourse to the rushes growing nigh. The rushes in their hands became like thunderbolts; and they struck one another, with them, fatal blows.

went away; that is, according to the commentator, he practised penance, and went to heaven: जगाम योगमाखाद परलोकम्। The Bhágavata, taking the hint, makes much more of it than our text, and expands it into a long course of instruction, given by Krishna to Uddhava, occupying 150 leaves.

¹ Vide supra, p. 47, note 2. By sending the Yadavas to Prabhása, (the commentator asserts,) Krishna prevented, purposely, the Yadavas from obtaining Mukti, 'final liberation', which would have been the consequence of dying at Dwaraka. Death at Prabhása conferred only Indra's heaven.

² The Mahábhárata describes them as going forth with horses, elephants, and cars, and their women and abundance of good cheer, and varieties of wine and meat:

बहुनानाविधं चक्रुर्मदां मांसमनेकग्रः।

^{*} Anumodita

[†] Corrected, here and frequently elsewhere, from "Kukkuras".

[†] Śastra, which almost always signifies an edged weapon, in contradistinction from astra, 'a missile weapon'.

[§] Eraká. Vide supra, p. 142, note 1.

^{||} Sudaruna.

Pradyumna, Sámba, Kŕitavarman,* Sátyaki,† Aniruddha, Pŕithu, Vipŕithu,: Cháruvarman, § Cháruka, Akrúra, and many others struck one another with the rushes, which had assumed the hardness of thunderbolts. Keśava interposed, to prevent them; but they thought that he was taking part with each, severally,

¹ The Bhágavata, like the text, adverts only in this general manner to the conflict; but the Mahabharata gives the particulars. Yuyudhana¶ reproaches Kritavarman with having aided Aswattháman ** in his night-attack on the Pándu camp, and killing warriors in their sleep. Pradyumna joins in the abuse. Kritavarman retorts. Křishňa looks at him angrily Sátyaki repeats the story of the Syamantaka gem. by which he accuses Kritavarman of being an accomplice in the murder of Sattrájita ++ (See Vol. IV., pp. 75, et seq.). Satyabhámá, # the daughter of the latter, then mixes in the quarrel, and incites Krishna to avenge her; but Sátyaki anticipates him, and murders Kritavarınan. Saineya §§ and the Bhojas attack Satyaki; the Andhakas defend him; and the affray becomes general. Krishna attempts to part the combatants, until Pradyumna is killed; and, then, taking up a handful of rushes, which become an iron club, he kills, indiscriminately, all that come in his way. The conflict continues, until the greater part of the combatants have fallen, including all Krishna's sons; and he then, in wrath, sweeps off all the survivors, except Babhru and Dáruka, with his discus.

^{*} See Vol. IV., p. 99.

[†] Ibid., p. 93.

[‡] For these two brothers, see Vol. IV., p. 96.

[§] I know nothing of him. In Vol. IV, p. 113, we have a Sucháru. One of my MSS. has Chárudharman.

^{||} The same as Charu, for whom see p. 78, supra.

[¶] The same as Satyaki. See Vol. IV., p. 93.

^{**} See Vol. IV., p. 147.

^{††} Corrected from "Satrajit".

^{**} See Vol. IV., p. 80.

^{§§} Was this Satyaka, Sátyaki's father? See Vol. IV., p. 92.

and continued the conflict. Krishna, then, enraged took up a handful of rushes, to destroy them; and the rushes became a club of iron. And with this he slow many of the murderous Yádavas; whilst others, fight ing fiercely, put an end to one another. The charm! of the holder of the discus, * named Jaitra, was quick!: carried off by the (swift) steeds, and swept away !.. the sea, in the sight of Dáruka, (the charioteer). The discus, the club, the bow, the quiver, the shell, and the sword† (of Keśava), having circumambulated there lord,: flew along the path of the sun. In a short time there was not a single Yádava left alive, except the mighty Krishna and Dáruka. Going towards Rim: who was sitting at the root of a tree, they beheld large serpent coming out of his mouth. Having issue... from his mouth, the mighty § snake proceeded toward

The Mahábhárata, as observed at the end of the last new adds Babhru; but it presently gets rid of him. Křishún semi him to take care of the old people, the women, and children obwáraká, whilst Dáruka goes to bring Arjuna to their aid. It as he goes along,—overcome with grief for the loss of his kimte and approaching separation from Křishúa,—he is killed by and that is cast from a snare, or trap, set by a hunter. Křishúa new goes to Dwáraká, and desires Vasudeva to await the comine and Arjuna; after which, he returns to Ráma, and sees the phone enon described in the text; the serpent being Śesha, of when Balaráma was the incarnation. The Bhágavata does not meno this incident; merely observing, that Ráma, by the power of Yell returned into himself,—that is, into Vishúu.

^{*} Chakrin.

[†] Vide supra, p. 124; also, a passage towards the end of Chapter: ... of Book VI.

[.] Hari, in the Sanskrit.

[§] Mahábhoga.

the ocean, hymned by saints, * and by other great serpents. Bringing an offering of respect, Ocean came to meet him; and, then, the majestic being, adored by attendant snakes, entered into the waters of the deep.+ Beholding the departure of (the spirit of) Balabhadra,: Keśava said to Dáruka: "All this is to be related, by you, to Vasudeva and Ugrasena. Go and inform them of the departure of Balabhadra, and the destruction of the Yádavas; also, that I shall engage in religious meditation, and quit this body. Apprise Ahuka, § and all the inhabitants of Dwaraka, 1 that the sea will inundate the town. Be ready, therefore, in expectation of the coming of Arjuna; and, when hell quits Dwáraká, no longer abide there, but go whithersoever that descendant of Kuru shall repair. Do you, also, go to the son of Kuntí, and tell him, that it is my request that he will grant what protection he can to all my family.

† प्रविवेश च तत्तीयं पूजितः पद्मगीत्तमैः।

The women, the elders, and the children, amongst whom, as we shall presently see, was Vajra, the son of Aniruddha,** who was established as chief of the Yádavas at Indraprastha, and who, therefore, escaped the destruction which overwhelmed their kinsmen, the Vrishúis, Kukuras. and Andhakas, of Dwaraká. This was a fortunate reservation for the tribes which, in various parts of Hindusthan,—both on the Ganges and in the Deccan,—profess to derive their origin from the Yádavas.††

^{*} Siddha.

Bala, in the original.

[§] Father of Ugrasena. See Vol. IV., p. 98.

Here called Pandava, in the Sanskrit.

[¶] Kaunteya; namely, Arjuna. See Vol. IV., pp. 101, 102, and 159.

^{**} Vide supra, p. 108, text and note *.

^{††} See Vol. IV., p. 58, notes 2 and §.

Then depart, with Arjuua and all the people of Dwáravatí; and let Vajra be installed sovereign over the tribe of Yadu."*

Dáruka, being thus instructed, prostrated himself, again and again, before Kŕishńa, and walked round him repeatedly, and then departed, as he had been desired; and, having conducted Arjuna to Dwáravatí, † the intelligent (servant of Kŕishńa) established Vajra as king. The divine Govinda, then, having concentrated in himself that supreme spirit; which is one with Vásudeva, was identified with all beings. 1 Respecting the words of the Brahman,—the imprecation of Durvásas, 2 §—the

^{&#}x27; The process is explained by the commentator: "By the force of Dhyána (or abstraction), Krishna satisfies himself that he is Brahma (ब्रह्मवाहमित ध्यात्वा), or universal spirit; and is, next, convinced, that he is, therefore, all things (सर्वभूतान्यषहमेव); by which his individuality ceases."

² The story is told in the Mahábhárata. ¶ Durvásas was, on one occasion, hospitably entertained by Kŕishúa; but the latter omitted to wipe away the fragments of the meal which had fallen on the foot of the irascible sage, who, thereupon, foretold, that Kŕishúa should be killed as in the text.

^{&#}x27; वज्रस यदुराक्ये(भिषिचाताम् । So Śridhara. Ratnagarbha reads: वज्रस यद्राजो भविष्यति।

[†] Corrected, here and just above, from "Dwárávati". The original has Dwáraká.

[🗜] परं ब्रह्म।

[§] दुर्वासा यदुवाच। See, for Durvasas, Vol. I., pp. 135 and 154.

What follows is taken from Śridhara, whose words are: श्वात्मणि परं ब्रह्म समारीप्य ब्रह्मवाहमिति ध्याला तमात्माणं सर्वभूतेष्वधार-यत्सर्वभूतान्यप्यहमेवेति दध्यावित्यर्थः। Ratnagarbha comments to the same effect.

[¶] And it is told briefly by both the commentators on the Vishńu-purańa.

illustrious Kŕishńa* sat engaged in thought, † resting his foot upon his knee. Then came there a hunter, named Jaras, ¹; whose arrow was tipped with a blade made of the piece of iron of the club, which had not been reduced to powder; § and, beholding, from a distance, the foot of Kŕishńa, he mistook it for part of a deer, and, shooting his arrow, lodged it in the sole. ² | Approaching (his mark), he saw the four-armed king, and, falling at his feet, repeatedly besought his forgiveness, exclaiming: "I have done this deed unwittingly, thinking I was aiming at a deer. Have pity upon me, who am consumed by my crime! For thou art able to consume me." ¶ Bhagavat replied: "Fear

भाययी च जरा नाम स तदा तत्र सुव्धकः।

Compare note ; in p. 143, supra. Also see the Mahabharata, Mausala-parvan, śl. 126, et seq.

मुसलावग्रेषलीहैकसायकन्यस्ततोमरः।

This compound is descriptive of जुट्यक:।

A free translation.

¶ चम्यतामात्मपाँपेन दग्धं मां दग्धुमईसि ।

Ratnagarbha begins this verse with the words चम्यतां नात्रयापेन।

¹ This is an allegorical personage, however; for Jará signifies 'infirmity', 'old age', 'decay.'**

² The Bhágavata explains how this part of the foot became exposed. Křishňa had assumed one of the postures in which abstraction is practised. He had laid his left leg across his right thigh, by which the sole of the foot was turned outwards.

This name and its epithet are supplied by the Translator.

[†] योगयुक्तः।

Corrected from "Jara", which the original cannot yield, as a huntress would be called *lubdhaki*. The original is as follows:

^{**} To this speculation it is difficult to assent. See note ‡, above.

not, thou, in the least.* Go, hunter, through my favour, to heaven, the abode of the gods." As soon as he had thus spoken, a celestial car appeared; and the hunter, ascending it, forthwith proceeded to heaven. Then the illustrious (Kŕishňa), having united himself with his own pure, spiritual,† inexhaustible, inconceivable, unborn, undecaying, imperishable,‡ and universal spirit, which is one with Vásudeva, abandoned his mortal body and the condition of the threefold qualities. 1§

§ तत्याज मानुषं देहमतीत्य चिविधां गतिम्।

सन्धमेव जयते

¹ He became Nirguna, 'devoid of all qualities.'

^{*} न तेऽस्ति भयमख्विष्।

⁺ Brahma-bhúta.

[.] The epithet aprameya, 'boundless', is here omitted.

Thus explain both Śridhara and Ratnagarbha.

CHAPTER XXXVIII.

Arjuna comes to Dwaraka, and burns the dead, and takes away the surviving inhabitants. Commencement of the Kali age. Shepherds and thieves attack Arjuna, and carry off the women and wealth. Arjuna regrets the loss of his prowess, to Vyasa, who consoles him, and tells him the story of Ashtavakra's cursing the Apsarasas. Arjuna and his brothers place Parikshit on the throne, and go to the forests. End of the Fifth Book.

ARJUNA, having found the bodies of Krishńa and of Ráma, performed, for them and the rest (of the slain), the obsequial rites.* The eight queens of Krishńa, who have been named,† with Rukmińi at their head,: embraced the body of Hari, and entered the (funeral) fire.¹ Revatí, also, embracing the corpse of Ráma, entered the blazing pile, which was cool to her, happy in contact with her lord.§ Hearing these events, Ugrasena and Ánakadundubhi, with Devakí and Rohińí, committed themselves to the flames.² The

¹ The Mahábhárata takes the wives of Kŕishňa, first, to Indraprastha; and there Rukmińi and four others burn. But Satyabhámá and others become ascetics, going to perform Tapasya in the forest.

² It is merely said, in the Mahábhárata, that Vasudeva expired; on which, four of his wives burnt themselves.

^{* &}quot;Obsequial rites" is to render samskåra.

[†] Vide supra, pp. 78, et seq., and p. 107.

[ः] रिकाणीप्रमुखाः, "Rukmińi and the rest."

विवेश ज्वलितं वहिं तत्संगाद्धादशीतलम् ।

last ceremonies " were performed, for all these, by Arjuna, who, then, made all the people leave the city, and took Vajra with him. The son of Kuntí + conducted the thousands of the wives of Krishna, with Vajra and all the people, from Dwaraka,; with tenderness and care, and travelled slowly away. The Sudharman § palace and the Párijáta-tree, which had been brought to earth by Krishna, both proceeded to heaven; and, on the same day that Hari departed from the earth, the powerful dark-bodied | Kali (age) descended. The ocean (rose and) submerged the whole of Dwáraká, except alone the dwelling of the deity of the race of Yadu. T The sea has not yet been able to wash that (temple) away; and there Keśava constantly abides, (even in the present day). Whoever visits that holy shrine—the place where Krishna pursued his sports, - is liberated from all his sins.2 **

^{&#}x27; The Kali age commenced from the death of Krishna, according to the usual notions; but it is commonly supposed to commence a little later, or with the reign of Parikshit. ††

² The Bhágavata agrees with the text, in excepting the temple of Dwáraká, and asserting that it still remains, in direct contradiction of the Mahábhárata, which declares, that the sea did not spare any part whatever. It is clear, therefore; that, when the latter was compiled, the temple was not standing, and that it was

Preta-karya.

[†] Kaunteya. Vide supra, p. 150, note ¶.

[†] Dwaravatí, in the Sanskrit.

[§] Vide supra, p. 46, text and note *.

[|] Kála-káya, There are three unimportant variants. Ratnagarbha notes and elucidates two of them.

[ी] यद्देवगृह, "the temple of the Yadus."

^{**} This sentence greatly abridges the original.

^{††} See Vol. IV, p. 230, note *, and p. 233.

The son of Prithá* (Arjuna,) halted the people (he had brought from Dwáraká,) in the Panchanada country, in a rich and fertile spot. But the desires of the robbers (of the neighbourhood) were excited, when they observed so many widowed females,—also, such great riches,—in the possession of Arjuna* alone.†

erected between the date of the compilation and that of the two Puráńas. The present shrine, which is held in great repute, stands at the extremity of the peninsula of Gujerat. It is still an object of pilgrimage. It was so in the reign of Akbar (Ayeen Akbaree); and has been so, no doubt, from a remote period. The image formerly worshipped there was carried off 600 years ago; and this was, most probably, subsequent to the date of both the Puráńas: for the idol was a form of Krishńa, called Rańachhor,—a popular divinity, unknown in the Pauráńik pantheon. Another image was substituted in place of that which was taken away. Notwithstanding the testimony of our text, and that of the Bhágavata, the originality of the temple is disputed; and a place thirty miles south from Poorbundur is said to be the spot where Dwáraká was swallowed up by the ocean. Hamilton (from Macmurdo, &c.), Vol. I., p. 662.

"The country of the five rivers,"; the Punjab:—rather an out-of-the-way route from Dwaraka to Dehli.

† तती लोभः समभवह्स्यूनां निहतेश्वराः। दृष्टा स्त्रियो नीयमानाः पार्थेनैकेन धन्विना॥

Ratnagarbha reads as follows:

ततो लोभः समभवत्पार्थेनैकेन धन्विना । दृष्टा स्त्रियो नीयमाना दस्तुनां निहतेश्वराः॥

And herewith agree my Ajmere and Arrah MSS.

In no MS. do I find, in lieu of धृत्विना, धृतिना, which might suggest Professor Wilson's "such great riches."

‡ The original is **uহানই ইয়**. Most probably the *tirtha* called Panchanada is intended; for which see the *Mahábhárata*, *Vana-parvan*, *શ*. 5025, 5086; and elsewhere.

^{*} Partha, in the original. See Vol. IV., pp. 101, 102.

Inflamed by their cupidity, they assembled the villainous Ábhiras, 1* and said to them: "Here is this Arjuna,—immensely rich, † and having numerous women, whose husbands have been slain,—passing confidently amongst us; a disgrace to all brave men.: His pride is raised by the death of Bhíshma, Drońa, Jayadratha, Karńa, and others (whom he has slain). He does not know the prowess of (simple) villagers. Up! up! Take your long thick staves. § This stupid fellow despises us. Why should we not lift up our arms?" So saying, they rushed, armed with cudgels and clods of earth,

'ततसी पापकर्माणी सोभोपहतचेतसः। स्राभीरा मन्त्रयामासुः समेखालन्तदुर्मदाः॥

† I find, everywhere, ঘলী, 'archer.' See note ; in the preceding page.

: अयमेकोऽर्जनो धन्वी स्त्रोजनं निहतेश्वरम् । नयत्यस्मानतिकम्य धिगतद्भवतां बलम् ॥

Instead of भवतां, जियतां is preferred by Ratnagarbha, according to my oldest copy of his commentary. And so reads my Arrah MS.

My Ajmere MS. gives, in the place of the verse beginning as above, a whole stanza, and one of very different import.

§ हे हे चष्टीर्महायामा गृह्णीत। Ratnagarbha has महामाया।

|| Nothing to yield "clods of earth" is read in the text as alone I find it:

ततो यष्टिप्रहरणा दस्यवो लोप्नुहारिणः। सहस्रग्रोऽभ्यधावन्त तं जनं निहृतेश्वर्म्॥

Sridhara and Ratnagarbha: यष्टिप्रहरणाः । दण्डायुधाः । सोप्रहारिणः । परस्त्रपाहिणः । Professor Wilson must have supposed that the reading was लोष्ट्रहारिणः ।

¶ I know no authority for this meaning. For the Abbiras, see Vol. II., p. 168, notes 4, etc.; p. 185, notes 2, etc.

¹ Ábhíras mean 'herds;'¶ and they are, afterwards, called, by Arjuna, Gopálas, 'herdsmen.' The pastoral tribes of the west of India, and, particularly, those of Afghanistan, almost always combine the character of freebooter with that of shepherd.

upon the people, who were without their lord.* Arjuna + encountered; them, and said to them, in derision: "Retire, wretches, ignorant of what is right, unless ye are desirous of dying." But they disregarded his menaces, and seized his treasures, and his women,the wives of Viśwaksena. Thereupon, Arjuna began to brace his heavenly bow, Gándíva, irresistible § in battle. But it was in vain; for, in spite of all his efforts to tighten it, it continued flaccid. Neither could he call to recollection the incantations of the superhuman weapons. Losing all patience, he launched, as best he might, his shafts upon the enemy; but those shot from Gándíva merely scratched the skin. The arrows given him, by Agni, T to carry certain destruction, ** now were, themselves, destroyed, and were fatal to Arjuna, in his contest with herdsmen. He endeavoured to recall the might of Krishna, - animated by which, his numerous arrows had overthrown mighty kings;but he tried in vain: for, now, they were put aside by the peasants; †† or they flew at random, wide of their aim. # His arrows being expended, he §§ beat the ban-

🏿 न सस्पार तथास्त्राणि चिन्तयद्गपि पाण्डवः।

^{*} The widows above spoken of are intended.

[†] Kaunteya, in the Sanskrit. Vide supra, p. 150, note ¶.

[‡] निवृत्य; implying that Arjuna desisted from encountering the Abhíras. § Ajara.

[¶] In the original, Vahni.

^{**} The epithet thus rendered is akshaya, 'indestructible.'

^{††} Ábhira.

चिन्तयच कौनेयः क्रप्णखैव हि तद्वलम् ।
 चन्यया श्र्रसंघातैः सकला भूभुजो जिताः ॥
 मिषतः पाण्डुपुनस्य ततस्ताः प्रमदोत्तमाः ।
 चाभीरैरपक्रथने कामाचान्याः प्रवत्रजुः ।

^{§§} Here Arjuna is called Dhananjaya, in the original.

ditti with the horn of his bow: but they only laughed at his blows; and the barbarians,* in the sight of Arjuna,† carried off all the women of the Vřishni and Andhaka tribes, and went their way.

Then Jishńu: was sorely distressed, and lamented bitterly, exclaiming: "Alas! alas! I am deserted by my lord!" And he wept; and, in that instant, the bow and (heavenly) arms, his car and steeds, perished entirely, like a donation to an unlearned Brahman.§ "Resistless," said he, "are the decrees of fate, by whom feebleness has been inflicted upon me,—deprived of my illustrious friend,—and victory given to the base. These two arms are mine; mine is this fist; this is my place; I am Arjuna: but, without that righteous aid, all these are pithless. The valour of Arjuna, "* the strength of Bhima, †† was, all, his work; and, without him, I am overcome by peasants:: it cannot be from any other

श्रहोऽतिबस्रवहैवं विना तेन महास्ना। यदसामर्ध्यक्तेऽपि भीचवर्गे वयप्रदम्॥

^{&#}x27; The principal wives of Krishna, however, according to the Mahábhárata, escaped. The occurrence is described, there, much in the same way, but more briefly. It is not detailed in the Bhágavata.

^{*} Mlechchha.

[†] Partha, in the Sanskrit.

[‡] Still another name of Arjuna. Vide supra, p. 156, note ..

[§] दानमश्री विशे यथा।

[¶] स्थानं तत् । His position as an archer, says Ratnagarbha: **धानु-**प्कसंस्थानविशेष:।

^{**} To render Arjunatwa.

[া] भीमख भीमखम ।

^{!!} Ábhira.

cause." So saying, Arjuna* went to the city of Mathurá,† and there installed the Yádava prince, Vajra, as its king. There he: beheld Vyása, who was living in a wood; and he approached the sage, § and saluted him respectfully. The Muni surveyed him for some time, as he lay prostrate at his feet, and said to him: "How is it that I see you thus shorn of your lustre?" Have you been guilty of illicit intercourse with women?** Or of the death of a Brahman? Or have you suffered some grievous disappointment, that you are so dejected? + Have your prayers for progeny, or other good gifts, proved fruitless? Or have you indulged improper passions, that your lustre is so dim?:: Or are you one that devours the meal he has given to the Brahmans? Say, Arjuna, have you seized upon the substance of the poor? Has the wind of a winnowingbasket lighted upon you? Or has an evil eye gazed upon you, Arjuna, that you look thus miserable?§§

^{*} Jishńu, in the original. This is one of the many names or epithetical designations of Arjana.

[†] Śridhara and Ratnagarbha notice a variant expressing that Arjuna went from Indraprastha to Hastinapura. My Ajmere and Arrah MSS. simply substitute Indraprastha for Mathura.

[†] The Sanskrit has Phálguna.

[§] Mahábhága.

^{||} Partha is the word here used.

[¶] विच्हायः कथमत्यन्तमीदृशः।

^{**} This sentence is to render अवीरजोऽनुगमनम् । Both Śridhara and Ratnagarbha dwell at length on the first of these words and its variant अवीरजा।

[†] भष्टकायः।

[ः] सान्तानिकाद्यो वा ते याचमाना निराक्तताः। ज्रगस्यस्त्रीरतिर्वा खंतेनासि विगतप्रभः॥

^{§§} विच्हायः।

Have you been touched by the water of a finger-nail? Or has the water of a water-jar sprinkled you? Or, what is, most probably, the case, have you been beaten by your inferiors in battle?"*

Arjuna, † having sighed deeply, related to Vyása all the circumstances of his discomfiture, and continued: "Hari, who was our strength, our might, our heroism, our prowess, our prosperity, our brightness, has left us, and departed. Deprived of him, our friend, illustrious, and ever kindly speaking, we have become as feeble as if made of straw. Purushottama, who was the living & vigour of my weapons, my arrows, and my bow, is gone. As long as we looked upon him, fortune, fame, wealth, dignity never abandoned us. But Govinda is gone from amongst us. That Krishna has quitted earth, through whose power Bhíshma, Drońa, the king of Anga,** Duryodhana, and the rest were consumed. Not I alone, but Earth, has grown old, miserable, ++ and lustreless, in the absence of the holder of the discus. # Krishna, through devotion to whom Bhishma and other mighty men perished like

Ratnagarbha begins this stanza with गीरवेश, i. e., आदरेश, he says. § Múrtta.

^{*} The Translator has here somewhat departed from the order of the original.

[†] Pártha, in the original.

[ः] इतरेणिव महता स्मितपूर्वाभिभाषिणा। हीना वयं मुने तेन जातासुणमया इव॥

[|] Substituted, by the Translator, for Gandiva.

[¶] Unnati.

^{**} Anga-rája. Karńa is intended.

[†] भष्टकाया।

^{##} Chakrin.

٧.

moths in the flame of my valour, is gone; and I am, now, overcome by cowherds.* The bow Gáńdíva, that was famed throughout the three worlds, has been foiled, since he has departed, by the sticks of peasants.† The myriads of women over whom I was lord have been carried off from me by thieves, armed but with cudgels. The whole household: of Krishńa, O Krishńa, has been (forcibly) carried away by peasants, who, with their staves, have put my strength to shame. That I am shorn of my lustre I do not marvel: it is wonderful that I live. Surely, grand-sire, I alone am so shameless as to survive the stain of indignity inflicted by the vile."§

Vyása replied to Arjuna, and said: "Think no more, my son, of your disgrace. It does not become you to grieve. Know that time subjects all beings to similar vicissitude. Time effects the production and dissolution of all creatures. All that exists is founded on time. Know this, Arjuna, and retain your fortitude. Rivers, seas, mountains, the whole earth, gods, men, animals, trees, insects** are, all, created, and, all, will be de-

A name of Vyása. ++

^{*} यखानुभावाङ्गीष्माचैर्मय्यगी ग्रनभायितम् । विना तेनाच कृष्णेन गोपानैरस्मि निर्जितः॥

⁺ Abhira.

[!] Avarodhana. Ratnagarbha explains it to mean ग्रन्त:पुरं स्त्रीवर्गः।

[§] निःश्रोकता न मे चित्रं यज्जीवामि तद्द्युतम् । नीचापमान एकाकी निर्लज्जोऽस्मि पितामह ॥

l' The original has Partha.

श्विविह सर्वभूतेषु कालस्य गतिमीदृशीम्।

^{**} Sarisripa; 'reptiles.' Vide supra, p. 59, note ††.

⁺⁺ So the scholiasts allege.

stroyed, by time. Knowing that all that is is the effect of time, be tranquillized.* These mighty works+ of Krishna, whatever they have been, have been performed to relieve earth of its burthens: for this he has come down. Earth, oppressed by her load, has had recourse to the assembly; of the immortals; and Janárdana, who is one with time, has descended on that account. This object has been, now, accomplished. All the kings (of the earth) are slain; the race of Vŕishni and Andhaka is destroyed: no more remained for him to accomplish.§ Therefore has the lord departed whither he pleased, his ends being, all, fulfilled. At the period of creation, the god of gods creates; in that of duration, he preserves; and, at the end (of all), he is mighty to annihilate. I Now all is done. Therefore, Arjuna,** be not afflicted by thy defeat. The prowess of mortals is the gift of time. ++ Bhíshma, Drona, ## Karńa, and other kings have been slain by thee alone. This was the work of time: and why, therefore, should not thy discomfiture, by those less than thou art,

' कालात्मकमिदं सर्वे ज्ञाला श्रममवाप्तृष्टि।

कालासकम्। कालाधीनम्। Ratnagarbha.

- † "Mighty works" is to render måhåtmya.
- . Samiti.
- § Add "on earth": भिमतेले।
- Kritakritya, 'satisfied,' 'happy.'
- [¶] ग्रनाय समर्थः।
- ** Partha, in the original.

া भवन्ति भवकालेषु पुरुषाणां पराक्रमाः।

‡‡ I have inserted this name, to conform the translation to Śridhara's text, which Professor Wilson, no doubt, hereabouts follows. Ratnagarbha's reading yields Bhishma and Drońa, omitting Karńa; and therewith my Arrah MS. harmonizes.

occur?* In like manner as, through thy devotion to † Vishńu, these were overthrown by thee, so, at last, has thy defeat by miserable thieves been wrought by time.: That divinity, assuming various bodies, preserves the world; and, in the end, the lord of creatures destroys it. In the birth of thy fortunes, § Janárdana was thy friend; in their decline, thy enemies have been favoured by Keśava. Who would have believed that thou shouldst slay all the descendants of Kuru, and kindred of Gangá?¶ Who would have believed that peasants** should triumph over thee? Be assured, son of Pritha, †† that it is (but) the sport of the universal # Hari, that the Kauravas have been destroyed by thee, and that thou hast been defeated by herdsmen. §§ With respect to the women whom thou lamentest, and who have been carried off by the thieves, hear from me an ancient story, which will explain why this has happened.

"In former times, a Brahman, named Ashtávakra,1

_____ ¹ The story of Ashtávakra is related in the Mahábhárata.¶¶ He was the son of Kahoda, *** who, neglecting his wife, was rebuked

^{&#}x27; तेषामर्जुन कालोत्यः किं न्यूनाभिमवो न सः। † Read "through the might of": अनभावेन। 🕏 ततस्रिव भवतो दख्योऽने तद्ज्ञवः। ६ भवोज्ञवे । भवानी। ¶ To render Gángeva. ** Ábhira. ++ Partha is the original word. * Sarva-bhúta. Vide supra, p. 34, text and note ††. §§ Abhira. 📶 तदप्यहं यथावृत्तं कथयामि तवार्जुन।

^{¶¶} Adi-parvan, śl. 10599, et seg.

^{***} Corrected from "Kahora".

was pursuing his religious penances, standing in water, and meditating on the eternal spirit, for many years.* In consequence of the overthrow of the Asuras, there was a great festival on the summit of Meru; on their way to which, Rambhá, Tilottamá, † and hundreds and thousands of beautiful nymphs: saw the ascetic Ashtávakra; and they praised and hymned him (for his devotions). They bowed down (before him), and eulogized him, (as he was immersed) up to his throat in water, his hair twisted in a braid. So they sang, in honour of him, whatever they thought would be most agreeable to that most eminent of Brahmans. Ashtávakra (at last.) said to them: 'I am well pleased with you, illustrious damsels. § Whatever you wish for, ask of me, and I will give it you, however difficult it may be of attainment.' Then all those nymphs, Rambhá, Tilottamá, and others, recorded in the Vedas, Treplied: 'It is enough for us that thou art pleased. What need we aught else, venerable Brahman?'** But some

for it by his yet unborn son. The father angrily cursed him, that he should be born bent in every part; and he was, accordingly, brought forth crooked (vakra) in eight limbs (ashfan). †† He became, nevertheless, a celebrated sage. See, also, Hindu Theatre, Vol. I., p. 293, note.

and the complete of the complete comple

' ऋष्टावकः पुरा विमो जलवासरतोऽभवत्। बह्नवर्षगणान्पार्चगुणस्त्रह्मसनातनम्॥

- † See, for them, Vol. II., p. 75, note 3. : **电电报电: 1**
- § "Illustrious damsels" is to translate महाभागाः।
- Apsaras.
- ¶ For Apsarases mentioned in the Vedas, see Vol. II., pp. 80, 81.

" प्रसन्ने खव्यपर्याप्तं किमस्तानमिति द्विज।

†† With the name Ashfavakra compare Naikavakra and Trivakra, for which vide supra, p. 21, note †.

(amongst them) said: 'If, exalted sir, you are (indeed) pleased with us, then grant us a husband, the best of men,* and sovereign of the Brahmans.'+ 'So be it,' replied Ashtávakra, and, thereupon, came up from the waters. When the nymphs beheld him coming out of the water, and saw that he was (very) ugly, and crooked in eight places, they could not restrain their merriment, but laughed aloud. The Muni was (very) angry, and cursed them, and said: 'Since you have been so impertinent as to laugh at my deformity, I denounce upon you this imprecation: through the grace I have shown unto you, you shall obtain the first of males: for your husband; but, in consequence of my curse, you shall (afterwards) fall into the hands of thieves.' When the nymphs heard this uttered by the Muni, they endeavoured to appease him; and (they so far succeeded, that) he announced to them, they should finally return to the sphere of the gods. It is in consequence, then, of the curse of the Muni Ashtavakra, that these females, who were, at first, the wives of Keśava, have, now, fallen into the hands of the barbarians;§ and there is no occasion, Arjuna, for you to regret it in the least. All this destruction has been effected by the lord of all; and your end is, also, nigh at hand, since he has withdrawn from you strength, splendour, valour, and preeminence. Death is the doom of every

^{*} Purushottama, i, e., Vishnu or Krishna.

[†] According to all my MSS., the term here rendered "sovereign of the Brahmans" is in the vocative, and applies to Ashtavakra.

[†] Purushottama, as above.

[§] Dasyu.

^{||} Addressed, in the original, as Pandava.

[¶] Máhátmya.

one who is born; fall is the end of exaltation; union terminates in separation; and growth tends but to decay.* Knowing (all this), wise men are susceptible of neither grief nor joy; and those who learn their ways are even as they are,—(equally free from pleasure or pain). Do you, therefore, most excellent prince, understand this (truth), and, along with your brothers, relinquish everything, and repair to the holy forest. Go, now, and say, from me, to Yudhishthira,† that he, to-morrow, with his brethren, tread the path of heroes.":

Thus instructed by Vyása, Arjuna went and related to the other sons of Přithá all that he had seen, had experienced, and had heard. § When he had communicated to them the message of Vyása, the sons of Páńdu placed Parikshit on the throne, and went to the forest.

I have thus narrated to you, Maitreya, in detail, the actions of Vásudeva, when he was born in the race of Yadu.

" जातस्य नियतं मृत्युः पतनं च तथोद्वतेः । विप्रयोगावसानस्य संयोगः संचयत्तयः॥

Instead of संचयच्यः, some MSS. give: संच्यात्लयः।

† Denominated, in the Sanskrit, by his epithet Dharmarájs. Yama, also, is so called. See Vol. III., p. 118.

ः परस्रो भातृभिः साधं गतिं वीरपणा सुद्र।

The more ordinary reading ends the verse with the words यथा थासि तथा अह ।

इस्तुकोऽभ्येख पार्थाभ्यां यमाभ्यां चाह सोऽर्जुनः ।
 दर्ष चैवानमतं च कथितं तद्योधतः ॥

हुष्टे चैवानुसूतं च कथितं तद्शियतः ॥ By the two Parthas here spoken of, Yudhishthira and Bhima are intended, in the opinion of Ratnagarbha.

The words च सहार्जन: end the first verse, according to some MSS-



सद्यमेव जयते

VISHŃU PURÁŃA.

BOOK VI.

CHAPTER 1.

Of the dissolution of the world: the four ages: the decline of all things, and deterioration of mankind, in the Kali age.

MAITREYA.—You have narrated to me, illustrious sage, the creation (of the world), the genealogies (of the patriarchs), the duration* of the Manwantaras, and the dynasties† (of princes), in detail. I am now desirous to hear from you (an account of) the dissolution of the world, the season of total destruction, and that which occurs at the expiration of a Kalpa.¹

PARASARA.—Hear from me, Maitreya, exactly (the circumstances of) the end of all things,: and the disso-

^{&#}x27;Two kinds of great or universal dissolution are here intimated; one occurring at the end of a Kalpa, or day of Brahmá, to which the term Upasamhriti is applied in the text, and Atyantika-laya by the commentator; § and the other taking place at the end of the life of Brahmá, which is termed a great or elemental dissolution: Mahá-pralaya and Prákrita-pralaya.

^{*} Sthiti.

⁺ Vamsanucharita.

[†] Upasamhriti.

[§] Ratnagarbha,

lution that occurs either at the expiration of a Kalpa, or that which takes place at the close of the life of Brahmá.* A month (of mortals) is a day and night of the progenitors: a year (of mortals is a day and night) of the gods. Twice a thousand aggregates of the four ages is a day and night of Brahmá. † The four ages are the Krita, Tretá, Dwápara, and Kali; comprehending, together, twelve thousand years of the gods. There are infinite: successions of these four ages, of a similar description, the first of which is (always) called the Krita, and the last, the Kali. In the first, the Krita, is that age \$ which is created by Brahmá; in the last, which is the Kali age, a dissolution of the world occurs.

MAITREYA.—Venerable sir, you are able to give me a description of (the nature of) the Kali age, in which four-footed virtue² suffers total extinction.

¹ These measures of time are more fully detailed in the First Book. See Vol. I., pp. 46, et seq.

² This is an allusion to a popular notion, originating, probably, with Manu: "In the Krita age, the Genius of truth and right

* * * stands firm on his four feet; * * * * but, in the

^{* &}quot;At the close of the life of Brahma" is to translate प्राप्तते। † चतुर्थगसहस्रे तु ब्रह्मणो दे दिजोत्तम।

^{*} Aśesha.

[§] Read "creation". Professor Wilson here went wrong from following his favourite MS., which, from the fault of the copyist, begins the verse with आहे ज्ञार्यो ब्रह्मणा। See the next note. Besides, yuga, in the sense of 'age', is neuter.

[ा] आवि कतयुगे सर्गी ब्रह्मणा क्रियते यतः। क्रियते चोपसंहारस्रायान्ये च कखौ युगे॥

Parassara.—Hear, Maitreya, an account (of the nature) of the Kali age, respecting which you have inquired, and which is now close at hand.

The observance of caste, order, and institutes will not prevail in the Kali age; * nor will that of the ceremonial enjoined by the Sáma-, Rig-, and Yajur-Vedas. Marriages, in this age, will not be conformable to the ritual; † nor will the rules that connect the spiritual preceptor and his disciple be in force. The laws that regulate the conduct of husband and wife will be disregarded; and oblations to the gods with fire no longer be offered. In whatever family he may be born, a powerful and rich man will be held entitled to espouse maidens of every tribe. A regenerate man will be initiated in any way whatever; and such acts of penance as may be performed will be unattended by any results. 1: Every text will be scripture, that people

following ages, * * * * he is deprived, successively, of one foot," &c. § I., 81, 82.

[&]quot;Such an act is just what it is:" या सैव प्राथिसत्तिया करो। That is, it may be attended by inconvenience to the individual, but is utterly inefficacious for the expiation of sin.

[ं] वर्षात्रमाचारवती प्रवृत्तिर्न कली नृणास्।

⁺ Dharmya.

[ः] यैव सैव च मैत्रेय प्रायसित्तिया कली।

[§] From Sir William Jones's Translation.

चतुष्पात्सकको धर्मः सत्यं चैव क्रते युगे। नाधर्मेणागमः कश्चित्रनुष्यान्प्रतिवर्तते॥ इतरेष्वागमाद्यमः पादशस्त्ववरोपितः। चीरिकानृतमायाभिधर्मश्चापिति पादशः॥

This was suggested by the comment of Sridhara, who understands penance to be spoken of which is performed simply for popular applause,

choose to think so;¹ all gods will be gods to them that worship them; * and all orders of life will be common alike to all persons. In the Kali age, fasting, austerity, † liberality, practised according to the pleasure of those by whom they are observed, will constitute righteousness. Pride (of wealth) will be inspired by very insignificant possessions. Pride of beauty; will be prompted by (no other personal charm than fine) hair. Gold, jewels, diamonds, § clothes, will, all, have perished; and then hair will be the only ornament with which women can decorate themselves. Wives will desert their husbands, when they lose their property; and they only who are wealthy will be considered, by women, as their lords. He who gives away much (money) will be the master of men; and family descent

and not to wipe away sin. His words are यैव सैवेति। प्रायश्चित्तक्रिया लोकरञ्जनमात्राथा न तु पापत्त्यार्था। Ratnagarbha says: यैव सैव। अनियतेखर्थः।

- ै देवताय कर्नी सर्वा: | This rather implies, that unaccredited gods will receive honour. Ratnagarbha says: येग तेन कल्पिता: सर्वा देवता: ।
- † Áyása. Ratnagarbha explains it by penance, or pilgrimage: श्रायासः। क्रक्लादः। तीर्थयात्रादिवा।
 - ! In women. The verse runs:

स्त्रोणां रूपमद्श्वैव केग्नेरेव भविष्यति।

§ Ratna.

। कर्नो स्त्रियो भविष्यन्ति तदा केग्रीरलंकताः। ¶ सर्वमेव कर्नौ ग्रास्त्रं यस्य यदचनं द्विज।

Whether it is conformable or contradictory to the Vedas and the law. The passage I may be rendered, also: "The doctrine or dogma of any one soever will be scripture."

will no longer be a title of supremacy.* Accumulated treasures will be expended on (ostentatious) dwellings. The minds of men will be wholly occupied in acquiring wealth; and wealth will be spent solely on selfish gratifications. † Women will follow their inclinations, and be ever fond of pleasure. Men will fix their desires upon riches, even though dishonestly acquired. No man will part with the smallest fraction of the smallest coin, though entreated by a friend. Men of all degrees will conceit themselves to be equal with Brahmans. Cows will be held in esteem, only as they supply milk.² The people will be, almost always, in dread of dearth, and apprehensive of scarcity, ‡ and will, hence, ever be watching (the appearances of) the sky: they will, all, live, like anchorets, § upon leaves, and roots, and fruit; and put a period to their lives, through fear of famine and want. In truth, there will never be abun-

Much that follows this is, likewise, freely rendered.

that is, with ten Cowries: a Pana being equal to eighty Cowries (or small shells). ¶ Five Panas are equal to one Anna, or the sixteenth of a Rupee; and, at two shillings the Rupee, ten Cowries are equal to about one-seventh of a farthing.

² They will be valued for their individual use only, not from any notion of their generic sanctity.

^{*} खामिलहेतुः संबन्धो भावी नाभिजनसदा।

[†] गृहान्ता द्रव्यसंघाता द्रव्यान्ता च तथा मितः। त्र्र्याञ्चात्मोपभोगान्ता भविष्यन्ति कलौ युगे॥

^{*} Ksudh, 'hunger,' 'famine.'

[§] Tápasa, 'ascetics.'

[ः] ऋवृष्यादिदुः खिताः ।

[¶] See Colebrooke's Algebra, &c., p. 1.

dance, in the Kali age; and men will never enjoy pleasure and happiness.* They will take their food without previous ablution, and without worshipping fire, gods, or guests, or offering† obsequial libations to their progenitors. The women will be fickle,; short of stature, gluttonous. They will have many children, and little means. Scratching their heads with both hands, they will pay no attention to the commands of their husbands or parents. They will be selfish, abject, and slatternly; they will be scolds and liars; they will be indecent and immoral in their conduct, and will ever attach themselves to dissolute men. Youths, although disregarding the rules of studentship, will study the Vedas. Householders will neither sacrifice nor practise becoming liberality. Anchorets & will subsist upon food accepted from rustics; and mendicants will be influenced by regard for friends and associates.1 Princes, instead of protecting, will plunder, their subjects, and, under the pretext of levying customs, will

ग्रह्माग्रेन जगर्न

¹ The Bhágavata has: "Religious students will be regardless of vows and purification; householders will beg, not give alms; anchorets will dwell in villages; and mendicants will be desirous of riches."

^{&#}x27; दुर्भिचमेव सततं तदा क्षेश्रमनीश्वराः। प्राप्स्यन्ति व्याहतसुखप्रमोदा मानवाः कसौ॥

[†] Read "and they will not offer", &c.

Lolupa, 'covetous.'

[§] Vanavása, 'hermits.' Vánaprasthas are meant; for whose duties, see Vol. III., pp. 94-97.

[|] XII., III., 33:

त्रव्रता बटवोऽशीचा भिचवश्च कुटुम्बिनः। तपस्तिनो ग्रामवासा न्यासिनो ह्यथंनोनुपाः॥

rob merchants of their property. In the Kali age, every one who has cars, and elephants, and steeds will be a Raja;¹ every one who is feeble will be a slave.* Vaiśyas will abandon agriculture and commerce, and gain a livelihood by servitude,† or the exercise of mechanical arts.: Śúdras, seeking a subsistence by begging, and assuming the outward marks of religious mendicants, will become the impure followers of impious and heretical doctrines.² §

Oppressed by famine and taxation, men will desert their native lands, and go to those countries which are fit for coarser grains.³ The path of the Vedas being

¹ That is, princes and warriors will be so no longer by virtue of their birth and caste.

⁹ Most of the mendicant orders admit members without distinction of caste; but, probably, Buddhists, especially, are here intended. The Bhágavata repeatedly alludes to the diffusion of heretical doctrines and practices, the substitution of outward signs and marks for devotion, and the abandonment of the worship of Vishńu. The Śaiva mendicant orders are, probably, those especially in view. The same, probably, are intended, by our text, in the subsequent allusion to unauthorized austerities and sectarial marks.

³ "Gavedhuka | (Coix barbata) and other bad sorts of grain:" गवेधुक्कद्वाद्धान्देशान् । Another reading is गोधूमाज्ञयवाज्ञान्द्रशान्। ¶ "Countries growing wheat, barley, and the like." But to place wheat and barley amongst inferior grains, and to

^{*} Bhritya, 'servant.'

[†] Śúdra-vritti.

[†] Karu-karman.

भैच्यत्रताख्या गुद्राः प्रत्रच्यालिङ्गिनोऽधमाः ।
 पाषण्डसंत्रयां वृत्तिमात्रयिष्यन्यसंस्कृताः ॥

^{||} For gavedhuká, the same grain, see Vol. I., p. 95.

This is Ratnagarbha's reading.

obliterated, and men having deviated into heresy, iniquity will flourish, and the duration of life will (therefore,) decrease. In consequence of horrible penances, not enjoined by scripture, and of the vices of the rulers, children will die in their infancy. Women will bear children at the sage of five, six, or seven years; and men beget them, when they are eight, nine, or ten. A man will be grey, when he is twelve; and no one will exceed twenty years of life. Men will possess little sense, vigour, or virtue, and will, therefore, perish in a very brief period. In proportion as heresy extends, so, Maitreya, shall the progress of the Kali age be estimated by the wise. In proportion as the number of the pious who adhere to the lessons of the Vedas diminishes, as the efforts of individuals who cultivate virtue relax, as the first of males becomes no longer the object of sacrifices,* as respect for the teachers of the Vedas declines, and as regard is acknowledged for the disseminators of heresy, so may wise men note the augmented influence of the Kali age.2+

rank them lower than rice, is a classification that could have occurred to a native of Bengal alone.

¹ The Váyu says three and twenty; the Bhágavata,‡ from twenty to thirty.

² The complaints of the prevalence of heterodox doctrines, and neglect of the practices of the Vedas, which recur in the

^{*} यदा यदा न यज्ञानामीश्वरः पुरुषोत्तमः। इज्यते पुरुषेर्यज्ञैसदा ज्ञेयं कलेवंसम्॥

[†] न प्रीतिवेंदवादेषु पाषण्डेषु यदा रुचिः। किनवृद्धिसदा प्राच्चेरनुमेया दिजोत्तम॥

[;] XII., II., 11.

In the Kali age, Maitreya, men, corrupted by unbelievers, will refrain from adoring Vishńu, the lord of sacrifice,* the creator and lord of all, and will say: "Of what authority are the Vedas? What are gods, or Brahmans? What need is there of purification with water?"† Then will the clouds yield scanty rain; then will the corn be light in ear; and the grain will be (poor and) of little sap. Garments will be, mostly, made of the fibres of the San;¹; the principal of trees will be the Śamí;² the prevailing caste will be the Śúdra. Millet will be the more common grain; the milk in use will be, chiefly, that of goats; unguents will be made of Usíra-grass.§ The mother- and father-in-law will be venerated in place of parents; and a man's friends will be his brother-in-law, or one who has a

Bhágavata and our text, indicate a period of change in the condition of the Hindu religion, which it would be important to verify. If reference is made to Buddhism,—to which, in some respects, the allusions especially apply,—it would, probably, denote a period not long subsequent to the Christian era; but it is more likely to be of a later date, or in the eighth and ninth centuries, when Śankara || is said to have reformed a variety of corrupt practices, and given rise to others. See Asiatic Researches, Vol. XVI., p. 12.¶

- ¹ Crotalaria juncea.
- ² The silk cotton, Bombax heptaphyllum.**

- ; Sani. The word also means 'mere rags'.
- § Andropogon muricatum.
- See Vol. I., Preface, p. XVI.
- ¶ Or Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. I., pp. 14, 15.
- ** This is the śálmali. Read acacia suma.

^{*} Yajnapati. Variant: jagatpati.

[🕆] कि वेदैः कि दिजेदेंवैः कि शौचेनाम्बुजन्मना।

wanton * wife. Men will say: "Who has a father? Who has a mother? Each one is born according to his deeds." † And, therefore, they will look upon a wife's or husband's parents as their own.: Endowed with little sense, men, subject to all the infirmities of mind, speech, and body, will daily commit sins; and everything that is calculated to afflict beings, vicious, impure, and wretched, will be generated in the Kali age. Then shall some places follow a separate duty, \$ devoid

¹ The expression Kwachil lokah (新電視時), 'a certain place,' is explained, by the commentator, 'Kikata, &c.' (新年正元); confirming the inference that Buddhism is especially aimed at in the previous passages; for Kikata, ¶ or South Behar, is the scene of Śakya's earliest and most successful labours.

: अशुरानुगता नरा:। This is the whole that the English is intended to translate.

§ तदा प्रविरलो विप्र क्षचिल्लोको निवत्स्वति।

Il So it is explained by both Śridhara and Ratnagarbha.

The Kikafas are spoken of in the Rigveda, III., LIII., 14. In the third volume of his Translation, Introduction, p. XX., Professor Wilson speculates on their locality; and, again, in p. 86, note 4, commenting on the passage adverted to, as follows: "The Kikafas are said, by Sáyańa,—following Yáska, Nirukta, VI., 32,—to be countries inhabited by anáryas, people who do not perform worship, who are infidels, nástikas. Kikafa is usually identified with South Behar; showing, apparently, that Vaidik Hinduism had not reached the province, when this was said. Or, as Kikafa was the fountain-head of Buddhism, it might be asserted that the Buddhists were here alluded to, if it were not wholly incompatible with all received notions of the earlier date of the Vedas."

Père Vivien de Saint-Martin, in his Étude sur la Géographie, &c., pp. 138-144, is very full, if not conclusive, on the country of the Kikafas. It is by no means improbable that this name was borne by two peoples, sundered by a very considerable interval of space, and belonging to different periods. In the Bhágavata-purána, I., III., 24, it is said that Buddha, son of Anjana, will be born among the Kikafas.

^{*} Hári, 'handsome.'

[†] यदा कमीताकः पुमान्।

of holy study, oblations to fire,* and invocations of the gods. † Then, in the Kali age, shall a man acquire, by a trifling exertion, as much eminence in virtue as is the result of arduous penance in the Krita age (or age of purity).²

¹ Several of the Puránas contain allusions to the degeneracy of the Kali age; but none afford more copious details. The description in the Bhágavata is much shorter; that of the Váyu is much the same, and employs many of the same verses and illustrations.

² This might be suspected of being said ironically, referring to what had been just observed of places where a religion prevailed that required neither study nor sacrifice. The commentator, however, understands it literally, and asserts, that allusion is here made to the Vaishnava faith, in which devotion to Vishna or Krishna, and the mere repetition of his name, are equally efficacious, in the Kali age, with the penances and sacrifices of the preceding ages. Therefore, he concludes, the Kali, by this one property, is the best of all the ages: अग्रेनिक गुणन काल सर्वप्रदेश के स्थापन काल स्थापन स्थापन काल स्थापन स्थापन काल स्थापन स्थापन स्थापन काल स्थापन काल स्थापन काल स्थापन स्थापन स्थापन काल स्थापन स

^{*} Vashatkara. See Vol. II., p. 29, notes 3 and §.

[†] Swadhá and swáhá. See Vol. III., p. 122, note ‡, ad finem.

[.] Both the commentators give this explanation

CHAPTER II.

Redeeming properties of the Kali age. Devotion to Vishnu sufficient to salvation, in that age, for all castes and persons.

UPON this subject, Maitreya, you shall hear what the wise Vyása has related, as it is communicated truly by me.

It was, once, a matter of dispute, amongst the sages, at what season the least moral merit obtained the greatest reward, and by whom it was most easily displayed. In order to terminate the discussion, they went to Veda Vyása, to remove their doubts.* They found the illustrious Muni, my son, half immersed in the water of the Ganges; † and, awaiting the close of his ablutions, the sages remained on the banks of the sacred; stream, under shelter of a grove of trees. As my son plunged down into the water, and again rose up from it, the Munis heard him exclaim: "Excellent, excellent is the Kali age!" Again he dived, and, again rising, said, in their hearing: "Well done, well done, Súdra! Thou art happy." Again he sank down; and, as he once more emerged, they heard him say: "Well done, well done, women! They are happy. Who are more fortunate than they?" After this, my son finished his bathing; and the sages met him, as he approached to welcome them. After he had given them seats, and

[ं] संदेहनिर्णयार्थाय वेदवासं महामुनिम् । ययुक्ते संग्रयं प्रष्टुं मैनिय मुनिपुङ्गवाः॥

[†] Jáhnaví, in the original.

f 'Great', according to the Sanskrit.

they had proffered their respects, the son of Satyavatí* said to them: "On what account have you come to me?" They replied: "We came to you to consult you on a subject on which we entertain some doubt. But that may be, at present, suspended. Explain to us something else. We heard you say: 'Excellent is the Kali age. Well done, Súdra! Well done, women!' Now we are desirous to know why this was said, why you called them, repeatedly, happy. Tell us the meaning of it, if it be not a mystery. We will then propose to you the question that occupies our thoughts."

Being thus addressed by the Munis, Vyása smiled, and said to them: "Hear, excellent sages, why I uttered the words 'Well done!' The fruit of penance, of continence, + of silent prayer, and the like, practised, in the Krita age, for ten years, in the Tretá, for one year, in the Dwapara, for a month, is obtained, in the Kali age, in a day and night. Therefore did I exclaim: 'Excellent, excellent is the Kali age.' That reward which a man obtains, in the Krita, by abstract meditation, in the Tretá, by sacrifice, in the Dwápara, by adoration, he receives, in the Kali, by merely reciting the name of Kesava. In the Kali age, a man displays the most exalted virtue by (very) little exertion: therefore, (pious sages,) who know what virtue is, I was pleased with the Kali age. Formerly, the Vedas were to be acquired, by the twice-born, through the diligent observance of self-denial;; and it was their duty to celebrate sacrifices conformably to the ritual.

[•] See Vol. IV., p. 158.

⁺ Brahmacharya.

[:] Vratacharyá.

Then idle prayers,* idle feasts, and fruitless ceremonies were practised but to mislead the twice-born; for, although observed, by them, devoutly, yet, in consequence of some irregularity in their celebration, sin was incurred in all their works; and what they ate or what they drank did not effect the fulfilment of their desires.† In all their objects the twice-born enjoyed no independence; and they attained their respective spheres only with exceeding pain. The Súdra, (on the contrary,) more fortunate than they, reaches his assigned station by rendering them service, and performing merely the sacrifice of preparing food,: in which § no rules determine what may or may not be eaten, what may or may not be drunk. Therefore, most excellent sages, || is the Súdra fortunate.

"Riches are accumulated, by men, in modes not incompatible with their peculiar duties; and they are then to be bestowed upon the worthy, and expended in constant sacrifice. There is great trouble in their acquisition; great care, in their preservation; great distress, from the want of them; and great grief, for

^{*} Kathá. "Praise of Krishna", the commentators say.

[†] A free rendering.

[‡] **पानयज्ञाधिकार्यान् ।** This implies "possessing the privilege of domestic sacrifices." For the *pákayajnas*, which have nothing to do with ordinary cookery, see Vol. III., p. 114, notes ‡ and §.

[§] This has not the connexion with what precedes that the Translator supposed. For "in which", read "and for him", or the like,—to render freely.

^{||} Muni-śárdúla.

 $[\]P$ It is, rather, implied, that there is difficulty in the proper application of them:

their loss.* Thus, eminent Brahmans, through these and other sources of anxiety, men attain their allotted spheres of Prajápati† and the rest, (only by exceeding labour and suffering). (This is not the case with women.) A woman has only to honour her husband, in act, thought, and speech, to reach the same region to which he is elevated; and she, thus, accomplishes her object without any great exertion. This was the purport of my exclamation 'Well done!' the third time. I have, thus, related to you (what you asked). Now demand the question you came to put to me, in any way you please; and I will make you a distinct reply."

The Munis then said (to Vyása): "The question we intended to have asked you has been already answered, by you, in your reply to our subsequent inquiry." On hearing which, Krishna Dwaipáyana laughed, and said to the holy persons; who had come to see him, whose eyes were wide open with astonishment: "I perceived, with the eye (of) divine (knowledge), the question you intended to ask; and, in allusion to it, I uttered the expressions 'Well done! Well done!' In truth, in the Kali age, duty is discharged with very little trouble \sqrt{\sqrt{by}} by mortals whose faults are, all, washed away by the water of their individual merits; by Śudras, through diligent attendance (only) upon the twice-born; and by women, through the slight effort of obedience to their husbands. Therefore, Brahmans, did I thrice ex-

^{*} I find no Sanskrit for this clause.

[†] In the original, Prájápatya. For this heaven, see Vol. I., p. 98, notes 1 and *.

[‡] Tápasa.

[§] Some MSS. yield 'time'.

press my admiration of their happiness; for, in the Krita and other ages, great were the toils of the regenerate to perform their duty. I waited not for your inquiry, but replied, at once, to the question you purposed to ask. Now, ye who know what virtue is, what else do you wish me to tell you?"

The Munis then saluted and praised Vyása, and, being freed, by him, from uncertainty, departed as they came. To you, also, excellent (Maitreya), have I imparted this secret,—this one great virtue of the (otherwise) vicious Kali age. * The dissolution † of the world, and the aggregation of the elements, ‡ I will now describe to you. 1

कीर्त्तनादेव क्रष्णस्य मुक्तबन्धः परं त्रजेत्।

This verse is identical with one near the end of the passage cited, from the Bhagavata-purana, in note §, below.

¹ The illustration of the efficacy of devotion to Vishńu, given in this Chapter, is peculiar to this Puráńa; but the doctrine is common to it and the Bhágavata. It is repeatedly inculcated in that work. The parallel passage, in the Twelfth Book, § is the following: "Purushottama, abiding in the hearts of men, takes

^{*} Śridhara seems to recognize the following verse, disregarded by the Translator:

[†] Upasamhriti.

[ः] प्राक्ततामन्तरालाम् । Śridhara: ऋनरालाम् । ब्रह्मणो दिने दिने भवाम् । Ratnagarbha: ऋनरालाम् । दैनंदिनीम् ।

[§] Chapter III., 45-52:

पुंसां किल्क्षतान्दोषान्द्रव्यदेशात्मसंभवान् । सर्वान्हरति चित्तस्थो भगवान्पुरुषोत्तमः ॥ श्रुतः संकीर्त्तितो ध्यातः पूजितस्वादृतोऽपि वा । नृणां धुनोति भगवान्द्दरस्थो जन्मायुताशुभम् ॥ यथा हिन्नि स्थितो विद्विर्दुर्वेणं हन्ति धातुजम् । एवमात्मगतो विष्णुयोगिनामशुभाश्यम् ॥

away all the sins of the Kali age, produced by place or property. Bhagavat, abiding in the heart, and heard, repeated, read of, worshipped, or honoured, dissipates the ills of men for ten thousand births. As fire, entering into the substance of gold, purifies it from the alloy with which it is debased in the mine, so Vishnu, united with the devotee, is the refiner from all that is evil. By learning, penance, suppression of breath, friendship, pilgrimage, ablution, mortification, gifts, prayer, the soul attains not that exceeding purity which it derives from the presence of Vishnu. Therefore, with all your soul, O king, hold Keśava ever present in your heart. Let one about to die be most careful in this; for so he goes to supreme felicity. Let the name of the supreme god, Vishnu, be repeated, diligently, by all, in their last moments; for he who desires liberation shall attain it by the frequent repetition of the name of Krishna, Final felicity is derived, in the Krita age, from holy study; in the Tretá, from religious rites. In the Dwapara, it is attained by pious services; but, in the Kali age, it is secured by repeating the name of Hari." / Similar doctrines are taught in the Gítá, and other Vaishnava works. See Asiatic Researches, Vol. XVI., p. 116. *

वियातपःप्राण्णिरोधमैचीतीर्थाभिषेकत्रतदानज्ञेः।

नात्यन्तशुद्धं लभतेऽ नरात्मा

यथा इदिस्थे भगवत्यनने॥

तस्मात्सर्वात्मना राजन्हदिस्थं कुर्केश्वम्।
स्रियमाणो स्ववहितस्ततो यासि परांगितम्॥
स्रियमाणोरिभिध्येयो भगवान्परमेश्वरः।

ऋतिभावं न यत्यङ्गसर्वात्मा सर्वसंश्रयः॥

कलेदीवनिधे राजनस्ति स्वेनो महागुणः।
कीर्त्तनादेव कृष्णस्य मुक्तवन्धः परं वर्जत्॥

कते यद्धायतो विष्णुं चेतायां यजतो मखैः।

द्वापरे परिचर्यायां कलौ तद्विकीर्त्तनात्॥

* Or Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. I., p. 161.

CHAPTER III.

Three different kinds of dissolution. Duration of a Parardha. The clepsydra, or vessel for measuring time. The dissolution that occurs at the end of a day of Brahma.

THE dissolution* of existing beings is of three kinds,—incidental, elemental, and absolute.¹ The incidental is that which relates to Brahmá, and occurs at the end of a Kalpa; the elemental is that which takes

The first is called Naimittika, † 'occasional' or 'incidental,' or Brahmya, as occasioned by the intervals of Brahma's days; the destruction of creatures, though not of the substance of the world, occurring during his night. The general resolution of the elements into their primitive source, or Prakriti, is the Prakritika destruction, and occurs at the end of Brahma's life. The third, the absolute or final, Atyantika, is individual annihilation; Moksha, exemption for ever from future existence. † The Bhagavata & here notices the fourth kind, of which mention occurred in a preceding passage (Vol. I., p. 113),—Nitya, or constant dissolution;—explaining it to be the imperceptible change that all things suffer in the various stages of growth and decay, life and death. "The various conditions of beings subject to change are occasioned by that constant dissolution of life which is rapidly produced by the resistless stream of time, taking everything perpetually away:"

कानस्रोतोजवेनाशु हियमाणस्य नित्यदा। परिणामिनामवस्थासा जन्मप्रनयहेतवः॥

The Váyu describes but three kinds of Pralaya, omitting the Nitya.

^{*} Pratisanchara. See Vol. I., p. 52, note *.

⁺ Corrected from "Naimittaka".

[;] Vide supra, p. 61, note §, ad finem.

[§] XII., IV., 35.

place after two Parárdhas; the absolute is (final) liberation * (from existence).

MAITREVA.—Tell me, excellent master, what is the enumeration of a Parárdha, the expiration of two of which is the period of elemental dissolution.¹

Parásara.—A Parárdha, Maitreya, is that number which occurs in the eighteenth place of figures, enumerated according to the rule of decimal notation.² At

कोटिकोटिसहस्राणि परार्धिमिति कीर्त्यते।
परार्धे दिगुणं चापि परमाक्रमेनीविणः॥
स्थानं दश्गुणं विद्यादेकं दश्श्र एतं ततः।
सहस्रमयुतं तसाझियुतं प्रयुतं ततः॥
अर्बुदं न्यर्बुदं चैव वृन्दं चैव ततः परम्।
खवं चैव निखवं च श्रङ्कः पद्मस्रथैव च॥
समुद्रो मध्यमनस्य परार्धे परमेव च।
एवमष्टादशैतानि पदानि गणनाविधौ॥

The English of this is, in brief, as below, and corrects Professor Wilson's representation, in several particulars:

Eka .		 		٠.	 		1
Daśa ,		 	.		 		10
Śata .		 			 		100
Sahasi	·a.	 			 		1.000
Ayuta		 			 		. 10.000
Niyuta		 			 		100.000
Prayu	ta.	 	• • •		 	1	.000.000
Arbud	a	 			 	. 10	000 000

¹ Maitreya has a rather indifferent memory (see Vol. I., pp. 46. 47); but the periods specified in the two places do not agree. In the First Book, two Parárdhas, as equal to one hundred years of Brahmá, are 311.040.000.000.000 years of mortals.

² Counting according to this mode of enumeration, a Parardha is represented by 100.000,000.000,000.000.

The Vayu Purana † has

Moksha.

[†] Quoted by Śridhara and Ratnagarbha, as follows:

the end of twice that period, elemental dissolution occurs, when all the discrete products of nature are

a term for each of these decimal values: Dasa (द्श), 10; Śata (श्त), 100; Sahasra (सहस्र), 1000; Ayuta (श्रुत), 10.000; Niyuta (श्रुत), 100.000; Prayuta (प्रयुत), 1.000.000; Arbuda (श्रुद्), 10.000.000; Vrinda (श्रुद), 10.000.000.000; Vrinda (श्रुद), 100.000.000,000; Para + (प्र), 10.000.000.000; Kharva (खर), 100.000.000,000; Nikharva (श्रिव), 1.000.000.000.000; Śankha (श्रुह्), 10.000.000.000,000; Padma (प्रा), 100.000.000.000,000; Samudra (समुद्र), 1.000.000.000.000; Madhyama ‡ (सध्यम), 10.000 000.000.000.000,000.000.

 Nyarbuda
 100.000.000

 Vrinda
 1.000.000.000

 Kharva
 10.000.000.000

 Nikharva
 100.000.000.000

 Śankha
 10.000.000.000.000

 Padma
 10.000.000.000.000

 Samudra
 100.000.000.000.000.000

 Madhya
 1,000.000.000.000.000.000

 Anta
 100.000.000.000.000.000.000

 Parárdha
 100.000.000.000.000.000.000

 2 parárdhas
 i. e., half-paras
 a para

Our commentators' manuscripts of the Váyu-puráña must have differed very noticeably, as to the foregoing passage, from those to which I have access.

A niyuta denotes, according to different authorities, a hundred thousand, a million, &c. More usually, however, it is a synonym of laksha; as in the passage annotated supra, p. 92, note ‡. See Messrs. Böhtlingk and Roth's Sanskrit-Wörterbuch, sub voce

For a very learned article on Sanskrit numeration, from the pen of Dr. Albrecht Weber, see the Zeitschrift der Deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Vol. XV., pp. 132-140.

- * Corrected from "Nyurvuda", and the Sanskrit similarly.
- † The original word is not, here, a technicality.
- Read "Madhya". See note † in the preceding page.
- § Anta is here omitted.
- || In the Lilávati, Chapter II., Section I., the parárdha is arrived at differently, in this wise:

withdrawn into their indiscrete source. The shortest period of time is a Mátrá, which is equal to the twinling of the human eye.* Fifteen Mátrás make a Kásta thá; thirty Káshthás, one Kalá; fifteen Kalás, one Na diká. A Nádiká is ascertained by a measure of water with a vessel made of twelve Palas and a half of copper in the bottom of which there is to be a hole made with a tube of gold, of the weight of four Máshas, and four inches long. 14 According to the Magadha measure

In the First Book, the Parardha, as the half of Brahma's line but 155.520.000.000,-fifteen, instead of eighteen, placefigures.

¹ The description of the Clepsydra is very brief, and want

E-20/49	PER PARTICIONE PER S
Eka	
Daśa	
Śata	
Sahasra	1.000
	10.000
Laksha	
Prayuta	1.000.000
Koti	10.000.000
Arbuda	100 000,000
	: 1.000.000.000
	10,000.000,000
	100,000,000,000
	1.000.000.000.000
Śanku	10.000.000.000.000
	.100.000.000.000.000
Antya 1	.000.000.000.000.000
Madhya 10	,,000,000,000,000,000
	000.0000

As words, abja is a synonym of padma; and jaladhi, of samuet.

- ' निमेषो मानुषो योऽयं माचामाचः प्रमाणतः।
- † उन्मानेनाससः सा तु पत्नान्यर्धवयोदश् ।

हेममापै: इतच्छिद्र सतुर्भिसतुर हुनै: ॥

The expression ऋधेवचोदश is explained, by the comment of mean "twelve and a half". The Bhágavata-purána has dwid.

the vessel should hold a Prastha (or sixteen Palas) of water. Two of these Nádikás make one Muhúrtta,—thirty of which are one day and night. Thirty such periods form a month; twelve months make a year, or a day and night of the gods; and three hundred and sixty such days constitute a year of the celestials. An aggregate of four ages contains twelve thousand divine years; and a thousand periods of four ages complete a day of Brahmá. That period is, also, termed a Kalpa, during which fourteen Manus preside; and, at the end of it, occurs the incidental or Brahmá dissolution. The nature of this dissolution is very fearful. Hear me describe it, as well as that which takes place at the elemental dissolution, which I will, also, relate to you.

At the end of a thousand periods of four ages, the earth is, for the most part, exhausted. A total dearth then ensues, which lasts a hundred years; and, in conse-

in precision. One of the commentaries* is more explicit: "A vessel made of twelve Palas and a half of copper, and holding a Prastha, (Mágadha measure) of water, broad at top, and having, at bottom, a tube of gold, of four Máshas weight, four fingers long, is placed in water; and the time in which the vessel is filled by the hole in the bottom is called a Nádiká:" साधिदाद्शपनताम्मयं मागधं प्रस्थं संमितमूर्धायनं पात्रं चतुमीषचतुरङ्गाहमग्रजाक्या कताधिरकदं जले स्थापितं तेन स्थिद्रेष यावता कासेन पूर्यते तावत्कालो नाडिकीत। The term Śaláká generally means a needle or stake; but it must, here, denote a pipe. The common measure of the Nádí is a thin shallow brass cup, with a small hole in the bottom. It is placed on the surface of water, in a large vessel, where nothing can disturb it, and where the water gradually fills the cup, and sinks it. Asiatic Researches, Vol. V., p. 87.

^{*} Śridhara's. Ratnagarbha enters into further particulars.

quence of the failure of food, all beings become languid and exanimate, and, at last, entirely perish.* The eternal† Vishńu then assumes the character of Rudra, the destroyer, and descends to reunite all (his) creatures with himself. He enters into the seven rays of the sun, 't drinks up all the waters (of the globe), and causes all moisture whatever, in living bodies or in the soil, to evaporate; thus drying up the whole earth. The seas, the rivers, the mountain torrents, and springs are, all, exhaled; and so are all the waters of Pátála, § (the regions below the earth). Thus fed, through his intervention, with abundant moisture, the seven solar rays dilate to seven suns, whose radiance glows above,

' तती यान्यस्पसाराणि तानि सत्तान्यश्रेषतः। स्रयं यान्ति सुनिश्रेष्ठ पार्थिषान्यद्वपीडनात्॥

¹ See Vol. II., p. 297, note 1.

² These, also, have their several appellations. The commentator¶ quotes the Vedas,** as the authority: Árága,†† Bhrája. Patala, Patanga, Swarńabháj,‡ Jyotishmat, and Savibhása. §§

[†] Avyaya. See Vol. I., p. 17, note *.

[‡] See the Taittiriya-áraínyaka, I., VII., I. The seven suns are there called Ároga, Bhrája, Pafara, Patanga, Swarúara, Jyotishimat, and Vibhása.

^{§ &}quot;The Pátalas", according to the Sanskrit. For these domains, see Vol. 11., pp. 209, et seq.

Anubháva.

[¶] Both the commentators give the names following.

^{**} Hereon the commentators cite a stanza: Ratnagarbha gives it as anonymous; but Śridhara refers it to the Kúrma-puráńa. The seven rays are there said to be Sushumńa, Harikeśa, Viśwakarman, Viśwavyarchas (?), Varchas, Vasu, Sańpadvasu (?).

Compare the particulars in notes 1 and † to p. 297 of Vol. II.

^{††} So reads Ratnagarbha. Śridhara has Ároga.

^{‡‡} Corrected from "Swamabbak". Śridhara's reading, in my one MS., seems to be Swarúaroman.

^{§§} Vibhávasu, according to Śridhara.

below, and on every side,* and sets the three worlds and Pátála† on fire. The three worlds, consumed by these suns, become rugged and deformed, throughout the whole extent of their mountains, rivers, and seas; and the earth, bare of verdure, and destitute of moisture, alone remains, resembling, in appearance, the back of a tortoise. The destroyer of all things, Hari, in the form of Rudra, who is the flame of time, § becomes the scorching breath of the serpent Sesha, and thereby reduces Pátála | to ashes. The great fire, when it has burnt all the divisions of Pátála, proceeds to the earth, and consumes it, also. I A vast whirlpool of eddying flame then spreads to the region of the atmosphere,** and the sphere of the gods, †† and wraps them in ruin. The three spheres show like a frying-pan, amidst the surrounding flames that prey upon all moveable or stationary things. The inhabitants of the two (upper) spheres, having discharged their functions, ## and being annoyed by the heat, remove to (the sphere above, or) Mahar-loka. When that becomes heated, its tenants, who, after the full period of their stay, are desirous of

¶ पातालानि समसानि स दग्धा ज्वलनी महान्। भूमिमभोख सक्तलं वभस्ति वसुधातलम्॥

There is no Sanskrit for "on every side".

[†] The plural is better.

For "rugged and deformed" the original has nissneha, "deprived of moisture."

[§] Kalagni. See Vol. I., p. 128, text and note ‡.

^{||} The original has the plural.

^{**} Bhuvar-loka. Variant: Bhuvo-loka.

^{††} Swar-loka.

^{**} Kritádhikára. Variant: hritádhikára, "deprived of office."

ascending to higher regions, depart for the Janaloka. 1*

Janárdana, in the person of Rudra, having consumed the whole world, breathes forth heavy clouds; and those called Sańvartaka,† resembling vast elephants, in bulk, overspread the sky,—roaring, and darting lightnings. Some are as black as the blue lotos; some are (white) as the water-lily; some are dusky, like smoke; and some are yellow; some are (of a dun colour,) like (that of) an ass; some, like ashes sprinkled on the forehead; some are (deep blue,) as the lapis lazuli; some

" तस्माद्पि महातापत्रप्ता लोकास्ततः परम्। गच्छन्ति जनलोकं ते दशावृत्त्या परैषिणः॥

¹ The passage § may, also, be understood: "Those go to Janaloka, who are desirous of obtaining Brahma, or final liberation, through the ten stages of perfection,-devotion, penance, truth, &c." In the Váyu Purána, more details are specified. Those sainted mortals who have diligently worshipped Vishnu, and are distinguished for piety, abide, at the time of dissolution, in Maharloka, with the Pitris, the Manus, the seven Rishis, the various orders of celestial spirits, and the gods. These, when the heat of the flames that destroy the world reaches to Mahar-loka, repair to Jana-loka, in their subtile forms, destined to become reembodied, in similar capacities as their former, when the world is renewed, at the beginning of the succeeding Kalpa. This continues throughout the life of Brahmá. At the expiration of his life, all are destroyed; but those who have then attained a residence in the Brahma-loka, by having identified themselves, in spirit, with the Supreme, are, finally, resolved into the sole-existing Brahma.

[†] Corrected from "Samvartta". See Vol. I., p. 53, note 3.

[!] I find साचारसनिभा: 1

[§] Both the commentaries dwell on it at length.

[]] For the various Lokas and their denizens, see Vol. II., pp. 225, et seq.

(azure), like the sapphire; some are (white) as the conch or the jasmine; and some are (black) as collvrium; some are (of bright red), like the lady-bird;* some are of the fierceness of red arsenic; † and some are like the wing of the (painted) jay. (Such are these massy clouds, in hue.) In form, some resemble towns; some, mountains: some are like houses and hovels;; and some are like columns. § Mighty in size, and loud in thunder, they fill all space. || Showering down torrents of water, these clouds quench the dreadful fires which involve the three worlds; and then they rain, uninterruptedly, for a hundred years, and deluge the whole world. Pouring down, in drops as large as dice, these rains overspread the earth, and fill the middle region, and inundate heaven. The world is now enveloped in darkness; and, all things, animate or inanimate, having perished, the clouds continue to pour down their waters for more than a hundred years.

^{*} Indragopa. See Vol. IV., p. 284, note ..

[†] मनःशिजनिभासया।

^{; &}quot;Houses and hovels" is to render kúťágára, which denotes a super-structure on the roof of a house.

[§] Śridhara reads sthúla, 'a heap', 'a tent'; Ratnagarbha, úrúa, 'wool'. Other lections which I find are sthala 'a mound', 'a tent'; and sthaúa, of unascertained signification.

Nabhas-tala.

[¶] Bhuvo-loka.

CHAPTER IV.

Continuation of the account of the first kind of dissolution. Of the second kind, or elemental dissolution; of all being resolved into primary spirit.

WHEN the waters have reached the region of the seven Rishis,* and the whole of the three worlds is one ocean, they stop. The breath of Vishnu becomes a (strong) wind, which blows for more than a hundred years, until all the clouds are dispersed. The wind is then reabsorbed; and he of whom all things are made, the lord by whom all things exist, t he who is inconceivable, without beginning, beginning of the universe,; reposes, sleeping upon Sesha, in the midst of the deep. The creator, & Hari, sleeps (upon the ocean), in the form of Brahmá,—glorified by Sanaka | and the saints I who had gone to the Jana-loka, and contemplated by the holy inhabitants of Brahma-loka, anxious for final liberation,-involved in mystic slumber, the celestial personification of his own illusions, and meditating on his own ineffable spirit, which is called Vásudeva. **

^{*} See Vol. II., p. 226, and p. 230, note +.

[🕆] भूतभावनः ।

[‡] Corrected from the printer's error "without beginning of the universe." The original is अनादिरादि विश्वस्थ।

S Ádikrit.

^{||} See Vol. I., p. 59, and p. 77, note 1; also, Vol. II., p. 200, note ‡. ¶ Siddha.

[&]quot; चात्ममायामयीं दिव्यां योगनिद्रां समास्थितः । चात्मानं वासुदेवाखां चिनायन्यरमेश्वरः ॥

For Yoganidra, which the Translator here renders by "mystic slumber", see Vol. IV., p. 260, note 1.

This, Maitreya, is the dissolution termed incidental; because Hari, in the form of Brahmá, sleeps there, as its incidental cause.

When the universal spirit wakes, the world revives; when he closes his eyes, all things fall upon the bed of mystic slumber.: In like manner as a thousand great ages constitute a day of Brahmá, § so his night consists of the same period,—during which the world is submerged by a vast ocean. Awaking at the end of his night, the unborn, Vishńu, in the character of Brahmá, creates the universe anew, in the manner formerly related to you.

I have, thus, described to you the intermediate dissolution of the world, occurring at the end of every Kalpa. I will now, Maitreya, describe to you elemental dissolution. When, by dearth and fire, all the worlds and Pátálas are withered up, and the modifications of Mahat and other products of nature are, by the will of Krishna, destroyed, the progress of elemental dissolution is begun. Then, first, the waters swallow up the property of earth, (which is the rudiment of smell);

¹ The Naimittika Pralaya is described in the Váyu, Bhágavata, Kúrma, and other Puránas, to the same effect, and, very commonly, in precisely the same words.

^{*} Pratisanchara.

^{† &}quot;Incidental cause" is for nimitta. See Vol. I., p. 65, note †, ad finem.

[ः] निमीलवितद्खिलं योगग्र्याग्र्ये (ख्रेते ।

[§] The original has Padmayoni, the same as Abjayoni, for which see Vol. I., p. 17, note †.

[|] Pralaya.

[¶] Samhára.

and earth, deprived of its property, proceeds to destruction. Devoid of the rudiment of odour, the earth becomes one with water.* The waters, then, being much augmented, roaring, and rushing along, fill up all space, whether agitated or still. † When the universe is, thus, pervaded by the waves of the watery element, its rudimental flavour is licked up by the element of fire; and, in consequence of the destruction of their rudiments, the waters themselves are destroyed.: Deprived of (the essential rudiment of) flavour, they become one with fire; and the universe is, therefore, entirely filled with flame, & which drinks up the water on every side, and gradually overspreads the whole of the world. While space is enveloped in flame, above, below, and all around, the element of wind seizes upon the rudimental property, or form, which is the cause of light; and, that being withdrawn, I all becomes of the nature of air. The rudiment of form being destroyed, and fire ** deprived of its rudiment, air extinguishes fire, and spreads, resistlessly, over space, which is deprived of light, when fire merges into air. Air, then, accompanied by sound, which is the source of ether, extends

^{*} Śridhara, like several independent MSS., here interposes the following verse:

रसाज्यसं समुद्रतं तसाज्यातं रसास्रकम् ।

[†] तिष्ठनित विचर्नित चे।

[:] श्रामिप गुणो चसु च्योतिषा पीयते तु सः। नक्षन्त्रापस्ततसास रसतसावसंघयात्॥

६ चान्यवस्थे तु सनिने तेवसा सर्वतो वृते।

[॥] व्योतिषोऽपि परं रूपं वायुरत्ति प्रभावरम्।

[¶] Pralina.

everywhere throughout the ten regions of space,* until ether seizes upon contact, † its rudimental property, by the loss of which, air is destroyed, and ether: remains unmodified: devoid of form, flavour, touch, § and smell, it exists unembodied | and vast, and pervades the whole of space. Ether, I whose characteristic property and rudiment is sound, exists alone, occupying all the vacuity of space.** But then the radical element ++ (egotism,) devours sound; and all the elements and faculties are, at once, merged into their original. ## This primary element is consciousness, §§ combined with the property of darkness, in and is, itself, swallowed up by Mahat, whose characteristic property is intelligence; TT and earth and Mahat are the inner and outer boundaries of the universe. In this manner, -as (in the creation,) were the seven forms of nature (Prakriti), reckoned from Mahat to earth, 1***-so, at the (time of

* ततसु मूलमासाय वायुः संभवमातानः। जर्धं चाधस तिर्यकु दोधवीति दिशो दश्॥

- + Sparša.
- * Kha.
- § Sparśa.
- I find no reading but murttimat, which means 'embodied'.
- ¶ Ákása. See Vol. I., p. 34, note *.
- ** परिमण्डलं तत्सुधिरमाकाशम्।
- †† Bhútadi. See Vol. I., p. 33, note ..

🗄 भूतेन्द्रियेषु युगपङ्गतादी संस्थितेषु वै।

- §§ श्रीभेमानातातः। See Vol. I., p. 33, note ‡.
- ||||| This phrase is to render t'amasa, for which see Vol. I., p. 34, note 1, and p. 35, note *.
- ¶¶ Buddhi.

¹ See Vol. I., p. 29.

^{***} एवं सप्त महाबुंदेः क्रमात्प्रक्षतयसु वै।

elemental) dissolution,* these seven successively reenter into each other. The egg of Brahmᆠis dissolved in the waters that surround it, with its seven zones,; seven oceans, seven regions, and their mountains. The investure of water is drunk up by fire; the (stratum of) fire is absorbed by (that of) air; air blends itself with ether; the primary element § (of egotism) devours the ether, and is (itself,) taken up by intellect, || which, along with all these, is seized upon by nature (Prakriti). Equilibrium of the (three) properties, without excess or deficiency, is called nature (Prakriti), origin (Hetu), the chief principle (Pradhańa), T cause (Kárańa), supreme (Para). This Prakriti is, essentially, the same, whether discrete or indiscrete; only that which is discrete is, finally, lost or absorbed in the indiscrete.** Spirit, ++ also, which is one, pure, imperishable, eternal, all-pervading, is a portion of that supreme spirit which is all things. That spirit # which is other than (embodied) spirit, in which there are no attributes of name,

The seven prakritis, or productive productions, are, in the pure Sankhya philosophy, mahat, ahamkara, and the five tanmatras. See the Sankhyakáriká, III., and the commentaries.

With the statements in the text, which counts the seven prakritis from mahá-buddhi—the same as mahat,—compare Vol. I., p. 40.

Pratyáhára.

⁺ Sarva-mańdala.

[.] Dwipa.

[§] Bhútádi.

[|] Mahat.

[¶] See Vol. I., p. 20, note *.

[&]quot; इत्येषा प्रकृतिः सर्वा यक्तायक्तस्वरूपिणी। वातं खरूपमवाते तसिकीचेय लीयते॥

⁺⁺ Pums.

^{::} Sarvesa.

species,* or the like,—which is one with (all) wisdom, and is to be understood as (sole) existence,†—that is Brahma, infinite glory,: supreme spirit, supreme power, § Vishńu, all that is; from whence the (perfect) sage|| returns no more. Nature (Prakriti)—which I have described to you as being, essentially, both discrete and indiscrete,—and spirit¶ (which is united with body), both resolve into supreme spirit. Supreme spirit is the upholder of all things, and the ruler of all things,** and is glorified, in the Vedas and in the Vedanta, by the name of Vishńu.

Works, as enjoined by the Vedas, are of two kinds, active (Pravritta) and quiescent (Nivritta), by both of which the universal persont is worshipped by mankind. He, the lord of sacrifice, it the male of sacrifice, is the most excellent male, is worshipped, by men, in the active mode, by rites enjoined in the Rig-, Yajur-, and Sáma-Vedas. The soul of wisdom, the person of wisdom, I Vishnu, the giver of emancipation, is worshipped, by sages, *** in the quiescent form, through medi-

^{*} Náman and játi. Vide supra, p. 15, note *.

[†] Sattá.

[🗄] परं धाम।

^{§ &}quot;Supreme power" is to render iśwara.

Yati.

[¶] Purusha.

^{**} This expression is to translate parameśwara.

^{††} Sarva-múrtti.

^{**} Yajneśwara.

^{§§} Yajna-pums.

^{| | |} Purushottama.

^{¶¶} Jnána-múrtti.

¹⁷ Juana-mur

^{***} Yogin.

tative devotion.* The exhaustless † Vishnu is whatever thing that is designated by long, short, or prolated syllables, or that which is without a name. He is that which is discrete, and that which is indiscrete; he is exhaustless spirit, supreme spirit, universal spirit,: Hari, the wearer of universal forms. Nature, whether discrete or indiscrete, is absorbed into him; and (detached) spirit, \$ also, merges into the all-diffusive and unobstructed spirit. The period of two Parárdhas, as I have described it to you, Maitreya, is called a day of that potent Vishnu; and, whilst the products of nature are merged into their source, nature into spirit, and that into the Supreme, that period is termed his night, and is of equal duration with his day. ** But, in fact, to that eternal supreme spirit there is neither day nor night; and these distinctions are only figuratively applied to the Almighty. + I have, thus, explained to you the nature of elemental dissolution, and will now expound to you which is final.

¹ The Bhágavata notices the Prákrita pralaya much more briefly; and it is omitted in the Váyu.

^{*} Jnána-yoga.

[†] Ayvaya. See Vol. I., p. 17, note *.

[†] Viśwatman.

[§] Purusha.

^{||} Vyápin.

[¶] Atman.

^{**} व्यक्ते च प्रक्रती लीने प्रक्रत्यां पृष्ठि तथा। तच स्थिते निशा चान्या तत्प्रमाणा महामुने॥ †† उपचारसाथायेष तस्येशस्य द्विजोच्यते।

CHAPTER V.

The third kind of dissolution, or final liberation from existence. Evils of worldly life. Sufferings in infancy, manhood, old age. Pains of hell. Imperfect felicity of heaven. Exemption from birth desirable by the wise. The nature of spirit or god.

Meaning of the terms Bhagavat and Vásudeva.

THE wise man, having investigated the three kinds of worldly pain, *-or mental and bodily affliction, and the like, '-and having acquired (true) wisdom, and detachment (from human objects), obtains final dissolution. The first of the three pains, or Adhyátmika, is of two kinds, bodily and mental. Bodily pain is of many kinds, as you shall hear. Affections of the head, catarrh, fever, cholic, fistula, spleen, hemorrhoids, † intumescence, sickness, ophthalmia, dysentery, leprosy, and many other diseases constitute bodily affliction. Mental sufferings are love, anger, fear, hate, covetousness, stupefaction, despair, \$ sorrow, malice, || disdain, jealousy, envy, and many other passions which are engendered in the mind. These and various other

¹ The three kinds of affliction, ¶ inseparable, incidental, and superhuman, are fully described, in the commentary on the first verse of the Sánkhya Káriká, p. 8, in a similar strain as that which is adopted in the text.

^{*} Tápa-traya.

[†] Here the original inserts śwasa, 'asthma' (?).

[†] Moha.

[§] Visháda.

^{||} Asúyá.

[¶] Vide supra, p. 60, note *.

(afflictions, mental or corporeal,) are comprised under the class of (worldly) sufferings, which is called Adhyátmika (natural and inseparable). That pain to which, excellent Brahman, the term Adhibhautika (natural. but incidental,) is applied, is every kind of evil which is inflicted * (from without,) upon men by beasts, + birds, men, goblins, snakes, fiends, or reptiles; and the pain that is termed Adhidaivika (or superhuman,) is the work of cold, heat, wind, rain, I lightning, and other (atmospherical phenomena). Affliction, Maitreya, is multiplied in thousands of shapes, in (the progress of) conception, ** birth, decay, disease, death, and hell. The tender (and subtile) animal exists in the embryo, ++ surrounded by abundant filth, floating in water, ## and distorted in its back, neck, and bones; enduring severe pain, even in the course of its development, §§ as disordered by the acid, acrid, || bitter, ¶¶ pungent, *** and saline articles of its mother's food; incapable of extending or contracting its limbs, reposing amidst the slime of ordure and urine, every way incommoded, unable to breathe, endowed with conscious-

^{*} There is free interpolation here.

[†] Mriga.

¹ Piśácha.

[§] Rákshasa.

^{||} Saristipa.

[¶] Insert 'water', ambu.

^{*} Garbha.

tt Garbha, again.

^{**} I find no Sanskrit for these words.

^{§§} वर्धमानातिवेदनः।

III Katu.

^{¶¶} Tikshńa. *** Ushńa.

ness,* and calling to memory many hundred (previous) births. Thus exists the embryo, in profound affliction, bound (to the world) by its (former) works.

When the child is about to be born, its face is besmeared by excrement, urine, blood, mucus, + and semen; its attachment to the uterus is ruptured; by the Prájápatya wind; it is turned head downwards, and violently expelled from the womb by the powerful and painful winds of parturition; and the infant, losing, for a time, all sensation, when brought in contact with the external air, is immediately deprived of its intellectual knowledge. Thus born, the child is tortured in every limb, as if pierced with thorns, or cut to pieces with a saw, and falls from its fetid lodgement, as from a sore, like a crawling thing, upon the earth. \Unable to feel ** itself, unable to turn itself, it is dependent upon the will of others for being bathed ++ and nourished. Laid upon a dirty bed, ## it is bitten by insects and musquitoes, §§ and has not power to drive them away. Many are the pangs attending birth; and (many are those) which succeed to birth; and many are the

^{*} Sachaitanya.

[†] There is no word for "mucus", in the original.

[ः] पौद्धमानास्थिबन्धनः।

[§] Corrected from "Prájápati".

[&]quot;Intellectual knowledge" is to render vijnána.

न अप्यक्तिरिव तुन्नाङ्गः क्रकचैरिव दारितः ।
 पूतित्रणानिष्पतितो धर्णां क्रमिको यथा ॥

^{**} The original, काड्यने चायग्रह्मः, denotes scratching.

^{††} A variant yields 'drinking milk'.

^{**} Srastara, with prastara as a variant. See Vol. III., p. 131, note *, and p. 150, note *.

^{§§} Damsa, 'gadflies.'

sufferings which are inflicted by elemental and super human agency, in the state of childhood.* Enveloped by the gloom of ignorance, and internally bewildered. man knows not whence he is, who he is, whither he goeth, nor what is his nature; by what bonds he is bound; what is cause, and what is not cause: what ito be done, and what is to be left undone; † what is to be said, and what is to be kept silent; what is right eousness, what is iniquity; in what it consists, or how. what is right, what is wrong; what is virtue, what is vice. Thus, man, like a brute beast, addicted only in animal gratifications, suffers the pain that ignorance occasions. § Ignorance, darkness, inactivity influence those devoid of knowledge, so that pious works are neglected; but hell is the consequence of neglect. .. (religious) acts, according to the great sages; and the ignorant, therefore, suffer affliction both in this world and in the next.

When old age arrives, the body is infirm; the limiare relaxed; the face is emaciate and shrivelled; I in skin is wrinkled, and scantily covers the veins and

^{&#}x27; बालभावे यदाभ्रोति ऋाधिभौतादिकानि च।

[†] कि कार्य किमकार्य वा। I should prefer "what is effect, and who is not effect", considering what we meet with just below. See the next not

[‡] किं कर्तव्यमकर्तव्यं किम्। "What is to be done, and what is to be done."

एवं पशुसमैर्नृढिरज्ञानप्रभवं महत् ।
 अवाष्यते नरैर्दुःखं भिन्नोदरपरायणैः ॥

अज्ञानं तामसो भावः कार्यारकाप्रवृत्तयः ।
 अज्ञानिनां प्रवर्तन्ते कर्मकोपासतो द्विज ॥

[¶] Rather, "the teeth decay and fall out:" विगलक्शीर्याद्श्वन: । ।'. is the only good reading that I find.

sinews;* the eye discerns not afar off, and the pupil gazes on vacuity; the nostrils are stuffed with hair; the trunk trembles (as it moves); the bones appear (beneath the surface); the back is bowed, and the joints are bent; the digestive fire is extinct, and there is little appetite and little vigour; † walking, rising, sleeping, sitting are (all,) painful efforts; the ear is dull; the eye is dim; the mouth is disgusting with dribbling saliva;; the senses no longer are obedient to the will; and, as death approaches, the things that are perceived even are immediately forgotten.§ The utterance of a single sentence is fatiguing; and wakefulness is perpetuated by (difficult) breathing, coughing, and (painful) exhaustion. The old man is lifted up by somebody else; he is clothed by somebody else; he is an object of contempt to his servants, his children, and his wife. Incapable of cleanliness, of amusement, or food, or desire. he is laughed at by his dependants, and disregarded by his kin; and, dwelling on the exploits of his youth, as on the actions of a past life, || he sighs deeply, and is sorely distressed. Such are some of the pains which old age is condemned to suffer. I will now describe to you the agonies of death.

The neck droops; the feet and hands are relaxed; the body trembles; the man is, repeatedly, exhausted,

^{*} विक्लायुशिरावृतः।

[†] Cheshtita, 'activity.'

[ः] सवज्ञालाविलाननः।

अनायत्तैः समसीय कर्णीर्मरणोत्मुखः ।
 तत्वणिऽप्यनुभृतामामसर्ताखिलवस्तुनाम् ॥

[&]quot;Past life," in the sense of previous state of existence. The original expression is अन्यस्थित्रज्ञानि।

subdued, and visited with interrupted knowledge.* The principle of selfishness afflicts him, and he thinks: "What will become of my wealth, my lands, + my children, my wife, my servants, my house?" The joints of his limbs are tortured with severe pains, as if cut by a saw, or as if they were pierced by the sharp arrows of the destroyer; he rolls his eyes, and tosses about his hands and feet; his lips and palate are parched and dry; and his throat, obstructed by foul humours and deranged vital airs, § emits a rattling sound; he is afflicted with burning heat, and with thirst, and with hunger; and he, at last, passes away, tortured by the servants of the judge of the dead, to undergo a renewal of his sufferings in another body. These are the agonies which men have to endure, when they die. I will now describe to you the tortures which they suffer in hell.

Men are bound, when they die, by the servants of the king of Tartarus, T with cords, and beaten with sticks, and have, then, to encounter the fierce aspect of Yama, and the horrors of their terrible route. In the different hells there are various intolerable tortures with burning sand,*** fire, machines, and weapons: some

[ं] स्रयद्गीवाङ्गिहस्तीऽय व्याप्तो वेपयुना नरः। मुहुर्ग्हानिः परवशो मुक्तक्तानलवान्वितः॥

⁺ Dhánya, 'grain.'

[‡] The Sanskrit has Antaka, the same as Yama. Vide supra, p. 15, note §§.

[§] This is a free rendering.

[🛚] याम्यकिङ्करपीडितः ।

[¶] याम्यकिङ्करः।

^{**} कर्भवासुका: | Compare the Laws of the Manavas, XII., 76.

are severed with saws; some, roasted in forges;* some are chopped with axes; some, buried in the ground; some are mounted on stakes; some, cast to wild beasts,† (to be devoured); some are gnawed by vultures; some, torn by tigers; some are boiled in oil; some, rolled in caustic slime; some are precipitated from great heights; some, tossed (upwards) by engines. The number of punishments inflicted in hell, which are the consequences of sin, is infinite.

But not in hell alone do the souls of the deceased undergo pain: there is no cessation, even in heaven; for its temporary inhabitant is ever tormented with the prospect of descending again to earth. Again is he liable to conception and to birth; he is merged again into the embryo, and repairs to it, when about to be born; then he dies, as soon as born, or in infancy, or in youth, or in old age. Death, sooner or later, is inevitable. As long as he lives, he is immersed in manifold afflictions, like the seed of the cotton amidst the down** that is to be spun into thread. In acquiring,

¹ Some further particulars of the different hells, and the punishments inflicted in them, have been given before. See Vol. II., pp. 214, et seq.

^{*} Múshá, 'crucibles.'

[†] व्याघ्रवक्रे।

[†] Dwipin, 'ounces', or 'panthers'.

[§] चारकर्दमे।

[।] न केवलं दिजश्रेष्ठ नरके दुःखपडतिः। स्वर्गेऽपि पातभीतस्य चयिष्णोनीस्ति निर्वृतिः॥

[¶] This sentence is to render भ्रवा मृति:।

^{**} Pakshman, 'filaments.'

losing, and preserving wealth, there are many griefs; and so there are in the misfortunes of our friends.* Whatever (is produced that) is (most) acceptable to man, that, Maitreya, becomes a seed whence springs the tree of sorrow. Wife, children, servants, house, lands, riches contribute much more to the misery, than to the happiness, of mankind. Where could man, scorched by the fires of the sun of this world, † look for felicity, were it not for the shade afforded by the tree of emancipation? Attainment of the divine being is considered, by the wise, as the remedy of the threefold class of ills that beset the different stages of life, -conception, birth, and decay, -as characterized by that only happiness which effaces all other kinds of felicity, however abundant, and as being absolute and final.1:

//It should, therefore, be the assiduous endeavour of wise men to attain unto God.² The means of such at-

^{&#}x27; All this is conformable to the Sánkhya doctrines, in particular, although the same spirit pervades all Hindu metaphysics.

² Tasmát Tat práptaye yatnah kartavyah panditair naraili: तस्मानत्मामचे यत्नः कर्तचः पण्डितेगैरैः।

The expression Tat-práptaye, "for the obtaining of that," refers to the phrase immediately preceding,—Bhagavat-práptilí, "obtaining of," or "attaining to, Bhagavat," the Lord.

[ै] तथैवेष्टविपत्तिषु ।

⁺ Samsára.

[:] तद्स त्रिविधसापि बु:खजातस्य पण्डितैः। गर्भजकाजराबीषु स्वानेषु प्रभविष्यतः॥ निरसातिष्ययाद्धादसुखभावैकजस्या। भेषजं भगवत्पातिरेकानात्विकाने मता॥

tainment are said, great Muni, to be knowledge and works. Knowledge is of two kinds,—that which is derived from scripture, and that which is derived from reflection.* Brahma that is the word is composed of scripture; Brahma that is supreme is produced of reflection. Ignorance is utter darkness, in which knowledge obtained through any sense (as that of hearing,) shines like a lamp; but the knowledge that is derived from reflection breaks upon the obscurity like the sun. What has been said by Manu, when appealing to the meaning of the Vedas, with respect to this subject, I will repeat to you.: There are two (forms of) spirit (or God),—the spirit which is the word, and the spirit which is supreme. He who is thoroughly imbued with the word of God obtains supreme spirit. 2 The Atharva Veda, also, states that there are two kinds of know-

दे ब्रह्मणी वेदितचे भृब्दब्रह्म परंच यत्। भृब्दब्रह्मणि निष्णातः परंब्रह्माधिगच्छति॥§

¹ Brahma is of two kinds; Śabda-Brahma,—spirit, or God, to be attained through the word (that is, the Vedas,) and the duties they prescribe; and Para-Brahma,—spirit, or God, to be attained through reflection, by which the difference between soul and matter is ascertained.

² This seems intended as a quotation from Manu; but it has not been found in the code. It is:

^{*} Viveka.

[†] ऋन्धं तम इवाज्ञानं दीपवचेन्द्रियोज्ञवम्। यथा सूर्यसया ज्ञानं यदिप्रर्षे विवेकजम्॥

मनुर्याह वेदाधं सृाला यसुनिसत्तम।
 तदेतच्य्रयतामत्र संबन्धे गदतो मम॥

[§] This stanza appears in the Maitri-upanishad, VI., 22; and it occurs in the Mahábhárata, Śánti-parvan, 8l. 8550, 8551.

ledge. By (the one which is) the supreme, God* is attained; the other is that which consists of the Rich and other Vedas.¹ That which is imperceptible, undecaying, inconceivable, unborn, inexhaustible, † indescribable; which has neither form, nor hands, nor feet; which is almighty, § omnipresent, eternal; the cause of all things, and without cause; permeating all, itself unpenetrated, and from which all things proceed,—that is the object which the wise behold, that is Brahma, that is the supreme state, that is the subject of contemplation to those who desire liberation, that is the thing spoken of by the Vedas, the infinitely subtile, supreme condition of Vishńu. That essence of the Supreme ¶ is de-

ग्रविवया मृत्यं तीर्ला विवयामृतमञ्जते।

"Having crossed the gulf of death by ignorance (ceremonial acts), man obtains immortality by (holy) knowledge."

¹ The commentator quotes other passages from the Vedas, of a similar tendency; intimating, however, the necessity of performing acts prior to attaining knowledge; as: क्षाये कर्मभः पक्षे ततो ज्ञानं तु परमा गतिः। ** "The decoction (preparatory process) being digested by rites, thereafter knowledge is the supreme resource."

^{*} Akshara.

[†] Avyaya. See Vol. I., p. 17, note ..

Compare Vol. IV., p. 253.

[§] Vibhu.

[🏿] श्रुतिवाक्योदितं सूद्धं तद्विष्णोः परमं पदम् ।

[¶] Paramátman.

^{**} This seems to be a selection from the following stanza, cited by the commentator Ratnagarbha:

कषायपिङ्कः कर्माणि ज्ञानं तु परमा गतिः। कषाये कर्मभिः पक्के ततो ज्ञानं प्रवर्तते॥

fined by the term Bhagavat.¹ The word Bhagavat is the denomination of that primeval and eternal god;* and he who fully understands the meaning of that expression is possessed of holy wisdom,—the sum and substance of the three Vedas.† The word Bhagavat is a convenient form to be used in the adoration of that supreme being: to whom no term is applicable; and, therefore, Bhagavat expresses that Supreme Spirit, which is individual, almighty, and the cause of causes of all things. § The letter Bha implies the cherisher and supporter (of the universe). By ga is understood the leader, impeller, or creator. The dissyllable Bhaga indicates the six properties,—dominion, might, glory, splendour, wisdom and dispassion.

The purport of

¹ According to the comment, allusion is here made to the twelve-syllable Mantra (or mystic formula) addressed to Vishńu: "Om Bhagavate Vásudeváya namah; ¶ 'Om! Salutation to Bhagavat Vásudeva:' the repetition of which, by those devoted (bhakta) to Vishńu, is the easy mode of securing their liberation." The mysticism is, however, no doubt, older than the worship of Vishńu; and the term Bhagavat is defined, in the text, according to the interpretation of the Vedas.

^{*} Átman.

[†] एवं निगदितार्थस सतलं तस्र तत्त्रतः। ज्ञायते येन तन्ज्ञानं परमं यत्त्रयीमयम्॥

[‡] Brahma.

शुंद्वे महाविभूत्याख्ये परे ब्रह्मणि वर्तते।
 मैत्रेय भगवच्छन्दः सर्वकारणकारणे॥
 ऐश्वर्यख्य समग्रस्य धर्मस्य यश्वसः श्रियः।

एेश्वर्यस्य समग्रस्य धर्मस्य यग्रसः त्रियः।
 ज्ञानविराग्ययोश्चैव षसां भग इतीङ्गना ॥

[¶] See Vol. I., p. 99, note *.

the letter va is that elemental spirit in which all beings exist, and which exists in all beings. And, thus, this great word Bhagavat is the name of Vásudeva,—who is one with the supreme Brahma,—and of no one else. This word, therefore, which is the general denomination of an adorable object, is not used, in reference to the Supreme, in a general, but a special, signification. When applied to any other (thing or person), it is used in its customary or general import. In the latter case, it may purport one who knows the origin, and end, and revolutions of beings, and what is wisdom, what ignorance. In the former, it denotes wisdom, energy, power, dominion, might, glory, without end, and without defect.

The term Vásudeva means, that all beings abide in that Supreme Being, and that he abides in all beings;² as was formerly explained by Keśidhwaja to Kháńdi-

¹ The commentator says, these interpretations are from the Nirukta,—the glossary of the Vedas. The more etymological derivation of the term is: Bhaga, 'power,' 'authority,' and vat, possessive affix.

² From the root Vas (**बस्**), 'abiding,' 'dwelling.' See Vol. I., pp. 1 and 17.

^{&#}x27; वसन्ति यत्र भूतानि भूतात्मन्यविसात्मनि । सर्वभूतेष्वभेषेषु वकारार्थस्ततोऽव्ययः॥

[†] तत्र पूज्यपदार्थेाक्तिपरिभाषासमन्त्रितः। शब्दोऽयं नोपचारेण श्रन्यत्र ह्युपचारतः॥

Vidyá.

[§] Jnána.

[॥] विना देवैर्गुणादिभिः।

kya, called Janaka, * when he inquired of him an explanation of the name of the immortal, † Vásudeva. He said: "He dwelleth internally in all beings; and all things dwell in him; and, thence, the lord Vásudeva is the creator and preserver: of the world. He, though one with all beings, is beyond and separate from material nature (Prakriti), from its products, from properties, from imperfections; he is beyond all investing substance; he is universal soul. All the interstices of the universe are filled up by him. § He is one with all good qualities; and all created beings are endowed with but a small portion of his individuality. Assuming, at will, various forms, he bestows benefits on the whole world, which was his work. I Glory, might, dominion, wisdom, ** energy, power, and other attributes are collected in him. Supreme of the supreme, in whom no imperfections †† abide, lord over finite and infinite, #

सत्यमव जयत

सर्वभूतः प्रकृतिं विकारागुणांच दोषांच मुने व्यतीतः ।
 त्रतीतसर्वावरणोऽ विकात्मा
 तेनासृतं यद्भवनान्तराने ॥

Instead of स सर्वभूतः प्रकृतिं, the stanza begins with स सर्वभूत-प्रकृतिं, according to several MSS.

|| Šakti.

इच्छागृहीताभिमतोद्देहः संसाधिताग्रेषजगिततोऽसौ।

^{*} Vide infra, p. 217, notes 1, *, and ‡.

[†] Ananta.

 $[\]ddagger$ "Creator" and "preserver" are to render dhatri and vidhatri; for which vide supra, p. 15, note \P .

^{**} Mahávabodha.

⁺⁺ Kleśa.

^{**} Paráparesa.

god in individuals and universals,* visible and invisible, omnipotent, omnipresent, omniscient, almighty. The wisdom, perfect,† pure, supreme, undefiled, and one only, by which he is conceived, contemplated, and known,—that is wisdom: all else is ignorance."

चित्रसमिष्टिक्पः। See Vol. IV., p. 255, note §. The commentators concrete vyashti into Sankarshana, &c., and samashti into Vasudeva. † Asta-dosha.



CHAPTER VI.

Means of attaining liberation. Anecdotes of Khándikya and Keśidhwaja. The former instructs the latter how to atone for permitting the death of a cow. Keśidhwaja offers him a requital; and he desires to be instructed in spiritual knowledge.

HE, Purushottama, is, also, known by holy study* and devout meditation;† and either, as the cause of attaining him, is entitled Brahma. From study let a man proceed to meditation,: and from meditation to study:¹ by perfection in both, supreme spirit becomes manifest. Study is one eye, wherewith to behold it; and meditation is the other. He who is one with Brahma sees not with the eye of flesh. §

MAITREYA.—Reverend teacher, I am desirous of being informed what is meant by the term meditation (Yoga), by understanding which I may behold the Supreme Being, the upholder of the universe.

जपाच्छानाः पुनर्धायेखानाच्छानाः पुनर्जपेत् ।

"Wearied of meditation, let him pray inaudibly: weary of prayer, let him repeat meditation."

जपध्यानाभियोगेन पश्चेदात्मानमात्मि।

"By the union of prayer and meditation let him behold soul in himself."

Both study of the Vedas (Swadhyaya) and abstraction (Yoga) are to be practised. When a man is weary of one, he may apply to the other. The Yoga, however, limits the practical part to silent prayer.

^{*} Swádhyaya. Vide infra, p. 229, note §. † Sannyama. ; Yoga. § न मांसच्चुषा द्रष्टुं ब्रह्मभूतः स ग्रक्ति।

If The verses quoted by the Translator are given by both the commentators, and as from the Yoga-śástra.

Parášara.—I will repeat to you (Maitreya,) the explanation formerly given by Keśidhwaja to the magnanimous Kháńdikya, also called Janaka.*

MAITREYA.—Tell me, first, Brahman, who Kháńdikya was, and who was Keśidhwaja; and how it happened, that a conversation relating to (the practice of) Yoga occurred between them.

Parasara.—There was Janaka, (named) Dharmadhwaja, who had two sons, Mitadhwaja and Kritadhwaja; and the latter was a king ever intent upon existent supreme spirit:† his son was the celebrated Keśidhwaja. The son of Mitadhwaja was Janaka, called Kháńdikya.¹‡ Kháńdikya was diligent in the way of works, and was renowned, on earth, for religious rites. Keśidhwaja, on the other hand, was endowed with spiritual knowledge. These two were engaged in hostilities; and Kháńdikya was driven from his principality by Keśidhwaja. Expelled from his dominions, he wandered, with a few followers, his priest, and his counsellors, amidst woods and mount-

¹ No such names occur amongst the Maithila kings of the Vishńu Puráńa (see Vol. III., pp. 330, et seq.); but, as there noticed (p. 333, note 2§), the Bhágavata inserts them. Janaka is used as a title. Kŕitadhwaja, in some of the copies, is read Ŕitadhwaja.

^{*} That is to say, Janaka, son of Khandika. In the Bhágavata-purána, IX., XIII., 20, he is called son of Mitadhwaja; and, the original of the Vishnu-purána being ambiguous, I have corrected accordingly Professor Wilson's "Amitadhwaja", just below. Mitadhwaja was patronymically called Khandika; and his father must have been called Khandika, with other names.

[†] Here, as in p. 214, supra, the original does not yield "Janaka, called Khandikya", but Khandikyajanaka. Also see note *, above.

[§] But also see note ***, in the page referred to.

ains, where, destitute of true wisdom, he performed many sacrifices, expecting, thereby, to obtain divine truth, and to escape from death by ignorance.^{1*}

Once, whilst the best of those who are skilled in devotion † (Keśidhwaja,) was engaged in devout exercises, ‡ a fierce tiger slew his milch-cow, ² in the lonely forest. When the Raja heard that the cow had been killed, he asked the ministering priests what form of penance would expiate the crime. They replied, that they did not know, and referred him to Kaśeru. Kaśeru, § when the Raja consulted him, told him that he knew not, but that Śunaka would be able to tell him. Accordingly, the Raja went to Śunaka; but he replied: "I am as unable, great king, to answer your question as Kaśeru has been; and there is no one now, upon earth, who can give you the information, except your enemy Kháńdikya, whom you have conquered."

Upon receiving this answer, Keśidhwaja said: "I will go, then, and pay a visit to my foe. If he kill me, no

¹ The performance of rites, as a means of salvation, is called ignorance, in the Vedas (vide supra, p. 211, note 1). Works are recommended as introductory to the acquirement of knowledge: it is ignorance to consider them as finite.

² Tasya dhenum (तस्र धेनुम). One copy has Homa-dhenum, 'cow of sacrifice;' another, Dharma-dhenum, || 'cow of righteousness.' The commentator explains the terms as importing the same thing,—a cow yielding milk for holy purposes, or for the butter which is poured, in oblations, upon the sacrificial fire.

^{*} त्रह्मविद्यामधिष्ठाय ततुं मृत्युमविद्यया।

[†] All my MSS. have the vocative योगविदां वर। ‡ Yoga.

[§] The original calls him a Bhargava, or descendant of Bhrigu.

^{||} Dharma-dogdhrim is the only variant noticed by Sridhara or Ratnagarbha; and the former explains it by homa-dhenum.

matter; for, then, I shall obtain the reward that attends being killed in a holy cause.* If (on the contrary,) he tell me what penance to perform, then my sacrifice will be unimpaired in efficacy." Accordingly, he ascended his car, having clothed himself in the deer-skin (of the religious student), and went to the forest where the wise Khándikya resided. When Khándikya beheld him approach, his eyes reddened with rage, and he took up his bow, and said to him: "You have armed yourself with the deer-skin, to accomplish my destruction; imagining, that, in such an attire, you will be safe from me. But, fool, the deer upon whose backs this skin is seen are slain, by you and me, with sharp arrows. So will I slay you: you shall not go free, whilst I am living. You are an unprincipled felon, who have robbed me of my kingdom, and are deserving of death." † To this, Kesidhwaja answered: "I have come hither, Kháńdikya, to ask you to solve my doubts, and not with any hostile intention. Lay aside, therefore. both your arrow and your anger." Thus spoken to, Kháńdikya retired, awhile, with his counsellors and his priest, and consulted with them what course to pursue. They strongly urged him to slay Keśidhwaja, who was now in his power, and by whose death he would again become the monarch of the whole earth. Khándikya replied to them: "It is, no doubt, true, that, by such an act, I should become the monarch of the whole earth. He, however, would, thereby, conquer

[ै] स चाह तं प्रयास्येष प्रष्टुमात्मरिपुं मुनै । प्राप्त एव मया यच्ची यदि मां स हनिष्यति॥

[†] The translation is free hereabouts, as throughout the rest of the Chapter,

the world to come; whilst the earth would be mine. Now, if I do not kill him, I shall subdue the next world, and leave him this earth. It seems to me, that this world is not of more value than the next: for the subjugation of the next world endures for ever; the conquest over this is but for a brief season. I will, therefore, not kill him, but tell him what he wishes to know."

Returning, then, to Keśidhwaja, Kháńdikya* desired him to propose his question, which he promised to answer; and Keśidhwaja related to him what had happened,—the death of the cow, +-and demanded to know what penance he should perform. Kháńdikya, in reply, explained to him, fully, the expiation that was suited to the occasion; and Keśidhwaja then, with his permission, returned to the place of sacrifice, and regularly fulfilled every necessary act. Having completed the ceremony, with its supplementary rites, Kesidhwaja accomplished all his objects. But he then reflected thus: "The priests whom I invited to attend have, all, been duly honoured; all those who had any request to make have been gratified by compliance with their desires; all that is proper for this world has been effected by me. Why, then, should my mind feel as if my duty had been unfulfilled?" So meditating, he remembered that he had not presented to Kháńdikya the gift that it is becoming to offer to a spiritual preceptor; and, mounting his chariot, he immediately set off to the thick forest where that sage abode. Kháńdikya, upon his reappearance, assumed his weapons, to kill him. But Keśidhwaja exclaimed: "Forbear,

^{*} The original has Kháńdikyajanaka.

⁺ Dharma-dhenu.

venerable sage. I am not here to injure you, Kháńdikya. Dismiss your wrath; and know that I have come hither to offer you that remuneration which is due to you, as my instructor. Through your lessons I have fully completed my sacrifice; and I am, therefore, desirous to give you a gift. Demand what it shall be."

Kháńdikya, having once more communed with his counsellors, told them the purpose of his rival's visit, and asked them what he should demand. His friends recommended him to require his whole kingdom back again; as kingdoms are obtained, by prudent men, without conflicting hosts. The reflecting king Kháńdikva laughed, and replied to them; "Why should a person such as I be desirous of a temporary earthly kingdom? Of a truth, you are able counsellors in the concerns of this life; but of those of the life to come you are, assuredly, ignorant." So speaking, he went back to Keśidhwaja, and said to him: "Is it true that you wish to make me a gift, as to your preceptor?" "Indeed, I do," answered Kesidhwaja. "Then," rejoined Khándikya, "as it is known that you are learned in the spiritual learning that teaches the doctrine of the soul, if you will communicate that knowledge to me, you will have discharged your debt to your instructor. Declare to me what acts are efficacious for the alleviation of human affliction."*

^{&#}x27; बाह्यसिखेव तेनोक्तः खाण्डिकासमणाष्ट्रवीत्। भवानध्यात्मविज्ञानपरमार्थविचकायः॥ यदि चेहीयते मह्यं भवता गुरुनिष्कयः। तत्क्षेणप्रश्रमायानं यत्कर्मतदुदीरय॥

CHAPTER VII.

Keśidhwaja describes the nature of ignorance, and the benefits of the Yoga or contemplative devotion. Of the novice and the adept in the performance of the Yoga. How it is performed. The first stage, proficiency in acts of restraint and moral duty: the second, particular mode of sitting: the third, Práńáyáma, modes of breathing: the fourth, Pratyáhára, restraint of thought: the fifth, apprehension of spirit:* the sixth, retention of the idea. Meditation on the individual and universal forms of Vishíu. Acquirement of knowledge. Final liberation.

"BUT," said Keśidhwaja, "why have you not asked of me my kingdom, now free from all annoyance? What else except dominion is acceptable to the warrior race?" "I will tell you," replied Kháńdikya, "why I did not make such a demand, nor require that territory which is an object of ignorant ambition. It is the duty of the warrior to protect his subjects (in peace), and to kill, in fight, the enemies of his sway. It is no fault, that you should have taken my kingdom from one who was unable to defend it, to whom it was a bondage, and who was, thus, freed from the incumbrance of ignorance. My desire of dominion originated in my being born to possess it. The ambition of others, which proceeds from (human) frailties, is not compatible with virtue. To solicit gifts is not the duty of a prince and warrior; and, for these reasons, I have not asked for your kingdom, nor made a demand which ignorance

^{*} By referring to note † in p. 240, infra, it will be seen that yama and niyama are the first and second stages, while "apprehension of spirit", bhávaná, should not be counted as a stage.

alone would have suggested.* Those only who are destitute of knowledge, whose minds are engrossed by selfishness, † who are intoxicated with the inebriating beverage of self-sufficiency, † desire kingdoms,—not such as I am."

When King Keśidhwaja heard these words, he was much pleased, and exclaimed: "It is well-spoken." § Then, addressing Kháńdikya | affectionately, he said: "Listen to my words. Through desire of escaping death by the ignorance of works, I exercise the regal power, celebrate various sacrifices, and enjoy pleasures subversive of purity. Fortunate is it for you, that your mind has attached itself to the dominion of discrimination. Pride of your race! now listen to the real nature of ignorance. The (erroneous) notion that self consists in what is not self, and the opinion that property consists in what is not one's own, I constitute the double seed of the tree of ignorance. The ill-judging embodied being, bewildered by the darkness of fascination, situated in a body composed of the five elements, loudly asserts 'This is I.' But who would ascribe spiritual individuality to a body in which soul is distinct from the ether, air, fire, water, and earth, (of

* न याञ्चा चत्रवन्धूनां धर्मो ह्येतत्सतां मतम् । त्रतो न याचितं राज्यमविद्यान्तर्गतं तव ॥

The translation of the a large part of the present Chapter is not at all close.

[†] Mamatwa.

[†] Aham-mána.

^{§ &#}x27;Enough!' साध्वीति प्राह । A sacred license of grammar is here taken, as is remarked by the commentators.

^{||} The original has Kháńdikyajanaka.

[🎙] असे समिति या मितः।

which that body is composed)? What man of understanding assigns to disembodied * spirit corporeal fruition, or houses, lands, and the like, that it should say 'These are mine'? What wise man entertains the idea of property in sons or grandsons, begotten of the body, after the spirit has abandoned it? † Man performs all acts, for the purpose of bodily fruition; and the consequence of such acts is another body; so that their result is nothing but confinement to bodily existence.: In the same manner as a mansion of clay is plastered with clay and water, so the body, which is of earth, is perpetuated by earth and water, (or by eating and drinking). The body, consisting of the five elements, is nourished by substances equally composed of those elements. But, since this is the case, what is there in this life that man should be proud of? § Travelling the path of the world for many thousands of births, man attains only the weariness of bewilderment, and is smothered by the dust of imagination. When that dust is

The text is somewhat obscure; but it is, in some degree, cleared up by the next illustration. No one would think of applying the property of self—the idea of possession, or personality,—to soul separated from body. But the objection is equally applicable to soul in the body; for, whilst there, it is as distinct, in its nature, from the materials of body, as if it was disembodied, and quite as incapable of individual personal fruition.

Adeha.

[†] This clause is to render जानातानि कलेवरे।

[ः] देहसान्यो यदा पुंसस्तदा बन्धाय तत्परम्।

[§] I find no Sanskrit answering to this sentence.

Samsara.

[¶] Vásaná.

washed away by the bland * water of (real) knowledge, then the weariness of bewilderment sustained by the wayfarer through repeated births is removed. When that weariness is relieved, the internal man is at peace, and he obtains that supreme felicity which is unequalled and undisturbed. † This soul is (of its own nature,) pure, and composed of happiness; and wisdom. The properties of pain, ignorance, and impurity are those of nature (Prakriti), not of soul. There is no affinity between fire and water; but, when the latter is placed over the former, in a caldron, it bubbles, and boils, and exhibits the properties of fire. § In like manner, when soul is associated with Prakriti, it is vitiated by egotism and the rest, and assumes the qualities of grosser nature, although essentially distinct from them, and incorruptible. Such is the seed of ignorance, as I have explained it to you. There is but one cure of worldly sorrows,-the practice of devotion: no other is known."**

"Then," said Kháńdikya, "do you, who are the chief of those versed in contemplative devotion, explain to me what that is: for, in the race of the descendants of Nimi, '†† you are best acquainted with the sacred writ-

¹ That is, in the race of princes of Mithilá.

^{*} Ushńa.

[†] अनन्यातिश्रयाबाधं परं निर्वाणमुक्कृति।

[;] Nirváńa-maya.

जलस्य नापिसंसर्गः स्थालीसंगात्तथापि हि।
 ग्रव्दोद्रेकादिकान्धर्मास्रक्तरोति यथा मृने॥

ii Aham-mana.

[¶] Avyaya. See Vol. I., p. 17, note *.

[&]quot; क्षेशानां च चयकारं योगादन्यन विवति। †† See Vol. III., pp. 259 and 327.

V.

ings in which it is taught." "Hear," replied Keśidhwaja, "the account of the nature of contemplative devotion, which I impart to you, and by perfection in which the sage attains resolution into Brahma, and never suffers birth again. The mind of man is the cause both of his bondage and his liberation: its addiction to the objects of sense is the means of his bondage; its separation from objects of sense; is the means of his freedom. The sage who is capable of discriminative knowledge must, therefore, restrain his mind from all the objects of sense, and therewith meditate upon the Su-

योगसः कुर कमाणि संगं त्यका धनंजय। सिद्धसिद्धोः समो भूवा समलं योग उच्यते॥

¹ The term Yoga (चोज), which is that used in the text, in its literal acceptation signifies 'union,' 'junction,' from चुज 'to join': in a spiritual sense, it denotes 'union of separated with universal soul;" and, with some latitude of expression, it comes to signify the means by which such union is effected. In the Bhagavad Gítá, it is variously applied, but, ordinarily, denotes the performance of religious ceremonies as a duty, and not for interested purposes. Thus, Křishňa says to Arjuna:

[ं] यत्र स्थितो न च्यवते प्राप्य ब्रह्मलयं मुनिः।

[†] Nirvishaya.

preme Being,—who is one with spirit,—in order to attain liberation.* For that Supreme Spirit† attracts (to itself) him who meditates upon it, and who is of the same nature; as the loadstone attracts the iron by the virtue which is common to itself and to its products.¹: Contemplative devotion is the union with Brahma, effected by that condition of mind which has attained perfection through those exercises which complete the control of self;² and he whose contemplative devotion

त्रात्मप्रयत्नसापेचा विशिष्टा या मनोगतिः। तस्या ब्रह्मणि संयोगो योग इत्यभिधीयते॥

The Atma-prayatna is defined I to be that which has Yama, &c.

¹ This illustration is, however, only to a limited extent explanatory of the nature of Yoga; for, though the loadstone and iron unite, by virtue of a community of kind, yet the union that takes place is only that of contiguity, Samyoga (संयोग), not that of identification or unity, Tad-aikya (तदेका). Some further explanation, therefore, is required.

² The first stage is the Atma-prayatna, the practice of moral and religious restraint,—Yama, Niyama, § &c. When the novice is perfect in these, then he is fit to attain the perfectibility of an adept, through the especial practices which treatises on the Yoga prescribe. When the mind has attained the state which can alone be attained through them, then the union with Brahma, which is the consequence, is called Yoga:

^{&#}x27; विषयेभ्यः समाहृत्य विज्ञानाता मनो मुनिः। चिन्तयेमुक्तये तेन ब्रह्मभूतं परेश्वरम्॥

^{† &}quot;Supreme Spirit" is for Brahma.

[🕽] विकार्यमातानः श्रुत्या लोहमाकर्षको यथा।

[§] Vide infra, p. 230, notes * and †.

^{||} This is the original of the passage to which the Translator's note is attached.

[¶] By the commentator Śridhara, whom Ratnagarbha here closely follows.

is characterized by the property of such absolute perfection is, in truth, a sage, expectant of final liberation from the world.*

"The sage (or Yogin), when first applying himself to contemplative devotion, is called the (novice or) practitioner (Yoga-yuj); when he has attained spiritual union, † he is termed (the adept, or) he whose meditations are accomplished. Should the thoughts of the

for its object, यमनियमादिविषय:। The next phrase, तत्सापेचा, is explained तद्धीना 'depending upon, or relating to, such control.' मनोगति: is the same as मनोवृत्ति:, condition or state of mind which is विशिष्टा, 'perfected:' of that state of mind union with Brahma is Yoga. Union with Brahma is the abstraction that proposes the identity of the living with the supreme spirit,—of the Jivátman! with Brahma: जीवासम्होक्यविषयत्म। And Yoga is understanding of the identity of the contemplator and the object contemplated: धातृध्येक्षधी:। A text of Yájnavalkya§ is quoted to this effect:

ज्ञानं योगात्मकं विश्वि योगञ्चाष्ठाङ्गसंयुतः। संयोगो योग र्लुक्तो जीवात्मपरमात्मनोः॥

"Know holy wisdom to be the same with Yoga, (the practice of) which has eight divisions. That which is termed Yoga is union of the living with the supreme soul."

¹ Vinishpanna-samádhi¶ is the expression of the text, which can scarcely be regarded as an appellative. The commentator terms the adept Brahma-jnánin, "he who knows Brahma."

^{*} स वै योगी मुमुनुरभिधीयते।

[†] परत्रह्योपलब्धिमान्।

^{*} See Vol. IV., p. 253, note *.

[§] Corrected from "Yajnyawalkya". With reference to Yajnavalkya, vide infra, p. 230, note ||.

^{||} By Ratnagarbha.

[¶] Samádhi is rendered "abstraction", in Vol. II., p. 315.

former be unvitiated by any obstructing imperfection, he will obtain freedom, * after practising devotion through several lives. The latter speedily obtains liberation in that existence (in which he reaches perfection), all his acts being consumed by the fire of contemplative devotion. The sage who would bring his mind into a fit state for (the performance of) devout contemplation must be devoid of desire, † and observe (invariably,) continence, compassion, truth, honesty, and disinterestedness: he must fix his mind intently on the supreme Brahma, practising holy study, \$ purification, contentment, penance, and self-control. These

¹ After three lives, according to the Váyu Samhitá, as quoted in the comment.¶

। स्वाध्यायशीचसंतोषतपांसि नियतातावान् । कुर्वीत ब्रह्मणि तथा परस्मिन्त्रवणं मनः॥

The Translator should not have rendered **বিষ**নামাৰাৰ as if it is noted "self-control". The fifth observance is **प्रवर् मन:**,—the Translator's "mind intently",—which is equivalent, the scholiasts say pranidhana, 'persevering devotion.' See the Yoga-śástra, II., 32.

श्रलाभ्यासोऽपि यो मर्त्यः सोऽपि जन्मन्यात्पर्मः। न योनियन्त्रपीडायै पुनरेति न संग्रयः॥

^{*} Mukti.

[†] Nishkáma.

^{*} Compare Vol. III., p. 77, note 1; also, Vol. IV., p. 294, notes and *. Ahinsá, asteya, and aparigraha I should render 'not killing 'not thieving', and 'not coveting', rather than "compassion", "honesty and "disinterestedness".

[§] Swadhyaya, "the murmuring of sacred texts." In the Raja-martande we find the following definition, in explanation of the Yoga-sastra, 11., । स्वाध्यायः। प्रणवपूर्वाणां मन्त्राणां जपः। The Sutrartha-chandrika hare स्वाध्यायः। श्रोकारपूर्वकमन्त्राणां जपः।

[¶] By Ratnagarbha, as follows:

(virtues), respectively termed the five acts of restraint* (Yama), and five of obligation† (Niyama), bestow excellent rewards, when practised for the sake of reward, and eternal liberation, when they are not prompted by desire (of transient benefits). Endowed with these merits, the sage, \$ self-restrained, should sit in one of the modes termed Bhadrásana, &c., and engage in contemplation. Bringing his vital airs, called Práńa, under subjection, by frequent repetition, is, thence, called Práńaýáma, which is, as it were, a seed with a seed. In this, the breath of expiration

¹ There are various postures in which the Yogin is directed to sit, when he engages in meditation. In the Bhadrásaua, || he is directed to cross his legs underneath him, and to lay hold of his feet, on each side, with his hands.

² सबीजो बीज एव च। ¶ It is, itself, figuratively, the seed of the fruit, which is meditation; but it is to be accompanied with what is also technically called Bija (or seed),—inaudible repe-

^{* &#}x27;Forbearance' is more exact. † 'Religious observance' is preferable.

[‡] विशिष्टफलदाः कास्या निष्कामानां विमुक्तिदाः।

[§] Yati.

^{||} The commentators cite, in description of this posture, a stanza from Yajnavalkya. Perhaps it is taken from the Yajnavalkya-gita, for which see my Contribution towards an Index to the Bibliography of the Indian Philosophical Systems, p. 14.

Besides the bhadrásana, the Yoga philosophy prescribes postures denominated padmásana, swastikásana, vajrásana, and virásana. These seem to be the principal out of an aggregate said to consist of eighty-four, among which are the siddhásana, kamalásana, daúdásana, &c.

[¶] Correctly, सवीजो ६ बीज एव च, "with a seed, and also without a seed." The term 'seed' is here, of course, a technicality.

Samádhi, as sabija and as nirbija, is speken of in the Yoga-śástra, I., 46 and 50. The abstract meditation referred to is, in other words, divided into that in which there is distinct recognition of an object, and that in which there is not such recognition.

See, further, note ; in the following page.

and that of inspiration* are alternately obstructed, constituting the act twofold; and the suppression† of both (modes of breathing) produces a third.¹ The exercise of the Yogin, whilst endeavouring to bring before his thoughts the gross form of the eternal, is denominated Álambana.²‡ He is then to perform the

tition of certain prayers, and meditation on the visible form of the deity,—termed, likewise, Álambana, and presently mentioned.§

- ¹ Pránáyáma is performed by three modifications of breathing. The first act is expiration, which is performed through the right nostril, whilst the left is closed with the fingers of the right hand: this is called Rechaka. The thumb is then placed upon the right nostril, and the fingers raised from the left, through which breath is inhaled: this is called Púraka. In the third act, both nostrils are closed, and breathing suspended: this is Kumbhaka. And a succession of these operations is the practice of Pránáyáma. |
 - ² Alambana is the silent repetition of prayer. ¶

* Práńa and apána.

+ Sahyama.

ः तस्य चालम्बनवतः स्थूलं रूपं दिजोत्तम। त्रालम्बनमनन्तस्य योगिनोऽभ्यसतः स्मृतम्॥

"And, as regards the Yogin practising meditation with a rest for his thoughts, as he feels after the Supreme, the gross aspect of the Infinite—i. e., Hiranyagarbha, etc.,—is prescribed as the rest, O best of Brahmans."

See the beginning of annotation ¶ in the preceding page. On the words there quoted Ratnagarbha says: सबीज:! सालखन:! मन्तजप-ध्यानसहित:! And Śridhara writes to the same effect. It is now evident how the Translator came to misunderstand the sense of býa and alambana. The commentators begin their gloss on the stanza cited above with the words: तच सबीजस्थालखनमाह।

§ This view of the meaning of bija and álambana is quite a misapprehension. See the preceding note.

Il Both Śridhara and Ratnagarbha have the substance of this note.

The Translator has previously rendered práňáyáma by "suppression of breath," "austerity", and "ascetic practices". See Vol. II., pp. 89 and 272; Vol. III., p. 55. Its exact meaning is 'regulation of the breath'.

The third division of the práňayama, the kumbhaka, has its name from kumbha, 'a jar'; inasmuch as, on its taking place, the vital airs are stationary, like water in a jar.

¶ See note ‡, above.

Pratyáhára, which consists in restraining his organs of sense* from susceptibility to outward impressions, and directing them entirely to mental perceptions. By these means the entire subjugation of the unsteady senses is effected; and, if they are not controlled, the sage will not accomplish his devotions. When, by the Práńáyáma, the vital airs are restrained, and the senses are subjugated by the Pratyáhára, then the sage will be able to keep his mind steady in its perfect asylum.";

Khándikya then said (to Keśidhwaja): "Illustrious sage, inform me what is that perfect asylum of the mind,: resting on which, it destroys all the products of (human) infirmity." To this, Keśidhwaja replied: "The asylum of mind is spirit (Brahma), which, of its own nature, is twofold, as being with, or without, form; and each of these is supreme and secondary."

¹ ग्राश्रयश्वेतसो ब्रह्म द्विधा तच्च ख्रूपतः §। भूप मूर्त्तममूर्त्तं ॥ च परं चापरमेव च॥

The Brahma that is without form (Amurtta) may be Para or Apara. Supreme formless spirit is without attributes of any kind. Secondary formless spirit is invested with the attributes of power, glory, truth, perfection. Spirit embodied, or with form in his highest state, is, according to our text, Vishnu and his manifestations. Spirit, in an inferior or secondary series of bodily forms, is Brahma and all other living beings. ¶

^{*} Aksha.

[†] ततः कुर्यात्स्थिरं चेतः शुभाश्रये।

thetas, as above; and so below.

[§] The ordinary reading is स्वभावतः।

^{||} Variant: भूतमूर्त्तममूर्त्तम् ।

[¶] This note is gleaned, with additions and variations, from the commentaries.

Apprehension of spirit, again, is threefold. I will explain the different kinds to you. They are: that which is called Brahma, that which is named from works, and that which comprehends both. That (mental apprehension) which consists of Brahma is one; that which is formed of works is another: and that which comprehends both is the third: so that mental apprehension* (of the object or asylum of the thoughts) is threefold. Sanandana and other (perfect sages) were endowed with apprehension of the nature + of Brahma. The gods and others, whether animate or inanimate, are possessed of that which regards acts.: The apprehension that comprehends both works and spirit exists in Hiranyagarbha and others, who are possessed of contemplative knowledge, of their own nature, and who, also, exercise certain active functions, as creation and the rest. Until all acts, which are

¹ The term is Bhávaná, defined to be "function to be engendered by knowledge," जानजन्यः संस्तारः ।, the mental impression or apprehension following upon knowledge. Here it implies in particular, the formation of a fixed idea, by the Yogin, of the object of his contemplations. It is also termed Bháva-bhávaná, "apprehension of the being, the existence, or substantiality, of the object; the thing contemplated:" भावभावना। भावो चसु तदि स्या भावना। **

^{*} भावभावना।

[†] Here, "apprehension," &c. is to render भावभावना।

[‡] कर्मभावना। § A name of Brahmá.

[।] हिरखगभीदिषु च ब्रह्मक्मीक्रिका दिधा। बोधाधिकार्युक्तेषु विबते भावभावना॥

[¶] Ratnagarbha.

^{**} Śridhara.

the causes of notions of individuality, are discontinued, spirit is one thing, and the universe is another, to those who contemplate objects as distinct and various.* But that is called true knowledge, or knowledge of Brahma, which recognizes no distinctions, which contemplates only simple existence, which is undefinable by words, and is to be discovered solely in one's own spirit.† That is the supreme, unborn, imperishable form of Vishnu, who is without (sensible) form, and is characterized as a condition of the supreme soul, which is variously modified from the condition of universal form.: But this (condition) cannot be contemplated by sages in their (early) devotions; § and they must, therefore, direct their minds to the gross form of Hari, which is of universal perceptibility. || They must meditate upon him as Hiranyagarbha, as the glorious¶ Vásava, as Prajápati, as the winds, ** the Vasus, the Rudras, the suns, stars, planets, Gandharvas, Yakshas, Daityas, all the gods and their progenitors, †† men, animals, ## mountains, oceans, rivers, trees, all beings, and all sources of beings, all modifications whatever

अचीगेषु समस्तेषु विशेषज्ञानकर्मसु।
 विश्वमेतत्परं चान्यद्वेदभिन्नदृशां नृप॥

[†] प्रत्यसमितभेदं यत्सत्तामात्रमगोचरम् । वचसामात्मसंवैद्यं तज्ज्ञानं ब्रह्मसंज्ञितम् ॥

[ः] विश्वस्वरूपवैरूष्यस्वर्णं पर्मातानः।

[§] This expansion is to represent yoga-yuj, for which see p. 228, supra. || Viśwa-gochara.

[¶] Bhagavat.

^{**} Marut.

[🕆] सकला देवयोनयः।

^{**} Paśu.

of nature and its products,* whether sentient or unconscious, one-footed, two-footed, or many-footed. All these are the sensible: form of Hari, to be apprehended by the three kinds of apprehension. All this universal world, this (world) of moving and stationary beings, is pervaded by the energy of Vishńu, who is of the nature of the supreme Brahma. This energy is either supreme, or, when it is that of conscious embodied spirit, § it is secondary. Ignorance, or that which is denominated from works, is a third energy, 1 by which the omnipresent energy of embodied spirit is ever excited, and whence it suffers all the pains of repeated worldly existence. Obscured by that (energy of ignorance or illusion), the energy that is denominated from embodied spirit is characterized by different degrees of perfection, in all created beings. In things without life, it exists in a very small degree;

The term used, throughout, is Śakti (nm, 'power,' 'ability,' 'energy.' By the first kind, or Pará, is understood knowledge able to appreciate abstract truth, or the nature of universal soul; by the second, ability to understand the nature of embodied soul; and, by the third, inability to discern one's own nature, and reliance on moral or ceremonial merit. These different kinds are called energies, because they are the energies or faculties of the Supreme Spirit, or, according to the Vaishńavas, of Vishńu, accompanying soul in all its various conditions of existence.

^{*} प्रधानादिविशेषान्तम्।

[†] Add "or footless": ऋपादकम्।

[:] Múrtta.

६ चेत्रज्ञाखा ।

As stones and the like, Ratnagarbha says.

it is more, in things that (have life, but) are without motion;* in insects,† it is still more abundant; and still more, in birds; it is more in wild animals; and, in domestic animals, § the faculty is still greater. Men have more of this (spiritual) faculty than animals; and thence arises their authority over them: || the faculty exists, in an ascending degree, in Nágas, Gandharvas, Yakshas, gods, Śakra, Prajápati, and Hirańyagarbha, and is, above all, predominant in that male (Vishńu) of whom all these various creatures are but the diversified forms, penetrated universally by his energy,—as all-pervading as the ether. ¶

"The second¹ state of him who is called Vishńu, and which is to be meditated upon by the (advanced) sage, is that (imperceptible,) shapeless** form of Brahma, which is called, by the wise 'That which is,'² and in which all the before-described energies reside. Thence proceeds the form of the universal form, the other great form of Hari, which is the origin of

¹ The first, which has been intended to be described in the foregoing passages, was the universal, visible form of Vishńu; the second is his formless or imperceptible condition.

² Sat (सत), "what is being."

^{*} Sthavara. Ratnagarbha explains that trees, &c. are intended.

[†] Sarisripa, which the Translator generally renders "reptiles". Vide supra, p. 59, note ††; and p. 94, note ††.

[‡] Mriga.

[§] Paśu.

[🎚] पशुभ्यो मनुजाञ्चातिशक्त्या पुंसः प्रभाविताः।

एतान्यग्रेषक्पाणि तस्य क्पाणि पार्थिव।
 यतत्तक्कित्योगेन युक्तानि नभसा यथा॥

^{**} Amurtta.

those manifested forms (or incarnations) that are endowed with every kind of energy, and which, whether the forms of gods, animals, or men, are assumed by him (Hari,) in his sport. This active interposition of the undefinable god, all-comprehending and irresistible, is for the purpose of benefiting the world, and is not the necessary consequence of works.* This form of the universal form is to be meditated upon by the sage, + for the object of purification; as it destroys all sin. In the same manner as fire, (blazing) in the wind, burns dry grass,; so Vishnu, seated in the heart, consumes the sins of the sage: and, therefore, let him (resolutely) effect the fixation of his mind upon that receptacle of all the (three) energies, (Vishńu); for that is (the operation of the mind which is called) perfect Dháraná: and, thus, the perfect asylum § of individual, as well as universal, spirit, that which is beyond the three modes of apprehension, is attained, for the (eternal) emancipation of the sage. The minds of other beings, which are not fixed upon that asylum, are altogether impure, and are all the gods and the rest, who spring from acts. The retention or apprehen-

¹ Retention, or holding of the image or idea formed in the mind by contemplation: from Dhri (y), 'to hold,' literally or figuratively.

^{*} These two sentences are a very free rendering.

[†] Read 'the novice'; the original being yoja-yuj. Vide supra, p. 228.

^{*} Kaksha, 'dry wood.'

शुभाश्रयः ।
 श्रिक्ये तु पुरुषव्याघ्र चेतसो ये व्यपाश्रयाः ।
 श्रिश्चास्ति समस्तास्तु देवावाः कर्मयोनयः ॥

sion, by the mind, of that visible form of Vishńu, without regard to subsidiary forms, is, thence, called Dháraná; * and I will describe to you the perceptible † form of Hari, which no mental retention will manifest. except in a mind that is fit to become the receptacle of the idea.1: The meditating sage must think (he beholds internally the figure) of Vishnu, as having a pleased and lovely countenance, with eyes like the leaf of the lotos, smooth cheeks, § and a broad and brilliant forehead; ears of equal size, the lobes of which are decorated with splendid pendants; a painted neck; and a broad breast, on which shines the Śrivatsa¶ mark; a belly falling in graceful folds, with a deepseated navel; ** eight long arms, or else four; and firm and well-knit thighs and legs, with well-formed feet and toes. Let him, with well-governed thoughts, con-

* मूर्त्तं भगवतो रूपं सर्वापात्रयनिसृहम्। एषा वै धारणा ज्ञेया यज्ञितं तत्र धारयेत्॥

In dharana, or 'fixed attention', no mediate rest is required, such as is spoken of in note ‡ to p. 231, supra.

ः तत्र मूर्त्तं हरे रूपं यादृक्विन्यं नराधिप। तच्च्रयतामनाधारे धारणा नोपपवते॥

¹ The explanation of Dháraná given in the text is rendered unnecessarily perplexed by the double doctrine here taught, and the attempt to combine the abstractions of Yoga theism with the sectarian worship of Vishnu.

[†] Múrtta.

[§] Su-kapola.

^{|| ?} The original has कम्बुगीवम् । Śridhara and Ratnagarbha say: रेखाचयाङ्कितकछम् ।

[¶] See p. 5, and p. 124, note ¶, supra.

[&]quot; वसीविभिक्किना मयनाभिना चोद्रेण वै।

template, as long as he can persevere in unremitting attention, Hari,* as clad in a yellow robe, wearing a (rich) diadem (on his head), and brilliant armlets and bracelets + (on his arms), and bearing (in his hands) the bow, the shell, the mace, the sword, the discus, the rosary, the lotos, and the arrow. When this image never departs from his mind, whether he be going, or standing, or be engaged in any other voluntary act, then he may believe his retention to be perfect. The sage may then meditate upon the form of Vishnu¶ without (his arms, -as) the shell, mace, discus, and bow,-and as placid, and bearing (only) his rosary.** When the idea of this image is firmly retained, then ++ he may meditate on Vishnu without his diadem, bracelets, # or other ornaments. He may, next, contemplate him as having but one single limb, and may then fix his whole thoughts §§ upon the body to which the limbs belong. This process of forming a lively image in the mind, exclusive of all other objects, constitutes

" चिन्तयेत्तवाना योगी समाधायातामानसम्। ताववावद्ढीभूला तत्रैव नृप धार्णा॥

- + Keyúra and kaťaka.
- [†] Aksha-valaya.
- § Vide supra, pp. 124 and 149.
- || Budha.
- ¶ Bhagavat, in the original.
- ** Aksha-sútraka.

†† सा यदा धार्णा तद्दवस्थानवती ततः।

- ‡‡ Keyúra.
- §§ प्रशिधानपरो भवेत्।

¹ The two last implements are from the comment: the text specifies only six.

Dhyána (or meditation), which is perfected by six stages; and, when an accurate knowledge of self, free from all distinction, is attained by this mental meditation, that is termed Samádhi. **

- ¹ They are:† ¹. Yama, &c., acts of restraint and obligation;;
 ². Ásana, sitting in particular postures;
 § ³. Práńáyáma, modes of breathing;
 ¡ ⁴. Pratyáhára, exclusion of all external ideas;
 § § 6. Dhárańá, fixation or retention of those ideas.††
- ² The result of the Dhyána or Samádhi ‡ is the absence of all idea of individuality, when the meditator, the meditation, and the thing or object meditated upon arc, all, considered to be but one. According to the text of Patanjali: "Restraint of the body, retention of the mind, and meditation, which, thence, is exclusively confined to one object, is Dhyána. The idea of identification with the object of such meditation, so as if devoid of individual nature, is Samádhi: ' देहबन्धस्तिधारणा तत्रत्ययेकतानता धानम्। तदे-कार्थमावनिभासं खक्षप्रात्यमिव समाधिः। §§

'तद्रूपप्रत्ययये चैका संतितश्चान्यनिसृहा। तद्धानं प्रथमेरङ्गैः षद्भिनिष्पाद्यते नृप॥ तस्यैव कल्पनाहीनं स्वरूपग्रहणं हि यत्। मनसा ध्याननिष्पाद्यं समाधिः सोऽभिधीयते॥

- † I do not know whence this classification is taken; and I doubt its correctness exceedingly. According to the Yoga-śástra, II., 29, the six stages preceding dhyána are yama, niyama, ásana, práńáyáma, pratyá-hára, and dhárańá. Yama and niyama can scarcely, from their very nature, be taken as parts of a whole; and bhávaná is not at all a stage subservient to the attainment of yoga.
 - ‡ Vide supra, p. 230, notes * and †.
 - § Ibid., note ||.
 - || Ibid., p. 231, note ||.
 - ¶ Ibid., p. 232.
 - ** Ibid., p. 233, note 1.
 - †† Ibid., p. 238, note *.
- ** These, 'contemplation' and 'meditation', are never to be considered as synonyms. See note †, above.
 - §§ Here we have an extract from the Yoga-sastra,—III., 1-3. These

"(When the Yogin has accomplished this stage, he acquires) discriminative knowledge, which is the means of enabling living soul. when all the three kinds of apprehension are destroyed, to attain the attainable supreme Brahma. 1* Embodied spirit is the user of the instrument, which instrument is true knowledge; and, by it, that (identification) of the former (with Brahma) is attained. 2 Liberation, which is the object to be effected, being accomplished, discriminative knowledge ceases. When endowed with the apprehension of the nature of the object of inquiry, † then

चेत्रज्ञः करणी ज्ञानं करणं तेन तस्य तत्। निष्पाय मुक्तिकार्यं वै क्षतक्रत्याचिवर्तते॥ विज्ञानं निवर्तते।

aphorisms are read as follows: देश्वन्धश्चित्तस्य धारणा। तत्र प्रायधै-कतानता ध्यानम्। तदेवार्थमाचिनभासं खक्षणश्च्यमिव समाधिः। Thus we have definitions of dháraná, dhyána, and samádhi.

* विज्ञानं प्रापकं प्राप्ये परत्रह्मार्ण पार्थिव। प्रापणीयस्त्रियात्मा प्रचीणाग्रेषभावनः॥

¹ The expressions of the text are somewhat obscure; nor does the commentator; make them much more intelligible, until he cuts the matter short, by stating the meaning to be, that "discriminative knowledge enables the living spirit to attain Brahma:" विज्ञानं जीवात्मानं जला प्राप्यतीत्थाई:

² The text is very elliptical and obscure. Having stated that embodied spirit (Kshetrajna) is the Karańin, the possessor or user of the Karańa, which is knowledge, it adds तेन तस तत्, literally, "by that, of that, that;" i. e., Tat, "that which is;" and Brahma, or supreme spirit, is the attainment of that spirit which abides in body by that instrument, or discriminative knowledge, of which it has become possessed through perfect meditation:

[†] तद्वावभावना।

[!] Ratnagarbha.

V.

there is no difference between it (individual,) and supreme spirit: difference is the consequence of the absence of (true) knowledge. When that ignorance which is the cause of the difference between individual and universal spirit; is destroyed, finally and for ever, who shall (ever) make that distinction (between them) which does not exist? Thus have I, Kháńdikya, in reply to your question, explained to you what is meant by contemplative devotion, both fully and summarily. What else do you wish to hear?"

Kháńdikya replied (to Keśidhwaja, and said): "The explanation which you have given me of the real nature of contemplative devotion has fulfilled all my wishes, and removed all impurity from my mind. The expression 'mine', which I have been accustomed to use, is untruth, and cannot be otherwise declared by those who know what is to be known. The words 'I' and 'mine' constitute ignorance; but practice is influenced by ignorance. Supreme truth and cannot be defined; for it is not to be explained by words. Depart, therefore, Kesidhwaja. You have done all that is necessary for my (real) happiness, in teaching me contemplative devotion,—the inexhaustible bestower of liberation from existence."

Accordingly, King Keśidhwaja, after receiving suitable homage from Kháńdikya, returned to his city.

^{*} Five kinds of emancipation are enumerated in the Bhagavata-purana, III., XXIX., 13: salokya, sarshti, samipya, sarupya, and ekatwa.

[†] The original words are átman and Brahma.

[†] Asat.

[§] Paramartha.

^{||} Sreyas.

[¶] Vimukti. Vide supra, p. 61, note §.

Kháńdikya, having nominated his son Raja,¹ retired to the woods, to accomplish his devotions; his whole mind being intent upon Govinda. There, his entire thoughts being engrossed upon one only object, and being purified by practices of restraint, self-control, and the rest,* he obtained absorption into the pure and perfect spirit† which is termed Vishńu. Keśidhwaja, also, in order to (attain) liberation, became averse from his own perishable works, and lived amidst objects of sense (without regarding them), and instituted religious rites without expecting therefrom any advantages to himself.: Thus, by pure and auspicious fruition, being cleansed from (all) sin, he, also, obtained that perfection which assuages all affliction for ever.

¹ The commentator, in order to explain how Khándikya should have given what he did not possess, states that it is to be understood that Keśidhwaja relinquished to him the kingdom. Or the term Raja may denote merely "master of, or acquainted with, mystic prayers, or Mantras:" यहा राजानं मन्त्राद्खामिनं कला।

^{*} यमादिगुणशोधितः।

⁺ This is to render Brahma.

केशिष्वजोऽपि मृत्र्यथं खकर्मचपणोन्मुखः।
 बुभुजे विषयान्कर्म चक्रे चानभिसंहितम्॥

CHAPTER VIII.

Conclusion of the dialogue between Parásara and Maitreya. Recapitulation of the contents of the Vishnu Purána: merit of hearing it: how handed down. Praises of Vishnu. Concluding prayer.

I HAVE now explained to you, Maitreya, the third kind of worldly dissolution, or that which is absolute and final, which is liberation and resolution into eternal spirit. I have related to you primary and secondary creation, the families (of the patriarchs), the (periods of the) Manwantaras, and the genealogical histories* (of the kings). I have repeated to you, (in short,) who were desirous of hearing it, the imperishable Vaishnava Purána, which is destructive of all sins, the most excellent of all holy writings, and the means of attaining the great end of man. If there is anything else you wish to hear, propose your question, and I will answer it.

MAITREYA.—Holy teacher,† you have, indeed, related to me all that I wished to know; and I have listened to it with pious attention.: I have nothing further to inquire. The doubts inseparable from the

¹ The term is Layo Brahmani (जयो ब्रह्माण), which means 'a melting away,' 'a dissolution', or 'fusion;' from the root Li (जी), 'to liquefy,' 'to melt,' 'to dissolve.'

Vamsánucharita.

⁺ Bhagavat.

[:] Bhakti.

mind of man have, all, been resolved by you; * and, through your instructions, I am acquainted with the origin, duration, and end + of all things; with Vishnu, in his collective fourfold form; his three energies; and with the three modes of apprehending the object of contemplation.3: Of all this have I acquired a knowledge, through your favour; and nothing else is worthy to be known, when it is once understood that Vishnu and this world are not (mutually) distinct. Great Muni, I have obtained, through your kindness, all I desired, - the dissipation of my doubts; § since you have instructed me in the duties of the several tribes, and in other obligations; the nature of active life, and discontinuance of action; and the derivation of all that exists from works. There is nothing else, venerable Brahman, that I have to inquire of you. And forgive me, if your answers to my questions have imposed upon you any fatigue. Pardon me the trouble

Or with Vishnu in the four modifications described in the First Book, —spirit, matter, form, and time. See Vol. I., pp. 18, 19.

² Or Sakti, noticed in the last Chapter; -p. 235, supra.

 $^{^{\}rm a}$ Or Bhávanás, also described in the preceding Chapter; $\P-$ p. 233, supra.

^{*} विक्लिद्राः सर्वसंदेहा वैमख्यं मनसः कृतम् ।

[†] Sahyama. See Vol. I., p. 26, note *.

[ः] ज्ञातसतुर्विधो राग्निः ग्रिक्तिस त्रिविधा गुरो। विज्ञाता चापि कारुस्यैन चिविधा भावभावना॥

[§] Apasandeha.

वर्णधर्मादयो धर्मा विदिता यदशेषतः । प्रवृत्तं च निवृत्तं च ज्ञातं कर्म मयाखिलम् ॥

[¶] Substituted for "section".

that I have given you, through that amiable quality of the virtuous which makes no distinction between a disciple and a child.*

Parásara.-I have related to you this Purána, which is equal to the Vedas (in sanctity), and by hearing which, all faults and sins whatever are expiated. In this have been described to you the primary and secondary creation, the families (of the patriarchs), the Manwantaras, the regal dynasties; the gods, Daityas, Gandharvas, serpents,: Rákshasas, Yakshas, Vidyádharas, Siddhas, and heavenly nymphs; § Munis endowed with spiritual wisdom, and practisers of devotion; the (distinctions of the) four castes, and the actions of the most eminent amongst men; I holy places on the earth, holy rivers and oceans, sacred mountains, and legends ** of the (truly) wise; the duties of the different tribes, and the observances enjoined by the Vedas. †† By hearing this, all sins are, at once, obliterated. In this, also, the glorious # Hari has been revealed,—the cause of the creation, preservation, and destruction of the world; the soul of all things, and, himself, all things; §§ by the repetition of whose name man

```
* यदस्य कथनायासैयोंजितोऽसि मया गुरो।
तत्चम्यतां विशेषोऽसि न सतां पुचशिषयोः॥
```

[†] Vamsánucharita.

[‡] Uraga.

[§] Apsaras.

[🛚] मुनयो भावितात्मानः कथन्ते तपसान्विताः।

[¶] पुंसां विशिष्टचरिता नराः।

^{**} Charita.

⁺ वर्णधर्मादयो धर्मा वेदधर्मास क्रत्सगः।

^{::} Bhagavat.

^{§§} Sarva-bhúta.

is, undoubtedly, liberated from all sins, which fly like wolves that are frightened by a lion. The repetition of his name with devout faith* is the best remover of all sins; destroying them, as fire purifies the metal (from the dross). The stain of the Kali age, which ensures to men sharp punishments in hell, is, at once, effaced by a single invocation of Hari. + He who is all that is-, the whole egg of Brahmá, with Hiranyagarbha, Indra, Rudra, the Adityas, the Aswins, the winds, the Kimnaras, the Vasus, the Sádhyas, Viśwadevas, the (celestial) gods, & the Yakshas, serpents, Rákshasas, ¶ the Siddhas, Daitvas, Gandharvas, Dánayas, nymphs, ** the stars, asterisms, planets, the seven Rishis, †† the regents and superintendents of the quarters, ## men, Brahmans, and the rest, animals tame and wild, §§ insects, | birds, ghosts and goblins, I trees, woods, mountains, rivers, oceans, the subterrene regions, ***

* Bhakti.

† किलक्षपमत्तुयं गर्वार्तिप्रदं नृणाम् । प्रयाति विषयं सद्यः सक्रयत्रानुसंस्रुते ॥

- 1 Devendra, in the original.
- § Sura.
- I I do not find them named in the Sanskrit.
- The original yields Rakshases.
- ** Apsaras.
- †† See Vol. II., p. 226.
- "The quarters and superintendents of the quarters: **चिट्छीचिट्छा- चिपतिभ:** The term Dhishnyadhipati is synonymous with Dikpala; for which, see Vol. III., 170, note §.

Sridhara—if I may judge from the single MS. of his commentary which is accessible to me,—has **tuen**, with reference to the like of which, see Vol. IV., p. 164, note §.

- §§ Paśu and mriga.
- ी। Saristipa. Vide supra, p. 236, note †; also, Vol. I., p. 84, note §.
- *** Corrected from "legions".

the divisions of the earth, and all perceptible objects, he who is all things, who knoweth all things, who is the form of all things, being without form himself, and of whom whatever is, from (Mount) Meru to an atom, all consists, - he, the glorious Vishnu, the destroyer of (all) sin,—is described in this Purána. By hearing this (Purána) an equal recompense is obtained to that which is derived from the performance of an Aśwamedha sacrifice, or from fasting at (the holy places) Prayága,* Pushkara,† Kurukshetra,: or Arbuda. § Hearing this (Purána) but once is as efficacious as the offering of oblations in a perpetual fire for a year. The man who, with well-governed passions, bathes at Mathurá, on the twelfth day! of (the month) Jyeshtha, and beholds (the image of) Hari, obtains a great recompense: I so does he who, with mind fixed upon Keśava, attentively recites this Pu-

¹ This month is also called Jyeshthá-múla, ** which the commentator††explains to mean the month of which the root or cause (Múla) of being so called is the moon's being full in the constellation Jyeshthá. But it may be so termed, perhaps, from the lunar asterism Múla,—which is next to Jyeshthá,—falling, also, within the moon's passage through the same month.

^{*} See Vol. III., p. 246, note 2; and Vol. IV., p. 218, note 1.

[†] See Vol. I., Preface, p. XXX.; and Vol. II., p. 96.

See Vol. II., p. 133, note 1; and p. 142, note 4.

[§] For this mountain, vide ibid., p. 131, note 1, and p. 141, note 2; also, Vol. IV., p. 222, note \ddagger .

Insert "of the light fortnight".

[¶] प्राप्तीत पर्मा गतिम्। This means, that he obtains emancipation.

^{**} See note † in the next page.

^{††} Both the commentators give the ensuing explanation. See, further, Nilakantha on the Mahábhárata, Anušásana-parvan, šl. 4609.

rána. The man who bathes in the waters of the Yamuná, on the twelfth lunation* of the light fortnight of the month in which the moon is in the mansion Jveshtha, + and who fasts and worships Achyuta in (the city of) Mathurá, receives the reward of an uninterrupted Aswamedha. Beholding the (degree of) prosperity (enjoyed by others) of eminence, through (the merits of) their descendants, a man's paternal ancestors, his parents, and their parents exclaim: "Whosoever of our descendants, having bathed in the Yamuná, \$ and fasted, will worship Govinda in Mathurá, in the light fortnight of Jyeshtha, will secure for us eminent exaltation; for we shall be elevated by the merits of our posterity." A man of good extraction will present obsequial cakes to his fortunate (ancestors) in the Yamuna, having worshipped Janardana in the light fortnight of Jyeshtha.** But the same degree of merit that a man reaps from adoring Janárdana + at that season, with a devoted heart, and from bathing in the Yamuna, and effecting the liberation of his progenitors by offering to them (on such an occasion,) obsequial cakes, he derives, also, from hearing, with equal devotion, a section of this Purána. ## This Pu-

^{*} Vide supra, p. 109, note †.

^{† &}quot;The month", &c. is to translate Jyeshthá-múla.

[†] The extract is said, by Śrídhara, to be from the *Pitŕi-gitá*. See Vol. III., p. 66, note §; and p. 170, text and note || .

[§] Kalindí, in the original. See Vol. IV., p. 286, note *.

The original is Jyeshthá-múla.

[¶] Kiddhi.

^{**} Jyeshthá-múla, in the Sanskrit.

^{††} The original has Krishna.

[😀] श्रुलाध्यायं तदाप्तोति पुराणस्यास्य भक्तिमान् ।

rána is the best of all preservatives for those who are afraid of worldly existence,* a certain alleviation of the sufferings of men, † and remover of all imperfections.

This (Puráńa), originally composed by the Rishi (Náráyańa), was communicated, by Brahmá,: to Ribhu; § he related it to Priyavrata, || by whom it was imparted to Bháguri. ¶ Bháguri recited it to Tamasitra; *** and he, to Dadhícha, †† who gave it to Sáraswata.:: From the last Bhŕigu§§ received it, who imparted it to Purukutsa; || || and he taught it to Narmadá. The goddess ¶ delivered it to Dhŕitaráshíra, ****

भागुरिसम्बमिचाय दधीचाय स चीक्तवान्।

This name is also read Tambamitra. ††† One copy has Tava mitráya, ††† "to thy friend," as if it was an epithet of Dadhícha: but the construction of the verse requires a proper name. "Bháguri gave it to Tambamitra; and he, to Dadhícha: '§§§

[·] Samsara

[†] Literally, "a cure for men's bad dreams": दु:स्वप्ननाश्च नृशाम् ।

[†] Called, in the original, by his epithet Kamalodbhava,—the same as Abjayoni. See Vol. I., p. 17, note †.

[§] See Vol. I., p. 77, note 1; and Vol. II., p. 330.

Ibid., pp. 107, et seq.

[¶] For a Bhaguri, see Vol. II., p. 113, note 1.

^{**} This reading I find nowhere. See, further, note †††, below.

^{††} Correct from "Dadicha". See Vol. I., p. 124; also, Professor Wilson's Translation of the *Rigveda*, Vol. I., p. 216, note a, and p. 310, note a.

^{##} See Vol. I., p. 17.

^{§§} *Ibid.*, p. 100.

^{|| ||} Ibid., p. 17; Vol. III., p. 268, text and note ‡, and p. 283.

^{¶¶} Literally, Narmadá.

^{***} See Vol. I., p. 188, note 1; and Vol. II., p. 74.

^{†††} So reads, like, my Ajmere MS., my oldest MS. of all. Ratnagarbha has Tambhamitra; and my Arrah MS. yields Stambamitra.

This is Sridhara's lection.

^{§§§} Corrected from "Dadhichi".

the Nága king,* and to Apúrańa,† of the same race,: by whom it was repeated to their monarch, § Vásuki. Vásuki communicated it to Vatsa; ¶ and he, to Aśwatara, from whom it successively proceeded to Kambala and Elápatra. ** When the Muni Vedaśiras descended to Pátála,†† he there received the whole (Puráńa) from these Nágas, ∷ and communicated it to Pramati. §§ Pramati consigned it to the wise Játúkarńa; ∏ and he taught it to many other holy persons. Through the blessing of Vasishtha ¶¶, it came to my knowledge; and I have, now, Maitreya, faithfully imparted it to you. You will teach it, at the end of the Kali age, to Śamíka. **** Whoever hears this great mystery, which

¹ A different series of narrators *++ has been specified in the First Book,—Vol. 1., p. 17.

^{&#}x27; The original bas only Naga.

[†] Corrected from "Purana". See Vol. II., p. 288. From note • to ibid., p. 290, it seems that other Puranas read Varuna and Aruna (?).

The original is **Universe annual Quarter** Apurana is not, then, said to be "of the same race". In the passages referred to in the preceding note, he figures as a Gramani or Yaksha,

[§] Literally, "to the king of the Nágas", जागराजाय।

See Vol. II., p. 74, and p. 86, note 1.

T For the Naga called Vatsa, see Vol. II., p. 287, note ..

^{**} For Aswatara, Kambala, and Elapatra, see Vol. II., p. 74.

^{††} The original seems to denote that Vedasiras became master of Patala:
पातालं समनुप्राप्तकती वेदशिरा मुनिः।

[‡] By the word तेज, immediately following the mention of Elapatra, it is implied that from him alone the Purana passed to Vedasiras.

^{§§} Variant: Pramita.

[|] Some of my best MSS. give Játúkarúya. For both names, see Vol. III., p. 36, text and note ...

^{¶¶} Pulastya, according to my Ajmere MS.

^{***} Variants: Śanika, Sanika, and Śinika. For Śamika, see Vol. I., Preface, p. LV.

^{†††} On which Ratnagarbha remarks: श्रव संप्रदायानार्भेद: कछाभेद-यवस्थित:।

removes the contamination of the Kali, shall be freed from all his sins. He who hears this every day, acquits himself of his daily obligations to ancestors, gods, and men.* The (great and) rarely attainable merit that a man acquires by the gift of a brown cow,† he derives from hearing ten chapters of this (Purána). He who hears the entire (Purána), contemplating, in his mind, Achyuta, - who is all things, and of whom all things are made; who is the stay of the whole world, the receptacle of spirit; who is knowledge, and that which is to be known; who is without beginning or end, and the benefactor of the gods,:-obtains, assuredly, the reward that attends the uninterrupted celebration of the Aswamedha rite.§ He who reads, and retains with faith this (Purána), in the beginning, middle, and end of which is described the glorious Achyuta, the lord of the universe in every stage, the master of all that is stationary or moveable, composed of spiritual knowledge, acquires such purity as exists not in any world, - the eternal state of perfection,** (which is) Hari. The man who fixes his

^{&#}x27; This seems to be an injudicious interpolation: it is not in all the copies. ††

पितृयचमनुष्येभाः समस्तामरसंस्तुतिः ।
 कता तेन भवेदेतवः शुणोति दिने दिने ॥

[†] Kapila. According to Colebrooke, "when applied to a cow, this term signifies one of the colour of lac dye, with black tail and white hoofs." Two Treatises on the Hindu Law of Inheritance, p. 131, note.

[‡] Amara. § Vajimedha, in the original.

[🛚] त्रखिनजगवध्यान्तसर्गप्रभुः।

[¶] ब्रह्मज्ञानमयः। " एकान्तसिद्धिः।

⁺⁺ Śridhara ignores it; otherwise it seems to be recognized.

mind on Vishńu goes not to hell. He who meditates upon him regards heavenly enjoyment only as an impediment; and he whose mind and soul are penetrated by him thinks little of the world of Brahmá: for, when present in the minds of those whose intellects are free from soil, he confers upon them eternal freedom. What marvel, therefore, is it, that the sins of one who repeats the name of Achyuta should be wiped away? Should not that Hari be heard of whom those devoted to acts worship with sacrifices, continually, as the god of sacrifice; * whom those devoted to meditation + contemplate as primary and secondary, composed of spirit; by obtaining whom, man is not born, nor nourished, § nor subjected to death; who is all that is, and that is not, (or both cause and effect); who, as the progenitors, receives the libations | made to them; who, as the gods, accepts the offerings addressed to them; the glorious being who is without beginning or end; whose name is both Swáhá** and Swadhá;1** who is the abode of all spiritual power; in whom the limits of finite things cannot be measured; and who, when he enters the ear, destroys all sin?2

यस्मिन्त्रह्मणि सर्वशक्तिनिजये मानानि नो मानिनाम्। निष्ठायै प्रभवन्ति हन्ति कलुषं श्रोचं स यातो हरिः॥

Mána commonly means 'pride;' but here it seems most appro-

¹ The words or prayers employed in presenting oblations with fire.

² The text has:

Yajneśwara.

[†] Yogin.

[‡] Brahma-maya.

[§] The original has नो वर्धते हीयते नैव।

[|] Kavya. ¶ Havya.

^{**} See Vol. III., p. 122, note ‡, ad finem.

I adore him, that first of gods, Purushottama, * who is without end and without beginning, without growth, without decay, + without death: who is substance that knows not change. I adore that ever inexhaustible spirit, & who assumed sensible qualities; who, though one, became many; who, though pure, became as if impure, by appearing in many and various shapes; who is endowed with (divine) wisdom, and is the author of the preservation of all creatures. I adore him, who is the one conjoined essence and object of both meditative wisdom and active virtue; who is watchful in providing for human enjoyments; who is one with the three qualities; who, without undergoing change, is the cause of the evolution of the world; who exists of his own essence, ever exempt from decay.** I constantly adore him, who is entitled heaven, †† air, fire, water, earth, and ether; # who is the

priately rendered by its radical import, 'measure.' The measures which are for the determination of measurable things are not applicable to Vishńu.

```
• नतो ऽस्मि पुरुषोत्तममाद्यमीश्रम् । † Pariháma. ‡ Apakshaya. 

§ तसी नतो ऽस्मि पुरुषाय सदाव्ययाय । 

For avyaya, see Vol. I., p. 17, note *. 

श्र सनुगुणभुज् । श्र समज्जतन्त्र विभूतिकर्ता । 

ग्र श्वानप्रवृत्तिनियमैकमयाय पुंसः । 

भोगप्रदानपटवे चिगुणात्मकाय ॥ 

श्र व्याद्यताय भवभावनकारणाय । 

वन्दे स्वरूपमभवाय सदाजराय ॥
```

स्थोमानिकाग्निक्सभूरचनामयाय। We have had "ether" just above, in the Translator's "heaven"; and

 $[\]dagger\dagger$ Vyoman, the same as akása, which is generally rendered 'ether'. See Vol. I., p. 34, note *.

[:] The only reading which I find is

bestower of all the objects which give gratification to the senses; who benefits mankind with the instruments of fruition; who is perceptible, who is subtile, who is imperceptible. May that unborn, eternal Hari, whose form is manifold, and whose essence is composed of both nature and spirit,* bestow upon all mankind that blessed state which knows neither birth nor decay!

' यस रूपं प्रकृतिपरात्मसयम्।



there is no sixth element.

In the MS. which Professor Wilson used in preference to any or all others, the letters of the in this passage look very like to, for which he probably took them, not noticing the two letters following, making up other; and kha is one of the words for "ether". Vide supra, p. 198, text, with notes; and ¶.



सद्यमेव जयते

APPENDIX.

[No one among the contemporaries of Professor Wilson is known to have qualified himself more adequately than Colonel Vans Kennedy for discussing the subject of the Puráńas; and it has, therefore, been considered that the following correspondence must, with all its defects, possess, to the readers of these volumes, sufficient interest to justify its republication in this place. The seven letters of which it consists—namely, five entitled On Professor Wilson's Theory respecting the Puráńas, the Professor's Reply, and the Colonel's Rejoinder,—originally appeared in the London Asiatic Journal for 1840 and 1841, addressed to its editor. F. H.]

Sir: In the learned and ingenious remarks contained in the Preface to his Translation of the Vishńu Puráńa, Professor Wilson remarks that the Puráńas "may be acquitted of subservience to any but sectarial imposture. They were pious* frauds for temporary purposes;"† and that they "are, also, works of evidently different ages, and have been compiled under different circumstances, the precise nature of which we can but imperfectly conjecture from internal evidence, and from what we know of the history of religious opinion in India. It is highly probable that, of the present popular forms of the Hindu religion, none assumed their actual state earlier than the time of Śankara Áchárya, the great Śaiva reformer, who flourished, in all likelihood, in the eighth or ninth century. Of the Vaishńava teachers, Rá-

^{*} Colonel Kennedy omitted this word.

[†] Vol. I., Preface, p. XI.

mánuja dates in the twelfth century; Madhwáchárya, in the thirteenth; and Vallabha, in the sixteenth; and the Puránas seem to have accompanied, or followed, their innovations; being obviously intended to advocate the doctrines they taught."* He further observes that "a very great portion of the contents of many [of the Puránas, some portion of the contents of all, is genuine and old. The sectarial interpolation, or embellishment, is always sufficiently palpable to be set aside without injury to the more authentic and primitive material; and the Puránas, although they belong especially to that stage of the Hindu religion in which faith in some one divinity was the prevailing principle, are, also, a valuable record of the form of Hindu belief which came next in order to that of the Vedas.": And yet Professor Wilson, at the same time, maintains that religious instruction is not one of the five topics which are treated of in a genuine Puráńa, and that its occurrence in the Puránas now extant is a decisive proof that these are not the same works, in all respects, that were current, under the denomination of Puránas, in the century prior to Christianity.

These, however, and similar remarks contained in that Preface, seem to be inconsistent and inconclusive; for, if the Puránas, in their present form, are of so modern a date, and if the ancient Puránas are no longer extant, by what means can it be ascertained that any portion of the contents of the works now bearing the name of Puránas is genuine and old?

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. XVI.

[†] Colonel Kennedy-a very heedless quoter,-had "essentially".

Vol. I., Preface, pp. XI., XII.

Professor Wilson rejects, as not belonging to the Puránas, in the time of Amara Simha (B. C. 56), all those parts of the present Puránas which relate to the rites and observances and to the theology of the Hindus; but it is those parts only which admit of being compared with other Hindu works, and with all that is known of the Hindu religion. It is, also, unquestionable that certain works denominated Puránas have been immemorially considered, by the Hindus, as sacred books;* and it must be evident that, unless the doctrines of the Hindu religion were inculcated in those works. they could contain nothing which could communicate to them a sacred character. The opinion, therefore, of Professor Wilson, that the genuine Puránas treated of profane subjects only, is, obviously, incompatible with that profound reverence with which the Puránas are regarded by all Hindus, even at the present day. The only argument, also, which he has adduced in support of this opinion depends entirely upon the use and meaning of the term pancha-lakshańa, as applied to a Puráńa. But the passage in Sanskrit, quoted in the note in page VII., does not admit of the restricted sense which Professor Wilson has given to it; because the first of the five topics there mentioned, or sarga, is inadequately expressed † by "primary creation, or cosmogony." This will be at once evident by a reference

¹ The five topics, as explained by Professor Wilson, are: "1. Primary creation, or cosmogony; 2. Secondary creation, or the destruction and renovation of worlds, including chronology; 3. Genealogy of gods and patriarchs; 4. Reigns of the Manus, or periods called Manwantaras; and, 5. History."

^{* ?? †} But see what the Colonel says in p. 299, note 2, infra.

to the contents of the Translation of the Vishnu Purána, where, under sarga, are enumerated: * Vishńu, the origin, existence, and end of all things; his existence before creation; his first manifestations; description of Pradhána, of Prakŕiti, of the active cause: development of effects, of the mundane egg. For the description of all that precedes the appearance of the mundane egg, which occurs in the Vishnu and other Puránas, is the most abstruse and sacred part of Hindu theology; as it explains the real nature of the Supreme Being, and of those manifestations of his divine essence which lead men to believe in the actual existence of a material world. The first, therefore, of the five topics treated of in a genuine Purána, according to Professor Wilson, necessarily includes religious instruction; because the antecedents to creation could not have been described without, at the same time, explaining the distinction between the one sole-existing spirit and those illusive appearances which seem to be composed of matter. The second, also, of those topics is, equally, of a religious nature; for an account of the destruction and renovation of worlds must, necessarily, include a description of the means and agents employed, by the Supreme Being, for those purposes. Under the first two topics, consequently, is comprised a great part of what is contained in the Puránas, as at present extant: namely, a description of the real essence of the Supreme Being, and of the illusive nature of the universe; of the production of Brahmá, Vishnu, Siva, and their female energies; of the origin of angelic beings and holy sages; and of all the circumstances relating

^{*} This is not a fair representation.

to the repeated creation, destruction, and renovation of the world; and it may, therefore, be justly concluded, that these subjects were also treated of in the eighteen Puránas, as originally committed to writing, and that the term pancha-lakshańa affords no grounds for the conclusion which Professor Wilson has deduced from its use and meaning.

But those parts of the present Puránas which relate to festivals, rites, and observances, and to the worship of particular deities, may appear to support this remark of Professor Wilson: "They [the Puranas] are no longer authorities for Hindu belief, as a whole: they are special guides for separate and, sometimes, conflicting branches of it; compiled for the evident purpose of promoting the preferential, or, in some cases, the sole, worship of Vishnu, or of Siva."* It is not clear what is here meant by the "Hindu belief, as a whole;" for there are, I believe, no traces, now extant, of the Hindu religion having ever existed as one uniform system of belief in one and the same deity. But the antiquity of the Upanishads is not disputed; and, in one or other of them, the attributes of the Supreme Being are distinctly ascribed to Brahmá, Vishnu, Siva, Deví, Súrya, and Ganesa; and, consequently, when the Upanishads were composed, there must have been some Hindus who paid a preferential worship to one or other of those deities. These, however, are precisely the same deities to whom the attributes of the Supreme Being are ascribed in one or other of the Puránas; and, therefore, if the antiquity

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. V.

of the Upanishads be admitted, the variety of deities proposed for worship in the Puránas now extant can be no proof that these works were recently compiled, for sectarian purposes. The Vedas, indeed, have not yet been so examined as to admit of its being determined whether the same distinction is to be found in them: but Mr. Colebrooke has stated that the whole of the Indian theology is founded on the Upanishads, and that several of them, which he has described, were extracts from the Vedas. The six deities, therefore, just mentioned, were, most probably, objects of worship, when the religious system of the Vedas flourished: and it must, in consequence, be altogether improper to consider the worshippers of one of those deities, in preference to the others, as sectarians, if, by this term, is intended such sectarians as have existed in India in later times. For, according to the principles of the Hindu religion, there is unity in diversity; and, hence, it is held that these apparently different deities are merely variant forms of one and the same Supreme Being, and that, consequently, the worship of any one of them is equally holy and effective,—as it is, in fact, the adoration of the Supreme Being in that particular form. Sectarianism, at the same time, consists in the exclusive, and not merely preferential, worship of a particular deity; but in not one of the Puránas is there a single intimation, or injunction, which, virtually, or expressly, sanctions the rejection of the worship of Vishnu, or Siva, or of any of the other six deities. The orthodox Hindus, therefore, are, even at the present day, votaries, but not sectaries, of either Vishnu or Siva; and such they appear to have been from the

remotest time,—as the particular worship of Brahmá has long ceased, and, though particular worshippers of Súrya and Gańeśa have existed, and, perhaps, still exist, in India, they have never been numerous, and the worship of Deví has degenerated into rites and ceremonies which, though practised by many Hindus, are, generally, considered to be contrary to the tenets and ritual of the Hindu religion. ¹

Professor Wilson also has not explained the sectarian purposes to promote which he thinks the works at present bearing the names of Puránas were compiled in a period so comparatively modern as that between the eighth and seventeenth centuries. But he cannot mean to contend that Vishnu and Siva were not objects of worship in the earliest times of the Hindu religion, or that they were worshipped with the same rites and ceremonies; and, if not, the mere ascribing, in those works, preeminence to either Vishnu or Siva, and a superior excellence to the worship of either of those gods,-which is all that occurs of them,can be no proof that the Puránas, as now extant, are mere modern works, compiled for sectarian purposes; because in not one of the eighteen Puránas is it, in any manner, intimated that Vishnu or Siva ought not to be worshipped; and, on the contrary, numerous passages occur in them, in which precisely the same rewards are promised to the worshipper of either god. So far, indeed, is any one of the Puránas from inculcating the exclusive worship of either Vishnu or

¹ I here merely allude to the worship of Devi by the sacrifice of animals, and not to the abominable worship described in the Tantras.

Siva, that Vishnu is introduced, in some of them, teaching the worship of Siva, and, in others, Siva, teaching the worship of Vishnu. The only distinction which appears to exist between these gods is, that, in particular Puránas, each is represented as the Supreme Being, when the other becomes, in a certain sense, inferior, without, however, detracting from his divine excellence. It is, also, remarkable that it is not in separate Puránas only that preeminence is ascribed to either Vishnu or Siva, or even to Brahma; but this ascription occurs in the very same Purána. For, as far as I have observed, there are only five Puránas in which the supremacy is uniformly ascribed to the same god: namely, the Linga and Skanda, in which Siva is identified with the Supreme Being; the Vishnu and Bháqavata, in which this honour is attributed to Vishńu; and the Brahma Vaivarta, in which Krishńa is represented as the Supreme Being, and his favourite mistress, Rádhá, as his śakti or energy. When, therefore, in the Puránas as now extant, equal reverence is given not only to Vishnu and Siva, but to four other deities, and when nothing occurs, in them, which in the least sanctions the rejection of the worship of those deities, or in any manner condemns or disparages it, it seems evident that such works could not have been composed for the sectarian purpose of promoting the exclusive worship of either Vishnu or Siva, or of any other god.1

¹ I should except the *Brahma Vaivarta Purána* (for I have not met with any Upanishad in which Krishna is represented as the Supreme Being); but this Purána appears to me to be of

It is, as the same time, impossible to understand why Professor Wilson should have been so anxious to establish, in that Preface, that the Puránas now extant are mere modern compilations, and that a genuine Purána treats of profane subjects only, when, in p. XCVII., he makes these remarks: "That Brahmans unknown to fame have remodelled some of the Hindu scriptures, and, especially, the Puránas, cannot reasonably be contested, after dispassionately weighing the strong internal evidence, which all of them afford, of the intermixture of unauthorized and comparatively modern ingredients. But the same internal testimony furnishes proof, equally decisive, of the anterior existence of ancient materials; and it is, therefore, as idle as it is irrational, to dispute the antiquity or authenticity of the greater portion of the contents of the Puránas, in the face of abundant positive and circumstantial evidence of the prevalence of the doctrines which they teach, the currency of the legends which they narrate, and the integrity of the institutions which they describe, at least three centuries before the Christian era." For the natural conclusion from such premisses must, necessarily, be, that the Puránas now extant are the very same works which were known, under that denomination, three centuries before the Christian era, but that they, at the same time, afford strong internal testimony of an intermixture of unauthorized and comparatively modern ingredients. But, to invert this conclusion, and to suppose that, because some parts

a much more ancient date than that ascribed to it by Professor Wilson.

of the present Puránas are, perhaps, modern, therefore these works must be modern compilations, is, obviously, contrary to every principle of just reasoning; because, as it is admitted that ancient materials existed anterior to the supposed compilation of the present Puránas, and as no cause can be assigned for their disappearance - if such existed, - in the tenth or eleventh century, it is most reasonable to conclude that the Puránas now extant do, actually, consist of those very materials, and that they are, in fact, the very same works which were current, under that denomination, in the time of Amara Simha. Professor Wilson, however, seems to have given more weight to the internal testimony arising from those passages of the Puránas which he thinks have a modern appearance, than to that which results from those parts which the Puráńas must have contained from their first composition, in order to entitle them to a sacred character and to that reverence with which these works have been always regarded by the Hindus. But the fixing the precise date when the Puránas received their present form is a question of little or no consequence, when it is admitted that there is "abundant positive and circumstantial evidence of the prevalence of the doctrines which they teach, the currency of the legends which they narrate, and the integrity of the institutions which they describe, at least three centuries before the Christian era."

The Puránas, therefore, cannot be—as also remarked by Professor Wilson, in p. XI.,—pious frauds, written for temporary purposes, in subservience to sectarial imposture. But these are the principal grounds on which he rests his opinion, that the Puránas now extant did not receive their present form until a thousand years after the birth of Christ. Professor Wilson, however, does not explain in what this imposture consisted, or for what sectarian purpose it was intended. That there are, at this day, and may have been, for many centuries, exclusive worshippers of Vishńu, or Siva, is undoubted; but, as I have before observed, this exclusive worship is not sanctioned by anything that is contained in the Puránas now extant; nor do they, in any manner, countenance those more obscure sects which have existed in India in later times. The opinion, also, of Professor Wilson, that "the designation of Sakti may not be correctly applicable to the whole [of the Rájasa division of the Puránas], although it is to some of the series; for there is no incompatibility in the advocacy of a Tántrika modification of the Hindu religion by any Purána,"* is, unquestionably, erroneous; because, in not one of the eighteen Puránas is there the slightest indication of the Tántrika worship, or the slightest allusion to it; for the worship of Deví, in the form of Durgá or Kálí, by blood, flesh, and spirituous liquors, is essentially different from that of Deví as Śakti: in the one, it is her image which is worshipped, and, in the latter, it is a naked virgin.¹ Had, however, imposture for sectarian purposes been the object for which the Puránas were written, it must have been evident in every part of them; but, on the

¹ Strictly speaking, not the virgin, but the uteis of the virgin.

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, pp. XXI., XXII.

contrary, I have no doubt that, were they carefully and dispassionately examined, it would satisfactorily appear that they contain nothing which is incompatible with those principles of the Hindu religion which are universally acknowledged by all Hindus. The argument, consequently, deduced from the assumption that the Puránas, as now extant, are pious frauds, and, therefore, modern compilations, is refuted by the whole scope and tendency of those works; nor, were it even proved that interpolations and additions have taken place in them, would this circumstance detract from the authenticity of such portions of them as afford strong internal evidence of their antiquity. But what more conclusive evidence of their antiquity can be required than—as is admitted by Professor Wilson himself,—their containing a correct description of the doctrines, the legends, and the institutions of the Hindu religion which were prevalent in India three centuries before the Christian era? For it is, obviously, much more probable that the present Puránas are works which were then extant, than that eighteen different persons should, each, have conceived, thirteen hundred years afterwards, the design of writing a Purána, and should have been able to compile or compose, so accurately, eighteen different works which correspond so exactly in numerous essential and minute particulars.

The eighteen Puránas, also, as Professor Wilson states, consist of 400.000 ślokas, or 1.600.000 lines; and it must, therefore, be evident that nothing but the most attentive examination of the whole of such extensive works, and a thorough knowledge of the

exact state of India, and of all the changes which may have taken place, in the country and amongst the people, during the last two thousand years, could enable any person to fix, with any degree of certainty, from the internal evidence of the Puránas, the date when each of them was composed. A name, a circumstance, or even a legend may have a modern appearance; but its recentness, or antiquity, can only be determined by there being some known facts with which it can be compared; and it is the want of such facts, in the present state of our knowledge of Hindu history, that renders all reasoning, with respect to the dates of the events mentioned in the Puránas, so completely inconclusive. Most of the legends, also, are of a miraculous nature; and no date, therefore, can be inferred from them. Professor Wilson, however, undeterred by such considerations, has not hesitated to fix the time when each Purána was composed, and to place the compilation of the Puránas, as now extant, between the eighth and seventeenth centuries. But his reasons for assigning so modern a period to the compilation of those works appear to rest, principally, if not entirely, on the contents of the different Puránas not corresponding with his preconceived opinion of what a Purána ought to be. For Professor Wilson thus observes, with respect to the Brahma Vaivarta Purána: "The character of the work is, in truth, so" decidedly sectarial, and the sect to which it belongs, so distinctly marked,—that of the worshippers of the juvenile Krishna and Rádhá, a form of belief of known modern origin,-that it can scarcely have found a notice in a

^{*} Colonel Kennedy omitted the words "in truth, so".

work to which, like the Matsya, a much more remote date seems to belong. Although, therefore, the Matsya may be received in proof of there having been a Brahma Vaivarta Purána at the date of its compilation, dedicated especially to the honour of Krishna, yet we cannot credit the possibility of its being the same we now possess."1 * Thus, Professor Wilson decides, not only that "the Brahma Vaivarta has not the slightest title to be regarded as a Purána,"† but, also, that the Purána which bore that name is no longer extant; and yet he adduces neither argument nor proof in support of this decision, and of his gratuitous assumption that this Purána owes its origin to the modern sect of the worshippers of the juvenile Krishna. He admits, also, that the first three Books (or nearly twothirds,) of this Purána are occupied in the description of the acts of Brahmá, Deví, and Gańeśa; but he does not explain why the supposed sectarian writer, instead of composing a work solely in honour of Krishna, and in support of his sect, has dedicated so great a part of the Purána to the celebration of other deities. In the same manner, Professor Wilson remarks, with respect to the Vámana Purána: "It is of a more tolerant

¹ The object of this Purána is to represent Krishna as the Supreme Being, and Rádhá, as his energy; and it is, therefore, altogether improbable that it should have been compiled for the purpose of promoting the modern worship of the juvenile Krishna, or that a modern work should have been written, and substituted in the place of the Brahma Vaivarta Purána mentioned in the Matsya.

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. LXVI.

character than the Puránas, and divides its homage between Siva and Vishnu with tolerable impartiality. It is not connected, therefore, with any sectarial principles, and may have preceded their introduction. It has not, however, the air of any antiquity; and its compilation may have amused the leisure of some Brahman of Benares three or four centuries ago."1* But this, surely, is not the manner in which the question whether the Puránas, as now extant, are ancient and original compositions, or mere modern compilations, ought to be discussed,—far less, decided. On the contrary, the particular passages of the Puránas which are supposed to be modern ought to be adduced, or referred to; and it should, then, be shown that the circumstances and events, or the doctrines and legends, mentioned in them could not be of an ancient date, because they had occurred, or had been introduced, in modern times, or that they were posterior to modern events of known dates; and, as, therefore, Professor Wilson has not followed this method, but trusted to conjecture and inferences deduced from erroneous premisses, it seems evident that his speculations respecting the modern period in which the present Puránas were composed must be considered to be either groundless or not yet supported by the requisite proof.

¹ As, however, Professor Wilson places the introduction of sectarial principles in the eighth or ninth century, † the date of the Vámana Purána, if compiled previous to their introduction, must be the eighth century, at least, and not the fourteenth or fifteenth.

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. LXXVI.

[†] Vide supra, p. 257.

The preceding observations will have, perhaps, evinced that the remarks contained in Professor Wilson's Preface to his Translation of the Vishnu Purána have been written under the impression of two conflicting opinions: for he is obliged to admit that the Puránas now extant were compiled from ancient materials, and that they are "a valuable record of the form of Hindu belief which came next in order to that of the Vedas"; and yet he contends that those works are pious frauds, written for temporary purposes, in subservience to sectarial imposture. But both these opinions cannot be correct; and it must, therefore, be most accordant with probability to conclude that, although interpolations and additions may, possibly, have taken place in the Puránas, as now extant, they are, still, in all essential respects, the very same works which have been, from remote times, held sacred by the Hindus. That, however, alterations have been made in the present Puránas is a mere supposition, which has never yet been supported by any clear and satisfactory proof; and the inconsistent and inconclusive reasoning, employed by a person so well acquainted with the Puránas as Professor Wilson, to prove that they are mere modern compilations, must, alone, evince that the internal evidence of the Puráhas, even in their present form, affords such incontrovertible proof of their antiquity, that even those who wish to contest this are obliged to admit it, and to explain it by having recourse to the conjecture, that ancient materials existed, from which those parts of the extant Puránas which are, most probably, ancient, were compiled. But, as this conjecture is altogether gra-

tuitous, and unsupported by proof, it may be much more reasonably concluded that the Puránas now extant are the very same works which have been always known, under that denomination, from the remote time when they were originally composed;* and Professor Wilson himself remarks that "they never emanated from any impossible combination of the Brahmans to fabricate for the antiquity of the entire Hindu system any claims which it cannot fully support;"+ and that "the origin and development of the doctrines, traditions, and institutions [described in the Puránas now extant,] were not the work of a day; and the testimony that establishes their existence three centuries before Christianity carries it back to a much more remote antiquity,-to an antiquity that is, probably, not surpassed by any of the prevailing fictions, institutions, or beliefs of the ancient world.":

VANS KENNEDY.

18

Bombay, 28th August, 1840.

Sir: In the letter which I addressed to you on the 28th ult., I confined myself to such observations as seemed to evince that the remarks contained in Professor Wilson's Preface to his Translation of the Vishnu Purána were written under the impression of two conflicting opinions, which could not, both, be correct. As my attention has, thus, been again directed to the question whether the eighteen Puránas, as now extant,

स्यापेव जगने

v.

^{*} Of this untenable position Colonel Kennedy nowhere offers any proof. † Vol. I., Preface, p. XI. ‡ Ibid., p. XCIX.

are ancient compositions, or modern compilations, I am induced to enter into a further discussion of this subject. For it is evident that, if the works now known under that denomination were written between the eleventh and seventeenth centuries, for temporary purposes, in subservience to sectarial imposture, they cannot be a valuable record of the form of Hindu belief which came next in order to that of the Vedas. Nor can they, indeed, afford any authentic information with respect to the state of the Hindu religion previous to the twelfth century; because, even admitting that those works may have been partly compiled from ancient materials, there are no means now available by which what is genuine and old that may be contained in them can be distinguished from what is supposed to be spurious and modern.

The limits of a preface may have prevented Professor Wilson from fully discussing this question; but, as that Preface extends to seventy-five quarto pages, it is most probable that he has, at least, stated, in it, the principal reasons which induce him to consider the Puránas to be modern compositions. To me, however, it appears that those reasons, instead of supporting Professor Wilson's opinion, should lead to a directly contrary conclusion. The arguing, in particular, that, because not one of the present Puránas corresponds with the term pancha-lakshańa, or "treatises on five topics", - which is given as a synonym to a Purána, in the vocabulary of Amara Simha,—therefore it is decidedly proved "that we have not, at present, the same works, in all respects, that were current, under the denomination of Puránas, in the century

prior to Christianity,"* is, certainly, altogether inconclusive. For a mere descriptive term cannot be received as proof, when the argument itself admits that the works which it was intended to describe are no longer extant, and that, consequently, there are no means of determining whether the term did, or did not, apply strictly to those works. On the supposition, also, that the Puránas now extant are modern compositions, written in imitation of the ancient Puránas, it must be evident that those works could not have been restricted to the treating only of the five topics mentioned by Professor Wilson; for he himself observes that the description of a Purána, included in the term pancha-lakshańa, is utterly inapplicable to some of the present Puránas, and that to others it only partially applies. But, though it may be supposed that the Brahmans might possibly recompose their sacred books, it is altogether improbable that they would so alter them, as to leave no resemblance between the original and its substitute; and, consequently, had the prescribed form for the composition of a Purána required the treating of five topics only, in that precise form would the present Puránas (if modern compilations,) have, no doubt, been written. As, therefore, they do not exhibit that form, and as they could not have succeeded to the reverence in which the ancient Puránas were held, unless they resembled those works, (at least in form,) it is most reasonable to conclude that a Purána, as originally composed, was not "a treatise on five topics." The miscellaneous nature, consequently, of the contents of the present Puránas cannot

[•] Vol. I., Preface, p. XI.

be admitted as a valid objection to their antiquity, on a mere supposition, which is not only improbable in itself, but which is, also, disproved by the sacred character that has been immemorially ascribed to the Puránas, which, it is obvious, they could not have received, had they treated only of the profane topics mentioned by Professor Wilson.

The argument also supposes that the original eighteen Puránas were current prior to the Christian era; and, before, therefore, the conclusion can be granted, the time and manner in which those works have become extinct should be proved: for, as numerous Sanskrit works which were, unquestionably, extant at the commencement of the Christian era, have been preserved until the present day, nothing but satisfactory proof can establish that the Puránas alone, although held to be sacred books, have completely disappeared. It requires to be particularly considered that the Puránas consist of eighteen distinct works, comprising an aggregate of 1.600.000 lines,* and that India, more than one million of square miles in extent, has been, during the last two thousand years, divided into at least ten distinct regions, † differing in language and in local customs and prejudices. Were it, therefore, even conceded that the Brahmans, since the Christian era, had succeeded in suppressing the whole of the eighteen Puránas, and in substituting other works in their place, in some one or other region of India, still copies of the original Puránas would have

^{*} See Vol. I., Preface, p. XXIV.

[†] For the particulars of this unscientific classification, see Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. II., p. 179.

been preserved in the other regions. Nothing but the entire extirpation of the Brahmanical religion, throughout the whole of so extensive a country, could have effected the complete destruction of such voluminous works,—the more especially, as their sacred character would have rendered their preservation an object of constant solicitude. But, until a complete suppression of the ancient Puránas had been effected, other works could not have been substituted in their place; and, thus, the objection to the supposition that the Puránas, as now extant, were not written until between the eleventh and seventeenth centuries becomes insuperable. For, admitting the dates assigned to each of the Puránas by Professor Wilson, it may be asked: Was the ancient Purána, bearing the same name, extant until it was superseded by the modern one, or was it not? In the first case, in what manner was its supersession accomplished? Professor Wilson extends the period during which he supposes the Puránas to have received their present form, to eight centuries; and he, thus, admits that the replacing of the ancient Puránas by new works did not proceed from a combination of the Brahmans to remodel the Hindu religion on new but premeditated principles. It becomes, therefore, impossible to understand how any individual could, without the consent and assistance of the Brahmans, effect the suppression of an ancient Purána, and the substitution, in its place, of a work of his own composition or compilation, throughout the whole of India. If, for instance, the Bhágavata was written by Bopadeva, at Doulutabad, in the twelfth century, was the original Bhágavata then in existence, or not? If it

was, what reason, consistent with probability, can be assigned for supposing that the Brahmans of all India would have suppressed one of their sacred books, to which they ascribed a divine origin, and received, as entitled to the same reverence, the acknowledged composition of an obscure grammarian? The supposition is, evidently, absurd. It is strange, also, that Mr. Colebrooke should have remarked that "Bopadeva, the real author of the Śri Bhágavata, has endeavoured to reconcile all the sects of Hindus, by reviving the doctrines of Vyása. He recognizes all the deities, but as subordinate to the Supreme Being, or, rather, as attributes or manifestations of God: "1 for, with the omission of the word "attributes", this is precisely the same doctrine which is invariably taught in each and all of the eighteen Puránas. The Bhágavata, therefore, as now extant, could not have been written for the purpose of inculcating a new doctrine; for, in that respect, it entirely corresponds with the other Puránas: nor is the representation, in it, of Vishnu as the Supreme Being inconsistent with the principles of the Hindu religion as explained in the other Puránas. It, in consequence, does not afford the slightest internal evidence of its having been written for the purpose of sectarial imposture; nor have I observed, in it, any passage which indicates that this Purána could not have been written prior to the twelfth century. If, however, the original Bhágavata was not then in

¹ Asiatic Researches, Vol. VII., p. 280.*

^{*} Or Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 197.

existence, the objection still remains insuperable; for nothing can render it in the least probable that the Brahmans of all India would receive the composition of an obscure individual as a sacred book entitled to their reverence. It must, also, be evident that, if the Puránas which were current in the century prior to the Christian era have not been suppressed, there can be no reason for supposing that they have not been preserved until the present day. But it seems unquestionable that the Puránas then current could not have been subsequently suppressed, and other works substituted in their place, unless the Brahmans of all India had combined together in order to effect that object; and Professor Wilson, himself, remarks that the Puránas, in their present form, "never emanated from any impossible combination of the Brahmans to fabricate for the antiquity of the entire Hindu system any claims which it cannot fully support."* A combination, therefore, of the Brahmans being considered to be impossible, it must appear most probable that the eighteen Puránas have been preserved, during the last eighteen hundred years, in the same manner as other Sanskrit works of the same period have been preserved, and that the present Puránas are, in fact, in all essential respects, the same works which were current, under that name, in India in the century prior to the Christian era. +

Another argument adduced by Professor Wilson, in

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. XI.

[†] Throughout this critique, Colonel Kennedy seems to ignore the predictive sections of the Puranas,—a very essential feature in almost all of them.

support of his opinion, is the sectarian tendency of the Puránas. But he does not clearly explain what he means by that term; and, in his "Sketch of the Religious Sects of the Hindus," he has observed: "This is not the case, however, with the first two on the list, the Saurapátas and Gáńapátas: these are usually, indeed, ranked with the preceding divisions, and make, with the Vaishńavas, Śaivas, and Śáktas, the five orthodox divisions of the Hindus." In this passage, however, some inadvertency must have occurred: for, according to Professor Wilson's own account, the Saktas cannot be included among the orthodox divisions of the Hindus; and I suppose, therefore, that the worshippers of Deví were here intended. But Vishnu, Siva, Deví, Súrya, and Gańapati are the very deities, and the only ones, whose worship is described or mentioned in the Puráńas; and, as this is admitted to be orthodox, it must follow that the Puránas could not have been written for sectarian purposes. What are the sects, therefore, to which Professor Wilson alludes, in that Preface, is not apparent. But his notion of a sect would seem to originate in this singular opinion, which he has expressed with respect to the Pauránik account of the Hindu religion: "The different works known by the name of Puránas are, evidently, derived from the same religious system as the Rámáyańa and Mahábhárata, or from the mytho-heroic stage of Hindu belief."* For, in both those poems, the passages which

¹ Asiatic Researches, Vol. XVII., p. 230.+

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. V.

[†] Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. I., pp. 265, 266.

relate to the legends and tenets of the Hindu religion are merely incidental, and do not form a principal part of those works; while, on the contrary, the legends and tenets of the Hindu religion are not only the principal. but the sole, subject of the Puránas. It is much more probable, therefore, that such incidental notices of those topics as occur in the two poems were derived from the Puránas, than that such extensive works as the Puránas-which embrace all the details of Hindu mythology, and all the abstruse doctrine of Hindu theology,-were derived from poems which are, principally, of an historical character. To conclude, consequently, that, because those topics are treated of at much greater length in the Puránas than in the Rámáyana and Mahábhárata, therefore the Puránas were written at a later period than those poems, is, evidently, erroneous. At the same time, on what grounds does Professor Wilson suppose that there ever was a "mytho-heroic stage of Hindu belief"? He merely says that Ráma and Krishna "appear to have been, originally, real and historical characters," who have been "elevated to the dignity of divinities,"* and that the Puránas belong, essentially, to that stage of Hindu belief "which grafted hero-worship upon the simpler ritual"+ of the Vedas. But Professor Wilson adduces neither argument nor quotation in support of this opinion; and it is, therefore, sufficient to observe that, in the Puránas, the Rámáyana, and Mahábhárata, Ráma and Krishna are invariably described, not as mere men, but as in-

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. IV.

[†] Ibid., p. XII.

carnate forms of Vishńu, and that not a single passage can be produced, from those works, which inculcates hero-worship.

Professor Wilson, however, not only remarks that "Siva and Vishnu, under one or other form, are almost the sole objects that claim the homage of the Hindus, in the Puránas,"* but also rests much of his reasoning, with respect to the date when each Puráńa, as at present extant, was composed, and to its having been written for sectarian purposes, on the character of Krishna as a hero-god. For, in describing the Brahma Purána, he observes: "Then come a number of chapters relating to the holiness of Orissa, with its temples and sacred groves dedicated to the Sun, to Siva, and Jagannátha, 1—the latter, especially. These chapters are characteristic of this Purána, and show its main object to be the promotion of the worship of Krishna as Jagannátha."2+ With regard, also, to the Vishnu Purána, he remarks: "The fifth book of the Vishnu Purána is exclusively occupied with the life of Krishna. This is one of the distinguishing characteristics of the Purána, and is one argument against its antiquity.": And this objection he explains, in speaking of the Brahma Vaivarta Pu $r\acute{a}na$, where he observes that the decidedly sectarial

¹ A name of Krishna.

² Professor Wilson states, at the same time, that the legend of Jagannátha occupies one-third only of this Purána; from which it would be more just to conclude that its main object could not be the promotion of the worship of Jagannátha.

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. V.

[†] Ibid., p. XXVIII.

[‡] Ibid., p. CIX.

character of that Purána shows that it belongs to the sect, of known modern origin, which worship the juvenile Kŕishňa and Rádhá.* But Professor Wilson does not specify the forms of Siva, the worship of which is mentioned in the Puránas, as he states: and. on the contrary, it is unquestionable that, in those works, it is strictly enjoined that Siva should be worshipped under no other figure or type than that of the Linga; and, as Siva was never incarnate, there could be no form under which he could be worshipped. With regard, also, to Vishnu, Professor Wilson confines his remarks to the eighth incarnation only, that of Krishna. But the Puranas contain long details relating to the incarnation of Vishnu in the human forms of Rámachandra and Parasuráma; and why, therefore, should Krishna alone be considered as a real historical character who has been elevated to the dignity of divinity? The answer is obvious. There is a sect, of known modern origin, who worship the juvenile Krishna and Rádhá; and it may, in consequence, be concluded that the Puránas in which Krishna is mentioned were written for the purpose of promoting the extension of that sect. But, as no sect has selected Rámachandra or Paraśuráma as the peculiar object of their worship, no argument could be founded on the mention of their names in the Puránas; and, therefore, it was unnecessary to notice them. But they were, both, greater heroes than Krishna, and lived several centuries before him; + and, had, consequently, hero-

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. LXVI.

[†] The Colonel is precise, here, beyond all reasonable warrant.

worship ever prevailed in India, it must seem most probable that it would have originated with Rámachandra,—whose expedition to Lanká is the subject of a celebrated and revered poem,—had the Hindus ever considered him to be merely a mortal prince. It is, however, needless to continue these observations; for Professor Wilson has, himself, refuted his own opinion; as he has also remarked that Krishna is not represented in the character of Bála Gopála (the object of worship of the modern sect,) in the Vishńu and Bháqavata Puránas,* and that the life of Krishna in the Brahma Puráńa "is, word for word, the same as that of the Vishnu Purána;"† to which I add, that Krishna is not represented in that character in the Brahma Vaivarta Purána: for it is in those Puránas only that the life of Krishna is described at length; and, in them, Krishna invariably appears and acts as a human being, except on occasions when he exerts his divine power; but he is, at the same time, frequently acknowledged and adored as Vishnu in the incarnate form of Krishna.1

¹ I do not exactly understand what Professor Wilson means by this remark: "Ráma, although an incarnation of Vishúu, commonly appears [in the Rámáyaña,] in his human character alone."; I suppose he means, that Ráma is seldom described, in that poem, as exerting his divine power; for he always appears, in it, as a man, even when he acts as a god. Nor can I understand what the notion is which Professor Wilson has formed of a divine incarnation; for he observes that the character of Kŕishúa is very contradictorily described in the Mahábhárata,—usually,

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. XXII. Colonel Kennedy here misrepresents.

⁺ Ibid., p. XXIX.

[‡] Ibid., p. XV.

All suppositions, therefore, that hero-worship ever prevailed in India, or that it is inculcated in the Puránas, or that Vishnu and Siva have ever been worshipped under any other figure or type than such as exist at the present day, are entirely groundless.

It will hence appear that this remark of Professor Wilson must be erroneous: "The proper appropriation of the third [Rájasa, 1] class of the Puránas, according to the Padma Purána² appears to be the worship of Krishna as the infant Krishna, Govinda, Bála Gopála, the sojourner in Vrindávana, the companion of the cowherds and milkmaids, the lover of Rádhá, or as the juvenile master of the universe. Jagannátha."* But, in the same manner as Professor Wilson thus appropriates, on no grounds whatever, one class of the Puránas to the worship of Krishna, he also appropriates another class, the Támasa, to the Tántrika worship. For he remarks: "This last argument is of weight in regard to the particular instance specified; and the designation of Sakti may not be correctly applicable to the whole class, although it is to some of the series: for there is no incompatibility in the advocacy of a Tántrika modification of the Hindu religion by any Purána." † That is, that there is no incompati-

as a mere mortal, though frequently as a divine person. But is not that precisely the character of an incarnation,—a man, occasionally displaying the powers of a god?

¹ The Puráñas are divided into three classes,—named Sáttwika, Támasa, and Rájasa,—consisting, each, of six Puráñas.

² No passage in the Padma Puróna authorizes this remark.

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. XXII.

⁺ Ibid., pp. XXI., XXII.

bility in the Puránas—which have immemorially been held to be sacred books,-inculcating a worship not only directly contrary to the Vedas, but which even requires, for its due performance, flesh, fish, wine, women, and which is attended "with the most scandalous orgies amongst the votaries." The mere mention, however, of such an opinion is, alone, sufficient to show its improbability; and Professor Wilson correctly observes: "The occurrence of these impurities is, certainly, countenanced by the texts which the sects regard as authorities, and by a very general belief of their occurrence. The members of the sect are enjoined secrecy, - which, indeed, it might be supposed they would observe on their own account, - and, consequently, will not acknowledge their participation in such scenes."1 It is, therefore, surprising that, notwithstanding his own previous account of the Saktas, he should remark, in the Preface to his Translation of the Vishnu Purána: "The date of the Kúrma Purána cannot be very remote; for it is, avowedly, posterior to the establishment of the Tantrika, the Sakta, and the Jaina sects. In the twelfth chapter it is said: 'The Bhairava, Váma, Árhata, and Yámala Śástras are intended for delusion."* The passage here referred to is, at length, as follows: "Certain acts have been prescribed to Brahmans and others; and for those who do

¹ These three quotations will be found in Professor Wilson's "Sketch of the Religious Sects of the Hindus," in the Asiatic Researches, Vol. XVII., pp. 223, 224, 225.†

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, pp. LXXIX., LXXX.

[†] Or Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. I., pp. 256-260.

not perform these acts are prepared the lowest hell-But there is no other Śástra than the Vedas which deserves the name of virtuous; and Brahmans, therefore ought not to delight in reciting the Yoni Śastras, which are of various kinds in this world; because they below to the quality of darkness, and are contrary to the Śruti and Smriti: of these are the Kapála, the Bhai rava, the Yámala, the Váma, and the Árhata. Thus. for the purpose of deception, are there many such Śástras; and by these false Śástras are many men deceived." This passage, it is evident, condemns these sects, and could not, therefore, have been written by a person who belonged to some one of them. But i have quoted it in order to show the manner in which allusions to philosophical and religious sects occur, in a few instances, in some of the Puránas; for, in all such passages, the name only is mentioned, and the doctrine of the sect is never in the least explained. Before, therefore, it is concluded that the name apply to a sect of modern origin, it should, surely, be fire proved that no sect existed in India, under that name until the establishment of the modern sect. In 11. above quotation, the worship of Siva in his terrated character, and of Deví as Śakti, is, no doubt, cleaning intimated; but it affords no indication of the date where such worship commenced, or of the period during which it may have been prevalent. But it is stated in more than one Purána, that the Kapála sect was coeval with the institution of the worship of the Linux and its antiquity is, further, rendered probable by ... having long become extinct in India; and it is evident that, as the Arhata is here distinctly called a)

(that is, a Sákta,) Sástra, this term can have no reference whatever to the Jaina sect. Were, also, the Tántrika doctrines really inculcated in the Puráńas, the passages relating to them would be so numerous and explicit as to attract attention; and yet, in my examination of those works, I have never met with a single Tántrika passage; and Professor Wilson adduces only the above-quoted text of the Kúrma Purána, which can prove nothing, until the sects alluded to, in it, are satisfactorily ascertained. To found, consequently, any argument with respect to the date when the Puránas, as now extant, were written, or their sectarian tendency, on a few obscure passages which occur in them, the precise meaning of which it is now impossible to determine, is, surely, a mode of reasoning which may be justly pronounced to be altogether futile and fallacious.

Professor Wilson also states, in too decided and unqualified a manner, that, "In a word, the religion of the Vedas was not idolatry:"* for he, at the same time, correctly remarks that "It is yet, however, scarcely safe to advance an opinion of the precise to belief or philosophy which they inculcate.": But it unquestionably appears, from several of the Upanishads,—which are admitted \sqrt{s} to be portions of the Vedas,—that the principal deities have always been represented by images; and it may, therefore, be justly inferred that image-worship has always formed part of the Hindu religion. In its purest form, however, it

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. III. † The word 'precise' was here omitted.

[‡] Vol. I., Preface, p. II.

[§] Not with any scientific accuracy.

is probable that the worship of images was practised particularly by the inferior castes, and not, generally, by the Brahmans and Kshattriyas; but that, when the strict observance of the system of religious worship prescribed by the Vedas began to decline, then idolatry gradually assumed that form under which it appears in India at the present day. That such a change has taken place in the Hindu religion is clearly shown in the Puránas; for, in those works, though the worship of particular deities by various rites and observances is principally inculcated, they still contain numerous passages in which it is explicitly declared that such worship is not the adoration which is most acceptable to the Supreme Being, or the most effectual for obtaining final beatitude.

But the following remarks may appear to fix a modern character on the eighteen Puránas, as now extant: "It is a distinguishing feature of the Vishnu Purána, and it is characteristic of its being the work of an earlier period than most of the Puránas, that it enjoins no sectarial or other acts of supererogation; no Vratas, occasional self-imposed observances; no holydays, no birthdays of Krishna, no nights dedicated to Lakshmi; no sacrifices or modes of worship other than those conformable to the ritual of the Vedas. It contains no Máhátmyas or golden legends, even of the temples in which Vishnu is adored."* In these remarks, however, it is assumed that sacrifices and modes of worship which are not conformable to the ritual of the Vedas are prescribed in the Puránas: but

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, pp. XCIX., C.

this is precisely the question which requires to be proved. It is probable that the worship of images is not authorized by the Vedas; and so far, therefore, the Puránas inculcate a mode of worship which is not conformable to the ritual of the Vedas. But idolatry has, unquestionably, existed, in India, from the remotest times; and, consequently, its being inculcated in the Puránas cannot be admitted as any proof of their being modern compositions. The invocations, also, and prayers to the different deities, contained in the Puránas appear to be in strict accordance with such as are contained in the Vedas; for they are composed of the Gáyatrí and, apparently, of other texts of the Vedas; and, although the rites and offerings with which the deities are directed to be worshipped may, probably, differ from the ritual of the Vedas, they still have been, evidently, intended to conform to it, as far as the difference of image-worship would admit of. 1 Sacrifices are not prescribed in the Puránas; and the description of such as are mentioned in them is, no doubt, conformable to the ritual of the Vedas. It is not, therefore, the modes of worship which the Puránas prescribe, upon which any argument to prove the remodelling of the Hindu religion in modern times can be validly founded; for their simplicity, and their

¹ It is unnecessary to except, expressly, the worship of Devi by the sacrifice of animals; for Professor Wilson has remarked (Asiatic Researches, Vol. XVII., p. 219):* "This practice, however, is not considered as orthodox, and approaches rather to the ritual of the Vámácharins; the more pure Bali [sacrifice] consisting of edible grain, with milk and sugar."

^{*} Or Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. I., pp. 251, 252.

accordance, in all essential respects, with the ritual of the Vedas must render it most probable that such modes of worship were practised long prior to the Christian era. Nor will the other acts specified by Professor Wilson afford support to his opinion. I do not, indeed, understand what is here intended by "sectarial or other acts of supererogation:" but the fourth order, or that of the ascetic, is mentioned in the Institutes of Manu; and the Yogin is frequently mentioned in the Upanishads; and, surely, the sufferings and deprivations to which the ascetic and Yogin subject themselves, for the purpose of obtaining beatitude, are, decidedly, acts of supererogation. The inculcating, therefore, in the Puránas, the advantage to be derived from such acts can be no proof that those works were written in modern times, for sectarian purposes. There then remain to be considered only self-imposed observances, holydays, birthdays of Krishna, and nights dedicated of Lakshmi. But Professor Wilson has invalidated his argument by the mention of Lakshmí; for, most certainly, that goddess has not been an object of peculiar worship in modern times; and her festival, therefore, must have been derived from the ancient calendar. It is singular, also, that the description of holydays and festivals should be adduced as an argument against the antiquity of a religious work; for these have been coeval with the institution of every religion; and such self-imposed observances as fasts and vows are too common, in all religions, to admit of their mention in a religious work being considered as a valid argument against its antiquity. Such description and mention, therefore, are, in themselves, no proof of the period when the Puránas were composed; and Professor Wilson has not proved (nor can he, I am convinced, prove,) that the deity to whose honour a festival is ascribed in the Puránas, or in whose propitiation a fast or vow is directed to be performed, was not worshipped prior to the eighth or ninth century, or that the preceding mode of worship has been since altered. But, until either of these assumptions is proved, it must be evident that the mention of the festival, the fast, or the vow, in any of the Puránas, in no manner proves, or even renders it probable, that that Purána did not exist prior to the Christian era, in precisely the same state as that in which it is now extant.

I admit, however, that doubts may be reasonably entertained with respect to the antiquity of some of the legends relating to temples and places of pilgrimage, which are contained in the Puránas; for the miscellaneous nature, the want of arrangement, and the humility of style of the Puránas would easily admit of an account of a particular temple or place of pilgrimage being interpolated, without the interpolation being liable to detection from the context.* It is, therefore, possible that, when those works are further examined, such interpolations may be discovered in them; but, were it, for instance, even proved that the legend of Jagannátha in the Brahma Puráńa was an interpolation, this would be no proof that that Purána was written for the promotion of the worship of Jagannátha: for it would be much more reasonable to suppose that the Brahmans of that temple had availed

^{*} Contrast what is said in p. 311, note 1, infra.

themselves of the original Purána, to introduce into it, and to circulate under the sacredness of its name, the legend which they had composed in honour of their god. When, therefore, a passage occurs in any Puráńa, which has a modern appearance, it should not, at once, be concluded that the Purána is a modern composition; but it should first be ascertained whether the passage is really modern, and, if so, whether it may not be an interpolation which does not necessarily affect the antiquity of the Purána itself. Nothing, however, is so difficult as to decide satisfactorily on the existence of a supposed interpolation in any work; and, with respect to the Puránas, this difficulty, from the reasons just mentioned, and from our almost entire ignorance of the history of India during the centuries immediately preceding and following the Christian era, becomes so insuperable as clearly to evince how completely er-

In forming, however, an opinion of the genuineness and entireness of the Puráńas, as now extant, it should be recollected that these works are written in Sanskrit, and that the Brahmans have always been, alone, acquainted with that language. * The Puráńas, therefore, circulated amongst the Brahmans only; and it, consequently, seems in the highest degree improbable that the Brahmans of all India would admit into their copies of these sacred books interpolations which were merely intended to serve some local purpose. It is, at least, certain that the manuscripts of the Puráńas which are, at this day, spread over India, from Cashmere to the extremity of the southern peninsula, and from Jagannátha to Dwáraká, contain precisely the same works; and it is, therefore, most probable that the Puráńas have always been preserved in precisely the same state as that in which they were first committed to writing.

^{*} Never, in all probability, has this been the case.

roneous it must be to conclude, from their internal evidence, that "the Puránas are works of evidently different ages, and have been compiled under different circumstances."*

But it is impossible to ascertain, from this Preface, Professor Wilson's precise opinion with respect to what a work ought to be, in order to entitle it to the character of a Purána; for, in speaking of the Linga, he remarks: "Data for conjecturing the era of this work are defective. But it is more of a ritual than a Purána; and the Pauránik chapters which it has inserted, in order to keep up something of its character, have been, evidently, borrowed for the purpose." † In considering, however, the age and the scope and tendency of the Puránas, Professor Wilson has entirely overlooked the sacred character which has immemorially been ascribed to those works; and yet he could not intend to deny so indisputable a fact; in which case it must be evident that the more a Purána is occupied in "narrating legends, and enjoining rites, and reciting prayers," the more it maintains its proper character. Professor Wilson, on the contrary, is of opinion that the religious instruction which is contained in the present Puránas is a decisive proof that they have undergone some material alteration, and that they are not the same works which were current in the century prior to Christianity. He admits, at the same time, the accuracy of this description of the Puránas, as they are:1 The principal object of the Puránas is the moral and

¹ In my work on Ancient and Hindu Mythology, p. 150.

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. XVI. + Ibid., p. LXIX.

religious instruction which is inculcated in them, and to which all the legends that they contain are rendered subservient. In fact, the description of the earth and of the planetary system, and the lists of royal races. that occur in them are, evidently, extraneous, and not essential, circumstances; as they are omitted in some Puránas, and very concisely discussed in others; while, on the contrary, in all the Puránas, some or other of the leading principles, rites, and observances of the Hindu religion are fully dwelt upon, and illustrated, either by suitable legends, or by prescribing the ceremonies to be practised, and the prayers and invocations to be employed, in the worship of different deities. It will, I think, be admitted that these are precisely the topics which ought to occupy a sacred book intended for the religious instruction of the Hindus; and that, consequently, so far from its being supposed that the present Puránas have undergone some material alteration in consequence of these topics being their principal subject, this very circumstance should be considered as a conclusive argument in support of their genuineness and antiquity. As, also, the religious instruction contained in the Puránas is perfectly uniform, and entirely consistent with the principles of the Hindu religion, and as it, consequently, betrays not the slightest indication of novelty or sectarianism, it must be most consistent with probability to conclude that the eighteen Puránas, as now extant, are ancient compositions, and not, as Professor Wilson supposes, an "intermixture of unauthorized and comparatively modern ingredients" with "ancient materials."*

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. XCIX.

I have, thus, examined the arguments adduced, by Professor Wilson, to prove that the books now extant under the name of Puránas are not the original eighteen Puránas which have been immemorially held to form part of the sacred literature of the Hindus, but works which have been compiled, within the last eight hundred years, from ancient and modern materials. and written in subservience to sectarial imposture. The remarks, however, contained in this and my former letter will, perhaps, evince that those arguments are much too inconsistent and inconclusive to render the antiquity and genuineness of the present Puránas in the least questionable. The admission, indeed, that the original Puránas were extant in the century prior to the Christian era, is, alone, sufficient to invalidate all suppositions of their being, now, no longer in existence; and, unless, therefore, the time and manner of their becoming extinct are proved, it must be evident that inferences resting merely on their internal evidence cannot be received as any proof that the original Puránas have not been preserved until the present day. For all reasoning founded on the internal evidence which the Puránas may afford on any point can be of no avail; as there are, I believe, scarcely any persons competent to decide upon its correctness; and the different conclusions which Professor Wilson and myself have drawn from this internal evidence must show that the impression received from it depends entirely on the disposition of mind and the spirit of research with which the Puránas are perused. I read them with a mind perfectly free from all preconceived opinion, and with the sole object of making myself

acquainted with the mythology and religion of the Hindus; and I did not observe, in them, the slightest indication of their having been written in modern times, for sectarian purposes; but, on the contrary, their perusal irresistibly led me to conclude that they must have been written at some remote period. Even Professor Wilson has not been able to resist this impression of their antiquity; for he declares that it is "as idle as it is irrational, to dispute the antiquity or authenticity of the greater portion of the contents of * the Puránas." + Why, therefore, he should have endeavoured-particularly, in his account of each of the Puránas,—to demonstrate that those works are modern compilations, and that, in consequence, "they are no longer authorities for Hindu belief, as a whole," but "special guides for separate and, sometimes, conflicting branches of it,": I pretend not to conjecture.

But it is very evident that Professor Wilson examined the Puráńas with a preconceived opinion of their being modern compilations, and of their containing an account of the sects which have originated in India in modern times; ¹ for it is only from the influence of such a preconceived opinion that can have proceeded

I cannot avoid observing, with reference to Professor Wilson's account of the manner in which his analyses of the Puránas are made, contained in No. IX. of the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, p. 61, § that it appears to me that no series of indices, abstracts, and translations of particular parts of the Puránas will ever enable any person either to form, himself, or to

^{*} The words "the contents of" were omitted by the Colonel.

[†] Vol. I., Preface, p. XCIX. † Ibid., p. V.

[§] Or Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. III., p. 2. Also see Vol. III. of the present Work, p. 225, last foot-note.

the contradictory and fallacious reasoning, with respect to the age and the scope and tendency of the Puránas, which is contained in the Preface to his Translation of the Vishnu Purána. Because that reasoning rests entirely on two assumptions, neither of which is proved nor can be proved: the one, that a genuine Purána should treat of profane subjects only; and the other, that the works now extant under the name of Puránas were written in modern times, for sectarian purposes. Thus, in the account of each of the Puránas, it is pronounced that the main object of the Brahma is the promotion of the worship of Jagannátha (Kŕishňa), and that there is little, in it, which corresponds with the definition of a Purána; that the different portions of the Padma "are, in all probability, as many different works, neither of which approaches to the original definition of a Puráńa;" that the Linga "is more a ritual than a Purána;" that the Brahma Vaivarta "has not the slightest title to be regarded as a Purána;" that the date of the Kúrma "cannot be very remote, for it is, avowedly,

communicate to others, "a correct notion of the substance and character of those works." The Purána must not only be read from beginning to end, but examined over again more than once, before any person can be qualified to pronounce a decided judgment upon its age, scope, or tendency. The most ample index of its contents will never suggest or supply those reflections which necessarily arise during its perusal, and which, alone, can produce a correct opinion with respect to the connexion which the different parts of the Purána bear to each other, and with respect to the principal or accessory objects of its composition. A more attentive consideration of the context, for instance, would, perhaps, have prevented Professor Wilson from quoting the line of the Kůrma Purána, on which I have remarked in this letter.

posterior to the establishment of the Tantrika, the Sakta, and the Jaina sects;"* and so with respect to the other Puránas. It will not, however, be denied that nothing but the most attentive and repeated actual perusal of the whole of each and all of the Puránas would warrant such positive and unqualified assertions, and that nothing but satisfactory proof of such perusal would entitle them to the least credit; and yet Professor Wilson has stated that the Puránas comprehend a quantity of lines which any European scholar could scarcely expect to peruse with care and attention, unless his whole time were devoted, exclusively, for very many years, to the task. 1 Professor Wilson, therefore, is not, according to his own admission, qualified to decide ex cathedra on the age, or the scope and tendency, of the Puránas. His reasoning, also, in support of the opinions which he had expressed on these points is singularly illogical; for he, first, assumes that a genuine Purána ought to treat of such and such topics only, and then—as not one of the present Puránas conforms to the definition assumed, he at once concludes that those works are modern compilations. But, as the definition fails in eighteen instances, it must appear most

¹ Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, No. 1X., p. 61. †

² Professor Wilson, also, has not translated this definition literally from the Sanskrit; and he, thus, argues, not on it, but on the meaning which he has, himself, thought proper to give to the definition. Literally translated, it can mean nothing more than "creation, repeated creation, races or families, manwantaras, § and

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, pp. XXVIII., XXXIII., LXIX., LXVII., and LXXIX.

[†] Vide supra, p. 297, note §.

[.] See the Colonel's remark on sarga, in p. 259, supra.

[§] This is transliteration, not translation.

probable that it was never intended to be understood in the very restricted sense which Professor Wilson applies to it: and, in my former letter, I have shown that two of the topics, at least, comprise much more than what he has included under them. The non-conformity, however, of the contents of the present Puránas to this assumed definition—the precise extent and meaning of which are not ascertained,-is, in fact, the only argument which is adduced, by Professor Wilson, to prove that the works now bearing the name of Puránas are not the original Puránas, and the only ground on which he pronounces that this or that one of those works does not correspond with the definition of a genuine Purána. But the mere statement of such an argument is, surely, quite sufficient to expose its total invalidity. The other assumption is not only equally groundless, but it is even disproved by Professor Wilson himself; for he has rested his argument, in support of it, entirely on Krishna being, as the juvenile Krishna, the peculiar object of worship of a sect of known modern origin; and yet he is obliged to admit that

what accompanies races." To found, therefore, an argument against the genuineness and antiquity of the Puránas, as now extant, on such a definition, is, surely, a most singular and futile mode of reasoning. *

^{*} This is hypercriticism. For the original terms referred to, see Vol. I., Preface, p. VII., note 1; also, Vol. III., p. 67, and p. 71, note; and supra, pp. 169 and 244. Professor Wilson's expansions, to be found in Vol. I., Preface, p. VII., are fully authorized. Colebrooke, in his edition of the Amara-kośa, p. 33, following the authority of scholiasts, defines a Purana to be "theogony, comprising past and future events, under five heads: the creation; the destruction and renovation of worlds; genealogy of gods and heroes; the reigns of Manus; and the transactions of their descendants."

Kŕishňa is not represented in that character in three of the Puráňas in which his life is related at length. The only proof, also, of the Tántrika doctrines being inculcated in the Puráňas, which is adduced, is a single obscure line of the Kúrma Puráňa.* But, were that the case, there could have been no difficulty in quoting numerous Tántrika passage from some one or other of the Puráňas; and, as, therefore, Professor Wilson has not supported his opinion by producing such passages, it may be justly concluded that not one of the Puráňas in any manner advocates "a Tántrika modification of the Hindu religion." †

The more, therefore, that I consider the remarks contained in the Preface to his Translation of the Vishnu Purána, the more am I at a loss to understand how Professor Wilson could express such contradictory opinions. For he maintains, for instance, "that Brahmans unknown to fame have remodelled some of the Hindu scriptures, and, especially, the Puránas, cannot reasonably be contested;"; but he equally contends that the internal evidence of the Puránas furnishes decisive proof "of the anterior existence of ancient materials; and it is, therefore, as idle as it is irrational. to dispute the antiquity or authenticity of the greater portion of the contents of the Puránas." § On the contrary, it would, surely, be irrational to admit either, after Professor Wilson has proved—as he supposes, that the works now bearing the name of Puránas are "an intermixture of unauthorized and comparatively

^{*} See Vol. I., Preface, pp. LXXIX., LXXX.

[†] Ibid., pp. XXI., XXII. † Ibid., pp. XCVIII., XCIX.

[§] Ibid., p. XCIX.

modern ingredients,"* and that not one of those works conforms to the definition of a genuine Purána. It becomes, therefore, requisite either to deny the antiquity and authenticity of the present Puránas, or to contest the assumption that the Brahmans have remodelled their sacred books,—a supposition so totally improbable, that nothing but the most clear and incontrovertible proof could render it at all credible. Until, consequently, Professor Wilson produces such proof, it must appear most rational and reasonable to conclude that the Brahmans have never remodelled their sacred books (as no motive for their doing so can be conceived), and that the Puránas now extant, having been preserved in the same manner as other Sanskrit manuscripts of the same period, are, in all essential respects, precisely the same works which were current in India in the century prior to the Christian era.

Bombay, 29th Sept., 1840.

VANS KENNEDY.

सन्यमेव जगने

Sir: Notwithstanding my two former letters, there are still one or two points on which I would wish to offer a few remarks, in order to complete my observations on Professor Wilson's objections to the genuineness and antiquity of the Puránas, as now extant. For it will, I think, be admitted that this question is discussed in a very unsatisfactory manner in the Pre-

^{*} See Vol. I., Preface, p. XCIX. Only Professor Wilson makes no such assertion. His words are quoted correctly in p. 295, $ad\ calcem,\ supra.$

face to his Translation of the Vishnu Purána; as that Preface contains merely the conclusions which Professor Wilson has drawn from certain circumstances that are represented solely according to the view which he has taken of them; and, thus, the reader is not afforded the means of judging whether this view is correct, or otherwise. But an inquirer into the real character of the mythology and religion of the Hindus would, no doubt, wish to know the grounds on which Professor Wilson states: "It is not possible to conjecture when this more simple and primitive form of adoration (of the Vedas,] was succeeded by the worship of images and types, representing Brahmá, Vishňu, Šiva, and other imaginary beings, constituting a mythological pantheon of most ample extent; or when Ráma and Krishna, who appear to have been, originally, real and historical characters, were elevated to the dignity of divinities."* In that Preface, however,—and in all, I believe, that Professor Wilson has yet published respecting the Puránas,—the most questionable assertions are made in the most positive manner; but they remain unsupported by either argument or authority: and, consequently, not even the deference which is justly due to Professor Wilson, as an accomplished Sanskrit scholar, should preclude an examination of his opinions, or the rejection of such as are inconsistent in themselves, or contrary to probability and evidence.

It is particularly remarkable that, in that Preface. Professor Wilson has passed over a material fact, the sacred character of the Puráńas,—without a due consideration of which it is impossible to form a correct

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. IV.

judgment with respect to their age, and their scope and tendency. But it is undeniable that certain works named Puránas have immemorially been held, by the Hindus, to be sacred books of divine origin, and, therefore, entitled to the greatest veneration. Even at the present day, those works are regarded with the same reverence, and are, in consequence, considered to be incommunicable to Śúdras, women, and barbarians:* and, on this account, a Brahman in my employment declined to read the Puránas with me; while another Brahman, though he conversed with me on the subjects treated of in those works, and even gave me hints where to find particular passages, would not open the Purána in which they were contained, in my presence, and show me the passages. † In judging, therefore, whether the Puránas now extant have been preserved, to the present day, in precisely the same state as that in which they were first committed to writing, the sacred character of those books should, most assuredly, be taken into consideration, and not passed over as of no consequence; for this circumstance, alone, renders it, in the highest degree, improbable that the Brahmans would allow the Puránas to be lost, and utterly incredible that they would suppress any one of those sacred books, and substitute, in its place, another work of the same name. On this incredible supposition, however, Professor Wilson's opinion, that the present Puránas are modern compilations, entirely rests. But he has not attempted to explain the manner in which the replacing of the original Pu-

^{*} A grosser error than this was never committed to paper.

[†] Colonel Kennedy's Brahmans must have been very peculiar.

ránas by new works was effected; and, consequently, his positive and unqualified statement, that the date of the earliest of the present Puránas is not prior to the ninth century, is a mere gratuitous assertion, which is not only contrary to probability, but which is even left unsupported by any proof whatever. But every principle of reasoning requires that, before the conclusion is drawn, the premisses of the argument should be, first, established; and, as, therefore, Professor Wilson has neither proved nor rendered probable the premisses from which he draws the startling and questionable conclusion, that the present Puránas have no title to be regarded as genuine Puránas, it must be evident that his opinion on this point must be considered to be totally groundless.

Another point essential to the proper discussion of this question is, the ascertaining what it is that should be held to constitute a genuine Puráńa; although it might be supposed that no difference of opinion could exist respecting it: for, the Puráńas being sacred books, their contents should, of course, relate, principally, to the rites, ceremonies, offerings, prayers, and invocations with which the deities mentioned in them are to be worshipped, and to the legends and doctrines of the Hindu religion. Professor Wilson, on the contrary, has stated that "The earliest inquiries into the religion, chronology, and history of the Hindus ascertained that there existed a body of writings especially devoted to those subjects.... These were the Puráńas of Sanskrit literature." 1**

In the Analysis of the Brahma Purána, contained in No. 1X

^{*} Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. III., p. 1.

But this statement is altogether erroneous; for not a single Purána contains chronology and history, in the meaning usually given to these terms; and, in the description of a Purána, given in that Analysis, are omitted that essential part of all the Puránas which treats of the mythology and religion of the Hindus, and that part which has induced Professor Wilson to pronounce that the Linga is more of a ritual than a Purána. In his examination, therefore, of the Puránas, he has, avowedly, overlooked topics the due consideration of which is indispensable for the forming a correct opinion of their age, object, and tendency. But this will be best rendered evident by a few remarks on his Analysis of the Brahma Purána, contained in No. IX. of the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society.

In my last letter I was unavoidably led to observe that Professor Wilson had, evidently, examined the Puránas under the influence of preconceived opinion; and this Analysis completely confirms that remark: for, at its very commencement, he states that "the first verses of the Brahma Purána" "sufficiently declare its sectarial bias, and indicate it to be a Vaishnava work."* But, in his "Sketch of the Religious Sects of the Hindus", †

of the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society. In this; it is also said that a genuine Purána "should treat of the creation and renovation § of the universe, the division of time, the institutes of law and religion, the genealogies of the patriarchal families, and the dynasties of kings." But no other topics than these are mentioned.

^{*} Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. III., p. 8, 9.

[§] General Kennedy omitted the words "and renovation".

Professor Wilson admits that the preferential worship of Vishnu is perfectly orthodox; and, in the Preface to his Translation of the Vishnu Purána, he states that one-third only of the Brahma Purána is dedicated to Vishnu's incarnation as Jagannátha.* Consequently, it is evident that this Purána is neither sectarian nor exclusively dedicated to the legend of Jagannátha. But this erroneous impression has, evidently, led him to affirm, as erroneously, (unless my copy of this Purána differs from his), that "the first chapter of the Purána describes the creation, which it attributes to Náráyana or Vishnu, as one with Brahmá or Íswara." For the only verse to which he can refer will bear no other meaning than this: "Comprehend, O reverend Munis, Brahmá, of boundless splendour, the creator of all beings, Náráyana, the all-pervading."1 But this error is of material importance; because, in this Puráńa, Brahmá is represented as the Supreme Being; and, had it, therefore, been composed after the general worship of Brahmá had entirely ceased,—as it did in remote times,—and the preeminence (as at this day,) of either Vishnu or Siva had been established, it seems altogether improbable that such a distinction would have been ascribed to Brahmá by any writer. The representing, consequently, Brahmá as the Supreme Being, in four of the Puránas,—the Brahma,

¹ तं बुध्यध्वं मुनिश्रेष्ठा ब्रह्माणमितौजसम्। स्रष्टारं सर्वभूतानां नारायणं परायणम्॥

It is quite clear that Náráyańam is here placed in apposition with Brahmáńam, and that it is, therefore, an epithet of Brahmá, and not of Vishúu.

^{*} Not so. See Vol. I., Preface, p. XXVIII., note 1.

Váyu, Kúrma, and Brahmáńda,—was a circumstance which, certainly, deserved particular attention; because it corresponds with the character in which Brahmá is represented in several of the Upanishads and in the Institutes of Manu. When, therefore, a circumstance so indicatory of the antiquity of the Puránas is passed over by Professor Wilson, it must be evident that his conjectures respecting the dates when those works, as now extant, were compiled, are not entitled to the slightest consideration.

Professor Wilson also attaches no importance to the long account of the Sun and his worship, which is contained in the Brahma Puráńa; although this, undoubtedly, indicates that it cannot be a modern composition. And a similar description of the worship of the Sun, contained in the Linga Purána, is not even noticed by him, notwithstanding that it contains the Gáyatrí and, apparently, other verses of the Vedas. But it seems unquestionable that, if the Sun was ever an object of popular worship in remote antiquity, this worship had assumed a mysterious character at the time that the Vedas received their present form, and had become restricted to the Brahmans; for Mr. Ward has correctly observed that "the Brahmans consider Súrya as one of the greatest of the gods; because, in glory, he resembles the one Brahma, who is called tejomaya, or 'the glorious'. In the Vedas, also, this god is much noticed. The celebrated invocation called the Gáyatrí, and many of the forms of meditation, prayer, and praise, used in the daily ceremonies of the Brahmans, are addressed to him."1 The descriptions, there-

Ward's View of the Hindus, Vol. I., p. 50.

fore, of a worship so ancient and so celebrated in the Vedas, contained in at least two of the Puráńas, should not, surely, have been overlooked by Professor Wilson, when deciding upon the period when the present Puráńas were compiled; for these descriptions clearly prove that those works must be ancient, and not modern, compositions.

In the same manner, Professor Wilson takes no notice of the identification, in the Brahma Purána, of Brahmá, Vishńu, Śiva, and Súrya with the Supreme Being; but, on the contrary, he contends that its main object is the promotion of the worship of Jagannátha. This conclusion, however, is directly contradicted by the contents of that Purána; because it appears, from them, that the legend of Jagannátha occupies one-third only of the work, and that, in it, preeminence is not attributed exclusively to Vishnu. It is, hence, evident that the view taken by Professor Wilson of the object and tendency of the Puránas cannot possibly be correct; since he, thus, discovers a sectarial bias in a Purána which so clearly illustrates that predominant principle of the Hindu religion which inculcates that the preferential worship of particular deities is equally meritorious; for it is, in fact, the worship of the Supreme Being under those forms. But Professor Wilson is not content with pronouncing that the Brahma Purána is a Vaishnava work; for he, at the same time, states that it "is referred to the Śákta class. in

¹ This worship is also mentioned in the Garuda Purána; but I do not immediately recollect whether it is mentioned in any other of the Puránas.

which the worship of Sakti, the personified female principle, is more particularly inculcated."* It is not for me to explain how any composition can be both a Vaishnava and a Tantrika work; but the assumption that there is a class of Puránas denominated Sákta is totally unfounded. The division of the Puránas into three classes is mentioned in the Padma Puráńa alone; and all that is said, in it, is, that such and such Puránas -naming them,-are included in the Sáttwika, Rájasa, or Tamasa class. Nothing, therefore, contained in the Padma Puráńa in the least authorizes the remark just quoted; and in not one of the Puránas is Deví ever represented under the same character as the Sakti of the Tántrika sect. It, hence, unquestionably appears that Professor Wilson has completely mistaken the object and tendency of the very Purána which he professes to have carefully analysed; and it must, therefore, follow that indices and abstracts of the Puránas will never enable any person to form, himself, or to communicate to others, "a correct notion of the substance and character of these works."+

Professor Wilson, however, hesitates not to pronounce that "It is, nevertheless, obvious that such a Brahma Purána as has been here described cannot have any pretension to be considered as an ancient work, as the earliest of the Puránas, or even as a Purána at

¹ This division, also, is entirely fanciful; for there is nothing contained in any one of the Puránas which at all justifies it; as the subjects treated of in those works are of precisely a similar nature, and, in all of them, the same tenets and doctrines are inculcated.

^{*} Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. III., p. 9. + Ibid., p. 6.

all."* He, thus, first gives a completely erroneous account of the real nature of the contents of this Purána. and then concludes that it is not even a Purána at all! The question, also, recurs: What is a Purána? Professor Wilson contends that it is a work which "should treat" only "of the creation and renovation of the universe, the division of time, the institutes of law and religion, the genealogies of the patriarchal families, and the dynasties of kings:" but the Sanskrit authority to which he refers, and which occurs at the commencement of several of the Puráńas, says, merely, "creation, repeated creation, families, manwantaras, and what accompanies families."† From such a definition as this it is obvious that no opinion can be formed with respect to the subjects which should, alone, be treated of in a Purána; and yet Professor Wilson's objections to the genuineness of the Puránas, as now extant, rests principally on their non-conformity to this unintelligible definition. For this appears to be the only reason that has led him to pronounce that the Brahma is not even a Purána at all; because "the greater portion of the work belongs to the class of Máhátmyas,1-

¹ There is no class of Máhátmyas; but passages, bearing that name, the authenticity of which cannot be contested,—as, for instance, the Devi Máhátmya; in the Márkańdeya Purána,—have been extracted from the Puránas, and circulated as distinct works; and there seems to be no doubt that, in later times, works have been written in imitation of the authentic Máhátmyas; but their

^{*} Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. III., pp. 16, 17.

[†] Vide supra, p. 299, note 2, and p. 300, note •

It would be curious to know why the Colonel excepted it.

legendary and local descriptions of the greatness or holiness of particular temples, or individual divinities."* But, as usual, he does not explain why the description of a particular temple, or an individual divinity, should be considered as incompatible with the ancient and original composition of the Purána in which it is contained. He merely assumes that the temple of Kanárka, mentioned in this Puráńa, is the same as the Black Pagoda, built A.D. 1241, and that the temple of Jagannátha of the Puráńa is the same as that which was built in A.D. 1198; and hence concludes that the Brahma Purána was written in the course of the thirteenth or fourteenth century. + But he adduces neither argument nor proof in support of this assumption; although, in order to warrant it, it was indispensable to prove that no temple of Kanárka or Jagannátha ever existed in the same situations until the present temples were erected. For it may be equally assumed that the temples mentioned in this Purána were built, and had attained celebrity, several centuries prior to the Christian era: and in what manner is this assumption to be disproved? The history of India during the centuries immediately preceding and following the Christian era is almost unknown; and consequently, there are, now, no means available for determining the dates when the temples were erected, when the places of pilgrimage acquired holiness, when

spuriousness can always be detected by their not being to be found in the Puránas; to which they are ascribed.

their contexts.

^{*} Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. III., p. 17. † *Ibid.*, p. 18. ‡ And the *Māhātmyas* therein found are, in all likelihood, later than

the kings and distinguished personages lived,* or when the events occurred which are mentioned in the Puráńas. To all these works this remark of Professor Wilson applies: "The Vishnu Purána has kept very clear of particulars from which an approximation to its date may be conjectured." + For, as far as I have observed, not one of the Puránas contains a single circumstance from which it would be possible to determine even the period when it may have been composed. The mere supposition, therefore, that the temples mentioned in the Brahma Purána are the same as those built in A.D. 1198 and 1241 cannot be admitted as a sufficient ground for deciding that that Purána is of modern date; for there is nothing improbable in concluding that other temples of the same names, and in the same situations, may have existed long before those erected in modern times were in existence.

The only reason, also, that can have led Professor Wilson to suppose that descriptions of temples and places of pilgrimage should not be contained in the Puráńas, is the above-mentioned definition; as it, certainly, does not include such a topic. But it is highly probable that pilgrimages to sacred places, and the visiting of temples, was practised, in remote times, by the Hindus, as they are practised by them at the present day; and no subject, therefore, could be more adapted to such a sacred book as a Puráńa, than de-

^{*} Inscriptions have brought us acquainted with not a few facts tending to fix the age of later Pauranik celebrities. And, inscriptions apart, could Colonel Kennedy doubt our knowing the age of Chandragupta?

⁺ Vol. I., Preface, p. CXI.

scriptions of those celebrated places and temples a pilgrimage to which was deemed to be a pious and meritorious act.* The legends, also, relating to temples and places of pilgrimage, which occur in the Puránas, are of precisely the same kind as those which have found a place in all religions, and cannot, consequently, be considered, in themselves, to be any proof against the antiquity of the Purána in which they are contained. Many of those places of pilgrimage are not frequented at the present day, and some of them cannot, now, be even ascertained; which circumstances must render it highly probable that they are of a remote period, and that they would not have been mentioned in a particular Purána, had they not been held in reverence at the time when it was composed. I admit that this is an unsatisfactory mode of arguing; but, in this instance, to supposition supposition can alone be opposed; for, as I have just observed, the internal evidence of the Puránas affords no means of determining the date of any circumstance mentioned in them.

In his Analysis, therefore, of the Brahma Puráńa, Professor Wilson has, evidently, not only omitted circumstances which are essential to the forming a correct judgment of its object and tendency, but he has, also, under the obvious influence of preconceived opinion, found, in it, that which it does not contain, and attached an undue importance to an unintelligible definition, and to one-third only of the work, without taking the other two-thirds into his consideration.

^{*} Temples and pilgrimages were not Hindu institutions "in remote times."

But nothing can more clearly evince the disposition of mind, and the attention with which Professor Wilson has examined the Puránas, than this elaborate passagecontained in p. LIX. of the Preface to his Translation of the Vishnu Purána: "A considerable portion of the Agni Purána] is then appropriated to instructions for the performance of religious ceremonies, many of which belong to the Tántrika ritual, and are, apparently, transcribed from the principal authorities of that system. Some belong to mystical forms of Saiva worship, little known in Hindusthán, though, perhaps. still practised in the south. One of these is the Dikshin. or initiation of a novice; by which, with numerous ceremonies and invocations, in which the mysterious monosyllables of the Tantras are constantly repeated, the disciple is transformed into a living personation of Siva, and receives, in that capacity, the homuge of his Guru.1" For, throughout this passage, some one or other of the names of Vishnu continually occurs; and it is evident, therefore, that the passage relates to Vishnu, and not to Siva. In regard, also to the dikshu. these verses, contained in the 27th Chapter, will be sufficient to prove that this initiation is in the name of Vishnu, and not of Siva: "Having propitiated Firesacrifice to Vishnu; and, then, having called the novices, initiate them standing near."2 This diksho is

¹ Nothing contained in the passage of the Agni Purina harreferred to in any manner authorizes the words which I have placed in italics. Mysterious monosyllables, also, are perfectly orthodox; for they occur in the Upanishads.

² मण्डलेऽ च चजेदिषां ततः संतर्ष पावनम् । स्राह्मय दीचयेक्टिष्यान्यदुपन्यासनस्थितान् ॥

also mentioned in the Garuda Purána, in which it is equally said that the initiation is in the name of Hari or Vishnu; and not one of the prayers and invocations contained in those two passages is taken from the Tántrika ritual. It is, indeed, surprising that, after having written the accurate account of the Sakta sect, contained in his "Sketch of the Religious Sects of the Hindus," Professor Wilson should state that the Garuda Puráńa contains prayers from the Tántrika ritual, addressed to the Sun, Siva, and Vishnu; for he must be well aware that the Tántrika sect do not worship either Vishnu or the Sun. As, however, Professor Wilson has, in that Sketch, confined himself principally to the description of its distinguishing characteristics, -the kumárí-pújá, or worship of the virgin, -I add these remarks of Mr. Ward, in order to evince how totally impossible it must be to find such doctrines in the Puránas: "The Tantras either set aside all these ceremonies [of the Vedas], or prescribe them in other

I, of course, except this passage: "The adoration of Prakriti or Sakti is, to a certain extent, authorized by the Puránas, particularly the Brahma Vaivarta, the Skanda, and the Káliká:"* the erroneousness of which I have, perhaps, demonstrated in these letters. I am, indeed, strongly inclined to suspect that Professor Wilson's employment of indices and abstracts for the examination of the Puránas has often led him to conclude that the term Śakti, which occurs so frequently in those works, denoted Deví in her character of Śakti, as worshipped by the Śaktas. But, in the Puránas, this term means power and energy in general; and, when it does not, it invariably denotes the energy of the Supreme Being, or Máyá, or the impersonified energies of the three principal gods.

^{*} Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. I., pp. 247, 248.

forms." The Tántrika prayers, even for the same ceremony, differ from those of the Veda; and, in certain cases, they dispense with all ceremonies; assuring men that it is sufficient for a person to receive the initiatory incantation from his religious guide, to repeat the name of his guardian deity, and to serve his teacher. They actually forbid the person called púrňábhishikta to follow the rules of the Veda."

In that Preface,* also, Professor Wilson observes: "Colonel Vans Kennedy, however, objects to the appli cation of the term Sakta to this last division of the Puránas [the Rájasa]; the worship of Śakti being the especial object of a different class of works, the Tantras; and no such form of worship being particularly inculcated in the Brahma Purána. This last argument is of weight in regard to the particular instance specified; and the designation of Sakti may not be correctly applicable to the whole class, although it is to some of the series: for there is no incompatibility in the advocacy of a Tántrika modification of the Hindu religion by any Purána." Professor Wilson is, thus, obliged to admit that he had completely mistaken the tendency of a Purána which he had analysed; and yet he not only adheres to his opinion, that some of the Puránas belong to his imaginary Sákta class, but he has even advanced, in that Preface, these extraordinary assertions: "The term Rájasa, implying the animation of passion, and enjoyment of sensual delights, is appli-

¹ Ward's View of the Hindus, Vol. IV., p. 365.

^{*} Pp. XXI., XXII.

[†] P. XXII.

cable not only to the character of the youthful divinity [Krishna], but to those with whom his adoration in these forms seems to have originated,—the Gosains of Gokul and Bengal, the followers and descendants of Vallabha and Chaitanya, the priests and proprietors of Jagannáth and Śrínáthdwár, who lead a life of affluence and indulgence, and vindicate, both by precept and practice, the reasonableness of the Rájasa property, and the congruity of temporal enjoyment with the duties of religion." All this, however, is not only totally erroneous, but it rests entirely on certain fanciful inferences which Professor Wilson has drawn from the meaning of the term Rájasa; which is, certainly, a most singular mode of reasoning. He is, here, also in direct contradiction with himself; for, in one part of the paragraph from which this quotation is taken, he says that the Rájasa Puránas "lean to the Śákta division of the Hindus, the worshippers of Sakti, or the female principle;" and, in conclusion, he speaks of persons vindicating "the reasonableness of the Rajasa property, and the congruity of temporal enjoyment with the duties of religion." But Professor Wilson attempts not to explain how it can be possible that the same class of Puránas should inculcate the pecular worship of both Krishna and Śakti; nor what the leading a life of affluence and indulgence has to do with worshipping the yoni of a naked virgin; nor what resemblance there can be between the scandalous and abominable orgies of the Saktas, and the calm though sensual enjoyment of life by the votaries of Krishna, as above described. Nothing, indeed, can be more dissimilar than the worship of the juvenile Krishna and that of Sakti; and,

when, therefore, Professor Wilson is of opinion that, in some of the Puránas, both of these dissimilar worships are peculiarly enjoined, it must be evident that he has as much mistaken the object and tendency of the Brahmánda, the Brahma Vaivarta, the Márkańdeya, the Bhavishya, and Vámana Puránas, as he admits he was mistaken in placing the Brahma Puráńa in the Sakta class. I have also remarked, above, that this division of the Puránas into three classes is mentioned in the Padma Purána alone; and that this Purána does not explain the reason why a particular Purána is assigned to a particular class. But, admitting this classification, it appears clearly, from it, that the Puránas relating to Siva are placed in the Támasa class; and, consequently, as Tántrika works are dedicated to Siva and Deví, if the Rájasa class of Puránas inculcate Tántrika doctrines, --as Professor Wilson supposes,—they ought, according to the principle of classification in the Padma Purána, to have been included in the Támasa, and not in the Rájasa, class. The writer, however, of that Purána has not so classed them; and, thus, all the reasoning which Professor Wilson has founded on the meaning of the term Rájasa is refuted by the very authority that he has adduced in support of it.

It is, at the same time, obvious that all the arguments adduced by Professor Wilson against the genuineness of the Puráńas, as now extant, presuppose that descriptions of rites and ceremonies, injunctions for the preferential worship of particular deities, legends, tenets and doctrines, and moral and religious instruction should not find a place in a genuine Puráńa; for

he takes no notice of those parts of the present Puráhas which relate to these subjects, and, thus, rejects at least two-thirds of the whole of the eighteen Puráńas now extant, as being spurious and modern. But it is evident that it is only from a due consideration of these subjects, and a careful comparison of what is said, respecting them, in one Purána, with what is said in the other Puránas, that a correct opinion can possibly be formed with respect to whether those works exhibit one uniform religious system, or whether they indicate that heterodox doctrines have been introduced into them; for, if an undeniable uniformity exists-as I have no doubt it does,-in an aggregate of 1.600.000 lines, in the general description of rites, ceremonies, legends, and doctrines, no stronger internal evidence is, surely, requisite, to prove that the present Puránas cannot be, as Professor Wilson supposes, an intermixture of ancient and modern ingredients.† Professor Wilson also avows that he has not read the Puránas, and that the notices which he has given of their contents must have been taken from indices and abstracts, the accuracy of which I have never questioned. 1 But I am convinced that

In his Analysis of the Brahma Puráña, Professor Wilson has observed* that the manner in which he effected his examination of the Puráñas has been misconceived; and he may, possibly, refer to a letter which I addressed to you, and which appeared in the number of your Journal of March, 1837. In that letter I remarked, in a note: "Was any precaution adopted in order to ascertain that all the chapters of each Puráña, or even all the subjects treated of, in it, were actually included in it?

^{*} Collected Works, Vol. III., p. 6.

[†] Vol. I., Preface, p. XCIX.

such a manner of examining the Puránas will never enable any person to form any but an erroneous judgment of the real nature and genuineness of their contents. Had, for instance, Professor Wilson actually read even that division of the Brahma Vaivarta Puráňa which is dedicated particularly, but not exclusively, to the life of Krishna, he would have found, in it, several conversations between Krishna and Rádhá, in which Krishna relates, in the most orthodox manner, several legends and particulars of Hindu mythology, and instructs Rádhá in the abstruse doctrines of Hindu theology; and, even in one of those conversations, is contained a long orthodox account of Siva, Satí, and Párvatí. The ritual, also, prescribed, in it, for the celebration of Krishna's annual festival, is perfectly orthodox; for it directs that, in performing it, texts of the Sama Veda should be recited; besides which, three divisions of this Purána are dedicated to Brahmá, Deví, and Gańeśa: so that, in fact, there is not, perhaps, more than one-sixth of the whole work that is occupied with descriptions of Krishna. Yet this is the work the character of which Professor Wilson pronounces to be, "in truth, so decidedly secta-

For any omission of them would, obviously, prevent an accurate opinion being formed of its contents." The indices and abstracts may be quite correct, as far as they go; but the question is, Are they full and complete? And, as it cannot be supposed that Professor Wilson has omitted, in his notices of the Puránas, those particulars, contained in them, which were contrary to his view of the subject, these letters will sufficiently show that no precaution was adopted to render those indices and abstracts full and complete, and that omissions of essential importance have, in consequence, taken place in them.

rial," as to give it "not the slightest title to be regarded as a Purána."*

I shall pursue the subject in a succeeding letter. Bombay, 30th October, 1840.

VANS KENNEDY.

Sir: I proceed—with reluctance, however,—to consider another of Professor Wilson's arguments, in which he infers that the present Puránas must be modern compilations, because the Jainas are mentioned in them. But, in my last letter, † I have shown that, had Professor Wilson read the chapter of the Kúrma Purána from which he has made a mutilated quotation, he would have observed, from the context, that the term Arhata, contained in it, could not possibly apply to Jina; and, in the passage which he quotes from the Bhágavata, there is neither proof nor probability that Arhata means either Jina or the Jaina sect.§ It is, also, expressly said, in the Vishnu Purána, Vol. III., p. 209, the Buddhists "were called Arhatas, from the phrase he (Buddha,)!' had employed, of 'Ye are worthy (Arhatha) of this great doctrine." It is singular, there-

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, pp. LXVI. and LXVII.

[†] The Colonel should have written "my last letter but one". Vide supra, pp. 286, 287.

Vol. II., p. 104, note 1. Arhat, a proper name, occurs there.

[§] Árbata, according to circumstances, may denote either a follower of Buddha or a follower of Jina.

^{||} Vide infra, p. 348, text and note 1, for a lame apology for this interpolation.

fore, that Professor Wilson should assume, in direct opposition to the anthority of the Purána which he has, himself, translated, that the term Arhata, when it occurs, as a proper name, in the Puránas, should be considered to apply to Jina, and not to Buddha.* But it has been sufficiently proved that Buddha lived in the sixth century B. C.; and no argument, therefore, could be founded upon the mention of his name in the Puránas, to prove that not one of the works now extant under the name of Puranas was written prior to the year 900 A. D.; and, on that account, Professor Wilson has - too evidently, for the support of his opinion,—transferred the term Arhata from Buddha (to whom, alone, it is applied, in the Puránas,) to Jina. Professor Wilson, therefore, has not yet proved that the Jainas are mentioned in the Puránas. † But the Buddhists are frequently mentioned in those works; and it is, therefore, a strange mode of reasoning, to infer that anything contained in the Puranas relates to Jina, when it may apply, with so much more probability, to Vishnu's incarnation, Buddha, from whom the Buddhists, according to the Puránas, originated.

The preceding remarks, and those contained in my former letters, will evince that Professor Wilson's examination of the Puránas has been much too incomplete, and that the conclusions which he has drawn from it are much too erroneous to authorize him to state so positively: "That Brahmans unknown to fame have remodelled some of the Hindu scriptures, and, especially, the Puránas, cannot reasonably be con-

^{*} Vide infra, p. 362, text and note §.

[†] This is quite a mistake. See Vol. IV., p. 43, note 1.

tested," * and that "It is possible 1.. that there may have been an earlier class of Puránas, of which those we now have are but the partial and adulterated representatives." † This opinion has been maintained by Lieut. Col. Wilford and Mr. Bentley, and, in some measure, countenanced by Mr. Colebrooke; but it still remains unsupported by any proof whatever. Professor Wilson argues thus: In the vocabulary of Amara Simha. written 56 B.C., it is said that a Purána is "a treatise on five topics," and, in several of the Puránas, it is, further, explained what these five topics are: but not one of the Puránas now extant conforms to that definition: therefore, the present Puránas cannot be the works which were current, under that name, in the time of Amara Simha. This conclusion is, further, supported by his affirming only, but not proving, that the present Puránas inculcate the doctrines of sects of known modern origin, and that "circumstances are sometimes mentioned, or alluded to, [in the Puránas], or references to authorities are made, or legends are narrated, or places are particularized, of which the comparatively recent date is indisputable.";

Such is the state of the question. On the first two of these points I have, perhaps, already said more than sufficient; and the only point, therefore, which re-

^{&#}x27;This "it is possible" is singular; for much of Professor Wilson's reasoning depends on the fact, that the original Puráńas were current in the time of Amara Simha.

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, pp. XCVIII., XCIX.

[†] Ibid., p VI.

[;] Ibid., p. XI.

mains to be considered is, whether there is any internal evidence, contained in the Puránas now extant, which proves that each and all of those works are modern compilations. I cannot place so much reliance on my own examination of the Puránas, as to affirm that there is not; but no passages containing such internal evidence have been yet produced; and, were even passages bearing a modern appearance produced. the dates of the circumstances mentioned in them could not be determined. For the Puránas contain no dates; and there exists not any biographical, topographical, chronological, or historical work which would afford the means of fixing the date when, in India, a place of pilgrimage first acquired sacredness, when a temple was first erected, when a distinguished character lived, when a king reigned,* or when an ancient sect, philosophical or religious, was founded, or when it became extinct. All the circumstances and events mentioned in the Puránas, from which an inference with respect to their date might be drawn, are of precisely the same kind as the temples in Orissa, from the mention of which, in the Brahma Purána, Professor Wilson infers the modern date of that work: for it is not only necessary to prove that those temples were built in modern times, but it must be, further, proved that, previous to their erection, no temples ever existed, in India, of the same names, and in the same situations. In the quotation, also, from the Kúrma Purána, contained in my second letter, † is mentioned a Váma Sástra; and there is, at this day, a sect

^{*} Vide supra, p. 313, note *.

† Vide supra, pp. 286, 287.

named Váma Yamáchárin; but, as the Purána gives no description of the Váma Sástra, on what grounds can it be reasonably supposed that this is, actually, the same as the Tantras of the left-handed sect of the Śáktas? In all such cases, it is evident that coincidence merely in name is no proof that the name must necessarily apply to the modern temple or sect; and, consequently, its applicability must be proved, before a mere name can be admitted as any proof that the Puránas are modern compilations. It is equally evident that, as the Puránas contain no dates, and as there are no books to refer to for an illustration of their contents, so far is the recent date of any particular circumstance mentioned in them from being indisputable, that, on the contrary, every adaptation of an occurrence or event, mentioned in the Puránas, to a date must depend solely and entirely on conjecture. No circumstances, therefore, are mentioned in the Puráńas, the precise or even approximate date of which can be indisputably fixed, or even fixed at all; and it must, hence, follow that those works do not contain any internal evidence which proves their recent composition.*

Professor Wilson's supposition, however, that the Puráńas have been remodelled by the Brahmans, rests entirely on the further supposition, that circumstances are mentioned, in those works, of which the comparatively recent date is indisputable. But I have examined in vain the remarks contained in the Preface to the Translation of the Vishńu Puráńa, in order to ascertain

^{*} That the Puranas are not ancient is evident from their very Sanskrit. How, too, as regards their prophetic parts?

what the precise opinion is which Professor Wilson means to express with respect to the genuineness and antiquity of the Puránas, as now extant. He maintains that the whole of the Bhágavata was written by Bopadeva; that the compilation of the Vámana "may have amused the leisure of some Brahman of Benares"; that the Agni and Brahma Vaivarta have no claims to be regarded as Puránas; and that the Linga "is more a ritual than a Purána": * and he, thus, gives approximate dates to nine of the Puránas, the dates of the other nine being nearer to, or remoter from, the earliest date mentioned:

```
      Márkańdeya
      9th or 10th century.

      Linga
      9th or 10th ...

      Vish'nu
      11th or 12th ...

      Padma¹
      12th — 16th ...

      Varáha
      12th ...

      Bhágavata
      12th ...

      Brahma
      13th or 14th ...

      Vámana
      14th or 15th ...

      Náradíya
      16th or 17th † ...
```

But, although Professor Wilson thus expressly ascribes the original composition of two of the Puránas to two individuals, and seems to intimate that several of the other Puránas were composed in the same manner, he

¹ Professor Wilson remarks that the different portions of this Purána "are, in all probability, as many different works"; and the above dates, therefore, apply to different portions of the whole work.

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, pp. L., LXXVI., LX., LXVII., LXIX.

[†] Ibid., pp. LVIII., LXX., CXI., XXXIV., LXXI., LI., XXIX., LXXVI., LIII.

yet seems to suppose that the groundwork of the present Puránas was the eighteen ancient Puránas; for he speaks of "the strong internal evidence, which all of them afford, of the intermixture of unauthorized and comparatively modern ingredients."* He even remarks that "the identity of the legends in many of them [the Puránas], and, still more, the identity of the words,-for, in several of them, long passages are, literally, the same, +-is a sufficient proof that.... they must be copied either from some other similar work, or from a common and prior original.": To argue against such inconsistencies and contradictions is quite out of the question; but it is evident that, if the composition and compilation of the present Puránas by eighteen different persons occupied eight centuries, those works could not also have been remodelled by the Brahmans, for sectarian purposes; and that, if their groundwork was the ancient Puránas, not one of them could be the original composition of a modern writer; and that, if such was not their groundwork, it is utterly incredible that eighteen different persons, living at long intervals of time from each other, and while the Muhammadans were extending their dominions over the greatest part of India, should produce eighteen works in which the legends are identical, and long passages are, literally, the same. The supposition, also, that an aggregate of 1.600.000 lines, spread over an extent of a million of square miles, should have been remodelled, whether by the Brahmans or any

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. XCIX.

[†] This is, I believe, greatly an overstatement. It is a rare thing, at least in my experience, to find even a single couplet precisely the same in any two Puranas. See Vol. I., p. 57, note ... ‡ Vol. I., Preface, p. VI.

other persons, on one uniform plan, seems to be an absolute impossibility; and the motive assigned for such remodelling,—sectarial imposture,—is at once disproved by the simple facts, that not one of the Puráúas inculcates sectarian doctrines, and that the exclusive worshippers of Vishńu, or of Śiva, or of any other deity, have always formed, in India, but a small portion of the whole population.

There is, however, a difficulty which embarrasses the decision of this question; for, not only in several of the Puránas are the names of all the eighteen specified, but, in most of them, the narrator is requested to repeat the Purána about to be related, expressly by name. Professor Wilson, therefore, correctly remarks that "the identity of the legends in many of them [the Puránas], and, still more, the identity of the words,—for, in several of them, long passages are, literally, the same, -is a sufficient proof that, in all such cases, they must be copied either from some other similar work, or from a common and prior original." The internal evidence, however, of the Puránas fully proves that they have not been copied from each other; and this identity, therefore, must have been derived from one common original. But there is nothing improbable in supposing that, previous to the Puránas being committed to writing in their present state, four or five centuries prior to the Christian era, numerous legends and traditions relating to the modes of worship and the doctrines of the Hindu religion had, in remote times, been formed, preserved, and transmitted by oral communication only. When, therefore, eighteen different per-¹ Such is the manner in which instruction is communicated sons, in different parts of India, collected together those legends and traditions, and committed them to writing, the greatest similarity would, necessarily, exist in the eighteen works, and the same legend and tracition would often be selected for insertion, and, consequently, often expressed in the same, or nearly the same, words. The existence, therefore, of "a common and prior original", so far from being an argument against the genuineness and antiquity of the present Puráńas, should, on the contrary, be considered as a decisive proof that those works are, essentially, in the same state as that in which they were first committed to writing. Because, in their present state, each of the Puránas is a collection of legends, traditions, and rituals, and not a work systematically written; and it must, hence, be evident that such collections could have been made only at a time when such traditionary lore was fresh in the memory of the Brahmans. The present state, therefore, of the Puránas now extant, in which the most important legends, and even the origin of the deities, are related in a discordant manner,though not in such a manner as in the least affects the perfect homogeneity of the Hindu religion, -is, alone, a strong proof that those works have undergone no

amongst the Brahmans, even at this day; and it is an immemorial tradition, that the Puránas were thus transmitted. In the Vishnu Purána, for instance, Parásara thus replies to Maitreya: "Now truly all that was told me formerly by Vasishtha, and by the wise Pulastya".... "I will relate to you the whole, even all you have asked."*

^{*} Vol. I., p. 11.

alteration since they were first committed to writing; for, as those discordancies have been allowed to remain, it is most probable that religious scruples have prevented the Brahmans from subsequently giving uniformity to their religious system.

But, to the supposition, that the present Puránas are modern compilations, written between the eighth and seventeenth centuries, the existence of "a common and prior original" becomes an insuperable objection; for it is highly improbable that such legends and traditions as are contained in the Puránas were then current; and, even admitting that they were, it is quite incredible that, in the disturbed state of India, and decay of Sanskrit learning, during that period, eighteen different persons should produce eighteen works in which not only the legends are identical, but long passages literally the same. It may, however, be said that the eighteen ancient Puránas were then extant, or, at least, that fragments of them were still preserved. I shall not here repeat what I have already said respecting the incredibility of the suppositions that the Brahmans have suppressed the ancient Puráhas, and substituted, in their place, the works now bearing that name, or that the Brahmans of all India have received, in the place of the ancient Puránas, the acknowledged works of eighteen obscure individuals. On this point, also, it is impossible to ascertain what the opinion of Professor Wilson is: for, in one part of that Preface, he appears to admit, distinctly, that each of the ancient Puránas was extant until it was superseded by the present Purána; but, in other parts, he has argued at length, to prove that the present Purá-

has cannot be the same works which were current in the time of Amara Simha. Since, therefore, Professor Wilson has, thus, adopted 'two contradictory suppositions, in order to account for what he supposes to be the spuriousness of the present Puránas, it must be evident that he has completely failed in proving that the present Puránas are not genuine. But the levity and irreflection with which Professor Wilson has decided against the genuineness and antiquity of those works will be best judged of from these remarks: "No weight can be attached to the specification of the eighteen names; for they are, always, complete: each Purána enumerates all. Which is the last? Which had the opportunity of naming its seventeen predecessors, and adding itself? The argument proves too much. There can be little doubt that the list has been inserted, upon the authority of tradition, either by some improving transcriber, or by the compiler of a work more recent than the eighteen genuine Puránas."1* Professor Wilson extends the compilation of the present

What, also, does Professor Wilson here mean by genuine Puránas? He denies that the Puránas current in the time of Amara

¹ Professor Wilson observes that the objection to the modern composition of the Śri Bhágavata is rebutted by there being another Purána to which the name applies,—the Devi Bhágavata. But all his remarks on this point are entirely misplaced and unnecessary; because the mere perusal of the Devi Bhágavata † will at once show that it is, decidedly and avowedly, a Tántrika work: for, in the 26th chapter of the 3rd skandha, is contained a description of the Kumári-pújá, or worship of the virgin. I possess a copy of this work, in twelve skandhas, which appears to be complete.

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. XLV. † Ibid., p. LXXXVIII., note †.

Puránas over eight centuries; and, therefore, in order to get rid of the objection to this supposition, which results from each Purána containing the names of all the eighteen, he thinks it quite sufficient to observe that this specification has been inserted by some improving transcriber,—he must mean, of course, after the last of the present Puránas was written, that is, after the seventeenth century. Thus, supposition is supported by supposition; and, thus, all Professor Wilson's reasoning, to prove that the present Puránas are modern compilations, depends entirely on gratuitous assumptions and groundless assertions.

Whether, however, complete works, bearing the same names, existed previous to the present Puráńas being committed to writing, is a question which admits not of decision. That the names of all the eighteen Puráńas were previously known seems unquestionable;* and it would, therefore, appear most probable that these names had belonged to works which had preceded the present Puráńas. But the internal evidence of the present Puráńas proves that they are, rather, collections of legends, traditions, and rituals, than works systematically written; for they are entirely deficient in arrangement, and the subjects treated of in them have

Simha are now extant; but he has not attempted to explain how long it was that they continued current after that time, nor the time and manner in which they subsequently became extinct; and yet, in discussing a point relating to the present Puranas, he seems to speak of them as if they were the genuine Puranas. To elicit, therefore, either meaning or consistency out of such remarks is, evidently, quite impossible.

^{*} What proof is there of this assertion?

no further connexion with each other than that they all contribute to inculcate and illustrate some of the tenets and doctrines of the Hindu religion. It is possible, however, that more ancient Puránas may have existed, which, from various circumstances during their transmission by oral communication only, were no longer in a complete state, when the present Puránas were committed to writing; and that such fragments of them as were at that time preserved have been incorporated in the present Puránas, to which, also, the names of the ancient works have been given. But the decision of this question is of no importance; because it is proved that works bearing the names of the Puránas were current in India in the century prior to the Christian era;* and there is not the slightest reason for supposing that those works have not been preserved until the present day, in the same manner as other Sanskrit manuscripts of the same period have been preserved. From the notices, also, which occur in Greek writers, it appears highly probable that the very same system of religion which is described in the Puránas prevailed in India at the time of Alexander's invasion; and it may, therefore, be justly concluded that the Puránas had received their present form † four or five centuries prior to the Christian era. Even Professor Wilson remarks: "But the same internal testimony furnishes proof, equally decisive, of the anterior existence of ancient materials; and it is, therefore, as idle as it is irrational, to dispute the antiquity or authenticity of the greater portion of the contents of the Puránas, in the face of abundant posi-

^{*} This has never been proved. † As to their predictions and all?

tive and circumstantial evidence of the prevalence of the doctrines which they teach, the currency of the legends which they narrate, and the integrity of the institutions which they describe, at least three centuries before the Christian era."* But it must be evident that these remarks are totally irreconcileable with what Professor Wilson elsewhere observes: "At the same time, they [the Puránas,] may be acquitted of subservience to any but sectarial imposture. They were pious frauds for temporary purposes."†

It, hence, clearly appears that, in contending for the modern compilation of the present Puránas, Professor Wilson was influenced by a preconceived opinion, the erroneousness of which he would not admit; but that, in thus forcibly maintaining the antiquity of the greater portion of the contents of those works, he was irresistibly compelled to yield to the convincing proof. which their internal evidence presents, of the genuine ness and antiquity of the Puránas, as now extant. I have, also, sufficiently shown, in these letters, that the present Puránas do neither inculcate sectarian doctrines nor indicate, in any manner, that they are an intermixture of ancient and modern ingredients: but that, on the contrary, they exhibit, throughout an aggregate of 1.600.000 lines, the utmost uniformity in the general description of legends, traditions, modes of worship, and doctrines.: It must, consequently, bemost reasonable to conclude that the Puranas now extant received their present form four or five centuries prior to the Christian era, and that, since then

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. XCIX. + Ibid., p. XI.

[†] One is at a loss to see where all this has been shown.

they have undergone no alteration whatever; rather than that they are works which, for the purpose of sectarial imposture, either have been remodelled by the Brahmans since the Christian era, or which have been written by eighteen obscure individuals, between the eighth and seventeenth centuries.

Bombay, 30th October, 1840.

VANS KENNEDY.

Sir: As the eighteen Puránas are, undoubtedly, the only source from which a knowledge of the mythology and popular religion of the Hindus can be derived, it becomes of importance to determine whether those works are ancient compositions, or mere modern compilations; and I trust, in consequence, that you will have no objection to my offering a few further remarks on this subject, previous to closing its discussion. In my last letter, however, I observed that the Puránas contain no dates, and that there is no biographical, topographical, geographical, or historical work which would afford the means of fixing the date when, in India, a place of pilgrimage first acquired sacredness, when a temple was first erected, when a king or distinguished personage lived,* or when a philosophical or religious sect was founded, or when it became extinct. It would, hence, seem that, as the date of the circumstances mentioned in the Puránas cannot be determined, the question whether they are ancient or

^{*} Vide supra, p. 313, note *.

modern cannot be decided; as all opinions respecting the period when they may have been written must depend, principally, if not entirely, on conjecture. But the internal evidence of those works affords the strongest proof that they cannot be modern compilations; for the legends, and descriptions of scenery, and of men and manners, contained in them, bear such an unquestionable impression of antiquity, and such a dissimilarity to all that is known of India since the era of Vikramáditya (B.C. 56), * that they irresistibly lead to the conclusion that the Puránas must have been written at some remote period. When, therefore, the Professor of Sanskrit in the University of Oxford published his opinion, that the works now bearing that name were compiled between the eighth and seventeenth centuries, it might have been expected that he would have supported so startling a statement by the clearest and most conclusive arguments and authorities. But he has, on the contrary,—as I have, perhaps sufficiently shown,—formed that opinion from an imperfect examination of the Puránas, and maintained it solely by having recourse to gratuitous assumptions and groundless assertions.

The whole, indeed, of the remarks contained in the Preface to the Translation of the Vishńu Puráńa appear to have been written for the purpose of demonstrating that, "of the present popular forms of the Hindu religion, none assumed their actual state earlier than the time of Śankara Áchárya, the great Śaiva

^{*} In p. 312, supra, Colonel Kennedy pronounces that "The history of India during the centuries immediately preceding and following the Christian era is almost unknown." Also see p. 293, supra.

reformer, who, flourished, in all likelihood, in the eighth or ninth century. Of the Vaishnava teachers, Rámánuja dates in the twelfth century; Madhwacharya, in the thirteenth; and Vallabha, in the sixteenth; and the Puránas seem to have accompanied, or followed, their innovations; being obviously intended to advocate the doctrines they taught."* A still more erroneous opinion was published by Professor Wilson, twelve years before, in his "Sketch of the Religious Sects of the Hindus," in which he has observed: "To the internal incongruities of the system, which did not affect its integral existence, others were, in time, superadded, that threatened to dissolve or destroy the whole. Of this nature was the exclusive adoration of the old deities, or of new forms of them; and even, it may be presumed, the introduction of new divinities. In all these respects, the Puránas and Tantras were especially instrumental; and they not only taught their followers to assert the unapproachable superiority of the gods they worshipped, but inspired them with feelings of animosity towards those who presumed to dispute that supremacy. In this conflict, the worship of Brahmá has disappeared, as well as, indeed, that of the whole pantheon, except Vishnu, Siva, and Sakti, or their modifications. With respect to the two former, in fact, the representatives have borne away the palm from the prototypes; and Krishna, Ráma, or the Linga, are almost the only forms under which Vishnu and Siva are now adored in most parts of † India.": In

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. XVI.

[†] Colonel Kennedy here omitted the very important words "most parts of". † Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. 1., pp. 3-5.

this Sketch, however, Professor Wilson at the same time observes that "the worshippers of Vishnu, Śiva, and Śakti, who are the objects of the following description, are not to be confounded with the orthodox adorers of those divinities."* And yet he also states that the present state of the Hindu faith is of, comparatively, very recent origin. 1†

It would, hence, appear that Professor Wilson has formed his opinion of the Hindu religion from the exception, and not from the rule, and that he has given an importance to the sects that have originated amongst upwards of a hundred and thirty millions of people, to which they are not entitled. For it would, no doubt, be considered as a strange mode of judging of the established religion of England, were an opinion to be formed of it from the sects which prevail there: but such seems to have been the manner in which Professor Wilson has contemplated the Hindu religion; and it is too evident that it is in support of this erroneous view of the subject that he has ascribed to the Puránas a modern origin, and contents which they do not contain. But I am certain that not a single Purána inculcates the exclusive worship of a particular deity, and that not a passage which is genuine can be found, in any Purána, which would inspire the followers of

¹ This Sketch is contained in Vols. XVI. and XVII. of the Asiatic Researches. I refer, throughout this letter, to the part contained in Vol. XVI.

^{*} Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. I., p. 30. † Ibid., p. 12.

[†] In p. 347, infra, Colonel Kennedy asserts, however, that "there are no means of distinguishing those parts of them [the Puranas,] which

one deity with feelings of animosity towards those who presumed to dispute its supremacy. So far, indeed, is this from being the case, that every sect—as Professor Wilson himself admits,—has found it necessary to compose works for the purpose of teaching and supporting its peculiar tenets; which circumstance, alone, is sufficient to prove that the Puránas were not adapted for the promotion of such an object, and, consequently, that those works could not have been written in subservience to sectarial imposture, as Professor Wilson supposes.

It is also undeniable that the great mass of the Hindus are Smartas, though all who are so do not adopt this name;² that is, they consider both Vishnu

¹ In the Sketch referred to, Professor Wilson has quoted several Sanskrit authorities, which, if genuine, would disprove this statement: but he has specified neither the book nor the chapter of the Puránas from which they are said to be taken; and it would appear that he had not, himself, verified them. Not being able, therefore, to ascertain this point, I must consider * these quotations to be spurious; for they are at complete variance with numerous passages that occur in the Puránas, which expressly inculcate that Vishńu and Śiva ought, both, to be worshipped.

² The Brahmans of the Deccan, for instance, and of Gujerat, call themselves Śaivas; but they are, in reality, Smártas, as they do not reject the worship of Vishńu, though they consider it of less importance than that of Śiva. The same is the case with many of the Brahmans in other parts of India, who call themselves Vaishúavas, but consider Śiva as entitled to adoration. This, however, is in strict conformity to the Puráńas, in which

are thought to be ancient and genuine from those which are thought to be modern and spurious."

^{*} Most venturesomely.

and Siva to be entitled to adoration, but some of them identify either Vishnu or Siva with the Supreme Being, - an opinion which is clearly inculcated in several of the Puránas. But, though, in some of those works, Vishnu is represented to be, in some degree, inferior to Siva, still the latter is frequently introduced, in the Śaiva Puráńas, as enjoining the necessity of worshipping Vishnu, and explaining the mysterious nature of his incarnations; and, in the same manner, though, in the Vaishnava Puránas, the supremacy is ascribed to Vishnu, still the fullest justice is done to the divinity of Siva. The exclusive votary of Vishnu, on the contrary, refuses all adoration to Siva; and, in the same manner, the exclusive votary of Śiva denies Vishńu to be a proper object of worship; and such votaries, therefore, of these deities are, with reference to the population, by no means numerous in India. It is equally unquestionable that the substitution of the Linga for the image of Siva occasioned no alteration in the worship of that god; for, in the ritual prescribed for the worship of the Linga, as contained in the Linga Purána, it is said: "Having bathed in the prescribed manner, enter the place of worship; and, having performed three suppressions of the breath, meditate on that god (Siva,) who has three eyes, five heads, ten arms, and is of the colour of pure crystal, arrayed in costly garments, and adorned with all kinds of ornaments. Thus, having fixed in thy mind the real form of Maheśwara, proceed to worship him with the proper hymns and prayers." The Linga, therefore, is the terms Vaishnava and Saiva denote the preferential, but not the exclusive, worshipper of either Vishnu or Siva.

worshipped by all Śaivas and Smártas; for it is, in fact, the only type under which Śiva has been adored from remote times. The worship, also, of Ráma is scarcely known in India; * and Professor Wilson is, certainly, incorrect in stating that the worship of Bála Gopála, the infant Krishńa, is very widely diffused amongst all ranks of Indian society; for the votaries of Krishńa are by no means numerous, and are to be found only in Bengal, ¹ and in some parts of Hindostan proper.

Much of the reasoning, however, adduced in the Preface to the Translation of the Vishnu Purána, to prove the modern compilation of the Puránas, is founded on the supposition that the date of the Puránas in which Krishna is mentioned—particularly the Brahma Vaivarta,—must be subsequent to the establishment of the sect of "the worshippers of the juvenile Krishna and Rádhá, a form of belief of known modern origin."† But, in that Preface, Professor Wilson gives it, as his opinion, that the Mahábhárata "is, evidently, the great fountain from which most, if not all, of the Puránas have drawn;": and, in the Sketch above referred to, § he remarks: "The worship of Krishna, as one with Vishnu and the universe, dates,

¹ Mr. Ward remarks: "Six parts out of ten of the whole Hindu population of Bengal are supposed to be disciples of this god. The far greater part of these, however, are of the lower orders; and but few of them Brahmans." Vol. I., p. 200.

^{*} If Colonel Kennedy's information had been coextensive with anything approaching the whole of India, he would never have hazarded this remark.

[†] Vol. I., Preface, p. LXVI. † Ibid., p. XCII.

[§] Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. I., p. 121.

evidently, from the Mahábhárata." According to this statement, therefore, it is evident that, as the worship of Krishna dates from that poem, and as its composition preceded that of the Puránas, the date of none of those works can in the least depend on the time when the sects of Vallabha and Chaitanya originated,—unless, indeed, Professor Wilson supposes that the Mahábhárata was not written until after the year 1520, A.D. In that Sketch, also, Professor Wilson has observed: "The worship of Krishna, as one with Vishnu and the universe, dates, evidently, from the Mahábhárata; and his more juvenile forms [actions?] are brought preeminently to notice in the account of his infancy contained in the Bhágavata: but neither of these works discriminates him from Vishńu; nor do they recommend his infantine and adolescent state to particular veneration." And, further: "In this description of creation, however, the deity [Krishna,] is still spoken of as a young man; and the Purána [the Brahma Vaivartal, therefore, affords only indirect authority, in the marvels it narrates of his infancy, for the worship of the child."* These remarks are quite correct, as far as relates to the veneration of Krishna; for I have shown, in my former letters, that in not one of the Puránas is the worship of Krishna, either as a child or a young man, inculcated, or even indicated. It is, hence, evident that, although the accounts of Kŕishňa's boyhood, which are contained in several of the Puránas, may have suggested to Vallabha and Chaitanya the design of establishing the worship of Krishna, still those Puránas could not have been written

^{*} Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. I., p. 121 and p. 124.

for the purpose of promoting a form of belief which is not even mentioned in them.

Professor Wilson, at the same time, extends the prevalence of this worship, by identifying the infant Krishna with "the juvenile master of the universe, Jagannátha"; * and yet he fixes the date when the temple of Jagannátha was erected, in A. D. 1198, † and that when Vallabha lived, in about A. D. 1520.: The worship, therefore, of Jagannátha cannot be the same as that of Krishna established by Vallabha; and, in fact, there is not the slightest resemblance between them: because Jagannátha is worshipped as an incarnate form, or, rather, as a type, of Vishńu, by all Hindus; and, on the contrary, the worship of Krishna is not generally practised, and prevails only in particular parts of India. The legend, also, relating to Jagannátha has no further reference to Krishna than the name; for it is said, in it, that the temple of Purushottama was erected by a king named Indradyumna, a fervent votary of Vishńu, who being much distressed for the want of a proper image to place in it, Vishnu appeared to him, in a dream, and informed him that, the next morning, he would find, in the sea, a sacred tree from which the image was to be made. In the Brahma Purána, it is, further, said that, when the king had, accordingly, found the tree, and brought it on shore, Vishnu and Viswakarman (the artificer of the gods) appeared to him, and that Vishnu directed the latter to form from the tree the images of Krishna, his brother Balabhadra, and sister Subadhrá, which command

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. XXII. † Vide supra, p. 312.

[†] Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. III., p. 120.

Viśwakarman immediately executed. Although, therefore, the images worshipped at Jagannátha bear these names, the adoration is, in reality, addressed to Vishńu, as the lord of the universe; and, consequently, in the ritual prescribed for it, there is no mention whatever of "the infant Kŕishńa, Govinda, Bála Gopála, the sojourner in Vŕindávana, the companion of the cowherds and milkmaids, the lover of Rádhá."*

Professor Wilson also seems not to have taken into consideration that the ten avatáras of Vishnu are an essential part of the Hindu religion; as it appears to be sufficiently ascertained that they are alluded to in the Vedas, † and it is certain that the son of Devaki, or Kŕishńa, is mentioned in at least two of the Upanishads—the Chhándogya and Náráyana. The veneration, therefore, of Krishna, as an incarnate form of Vishnu, which is all that is prescribed in the Puránas, must be of as remote a date as the most ancient known state of the Hindu religion;; and the mention, consequently, of Krishna, in any of the Puranas, as an avatára of Vishnu, but not as a peculiar object of worship,-in which character he is never described in those works, &-can afford no grounds for supposing that the present Puránas are modern and sectarian compilations. Before, therefore, Professor Wilson identified that veneration with the worship of Krishna established by Vallabha and Chaitanya, and hence inferred the

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. XXII.

[†] The knowledge of this allusion seems to be the peculiar property of Colonel Kennedy.

[‡] That is to say, as old as the mantras of the Rigreda!

[§] For disproof of this assertion, see Book V of this Work, passim.

comparatively recent date of the Puráńas, as now extant, he should have produced, from those works, some passages which either expressly or virtually inculcate that worship; but he himself acknowledges, as I have before observed, that no such passages exist, and thus admits that this objection to the genuineness and antiquity of the Puráńas rests, solely and entirely, on inferences drawn from suppositions imagined by himself, but which are supported by neither probability nor by any authority whatever.

It is, hence, evident that, in presenting the sects which exist in India as a correct representation of the actual condition of the Hindu religion, and in maintaining that the present state of the Hindu faith "is of, comparatively, very recent origin," * Professor Wilson has taken a most erroneous view of the subject. For the great mass of the Hindus adhere to that religious system which has prevailed in India from the remotest times, and which, alone, is inculcated in the eighteen Puránas. Even Professor Wilson himself has observed that "the origin and development of their doctrines, traditions, and institutions [of which that system is composed,] were not the work of a day; and the testimony that establishes their existence three centuries before Christianity carries it back to a much more remote antiquity, to an antiquity that is, probably, not surpassed by any of the prevailing fictions, institutions, or beliefs of the ancient world." † As, however, it is only from the Puránas that a complete knowledge of those traditions and doctrines can be

^{*} Professor Wilson's collected Works, Vol. I., p. 12.

[†] Vol. I., Preface, p. XCIX.

derived, it is obvious that there are either no grounds for ascribing to them a remote antiquity, or that it must be admitted that the Puránas are ancient compositions, and not modern compilations written by eighteen obscure individuals between the eighth and seventeenth centuries: because there are no other works with which the legends, and descriptions of scenery, men, and manners, and of rites, ceremonies, and modes of worship, contained in the Puránas, might be compared, in order to ascertain whether they are of ancient or of modern date. And the supposing, consequently, with Professor Wilson, that the Puránas are an intermixture of ancient and modern ingredients. can be of no avail; for there are no means of distinguishing those parts of them which are thought to be ancient and genuine from those which are thought to be modern and spurious. But the internal evidence of the Puránas proves that those works did not accompany, or follow, the innovations introduced into the Hindu religion by Śankara Áchárya, Rámánuja, Madhwáchárya, and Vallabha; and that they are not intended to advocate the doctrines taught by those sectaries. For not one of their sects is mentioned, or alluded to, in the Puránas, in which works the only deities who are represented to be objects of worship are Vishńu, Śiva, Deví, Gańeśa, and Súrya; and the worshippers of these deities are, indisputably, held to be the five orthodox divisions of the Hindus. Professor Wilson's supposition, therefore, that the Puranas were written in subservience to sectarial imposture, being, thus, disproved, it follows that the whole of his reasoning, to prove their modern date, founded on their

"exhibiting a sectarial fervour and exclusiveness,"* is totally futile and fallacious.

The Puránas, consequently, do not contain—as Professor Wilson states,—the doctrines of sects of known modern origin; as, besides the sects just referred to, he only particularizes, in the Preface to the Translation of the Vishńu Puráńa, the Sáktas and Jainas as being mentioned in the Puránas. But, in my former letters, I have sufficiently shown that the tenets and practices of the Śáktas are so completely at variance with every principle of the Hindu religion, that it is impossible that they could be noticed in books which the Hindus hold to be sacred. I also pointed out, in my last letter, † that the term Arhata did not—as Professor Wilson assumed,—indicate either Jina or the Jainas; but I stated, erroneously, that it applied, in the passage which I quoted, to Buddha. 1; On subsequently comparing, however, the eighteenth chapter of Book III. of the Translation of the Vishnu Purána with the original, I found that the one did not agree with the other; for the terms "Bauddhas" and "Jainas", which are introduced into the Translation and the notes to it, do not occur in the origi-

^{&#}x27;This mistake was occasioned by my trusting to the Translation, in which it is said: "These Daityas were induced, by the arch-deceiver, to deviate from their religious duties (and become Bauddhas)."

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. V.

[†] Vide supra, pp. 322, 323.

^{*} The whole truth is, that the Colonel not only criticized Professor Wilson's rendering without reference to the original, but that he interpolated it without acknowledgement, in foisting in the word "Buddha", so distinguished, typographically, that it seems to be quoted. The excuse offered in note 1, above, is very feeble.

nal. It is, therefore, singular that Professor Wilson should have made such a translation as this: "The delusions of the false teacher paused not with the conversion of the Daityas to the Jaina and Bauddha heresies;"* and that he should have remarked, in a note: "We have, therefore, the Bauddhas noticed as a distinct sect:" because the original is, simply: "O Maitreya, after Máyámoha, the great deceiver, had deluded the Daityas by various heretical doctrines, they relinquished the excellent faith inculcated by the Veda and Smriti."1 It even appears, from the whole of this legend, that it does not apply to Vishnu's appearance as Buddha, but to some other occurrence, which is not mentioned in any other Purána than the Vishnu;† for it thus commences, according to the translation:: "There was, formerly, a battle between the gods and demons, for the period of a divine year, in which the gods were defeated by the demons under the command of Hráda." But the only dissemination of heretical doctrines, through the instrumentality of Vishnu, which is mentioned in any other Purána, is that in the city of the Tripura Asuras and that in Kásí; to neither of which this legend applies; as it is said, in it, that Máyámoha, the name of the illusory being emitted from Vishnu's body, "having proceeded (to earth), beheld the Daityas,

^{&#}x27; मैंचेय तखजुर्धमं वेदसृखुदितं परम् ॥ ऋत्यान्यप्रत्यपाखण्डप्रकारैवेज्ञभिर्द्दिज । दैतेयानोहयामास मायामोहोऽतिमोहकृत् ॥

^{*} Vol. III., p. 211. Colonel Kennedy quotes only a portion of the sentence corresponding to his own translation given just below.

[†] For refutation of this, vide infra, p. 378, note †.

[‡] Vol. III., p. 201.

engaged in ascetic penances, upon the banks of the Narmadá river."* Professor Wilson, therefore, has given to this chapter an interpretation not authorized by the original, in which nothing occurs which indicates that the composer of this Puráńa intended to describe either Buddha or Jina, under this illusory form, or to adopt, or allude to, their doctrines, in the words spoken by it.†

I have adverted to this remarkable deviation from the faithful manner in which translations should always be made, because the purport of this legend clearly shows that the terms "Jainas" and "Bauddhas" cannot be contained in any manuscript of the Vishhu Puraha. But Professor Wilson may have supposed that the term Arhata denoted the Jainas, and may have understood, from the words budhyadhwam and budhyate, that they applied to the Buddhists; and to this there could be no objection, had he expressed his opinion in a note, and not introduced into the text, the title of the chapter, and the index, the term "Jainas" and "Bauddhas". As, also, the illusory form addressed

^{*} Vol. III., p. 207.

[†] On the contrary, it is beyond doubt that both Jina and Buddha, by implication, are represented as forms of Mayamoha. First, in the Vishtupurana, we have mention of the establishment of the Arhatas by this "Deluder by illusion", who then metamorphoses himself, and establishes a sect by which the Bauddha is, unmistakeably, intended. The Arhatas must be either Jainas or Bauddhas; and the Chapter referred to shows that they were, unquestionably, the former. But I have anticipated Professor Wilson's Reply.

[‡] The Colonel, practically, was scarcely so austerely punctilious as his principles. *Vide supra*, p. 348, note ‡.

[§] On the gross error here accepted, vide infra, p. 362, note †, and p. 377, note ‡.

only the same Daityas,* it is evident that he could not have induced them to adopt the doctrines of both Jina and Buddha; and Professor Wilson, therefore, should have selected either the one or the other as being the false teacher here intended. But it is undeniable that Jina or the Jainas are not mentioned, in the Puránas, under these names; † and there is no reason, as I have before shown, for supposing that they are denoted by the term Arhata; as no conclusion can be justly drawn from an isolated word which occurs in the Puránas, unaccompanied by any explanation of its intent and meaning. It will, hence, appear that this legend cannot apply to the Jainas: nor can it apply to Buddha; for he, according to the Vaishnava Puránas, was not an illusory form emitted from the body of Vishnu, but an actual incarnation of Vishnu, § born in Kíkaťa. When, therefore, Professor Wilson has so misunderstood and misinterpreted a passage in a Purána which he has himself translated, it must be evident that no reliance can be placed on the correctness of the opinions which he expresses with respect to the age, and the scope and tendency, of the eighteen Puránas. He has, however, intimated that he intends laying before the Royal Asiatic Society analyses of all the Puránas, similar to the one of the Brahma Puráńa, published in No. IX. of the Journal of that Society. But it is obvious that

^{*} Not those already perverted, but "others of the same family". See Vol. III., p. 210.

[†] For Pauránik mention of the Jina-dharma, or "religion of Jina", see Vol. IV., p. 43, note 1.

[†] Who are the Arhatas, then?

[§] But why assume that the Puránas may not contradict each other? || Vide supra, p. 178, notes 1 and ¶.

such mere details of the contents of each Purána can afford no information respecting the variety of subjects treated of in those works; and it is certain that, if these details are accompanied with such comments as have been already published by Professor Wilson, the analyses will convey the most erroneous notions of what is actually contained in the Puránas. For Professor Wilson supposes that the Puránas exhibit "a sectarial fervour and exclusiveness"; that they contain the doctrines, or allusions to the doctrines, of philosophical and religious sects of known modern origin; and that, in them, circumstances are mentioned, or alluded to, or legends are narrated, or places are particularized, of which the comparatively recent date is indisputable. But no one of these suppositions—as I have evinced, in the course of these letters,—rests on any grounds whatever; and nothing contained in the Puránas in any manner justifies Professor Wilson's opinion, that those works are pious frauds, written for temporary purposes, and in subservience to sectarial imposture. As, however, he not only entertains such an opinion, but even supposes that the Puránas were compiled by eighteen obscure individuals, between the eighth and seventeenth centuries, it will be evident that no analyses which Professor Wilson may give of those works will convey a correct, complete, and impartial account of the traditions, doctrines, and modes of worship which are described in the eighteen Puráńas.

In the remarks, therefore, contained in these letters, my object has been to evince that Professor Wilson has taken a most erroneous view of the remote and actual state of the Hindu religion, and that his preconceived opinions on this subject have led him to assign a modern origin to the Puránas, and to support this statement by ascribing to them sectarian doctrines which they, certainly, do not contain; and that all his reasoning to prove the modern compilation of those works is futile, contradictory, unfounded, or improbable. In this I have, perhaps, succeeded; for, as Professor Wilson has not quoted any passages from the Puránas, in which sectarial fervour and exclusiveness are exhibited,* and in which circumstances of comparatively recent date are mentioned, † it may be concluded that he knew of no such passages; as their production would, at once, have proved the point which he wished to establish. This negative argument acquires the greater force from Professor Wilson having stated that he has collected a voluminous series of indices, abstracts, and translations of all the Puránas; and, consequently, if any passages occur, in them, which inculcate the exclusive worship of Vishnu or Siva, or the worship of Ráma, Krishna, or Šakti, or which mention the Jainas,: or any modern sect, or any comparatively recent event, he could have had no difficulty in producing such passages, in support of his statements; and their non-production, therefore, must be considered as strong proof of their non-existence. The supposition, however, that the Puránas were written in subservience to sectarial imposture, was judiciously selected, by Professor Wilson, as his principal argument in proof of

v.

^{*} Vide supra, p. 340, notes 1 and *.

[†] Professor Wilson does refer to the prophetic parts of the Puranas. See Vol. I., Preface, pp. XVI. and XVII. † Vide supra, p. 323, note †.

their modern compilation; for the internal evidence of the genuineness and antiquity of those works depends entirely on their exhibiting a faithful representation of the Hindu religion as it existed in remote times. But Professor Wilson has not yet proved that the Puránas contain sectarian doctrines; and I am convinced that, when the Puránas are more fully examined, and the Vedas more completely known, it will be ascertained that the rites, ceremonies, and doctrines of the Hindu religion, described in the Puránas, are, essentially, the same as those described in the Vedas, and that no essential difference exists between the ritual of the Vedas and the modes of worship prescribed in the Puránas, except the adoration of images; and I can affirm. from actual perusal, that the theological parts of the Puránas conform, in every respect, to the doctrines which are contained in the principal Upanishads; and these, it is admitted, are portions of the Vedas.*

With regard, however, to the legends which occur in the Puránas, I may be allowed to avail myself of the following remarks which I have made in another work: "I observe, however (Mr. Colebrooke remarks), in many places [of the Vedas], the groundwork of legends which are familiar in mythological poems: such, for example, as the demon Vritra, slain by Indra, who is, thence, named Vritrahan; but I do not remark anything that corresponds with the favourite legends of those sects which worship either the Linga or Śakti,

^{*} The multiplied errors of this passage it must be unnecessary, at this day, to point out. The writers of the Puranas paid little intelligent heed to the Vedas, of which, for the rest, the Upanishads cannot, with any propriety, be considered as portions.

or else Ráma or Krishna. I except some detached portions the genuineness of which appears doubtful; as will be shown towards the close of this Essay.'* But, instead of considering the allusions to popular mythology which occur in the Vedas as being the groundwork of subsequent legends, would it not be more consonant with reason and probability to conclude that these allusions actually referred to well-known legends? For, otherwise, it will be evident that they must have been altogether unintelligible, expressed, as they were, with so much brevity, and, in fact, merely mentioned in that cursory manner which is usual in adverting to circumstances perfectly notorious. In which case, it would also appear most likely that the legends had been previously collected, and rendered accessible to every one by being recorded in those very works which are still extant under the name of Puránas; for it is quite impossible to discover, in the Puránas, a single circumstance which has the remotest semblance to the deification of heroes, a notion totally unknown to the Hindus."1+

It, hence, appears that there is an intimate correspondence between the legends, rites, ceremonies, and doctrines described in the Vedas and Puránas; and even Professor Wilson admits that there is "abundant positive and circumstantial evidence of the prevalence

¹ Researches into the Nature and Affinity of Ancient and Hindu Mythology, p. 188.

^{*} Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 28, note *. Colebrooke does not italicize this passage.

[†] Here, again, Colonel Kennedy has come to a conclusion widely different from that ordinarily entertained.

of the doctrines which they [the Puránas,] teach, the currency of the legends which they narrate, and the integrity of the institutions which they describe, at least three centuries before the Christian era;"* and that "the testimony that establishes their existence three centuries before Christianity carries it back to a much more remote antiquity."† But it is evident that such a correspondence with the Vedas, and with the ancient state of the Hindu religion, could not exist in the Puránas, unless they were written at a period when the traditions, the ritual, and the doctrines of the Vedas still constituted the prevailing form of the Hindu religion; and it is, therefore, utterly improbable that (as Professor Wilson supposes,) the Puránas, as now extant, could have been compiled between the eighth and seventeenth centuries, when the Muhammadans were extending their dominion over the greatest part of India, and when the Hindu religion had lost much of its original purity. His reasoning, consequently, is altogether ineffectual to prove that the Puránas are modern compilations; for it is not supported by either probability or proof, or by the internal evidence of those works; and it, thus, entirely fails in demonstrating that the Puránas were written or remodelled for the purpose of promoting the innovations introduced into the Hindu religion by Śankara Achárya, Rámánuja, Madhwácharya, and Vallabha, and of advocating the doctrines which they taught. All the remarks, therefore, on this subject, which Professor Wilson has yet published, are completely erroneous; and it may,

^{*} Vol. I., Preface, p. XCIX.

⁺ Ibid.

in consequence, be concluded that there are no valid grounds for disputing the genuineness and antiquity of the eighteen Puránas.

Bombay, 29th December, 1840.

VANS KENNEDY.

PROFESSOR WILSON'S REPLY.

Sir: Col. Vans Kennedy has lately favoured you with a series of letters upon the subject of my views of the modern date and sectarian spirit of the works termed, by the Hindus, Puránas. I entertain great respect for the Colonel's talents and industry, but none whatever for his love of disputation, or his pertinacity of opinion, and attach little weight to deductions that are founded upon imperfect investigation, and prejudices much more inveterate than any which he accuses me of cherishing. I have, therefore, no intention of entering upon any refutation of his notions, or vindication of my own. Having put forth conclusions drawn from a deliberate and careful scrutiny of the premisses which warrant them, I am contented to leave them to their fate: if they are sound, they need not be defended; if they are erroneous, they do not deserve to be defended. I have implicit faith in the ultimate prevalence of truth; and, as I am satisfied that my conclusions are, in the present instance, true, they have nothing to apprehend from Colonel Vans Kennedy.

Neither is it necessary, now, to expend time upon any discussion as to what the Puránas are. The con-

futation of Colonel Vans Kennedy's doctrines of their high antiquity and pure theological character is to be found in the works themselves. Translations of two of them have been published,—that of the Vishnu Puráńa by myself, and that of the Sri Bhágavata by M. Burnouf; and an appeal to these, which are now accessible to all who may be interested in the inquiry, will show how utterly untenable is Colonel Vans Kennedy's theory. If he objects to the particular examples here named, let him choose his own. He will pardon me for suggesting that he would be more usefully and creditably employed in translating and publishing some other Purána or Puránas than in depreciating the better directed labours of other Sanskrit scholars. The result of such translations will, I have no doubt, confirm the conclusions which I have not found it possible to avoid, and with respect to which the opinions of M. Burnouf coincide with mine. The Puránas, in their present form, are not of high antiquity, although they are made up, in part, of ancient materials; and, in the legends which they relate, and the practices which they enjoin, they depart as widely from what appears to be the more primitive form of Brahmanism as they do from the subjects which authorities of unquestionable weight, as well as their own texts, declare should form the essential constituents of a Purána.

Whilst, however, I think it a work of supererogation to refute errors which the Puránas themselves are at hand to correct, I must beg leave to set Colonel Vans Kennedy right on a matter not of opinion, but of fact. Conscious, no doubt, that his arguments will not bear the test of comparison with the original works, he has

attempted, at the close of his last letter, to insinuate a suspicion that the translation is not to be trusted, and charges me with having misunderstood and mistranslated a passage that is of some importance as a criterion of the date of the Purána. He does not say that I have done so purposely, in order to fabricate a false foundation for my opinions; but the tendency of his animadversions leads to such an inference. To this inference I cannot stoop to reply; but I shall have no difficulty in showing that the charge of misapprehension applies not to me, but to Colonel Vans Kennedy.

Now, I will not venture to affirm that, in a work of some extent and, occasionally, of some difficulty, I have never mistaken my original; that I have always been sufficiently careful in expressing its purport; that I may not have, sometimes, in the course of a translation not professing to be literal,* diverged more than was prudent from the letter of my text. The latter may have been the case, in the passage in question; and Col. Vans Kennedy is literally correct in stating that the very words "Jainas and Bauddhas" are not in the Sanskrit, where they are found in the English. At the same time, had he fully comprehended the sense of the preceding passages, had he been aware that all which had gone before related to Jainas and Bauddhas, he must have admitted that their specification, which was recommended by the consideration of perspicuity, and by the construction of the English

^{*} Whatever Professor Wilson may have meant, his words are: "In rendering the text into English, I have adhered to it as literally as was compatible with some regard to the usages of English composition." Vol. I., Preface, p. CXVI.

version, was warranted by the context, and was, therefore, unobjectionable.*

I will not think so meanly of Col. Vans Kennedy's criticism, as to suppose it possible that it would cavil at words, or that it would attach any importance to the insertion of the terms "Jainas and Bauddhas" in the place where they occur, if it could be substantiated that, in all the preceding parts of the chapter, the text has had them in contemplation. This he denies, and I maintain. We shall see which is right.

The eighteenth Chapter of the third Book of the Vishńu Puráńa describes, in the first part, the apostacy of certain persons from the Brahmanical faith,—from the Vedas and Smritis—in consequence of the doctrines of a false teacher, who is Vishńu in disguise. The heresies into which they fell were two. Col. Vans Kennedy's interpretation is "one"; and here is the source of his misapprehension. That he labours under an erroneous view of the sense of the passage, a brief examination of it will irrefutably demonstrate.

In the first place, then, speaking of those who first became followers of the false prophet, the text says, expressly: "They were called Arhatas, from the phrase which the deceiver made use of, in addressing them, 'arhatha' (Ye are worthy) of this great doctrine." † So far there can be no question that the Árhatas are named, by the Vishnu Purána, as one set of schismatics.

The words in question—Vol. III., p. 211,—are "Jaina and Bauddha"; and, since Professor Wilson tacitly professed to translate on a uniform plan, he should have included them in parentheses, just as, in the preceding paragraph, he has parenthesized the words "and became Bauddhas".

[†] Compare the rendering in Vol. III., p. 209.

It is very true that we have not the name of the other apostate sect enunciated; but it is indicated in a manner not to be mistaken. "Know ye," says the teacher,—budhyaswa.* "It is known," reply the disciples,—budhyate.† If these inflexions of the verb budh, 'to know,' do not clearly intimate the followers of a faith who, from the same root, are named Bauddhas, I should like to know to what other class of Indian religionists it can apply.:

It is not, however, from inferences, even thus palpable, that I am justified in limiting the designation of Bauddhas to the sect here described. Col. Vans Kennedy is told, in my Preface, that I have, invariably, consulted an able commentary on the text of the Vishnu Purána; and to this commentary he either has, or has not, referred: if he has not, he has come to his task of criticism very ill-prepared; if he has, he should, in candour, have admitted that what he is pleased to term my misunderstanding or misrepresentation of the text was shared by learned Hindus, who, most assuredly, could not be suspected of any disposition to derogate from the sanctity and antiquity of such sacred books as the Puránas. If the word Bauddha is inaccurately specified, the error is as much the commentator's as mine. Col. Vans Kennedy may, possibly, set a higher value upon his own erudition than that of any native Pandit: he must not expect others to agree with him in an estimate; and, at any rate, he is bound, in fairness, to admit the existence of such an authority, supposing him to be aware of it,

^{*} Correct to budhyadhwam. Moreover, budhyaswa means "know thou".

[†] See note † in the next page. ! Vide infra, p. 368, note †.

when he condemns an interpretation which it fully justifies. Ratnagarbha, the commentator on the Vishńu Puráńa, explicitly states that, "in the repeated use of the words budhyaswa* and budhyate,† it is the intention of the text to explain the meaning of the denomination Bauddha (Evań budhyatety-atra punaruktir Bauddha-pada-niruktyarthá.)" I have been fully authorized, therefore, in inserting the term Bauddhas.

Having, thus, vindicated, unanswerably, the propriety of employing the word Bauddha, we come to that of Jaina. It has been shown that the Árhatas are named; and by these, I affirm, Jainas are intended. Col. Vans Kennedy asserts that the term is applied, in this very place, to Bauddhas, and adds: "It is singular . . that Professor Wilson should assume, in direct opposition to the authority of the Purána which he has, himself, translated, that the term Arhata, when it occurs in the Puránas, should be considered to apply to Jina, and not to Buddha.": I am not aware that I have said any such thing: § but that is of no matter. In the passage in dispute, I do understand Árhatas to mean Jainas; and I am not so singular, in this understanding, as Col. Vans Kennedy fancies. I again appeal to

^{*} See note * in the preceding page.

[†] The commentator, having to do with a verb, would not have used the term punarukti, 'iteration', unless he had been referring to a repetition of the same mood. The text—see Vol. III., p. 211, note §,—exhibits budhyata, budhyathwam, and budhyata again. Professor Wilson omitted to translate the first, hastily misrepresented the second, and mistook the third. If evam in the text, and iti in the commentary, had been preceded by budhyate, the result would have been budhyata evam and budhyata iti.

† Vide supra, p. 323.

[§] As much may, however, fairly be taken as implied in Vol. I., Preface, pp. LXXIX., LXXX.

the commentator, in support of my translation. The Colonel, not perceiving that two different sects are described, asserts, as just seen, that Arhatas, in this place, means Buddhists. Had he taken pains to be better informed, he would have found that there was sufficient authority for distinguishing them in this passage, and he would not have made an assertion so utterly at variance with the general purport of the whole of the description. Arhata does not mean Buddhist: for the commentator expressly observes, of the object of the text, when describing the operations of the false teacher: "Having expounded the doctrine of the Árhatas, he proceeded to explain the doctrine of the Bauddhas (Arhata-matam uktwá Bauddha-matam áha.)" Ratnagarbha, therefore, unequivocally asserts that two sects (not one) are here described, and that Arhatas are a different class of sectarians from Buddhists or Bauddhas. Col. Vans Kennedy is, therefore, wholly mistaken in understanding the passage to relate to one sect of schismatics only, and is wholly wrong in confounding Arhatas and Buddhists.

That Árhatas are not, in this place, Buddhists, is undeniable, upon authority which few will fail to prefer to Colonel Vans Kennedy's; and it only remains to determine what they are. To any one at all acquainted with the practices and tenets of the Jainas, as they have been explained by Mr. Colebrooke, they are sufficiently well indicated by allusions in the text of the Vishńu Puráńa, in the passage in question, to leave no doubt that they are intended. If Jainas are not meant, what are the schismatics here described by their doctrines, and designated by the term Árhatas?

They are not Bauddhas; that is settled: and, when no perversity of ingenuity can identify Árhatas with Bauddhas, there is no alternative left but to identify them with Jainas. That the term does, very commonly, denote Jainas, is familiar to all who ever heard of either. Perhaps Colonel Vans Kennedy will admit this; perhaps he will, also, admit that the celebrated Jaina teacher and lexicographer Hemachandra is some authority for the accurate designation of the sect of which he was so distinguished an ornament, and that he gives the word Arhat as a synonym of Jina, Tirthankara, and the like.* This is a mere waste of words. When Arhata does not mean a Bauddha, it means a Jaina. It cannot mean a Bauddha, in the passages of the Vishnu Purána which are now under discussion: because the Bauddhas are also specified and distinguished by both text and commentary: it, therefore, does mean Jaina; and, consequently, I am fully authorized in inserting the words Jainas and Bauddhas in the Translation. † The misapprehension is not mine; it is my critic's: with which restitution of what appertains to him, and not to me, I take my leave of him, and of all further controversy with him.

H. H. WILSON.

COLONEL KENNEDY'S REJOINDER.

SIR: The letter of Professor Wilson, inserted in the number of your Journal for May last (received here

^{*} Haima-kośa, I., 24.

[†] This conclusion is not easy to accept. Vide supra, p. 360, note ..

on the 7th instant), has much surprised me; as I do not understand why he accuses me of "love of disputation" and "pertinacity of opinion": for the opinions expressed in the letters which I, some time ago, transmitted to you are contained in my work on Ancient. and Hindu Mythology, published in 1831; and, to prepare materials for that work, I actually read, and carefully examined, all the eighteen Puránas, except the Bhavishya. When, therefore, Professor Wilson, in the Preface to his Translation of the Vishnu Purána, took so very different a view of the genuineness and antiquity of the Puránas, as now extant, nothing could be more unobjectionable than my examining critically the remarks contained in that Preface, and making public the result of that examination. Nor could it be reasonably expected that I should admit the correctness of that view, when it appeared to me to have been formed on insufficient and erroneous grounds.

In his letter, Professor Wilson very politely observes: "Conscious, no doubt, that his arguments will not bear the test of comparison with the original works, he has attempted, at the close of his last letter, to insinuate a suspicion that the translation is not to be trusted." I have, however, neither insinuated nor stated any objections to the accuracy of that Translation, except in one instance, in p. 340, * in which Professor Wilson has thus translated a passage of the Vishina Purána: "The delusions of the false teacher paused

¹ On the contrary, I have, in my former letters, transcribed the original Sanskrit, in the few instances in which I have specifically contradicted the statements of Professor Wilson.

^{*} Vol. III., p. 211, in the present edition.

not with the conversion of the Daityas to the Jaina and Bauddha heresies." Of this passage I transcribed the original Sanskrit, in my last letter, in order to show that the terms Jaina and Bauddha were not contained in it. But I further observed: "Professor Wilson may have supposed that the term Arhata denoted the Jainas, and may have understood, from the words budhyadhwam and budhyate, that they applied to the Buddhists; and to this there could be no objection, had he expressed his opinion in a note, and not introduced into the text, the title of the chapter, and the index, the terms Jainas and Bauddhas." I, thus, anticipated all that Professor Wilson has said on this point, in his letter; and, as he admits, in it, that these terms are not to be found in the original, the question is, simply: Is a translator at liberty to insert, in the original text of the work which he translates, a name which is not contained in it, and then to argue that the work must be of modern date, because that particular name occurs in it? Such is the case, in the present instance; for Professor Wilson affirms that the Jainas are mentioned in the Vishnu Purána, and adopts this circumstance as a criterion for fixing the dates when the Puránas were composed: but this name is not to be found in that Purána; and I, therefore, justly objected to its being introduced into the Translation.

Professor Wilson, however, in his letter, remarks: "I will not think so meanly of Colonel Vans Kennedy's criticism, as to suppose it possible that it would cavil at words, or that it would attach any importance to the insertion of the terms 'Jainas and Bauddhas' in

the place where they occur, if it could be substantiated that, in all the preceding parts of the chapter, the text has had them in contemplation." But it is precisely to this that I object; for I contend that, in judging of the genuineness and antiquity of the Puránas, their text should be allowed to speak for itself, and not as it may be interpreted by translators and commentators. For, with respect to the passage in dispute, I observed, in my last letter: "Professor Wilson, therefore, has given to this chapter an interpretation not authorized by the original, in which nothing occurs which indicates that the composer of this Purána intended to describe either Buddha or Jina, under this illusory form, or to adopt, or allude to, their doctrines, in the words spoken by it." To this he replies, in his letter: "In the first place, then, speaking of those who first became followers of the false prophet, the text says. expressly: They were called Arhatas, from the phrase which the deceiver made use of, in addressing them, 'arhatha' (Ye are worthy) of this great doctrine.' So far there can be no question that the Árhatas are named, by the Vishnu Purána, as one sect of schismatics." Admitted. He proceeds: "It is very true that we have not the name of the other apostate sect enunciated; but it is indicated in a manner not to be mistaken. 'Know ye,' says the teacher, -budhyadhwam.* 'It is known,' reply the disciples,—budhyate. If these inflexions of the verb budh, 'to know', do not clearly

¹ The italics, in these two instances, are mine.

^{*} Here Colonel Kennedy silently corrects an inadvertence of Professor Wilson. Vide supra, p.4361, note *.

intimate the followers of a faith who, from the same root, are named Bauddhas, I should like to know to what other class of Indian religionists it can apply." But there is nothing whatever, in the original, which shows that the second address of this false teacher was intended to inculcate doctrines different from those taught in his first address.* On the contrary, the former appears to be, clearly, a continuation of the latter; and, as it is not said, in the original, that a sect was denominated from the word budhyadhwam, † in the same manner that it is said that a sect was denominated from the word arhatha, it is most probable that, in this passage, the Arhata sect is, alone, intended. But Professor Wilson observes: "If Jainas are not meant, what are the schismatics here described by their doctrines, and designated by the term Arhatas? They are not Bauddhas; that is settled: and, when no perversity of ingenuity can identify Arhatas with Bauddhas, there is no alternative left but to identify them with Jainas."

¹ Professor Wilson seems to forget, here, his note in p. 339:

^{*} The Sanskrit text distinctly enough points to two forms of heterodoxy. The first is intimated as the Jaina, by mention not only of one of the names of its professors, but, also, of one of the differentiae of their doctrines; and the stanza to which reference is made, just above, intends, undeniably, the Bauddha. The writer of the Vishńu-puráńa seems to regard the Jainas and the Bauddhas as, in some sort, cognate. That he represents the Jainas as preceding the Bauddhas manifests that his information as to the history of these two classes of religionists was far from exact,—a striking argument of his modernity.

[†] If Colonel Vans Kennedy had recognized that the original has budhyata, budhyadhwam, and budhyata,—and all in one line,—could he have resisted the conclusion that these words indicate Buddha? See, further, note ‡ in p. 377, infra.

Vol. III., p. 209, note 2, in the present edition.

It is in this singular manner that Professor Wilson attempts to prove that the Puránas, as now extant, are modern compilations; for he entirely disregards the original text, and substitutes, for it, his own inferences and assumptions. In this instance, he admits, in his letter, that it is the term Arhata, and not Jaina, that is contained in the original; and he, further, admits that, in it, the name Bauddha is not enunciated, but merely indicated; and yet he maintains that he was "fully authorized in inserting the words Jainas and Bauddhas in the Translation." He remarks, also, that, though "Colonel Vans Kennedy may, possibly, set a higher value upon his own erudition than that of any native Pandit, he must not expect others to agree with him in an estimate." But I may be permitted to observe that long experience has convinced me that, although commentaries on Sanskrit works are, no doubt, of much use, yet they are by no means safe guides for ascertaining the plain and unsophisticated meaning of the text. In objecting, therefore, to the translation of the passage in dispute, I did not think it necessary to notice whether or not it agreed with the commentary; and Professor Wilson has, now, most unfortunately for his argument, referred to it: for the commentator never uses the word Jaina, but always Arhata; * as in the passage quoted from the commentary in p. 43 of the Asiatic Journal for May last. † Conse-

of the Translation of the Vishiu Purana: "Here is further confirmation of the Jainas being intended by our text; as the term Arhata is, more particularly, applied to them, although it is also used by the Buddhists."

[•] Vide infra, p. 376, note +. + Vide supra, p. 363.

quently, Professor Wilson has no right to quote the commentary of the Vishnu Purána, as an authority in support of his assumption, that the Arhata of the Puránas means the Jaina sect.* It is, however, on this assumption that Professor Wilson, when speaking of the date of that Purána, hesitates not to state: "Both Banddhas and Jainas are adverted to [in it]. It was, therefore, written before the former had disappeared. But they existed, in some parts of India, as late as the twelfth century, at least; and it is probable that the Purána was compiled before that period." Thus, from a few verses of the Vishnu Purána, in which no sect is mentioned except the Arhata, Professor Wilson assumes that the Bauddhas and Jainas are adverted to, in it, and, hence, fixes the compilation of the Vishńu Purána at some time before the twelfth century. On the total invalidity of such a mode of reasoning I need not remark; but it seems extraordinary that he should have called attention to it by his ill-judged letter; as he has, by the arguments contained in it, fully confirmed all that I have said relative to his assertions and statements being at complete variance with what is actually contained in the Puránas, and to his being,

¹ Preface to the Translation of the Vishnu Purana, p. LXXII.:

^{*} This is mere paltering. Árhata, when it does not mean Bauddha, means Jaina; and Professor Wilson, in p 363, supra, quotes the commentator Ratnagarbha as saying: "Having expounded the doctrine of the Árhatas, he proceeded to explain the doctrine of the Bauddbas."

[†] Is this logic conclusive? Save in a spirit of prophecy, the Bauddhas could not be spoken of before they appeared. But why might not a Hindu writer make mention of them after their disappearance, just as well as during their presence?

[.] See Vol. I., Preface, p. CXI.

in consequence, unqualified to express a correct opinion respecting their age, and their scope and tendency.

I do not, therefore, understand what Professor Wilson means by observing, in his letter, that he has "implicit faith in the ultimate prevalence of truth." I objected to his introducing into his Translation of the Vishnu Purána the names of two sects which are not contained in the original, and to his adopting these names as a criterion for fixing the dates of the Puránas; and he admits these facts. The truth, consequently, in this instance, belongs to my objections. Although, also, he considers it quite superfluous to enter into any controversy with me, yet it has been hitherto supposed that discussion was the best means of ascertaining the truth: and it is, surely, not sufficient that the Professor of Sanskrit in the University of Oxford should be satisfied that his conclusions are true; for it might be expected that he would be prepared to support those conclusions, whenever controverted, by argument and authority. Professor Wilson may think that my deductions are founded on imperfect investigation and inveterate prejudice, and that the refutation of my doctrines of the high antiquity and pure theological character of the Puránas is to be found in the works themselves.* But this is not enough; for, if my theory on these points is utterly untenable, it

¹ I have never described the Puránas as being purely theological; as I have merely stated that their principal object is moral and religious instruction; and I have, invariably, used the words "mythology" and "theology" in order to show that these subjects are of a distinct nature, although both are treated of in the Puránas.

^{*} Vide supra, pp. 357, 358.

would, most assuredly, be much more conducive to the prevalence of truth to expose its erroneousness than to refer, for its refutation, to such voluminous works as the Puránas, which scarcely any person will take the trouble to examine. The weight, however, which should be attached to my opinions respecting the genuineness and antiquity of the Puránas, as now extant, is not the point in question; for I observed, in my last letter, that Professor Wilson had taken a most erroneous view of the remote and actual state of the Hindu religion, which had, alone, led him to ascribe a modern origin to the Puránas; but, that, "as he has not quoted any passages from the Puránas, in which sectarial fervour and exclusiveness are exhibited, and in which circumstances of comparatively recent date are mentioned, it may be concluded that he knew of no such passages; as their production would, at once, have proved the point which he wished to establish.* This negative argument acquires the greater force from Professor Wilson having stated that he has collected a voluminous series of indices, abstracts, and translations of particular parts of all the Puránas; and, consequently, if any passages occur, in them, which inculcate the exclusive worship of Vishnu or Siva, or the worship of Ráma, Krishna, or Śakti, or which mention the Jainas, or any modern sect, or any comparatively recent event, + he could have had no difficulty in producing such passages, in support of his statements; and their non-production, therefore, must be considered

^{*} Vide supra, p. 340, notes 1 and .; also, p. 353, note †.

[†] For Pauranik mention of the introduction of the Parsees into India, vide infra, pp. 381-385.

as strong proof of their non-existence." It is not, consequently, the opinions which Professor Wilson or myself entertains on this subject that should be considered, but that which is actually contained in the Puránas. I affirm that the Puránas do not contain what Professor Wilson has stated is contained in them: and, as I cannot be required to prove a negative, it remains with him to produce such passages, from those works, as will demonstrate that my affirmation is unfounded. Until, however, such passages are produced, I may be allowed to repeat my former conclusions, that Professor Wilson's opinion, that the Puránas, as now extant, are compilations made between the eighth and seventeenth centuries, rests solely on gratuitous assumptions and unfounded assertions, and that his reasoning, in support of it, is either futile, fallacious, contradictory, or improbable.

It is not, I may trust, necessary that I should disclaim all intention of depreciating, by what I have written at any time, the labours of any Sanskrit scholar. In the present instance, in particular, as I had given some time and some attention to the examination of the Puráńas, and to acquiring information concerning the remote and actual state of the Hindu religion, I saw no reason for refraining from making public my objections to the view which Professor Wilson had taken of the age, the scope, and the tendency of the Puráńas, in the Preface to his Translation of the Vishńu Puráńa. It must, also, be evident that, if the opinions expressed respecting any part of Sanskrit literature were not controverted, when erroneous, it would be impossible that the real nature of that literature could

ever be ascertained. Had, therefore, Professor Wilson been solicitous for the prevalence of truth, he should not have been indignant at the remarks on his theory, which you obliged me by publishing in the Asiatic Journal; but, on the contrary, he should have taken the trouble of examining my objections, and of exposing their erroneousness, if unfounded; but, if founded, candour and the love of truth should have induced him to acknowledge that he had called in question, on insufficient grounds, the genuineness and antiquity of the eighteen Puránas.¹

Bombay, 17th July, 1841.

VANS KENNEDY.

NOTE.

Professor Wilson seems to have misunderstood the reason which led me to point out, in my last letter, that he had misunderstood and misinterpreted a passage in a Purána which he had, himself, translated: for, in his reply, he merely defends the introduction, into the translation, of the words "Jainas" and "Bauddhas";

¹ It is singular that Professor Wilson has, in one part of his letter, adopted my view of the subject; as he actually speaks, in it, * of "learned Hindus, who, most assuredly, could not be suspected of any disposition to derogate from the sanctity and antiquity of such sacred books as the Puráńas." It would seem, therefore, that, however satisfied Professor Wilson may be with the truth of the conclusions which he has published, he, nevertheless, fluctuates in his opinion respecting the sanctity and antiquity of the Puráńas, as now extant, or their being modern compilations, made for the purpose of sectarial imposture.

^{*} Vide supra, p. 361.

but he says nothing with respect to his having adopted the names of these sects as a criterion for fixing the modern dates at which he thinks the Puránas were written. It was, however, to this that I particularly objected, in my former letters; for, in p. L. of the Preface to the Translation of the Vishnu Purána,* Professor Wilson states that "the date of the Kúrma Purána is, avowedly, posterior to the establishment of the Jaina sect: and that there is no reason to believe that the doctrines of Arhat or Jina were known in the early centuries of our era." † And, in his notes to the Translation, pp. 339, 340, 341,: he remarks: "Here is further confirmation of the Jainas being intended by our text."-"We, have, therefore, the Bauddhas noticed as a distinct sect. If the author wrote from a personal knowledge of Buddhists in India, he could not have written much later than the tenth or eleventh century." 1-"We may have, in this

[.] But why not much earlier? As it is sufficiently proved that Buddha flourished in the sixth century before our era.

^{*} See Vol. 1., Preface, p. LXXIX. If Colonel Vans Kennedy had quoted more ingenuously, the reader would have seen that, in the passage which Professor Wilson translates from the Kúrma-puráňa, the Bhairava, Váma, and Yámala scriptures are named with the Árhata; and, the former being modern, there is a strong presumption that the term Árhata is meant to denote a faith more recent than the Bauddha,—namely, the Jaina. Still more cogent, as against the Colonel, is his own fuller rendering—in pp. 286, 287, supra,—of the passage in question. For, there, besides the scriptures just enumerated, those of the Kapalas are specified; and the Kapalas are not known as a sect of much antiquity.

[†] Suspicion of mistake or forgery not being entertained, already in the fifth century of our era the Jainas must have been a sect of some considerable age. For a Jaina inscription, said to be dated in the Saka year 411, corresponding to A. D. 489, see the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. V., pp. 346, 344.

‡ See Vol. III., pp. 209, 211, 214.

conflict of the orthodox divinities and heretical Daityas, some covert allusion to political troubles, growing out of religious differences, and the final predominance of Brahmanism. Such occurrences seem to have preceded the invasion of India by the Mohammedans, and prepared the way for their victories."

But, after thus making use of the names "Jainas" and "Bauddhas", to prove the modern compilation of the Puráńas, Professor Wilson now admits that these names are not to be found in the original; but he maintains that he was fully authorized in inserting them in it, by the context and commentary. Yet, in his letter, he quotes no part of the context,* in order to evince that it relates to the Jainas and Buddhists, and rests his argument, in support of its being these sects that are intended in the passage in dispute, solely on the words Arhata, and budhyadhwam, and budhyate. But the commentator does not say that Arhata means Jaina;† and Professor Wilson assigns no other reason

संद्यभव जयत

^{*} The English translation, even apart from Professor Wilson's supplementations, is quite sufficient to show that the Jainas and Bauddhas are meant to be described.

[†] I think I am not wrong in saying that Hindu writers, as a rule,—so far as I have examined them,—affect the terms Arhat and Árhata, rather than Jina and Jaina. Possibly the former may have become, comparatively, more dyslogistic, as they easily might, by suggestion; for, though Jina was the name of a Buddha,—but one not much heard of, apparently, in later times,—Arhat denoted another Buddha, whose name was of more frequent mention, perhaps from its adoption by the Jainas. These religionists, while professing no reverence for Buddha, did profess reverence for Arhat, an equivocal designation, and which reminded of the Bauddhas; and this fact, it may be, influenced the Hindus, with their hatred of Buddhism and everything therewith cognate, to call the Jainas, by preference, Árhatas. It should seem that the Jainas, among themselves, were more generally denominated Jainas.

for supposing that these two sects are one and the same than that, as the Arhatas cannot be Bauddhas, they must be Jainas. I am, however, obliged to observe that the original does not in any manner admit of this translation in p. 339: "In this manner, exclaiming to them, 'Know' (budhyadhwam), and they replying, 'It is known' (budhyate), these Daityas were induced, by the arch-deceiver, to deviate from their religious duties (and become Bauddhas)." For, in the original,-at least, according to my copy of it,-it is not said that the words budhyadhwam and budhyate were spoken by this emanation of Vishnu and the Daityas; but they are distinctly ascribed to Parásara, the narrator of the Purána, who, after relating what was said by this false teacher, proceeds to narrate that it was thus by saying "know ye", and, they replying "it is known", that Máyámoha caused the Daityas to forsake their religion. † The word budhyadhwam, how-

पराग्रर उवाच। एवं बुध्यध्वं बुध्यतैविमितीरयन्। मायामोहः स दैतेयान्धर्ममत्याजयित्रजम्॥ः

Vishnu Purana, Part III., Chap. XVIII.

On the waning of the Bauddhas, while religious rivalry was still active, and controversial debate still ran high, no doubt the Hindus transferred to the Jainas a liberal share of the animosity of which their heretical congeners had been the object.

See, further, infra, p. 379, note †.

^{*} See Vol. III., p. 210.

[†] See my translation of the passage, in Vol. III., p. 210, note §. Professor Wilson, in his rendering of the passage, omits, as he frequently omits, the introductory words "Paráśara said". But the omission, in this instance, is of no help to Colonel Vans Kennedy's argumentation,—if such it may be called.

[‡] For the correct reading of the first verse, see Vol. III., p. 210,

ever, is used in this address of the false teacher, but evidently in its usual sense; for Professor Wilson thus translates the sentence in which it occurs: "Understand my words; for they have been uttered by the wise."* There are, consequently, no grounds whatever for supposing that the words budhyadhwam and budhyate were, in this passage, intended to indicate the "Bauddhas"; and, as this emanation of Vishńu was not Buddha,† it must be evident that the doctrines which he is here represented as teaching could not be the same as those which were first taught by Buddha. The original, therefore, did not justify this gloss of Professor Wilson, "and become Bauddhas": for it is not said, in it, that, after the false teacher had addressed

बुध्यध्वं मे वचः सम्याबुधेरेवसुदीरितम्।

† According to the Padma-puráńa, the god Siva declares:

दैत्यानां नाश्रनाष्ट्रीय विष्णुना बुद्धकृपिणा। बौद्धशास्त्रमसत्प्रोत्तं नयनीनपटादिकम्॥

"In order to the destruction of the Daityas, the false Bauddha system—with its naked images, blue vestments, and the rest,—was enunciated by Vishúu in the form of Buddha."

Here there is a distinct reference, in direct contradiction of Colonel Kennedy's positive assertion.

I have no copy of the Padma-puráńa at hand, by which to verify this extract. It will be found quoted in p. 6 of my edition of the Sánkhya-pravachana-bháshya, in the Bibliotheca Indica.

note §. Provided there is not a typographical oversight, was it because he could make nothing of the word ৰুখন which he leaves out, that the Colonel abridged the text?

In thinking, like Professor Wilson, that the word coalescing with ঢ্ৰ is ৰুখন, he evinced forgetfulness of a most elementary rule of Sanskrit grammar.

Though Professor Wilson misapprehended the sense of the stanza in question, his very confident critic did not take a single step towards setting him right.

^{*} See Vol. III., p. 210. The original is:

the Daityas* a second time, a second sect was originated; and it appears evident that, throughout this passage, the text relates to no other sect than the Árhata, which is, alone, mentioned in it.

It is, hence, undeniable that Professor Wilson has not "vindicated, unanswerably, the propriety of employing the word Bauddha"; and, consequently, the singular futility of his argument, with respect to the Jainas, becomes the more conspicuous. The Árhatas "are not Bauddhas (he says); that is settled: and, when no perversity of ingenuity can identify Árhatas with Bauddhas, there is no alternative left but to identify them with Jainas." But, as Professor Wilson has not produced, and I am certain that he cannot produce, any Sanskrit authority which proves that the Árhata of the Puránas is the same as the Jaina sect, † and, as

पाञ्चराचं भागवतं बौद्धं दैगम्बरं तथा। नोकायतं च कापानं सौमं पाशुपतं तथा॥ नाकुनं(?) भैरवं वामं शाक्तं शाक्षवयामने। एवंविधानि शास्त्राणि विक्डानि महामुने॥ स्वतः प्रमाणभूतेन वेदेन मुनिसत्तम। वैदिकस्तानि शास्त्राणि मनस्यापि न संस्रोरेत॥

Doctrines here specified, and stigmatized as repugnant to the Veda, are the Pancharatra, the Bhagavata, the Bauddha, the Daigambara, the

^{*} But not those who had already been proselytized. $\it Vide\ supra$, p. 351, note *.

[†] There is no question that the Puranas were written after the rise of the Jainas, and that the authors of the Puranas, equally with their successors, designated them, preferably, as Arhatas. Vide supra, p. 376, note †.

I do not mean, however, that the Jainas were called Árhatas only. Vide supra, p. 351, note †.

In Nagesa Bhatta's gloss on Govardhana's Saptasati, entitled Guruvyákhyá, the subjoined verses, which follow a denunciation of Sándilya as heretical, are quoted from the Linga-purána:

he here admits that it is not the same as the Buddhist sect, it must, consequently, follow that the "Jainas" and "Bauddhas" are neither mentioned nor indicated* in the passage in dispute; and that he, therefore, attempts in vain to show that he was fully authorized in inserting the names of these sects in his translation.

V. K

सन्धर्मन जय

Lokáyata, the Kápála, the Sauma, the Pásupata, the Lakula (?), the Bhairava, the Váma, the Sákta, the Sámbhava, and the Yamala.

It would be very riskful to deny that the term Daigambara here points to one of the two grand divisions of the Jainas.

The preceding passage I have been obliged, from want of access to manuscripts, to take on trust.

^{*} As to palpable indication of the Bauddhas there, vide supra, p. 368, note †.

CORRIGENDA, &c.

VOL. I.

PREFACE.

- P. VII., note †. That Colonel Wilford was acquainted with the *Jyotirvi-dabharana* appears from the *Asiatic Researches*, Vol. IX., pp. 82, 131.
- P. XLV., note *. Read editor's note in p. LV. infra.
- P. LVI., l. 11. The Translator had, erroneously, "Kroshtuki," where I have put Kraushtuki.
- P. LXII., Il. 10-14. The work there described is properly entitled Valni-puráńa, and differs from the Agni-puráńa.
- P. LXIV., 1. 15. The following observations touching the Magas were communicated by Professor Wilson to Père Reinaud, and will be found in his Mémoire Géographique, Historique et Scientifique sur l'Inde, etc., pp. 391-397:

"In the brief notice of the Bhavishya Purana which I have given in the Preface of the Vishnu Purana, it is stated that the greatest part of the work relates to the worship of the Sun, and that, in the last chapters, there is some curious matter relating to the Magas, worshippers of the Sun; as if the compiler had adopted the Persian term Mugh, and connected the fire-worshippers of Iran with those of India.

But the subject, it is added, requires further investigation.

"The last twelve or fourteen chapters of the Bhavishya Purana are, in fact, dedicated to the tradition, of which a summary and not altogether accurate account has been given by Colonel Wilford, in the Eleventh Volume of the Asiatic Researches, and which records the introduction of the worship of the Sun into the north-west of Hindusthán, by Samba, the son of Krishna. This prince, having become a leper, through the imprecation of the irascible sage Durvasas, whom he had offended, and despairing of a cure from human skill, resolved to retire into the forest, and apply himself to the adoration of Surya, of whose graciousness and power he had learned many marvellous instances from the sage Narada. Having obtained the assent of Krishna, Samba departed from Dwaraka; and, proceeding from the northern bank of the Sindhu (Indus), he crossed the great river the Chandrabhaga (the Chinab), to the celebrated grove of Mitra (Mitravana), where, by fasting, penance, and prayer, he acquired the favour of Surya, and was cleansed of his leprosy. By Surya's injunctions, and as a mark of his gratitude, Samba engaged to construct a temple of the Sun, and to found, in connexion with it, a city on the banks of the Chandrabhaga. As he was in some uncertainty what form of the Sun he should set up, a miraculous image of great splendour appeared to him, when bathing, which floated on the current, and, being wafted to the shore, was accepted by Samba, as sent to him by the original, and was, accordingly, placed, with due honour, in the temple dedicated to the Sun.

"After narrating these events, several chapters of the Purana are excupied with the instructions communicated to Samba by Narada, regarding the ceremonies to be observed in the construction of the

temple and the daily worship of the image. Sámba is desirous of retaining learned and pious Brahmans for the purpose of performing the appointed rites, and receiving the donations he may make to the Sun; but Nárada, in the spirit of the prohibition found in Manu, against the performance of idol-worship, as a source of emolument, by Brahmans, apprises Sámba that no Brahman can undertake the office of ministering priest without incurring degradation in this life, and punishment in the next. He, therefore, refers Sámba to Gauramukha (White-face), the Purohita (or family-priest) of Ugrasena, king of Mathurá, as the only person who could tell him whom he might most suitably employ as the officiating priests of the Sun; and Gauramukha directs him, in consequence, to invite the Magas to discharge the duty, as they are, in an especial degree, the worshippers of Súrya.

"The Magas, according to the legend narrated, not very distinctly, by the compiler of the Purana, were at once the progeny of Agni and Aditya (Fire and the Sun,) by Nishkumbhá, the daughter of a holy sage named Kiju or Kijwahwa (Fiju, ppright, and áhwá, appellation), of the race named Mihira. She was dedicated to Agni by her father; but the Sun, fascinated by her beauty, assumed the form of Agni, and begot a son, named dalagambu, from whom sprang the Magas. Kiju, displeased with his daughter, condemned her offspring to degradation; but the Sun, at Nishkumbha's entreaty, although he could not raise the Magas to a level with the Brahmans, conferred upon them the almost equal distinction of being his chosen ministers.

"Although Gauramukha could inform Samba what priests the prince ought to employ, he is represented as ignorant of the place where they dwelt, and, referring Samba again to the Sun, Sürya desires him to repair to Śaka-dwipa, beyond the sea of salt water, in which region the Magas corresponded with the caste of Brahmans in Jambu-dwipa or India; the other three castes being the Magasas, Manasas, and Mandagas, equivalent to Kshattriyas, Vaisyas, and Súdras: there were no mixed castes in Śaka-dwipa. It may be here observed that a similar enumeration of the tribes of Śaka-dwipa occurs in other Purańas, as in the Vishún Purańa, where, instead of Magas, the Translation has Mrigas: but this may be only a various and, perhaps, an inaccurate reading of the original manuscript.

"In obedience to the commands of Súrya, and with the help of Křishúa, who lent him the use of Garuda for the journey, Samba went to Śaka-dwipa, and induced eighteen families of Magas to return with him to India, to fulfil the function of ministering priests in the temple of the Sun, which he now completed, building, at the same time, around the temple, a spacious city, which was called, after him, Sambapura. The legend also relates that the Yadava prince prevailed upon his kinsmen, the Bhojas of Dwaraka, a branch of the race of Yadu, to give their daughters, in marriage, to his Magas; and their descendants were, thence, called Bhojakas. But, in a subsequent passage, with an inconsistency not unfrequent in some of the Puranas, it is said that ten only of the eighteen families received damsels of the tribe of Bhoja, whilst the other eight, who were of the rank only of Súdras, although equally worshippers of the Sun, were married to Sáka maidens: their descendants were named Mandagas.

So far there is little, in the legend, beyond the name Maga, and the worship of the Sun, to suggest any connexion between it and the history of the fire-worshippers of Persia. But there are other particulars mentioned, which are of a more explicit tenour. They cannot, however, always be satisfactorily made out, in consequence of the obvious inaccuracy of the texts, arising, in a great measure, from the usual carelessness of the copyists, but partly from the occurrence of terms, probably ill understood and imperfectly represented by the original writer. There are three copies of the Bhavishya Purana in the Library of the East India House, and two in the Bodleian. One of each collection omits the legend: of the remaining copies, the Oxford manuscript is the most correct; but it abounds in mistakes. Dr. Maximilian Müller has kindly furnished me with a transcript of the passages I required, and has enabled me to collate them with the East India House copies, from which, although some particulars remain doubtful, yet enough may be extracted to establish the identity of the Magas of the Puranas with the followers of Zoroaster.

"In answer to various questions relating to the practices of the Mugas, put by Samba, the Sage Vyasa professes to give him some account of them, beginning with the explanation of their name, which is not very intelligible. Apparently, it may be rendered. 'The Magas are so called because they do not proceed by a contrary Veda' (viparyastena vedena Magá náyantyato magáh; as if from má, 'not', and ga, 'who goes'); the writer considering the precepts of the Zend authorities as not opposed to those of the Vedas. The Sun, Vyasa continues, in the form of fire, bears or wears (dharayate) what he calls a kúrcha; and, therefore, the Magas are wearers of it (kúrchadharinah): the word ordinarily implies a bunch of peacock-feathers; but it may have, in this place, some other sense. The Magas eat in silence, whence they are called Mauninah (silentiaries). They are also termed Vacharcha, from Vacha, said to be a name of the Sun, and archa, 'worship'. They have four Vedas, termed Vada, Viśwavada, Vidut (?), and Angirasa (?). Gepa or Gesha (perhaps for Sesha), the great serpent, having cast off his skin in the Sun's car, it became the origin of what is here called the Amahaka, which is given by the Magas, on solemn occasions, and with appropriate mantras or prayers, This is somewhat differently told a few lines afterwards. All creatures. it is there said,—Gods, Rishis, Rakshasas, Nagas,—assemble, at stated periods, in the chariot of the Sun; and, on one of these occasions, Vasuki, the king of the serpents, dropped his old skin: it was picked up by Aruna, and given, by him, to the Sun, who put it on round his waist, in honour of Vasuki, as if unseparated from the body of the Naga, whence it was called Avyanga (from a, 'not,' vi 'apart from,' and anga, 'the body'). From its being thus worn by the Sun. it became sacred, in the estimation of his worshippers; and they, therefore, constantly wear it. Whoever goes without it is impious and impure, and falls into hell Like the Munja of the Brahmans, it is said, it should be put on in the eighth year from conception. It is to be made of cotton, or wool, of one colour: the best kind is 132 inches (or fingers) long; the next, 120; and it should never be less than 108 inches in length. Other names appear to be applied to it, as Amáhaka, Sára-pradhána, Bháva-sára, and Sára-mara; but this is uncertain, as the passage is corrupt, and some other article may be intended, invested with which, and the Avyanga, the worshipper is said to be Pathitánga. Again, in place of the Darbha, or sacred grass of the Brahmans, the Pavitra, or purificatory instrument of sacrifice of the Magas, is said, by Vyása, to be called Varsma, or (in another place,) Varsama.

"A variety of other particulars are briefly mentioned, some of which are intelligible, others uncertain. A Maga must not touch a dead body, nor a woman at certain seasons; he should (not?) cast a dead dog on the earth, and should not die without worshipping the Sun. He should let his beard grow, travel on foot, cover his face in worshipping, and hold what is called the Púrnaka in the right hand, and the Sankha (conch-shell?) in the left; and he should worship the Sun at the three Sandhyas, and at the five festivals. Other details are too questionably particularized to be specified; but more than enough has been cited to establish the fact that the Bhavishya Purana intends, by Magas, the Mughs of the Persians, the Magi of the Greeks, and the Parsees of India. Thus, the rule of eating in silence, the covering of the mouth at worship, the prohibition of touching a corpse, or, at least, the impurity thereby contracted, are characteristic of the Parsee faith. A still more decisive indication is furnished by what is related of the Avyanga, which is, clearly, the sacred girdle of the Parsees, called, most commonly, Kusti or Kosti, but also Aiwyongham, according to Anquetil du Perron, as quoted by Dr. John Wilson, in his notices of the Parsee religion. The latter also observes, almost in the words attributed to Vyasa: 'The Kusti bears some analogy to the Munja of the Brahmans.' According to him, the Kusti should be put on when the child has attained the age of seven years, seven months, and ten days, (which agrees well enough with our text); and the wickedness of not wearing it, and the consequences of such impiety, are similarly described in Zend and Pehlevi works. Unluckily, I have not, at present, the means of consulting Anquetil du Perron; or some other analogies might be traced. But there can be no doubt that another term which occurs in the Sanskrit text is identifiable in the Zend, and that the Varsma or Varsama of the Bhavishya Purana is the Barsam or Barsom of the Vendidad, — a bundle of twigs of the pomegranate, in place of the bundle of sacred grass used by the Brahmans, and equally an essential part of the apparatus employed in the worship of Fire, or oblations offered to that element, in both religions.

"It is evident, therefore, that the Bhavishya Purana, in the legend of Samba, has in view the introduction of the fire-worship of Iran; and it is curious to find so prompt an adoption by the Brahmans, and such a cordial tolerance of a foreign system of religious practices and belief. The only question that suggests itself concerns the period at which this took place, the time at which the Brahmans acknowledged the high-priests of the Sun as little inferior, in sanctity, to their own order;—whether it followed the flight of the Parsees to Gujerat, in the beginning of the eighth century, or whether it occurred some few centuries earlier, when, we have reason to infer from numismatic evidence, Persian princes or satraps exercised authority on the north-west frontier of India. Either period would not be incompatible with the probable date of the Bhavishya Purana, which, in its actual form, cannot pretend to very remote antiquity. That the legend is of the more recent era is most likely; and this is confirmed by the circumstance of Samba's being fabled to have gone from Dwaraka, in Gujerat, to bring the Magas from their native country to India. That the Parsees ever made their way into the Punjab is very questionable; and no traces are recorded of their presence on the banks of the Chandrabhaga; nor have we any notice of the remains of a temple of the Sun in that quarter, although, according to Colonel Wilford, there was a city of Samba in the same direction."

Instead of "Nishkumbha", the preferable reading of MSS. seems to be Nikshubha. Nor is Řijwáhwa called by a second name, "Řiju". For the castes in Šáka-dwípa, see Vol. II., pp. 199, 200. It will there be learned, from one of my annotations, that, in lieu of "Mriga",—the only reading known to Professor Wilson,—I found, in most of my copies, the undoubtedly correct 'Maga'.

- P. LXV., l. 3. Read Yudhishthira.
- P. LXXXVI., l. 18. See, for Hayagriva, Vol. V., p. 2, notes 1 and ¶.
- P. XC., l. 12. For Kamakshya read Kamakhya. And see Vol. V., p. 88, notes 2 and ***.
- P. XCIX., l. 22. Read beliefs.
- P. CXV., l. 1. I have corrected Professor Wilson's "Ratnagarbha Bhatta". Bhattacharya is a title which has been used, I believe, only in Lower Bengal; whereas the title of Bhatta, there unknown, seems to have been current in almost every other part of India.
- P. CXV., 1. 3 ab infra. Instead of 'Chitsukha Yogin', Professor Wilson had, erroneously, "Chit-sukha-yoni."

For Chitsukha Muni, perhaps the same as Chitsukha Yogin, see my Sanskrit Catalogue, pp. 155 and 206.

P. 2, l. 2. One of my MSS. here interpolates the following stanzas:

विश्वेयरं विश्वसृजं वरेखं
विश्वं वर्दं वरिष्ठम् ।
श्वनादिमध्यान्तमलच्चक्यं
विष्णुं विभुं विप्रहितं नतोऽस्मि ॥
उत्पत्तिस्थितिसंहारमोचाणां चैककारणम् ।
नारायणमणीयांसं प्रणतोऽस्मि जगन्नुक्म् ॥

P. 6, l. 7. Instead of the five stanzas which, according to the text followed by the Translator, begin the work, three of my best MSS. give only the last of them, preceded by the following:

श्रीश्रीनिधानं गुणरत्नराजितं पराश्रं ब्रह्मसुधाम्नुधिं भजे। इयो हरेविष्णुपुराणकौस्नुभो यस्मादभुद्यासविभुश्च विश्वदृक् ॥

P 6, l. 16. "All the Hindu systems consider vegetable bodies as endowed with life." So, and correctly, remarks Professor Wilson, in his collected Works, Vol. III., p. 381. *Charáchara*, or the synonymous sthávara and jangama, is, therefore, inaccurately rendered, in pp. 6, 47, 64, 149, 183, and elsewhere, "animate and inanimate", "sentient beings" and "unconscious", "conscious and unconscious beings", &c. &c. 'Loco-

motive and fixed' would be better, since trees are considered to possess souls.

- P. 8, note †. See Vol. III., p. 35, note **.
- P. 32, notes, l. 14 ab infra. Read Swayambhú.
- P. 46, ll. 1, 2. The original is:

उत्पन्नः प्रोच्यते विद्वन्नित्य एवीपचारतः।

The term उपचारत: here implies 'metaphorically'.

- P. 55, notes, l. 5. Read Swayambhú.
- P. 60, notes, I. 2 ab infra. Read Sthúlamaya.
- P. 65, note *. Also see Original Sanskrit Texts, Part I., pp. 50, 51 (2nd ed.).
- P. 66, notes, ll. 3-5 ab infra. Dr. Muir translates, more correctly: "Every substance (vastu) is brought into the state of substance (vastutá) by its own inherent power." Original Sanskrit Texts, Part. I., p. 51 (2nd ed.).
- P. 70, notes, l. 7 ab infra. For the term mukhya, see Original Sanskrit Texts, Part I., p. 57, text and note 104 (2nd ed.).
- P. 80, note, l. 7 ab infra. For the term ambhainsi, see Original Sanskrit Texts, Part I., p. 24, note 36 (2nd ed.).
- P. 84, ll. 13 et seq. For a similar passage, translated from the Taittiriya-sanhitá, see Original Sanskrit Texts, Part I., p. 16 (2nd ed.).
- P. 85, notes, l. 11. Instead of 'Shodaśin', the Translator had "Sorasi".

 Many errors of this stamp have been corrected silently.
- P. 95, l. 7. Professor Wilson had "Gaveduka", instead of 'Gavedhuka'; for which see Vol. V., p. 175, notes 3 and [].
- P. 95, notes, I. 10. The udára is a wild grain, according to the commentators.
- P. 95, notes, l. 11. For the Professor's "Kodrava", I have put 'Koradúsha'. On this word the commentator Śridhara makes a remark which plainly evinces that he was not an inhabitant of Eastern India.
- P. 96, l. 10. Where I have printed 'drop', the first edition had "dross". The error was typographical, the original word being bindu.
- P. 98, notes, l. 4. "The city of the Gandharvas is, properly, Alaka,—on Mount Meru,—the capital of Kubera." Professor Wilson, in Professor Johnson's Selections from the Mahabharata, p. 11.
- P. 108, l. 1. For the origin of the name Uttánapáda, see *Original Sanskrit Texts*, Part I., p. 72 (2nd ed.).
- P. 109, l. 4. Daksha's daughters by Prasúti furnish several of the Mátrís, according to divers enumerations of the members of this group.
- P. 111, notes, l. 11. It is observable that we here have Dańdanaya, but Dańda and Naya in p. 110.
- P. 112, text and note *. Raurava is one hell, and Naraka is another. See Vol. II., p. 214; p. 215, note ||; and p. 216.
- P. 114, l. 13. The words "whose essence is the elements" scarcely render aright the original expression, bhúta-bhávana.
- P. 116, ll. 4, 5. In Áswaláyana's Grihya-sútra, IV., VIII., 19, we find the following names: Hara, Mrida, Śarva, Śiva, Bhava, Mahádeva, Ugra, Bhíma, Paśupati, Rudra, Śankara, Ísána.

- P. 117, l. 2. For Ushá read Ushas. The latter is classical; the former, Vaidik. Compare apsará and apsaras.
- P. 117, 1. 7 and note ‡. According to the Mahabharata, Adi-parvan, A. 2589, Anila had two sons, Manojava and Avijnatagati. Which of the two is the same as Hanumat is undecided. Can Anila be synonymous with Isana? If not, there are two Manojavas with mothers of the same name, Sivá.
- P. 119, l. 10. Instead of Gauri, some MSS, yield Bhutigauri.
- P. 119, Il. 23, 24. Dhaneswara is the term there rendered "the god of riches"; and Kubera is not named in the original.
- P. 129, l. 9. Instead of my 'Sumeru', the former edition had "Meru", which I find in no MS.
- P. 139, l. 21. उपचारत:—a word often misapprehended by the Translator, - here means 'metaphorically', not "who is not in need of assistance". Further, परमेश:, rendered "the supreme god", is explained as meaning 'lord of the great Má', i. e., Lakshmi. The original of the sentence is as follows:

प्रोच्यते परमेशो हि यः शुडोऽष्यपचारतः। प्रसीदतु स नो विष्णुरात्मा यः सर्वदेहिनाम् ॥

- "May he who, though pure of connexion with all things, is, by a figure of speech, called lord of the great Ma", &c.
- P. 144, note *. My list of corrigenda, entirely overlooked by Professor Müller, points out several gross typographical errors; and these he has reproduced.
- P. 147, note, last line. Ordinarily, at least, Ráhu is described as a Dánava, or son of Danu.
- P. 148, notes, l. 7. Rahu is generally considered to be the ascending node; Ketu, the descending.
- P. 152, I. 4. Besides this Lakshmi, the text of the Vishnu-purana mentions another, of less note, daughter of Daksha, and wife of Dharma. See the Index ..
- P. 152, l. 7. The first edition had, for Niyati, Niryati, an error of the press.
- P. 154, notes, l. 14 ab infra. "Agastya is a celebrated person in Hindu legend. He is fabled to have prostrated the Vindhya mountain, as well as to have drunk the ocean dry. The traditions of the South of India ascribe to him a principal share in the formation of the Tamil language and literature; and the general tenour of the legends relating to him denotes his having been instrumental in the introduction of the Hindu religion and civilization into the Peninsula." Professor Wilson, in Professor Johnson's Selections from the Mahabharata, p. 51, note 2.
- P. 155, l. 7. Read Abhimánin.
- P. 155, notes, l. 7. Read Sankhapad. See Vol. II., p. 262, note †, ad finem. P. 156, notes, l. 5. Pavaka, I think, is called parent of Kavyavahana.
- P. 156, note 2. See Vol. III., p. 166, note *.
- P. 159, note, I. 3. Instead of 'Ayushmat', the former edition had "Ayushmanta", which is impossible.

- P. 165, l. 5. The word "Madhuvana" is not in the original.
- P. 177, l. 2. Variants of Slishti are Srishti and Sishti.
- P. 177, l. 8. Aranya seems to be as common a reading as Anaranya.
- P. 177, l. 9. For the patriarch Vairaja, see Vol. II, p. 86.
- P. 178, l. 1. The original here not only names Prithu, but calls him by his patronym, Vainya.
- P. 178, notes, l. 12. The unwarrantable "Suvithi" stood, in the former edition, for my 'Swarvithi'.
- P. 182, notes, l. 10 Read Bhramaras.
- Pp. 187—191. For a passage on the milking of the Earth, see the Atharva-veda, VIII., X., 22-29,—especially, 24. I have to thank Dr. Muir for this reference.
- P. 194, l. 2. It would have been an improvement, for clearness, to put Samudra, instead of "ocean". Sagara, a well-known proper name, also means "ocean".

VOL. II.

- P. 5, last line. The original word for "region" is áyatana.
- P. 7, 11. 3 and 19. Read Keśava.
- P. 9, notes, I. 8 ab infra. Read by.
- P. 21, notes, l. 2. Professor Wilson had "Kakud" where I have substituted 'Kakubh'
- P. 22, I. 3. For definitions of the Vasus and Rudras, see the Brihadaranyaka Upanishad, III., IX., 3, 4.
- P. 29, 1. 3. On the number of the gods, see the Břihad-áranyaka Upanishad, III., IX., 1, 2
- P. 29, notes, l. 5. Read Sastradevatas.
- P. 71, l. 6. Simhiká was half-sister of Viprachitti.
- P. 85, Il. 4, 5. Soma, here called monarch of Brahmans, was, himself, a Kshattra, according to the Brihad-aranyaka Upanishad, 1., IV., 11.
- P. 86, l. 5. For Vairaja, see Vol. III., p. 158, note **.
- P. 100, I. 3. The Translator had "Medha" where I have put 'Medhas'.
- P. 105, notes, II. 5, 6. Arhat is synonymous with Jina; Arhata, with Jaina. See Vol. V., p. 376, note †.
- P. 112, note, l. 14. Instead of Kubera, we have Soma, in p. 240.
- P. 117, l. 8. The Gandhamadana mentioned in p. 122 is a different mountain.
- P. 120, l. 3. Burnouf considers the Sita to be the same as the Sihoun. Introduction à l'Histoire du Bouddhisme Indien, Vol. 1., p. 540.
- P. 120, note ‡. The Sanskrit name of the Oxus seems, through the Chinese, to be Vakshu. And this form I have found, more than once, in MSS.
- P. 121, notes, l. 10 ab infra. Read Sarayu.
- P. 128, notes, l. 7. Read Narmadá.
- P. 137, notes, l. 10. Read How.
- P. 143, notes, l. 12 ab infra. Professor Wilson had "Stháneśwara" where I have put 'Sthánwiśwara'. This, the correct form, I learned from

the Harsha-charita. The first word in the compound is Sthanu, a name of Siva.

- P. 149, l. 1. According to Mr. Molesworth's Marathi Dictionary, a river Pravará falls into the Godávarí at Ťoňkeň.
- P. 152, l. 1. Read Púrnásá.
- P. 155, notes, l. 13 ab infra. Read 131.
- P. 159, notes, l. 10. Read Kuńdińa.
- P. 163, notes, last line. For Kási read of the Kásis.
- P. 166, note . For third read fourth.
- P. 166, note ††. The Máhishiki river is named in the Bengal recension of the Rámáyańa, Kishkindhá-káńda, XLI., 16.
- P. 166. Erase note §§.
- P. 172, notes, l. 6. Read occur.
- P. 172, note + For Kuśasthali and Kuśavati, see Vol. III., p. 320, note | .
- P. 174, note 1. "The Sauviras, although applied here to a particular family, denote, as is subsequently shown, a tribe or people either identical, or closely connected, with the Sindhus; for Jayadratha is indifferently termed Raja of the Sindhus or Saindhavas and Raja of the Sauviras. They are sometimes named in concert, as Sindhu-sauviras, and, whether the same as the dwellers on the Indus, or a kindred tribe, must have occupied much the same territory,—the western and southern portion of the Punjab." Professor Wilson, in Professor Johnson's Selections from the Mahábhárata, p. 65, note 3.
- P. 177, note 1. For the supposed modern representatives of the Dahae, see Sir H. M. Elliot's Supplemental Glossary, pp. 414, 415.
- P. 178, l. 2. Read Karnatakas.
- P. 211, notes, I. 5. Read Puloman.
- P. 221, text and note 1. According to Sir David Lyndesay's less pagan notions,—which he shared with S. Thomas Aquinas and Peter Lombard,—a humbler class than the gods, the elect, will be indulged with the felicity of contemplating the discomforts of the damned:

"Thay sall reioyis to se the gret dolour Off dampnit folk in hell, and thare torment; Because of God it is the juste jugement."

- P. 236, Il. 10, 11. Compare the Bhagavad-gitá, IX., 16.
- P. 255, notes, l. 7. For 1809 read 2010, in correction of Professor Wilson.
- P. 287, note *. For Rambha, see Vol V., p. 12, text and note ||.
- P. 288, note †. For Ápúrana, see Vol. V., p. 251, note †.
- P. 293, notes, l. 12 ab infra. Read Sakra.
- P. 316, note 1. It does not appear that the *Bhágavata-purána* mentions Jambúmárga. Probably it is named by the scholiast Śridhara: for Professor Wilson not seldom confounds commentary and text.
- P. 318, l. 4. A Sauvira is an inhabitant of Suvira. Read, therefore, 'king of the Sauviras'.
- P. 340, l. 32. Read l. 11 ab infra.
- P. 341, l. 25. Maháráshtra, it seems, was a designation known to Hiouen Thsang.
- P. 343, Il. 21 23. Erase the note.

VOL. III.

- P. 2, notes, l. 4. Read Yamas.
- P. 6, notes, l. 18. Read Vamsavartins.
- P. 7, notes, l. 2. The inverted commas should come at the end of the sentence in the line preceding.
- P. 16, l. 1. Read Ákúti.
- P. 18, notes, l. 3. Read Vaikuntha.
- P. 44, notes, l. 5. Read Santi.
- P. 55, note †. For the meaning of pránáyáma, see Vol. V., p. 231, note ||.
- P. 60, l. 6. Krita seems to be the right name. See Vol. IV., p. 143, text and note †.
- P. 77, note 1. Compare Vol. V., pp. 229, 230, text and annotations.
- P. 80, notes, l. 2 ab infra. For father read grandfather.
- P. 87, note ‡. For p. 110, note §, read p. 113, note †, and p. 114, notes ‡ and §.
- P. 131, notes, l. 8 ab infra. The real designation of the work there named is, I find, Pránakřishniyašabdábdhi.
- P. 131, notes, l. 14. Táráchandra Chakravartin prepared the revised English translation; and the Bengalee translation was the work of Viśwanátha Tarkabhúshańa. See the London Asiatic Journal, 1832, Part I., p. 335.
- P. 164, note †. See p. 221, note |, in the same volume.
- P. 167, notes, l. 13. Read Váruna.
- P. 179, notes, l. 10 ab infra. Read I., LXXXIX., 3.
- P. 183, note †. For Vol. II. read Vol. I.
- P. 187, notes, l. 8 ab infra. Read Brahmánda-purána.
- P. 197, l. 4. The Manu intended is Vaivaswata; for whom see p. 13 of the same volume.
- P. 198, notes, l. 9. Read vague sense.
- P. 209, l. 1. Read Arhatas.
- P. 209, note 2. Professor Wilson should have written 'Arhata'. See Vol. V., p. 376, note †.
- P. 217, note *. Read Rishi.
- P. 218, l. 9. Instead of "Raja of Káśi" the correct rendering is 'Raja of the Káśis'.
- P. 220, l. 3 ab infra. The translation is not literal here, and yields neither "king of Kási" nor 'king of the Kásis'.
- P. 230, note | . See p. 20, note 1, in the same volume.
- P. 245, l. 5. Read Trinabindu.
- P. 249, notes, l. 3. It is meant that Anarta and the rest were brothers.
- P. 249, note ¶. Read IX.
- P. 266, notes, l. 4. Read Rantinára. Also see Vol. IV., p. 129.
- P. 266, notes, l. 8. Read Matinara. Also see Vol. IV., p. 129, notes 2 and ||.
- P. 267, l. 21. The learned reader may be amused by the whimsical etymologies, of a like character to this, given in the annexed stanza

from Appayya Dikshita's Śivatattwaviveka:

हिसिधातोः सिंहग्रब्दो वग्र कान्तौ ग्रिवः स्रृतः। वर्णव्यत्ययतः ग्रब्दः प्रस्न कः कम्रयो यथा॥

- P. 280, note *. Yauvanáswa is, of course, the patronym of Ambarisha.
- P. 283, notes, l. 2. 'Duhsaha' is a more ordinary form than "Dussaha".
- P. 321, notes, l. 5. Read Tárapida.
- P. 325, notes, l. 2. For a Yajnavalkya, in connexion with the Yoga philosophy, see Vol. V., p. 230, note ||.
- P. 325, note 4. Viśwasahwan is, probably, one with the Viśwasaha of p. 323.
- P. 330, notes, l. 2. See Vol. IV., p. 344; supplementary annotation on p. 84.
- P. 334, l. 1. Read Kitujit.
- P. 336, note § For 353 read pp. 244, 245.

VOL. IV.

- P. 17, note 1. For Richika, see Vol. III., p. 80, note †.
- P. 30, l. 6. Compare p. 136, note 1, in the same volume.
- P. 40, note ‡. Compare what is said of Talajangha in p. 57.
- P. 57, l. 3. Read Talajanghas.
- P. 63, notes, l. 13. Read Ruchaka.
- P. 67, notes, l. 6. Read Romapada.
- P. 95, l. 3. Read Áváha.
- P. 97, note ‡. Read Dhrishta.
- P. 100, notes, l. 18. Read Sura.
- P. 111, notes, l. 3 ab infra. Read Hamsa, Suvamsa.
- P. 112, note ||. For Charuhasini, see Vol. V., p. 69, note §; p. 81, note §; and p. 83, note §.
- P. 114, notes, l. 10 ab infra. Read p. 98.
- P. 123, notes, l. 10. Insert a comma after "Brihaspati".
- P. 129, note | . Erase another, Atitara.
- P. 132, notes, l. 12 ab infra. It is observable that, in p. 102, we have had a Bhima son of Anila.
- P 141, notes, l. 4. Read Dridháswa.
- P. 142, note ††. For Dhritaráshtra read Dhritaráshtra's charioteer, according to the more usual legend.
- P. 144, note 3. Read Riksha.
- P. 148, notes, l. 6. Read Arimejaya.
- P. 157. Erase note §.
- P. 171, note 1. For Śreńika, father of Kunika, see the Journal of the Bombay Asiatic Society, Vol IX., p. 154.
- P. 178, l. 5 and note || For "Chandapradyota" and his son Palaka, see the Journal of the Bombay Asiatic Society, Vol. IX., p. 147.
- P. 182, note **. Read is Udayin. For Udayin, said to have been son of Kunika, see the Journal of the Bombay Asiatic Society, Vol. IX., pp. 147, 154.

- P. 184, note 2. A mahapadma is only a thousand millions, according to the *Lilavati*. Elsewhere a simple padma is said to be ten thousand millions. See Vol. V., p. 187, note †, and p. 188, note ||.
- P. 202, l. 1. For Gardabhila, said to have been king of Ujjayini, and father of Vikramaditya, see the Journal of the Bombay Asiatic Society, Vol. IX., pp. 139, 143, 148, 154.
- P. 215, note *. For Pushpamitra, see the Journal of the Bombay Asiatic Society, Vol. IX., p. 148.
- P. 216, l. 1, and p. 217, notes, l. 1. For Viśwasphatika, see the Journal of the Bombay Asiatic Society, Vol. IX., p. 146.
- P. 217, note ‡. For Kantipuri read Kantipuri.
- P. 223, notes, l. 11 ab infra. Read Chandrabhaga.
- P. 248, Il. 7, 8. "The increaser of the Bhojas" would be the correct rendering. See p. 260, note ¶, in the same volume.
- P. 279. Erase note ‡.
- P. 308, note 1. See Vol. V., p. 231, notes 1 and ||.

VOL. V.

- P. 2, note ¶. For Hayagriva, as slain by Vishnu, see p. 90.
- P. 2, notes, l. 7 ab infra. Read बभूवर्शती:
- P. 26, notes, l. 12 ab infra. Read निकास्य.
- P. 53, notes, l. 9. Read Harivamsa.
- P. 74, notes, l. 5. Read Gaja-gámini.
- P. 140, notes, l. 6. Read Viráta-parvan.
- P. 169, notes, l. 3 ab infra. Read Vamsánucharita.
- P. 176, l. 6. For sage read age.
- P. 190, notes. l. 4. By the original expression, here rendered "four fingers", 'four finger-breadths' is intended.
- P. 209, notes, l. 3. Read Tat-praptage.
- P. 250, l. 4. Read Rishi.
- P. 250, l. 5. Read Ribhu.
- P. 290, notes, l. 5. Read Vámáchárins.
- P. 319, l. 5. Read Brahmáńda.
- P. 326, l. 1. "Váma Yamáchárin", it may be surmised, is a typographical error for 'Vámáchárin'.
- P. 356, l. 4 ab infra. Read Madhwacharya.
- P. 358, l. 5. Read Śri Bhágavata.

Asiatic Society.-Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great BRITAIN AND IRELAND. New Series. Vol. I. In Two Parts. pp. iv. and 490. Price 16s.

Price 16s.

Contents.—I. Vajra-chhediká, the "Kin Kong King," or Diamond Sutra. Translated from the Chinese by the Rev. S. Heal, Chaplain, R.N.—II. The Puramitá-Intidaya Sútra, or, in Chinese, "Mo-ho-pò-ye-po-lo-mih-to-sin-king," i.e. "The Great Păramită-Intidaya Sútra, or, in Chinese, "Mo-ho-pò-ye-po-lo-mih-to-sin-king," i.e. "The Great Păramită Heart Sătra," Translated from the Chinese by the Rev. S. Beal, Chaplain, R.N.—III. On the Preservation of National Literature in the East. By Colonel F. J. Goldsmid.—IV. On the Preservation of National Literature in the East. By Colonel F. J. Goldsmid.—IV. On the Preservation of National Literature in the East. By Colonel F. J. Goldsmid.—IV. On the Preservation of National Enterture of Translations, published by the late Dutch Government of Ceylon at Knowledge of the Venic Theogony and Mythology. By J. Muir, D.C. L., LL.D.—VI. A Tabular List of Original Works and Translations, published by the late Dutch Government of Ceylon at their Printing Press at Colombo. Compiled by Mr. Mat. P. J. Ondaatje, of Colombo.—VII. Assyrian and Hebrew Chronology compared, with a view of showing the extent to which the Hebrew Chronology of Usaher must be modified, in conformity with the Assyrian Conon. By J. W. Bosanquet, Esq.—VIII. On the existing Dictionaries of the Malay Language. By Dr. H. N. van der Tunk.—IX. Bilingual Readings: Cuneiform and Phomician. Notes on some Tablets in the British Museum, containing Bilingual Legends (Assyrian and Phomic an). By Major-General Sir H. Rawlinson, K.C.B., Director R.A.S.—X. Translations of Three Cop per-plate Inscriptions of the Fourth Century A.D., and Notices of the Châlukya and Gurjiara I lynasties By Professor J. Dowson, Staff College, Sundkuret.—XI. Yana und the Doctrume of Frature Life, according to the Rig-Tajur-, and Atharva-Vedas. By J. Muir, Esq., D.C.L., Ll., D.—XII.
On the Jyotisha Observation of the Place of the Coures, and the Date derivable from it. By William D. Whitney, Esq., Professor of Sanskrit in Vale College, New Haven, Esq

der Tuuk.—XVI. On the Identity of Xaudrames and Krananda. By Edward Thomas, 18q.

Vol. II. In Two Parts. pp. 522. Price, 16s.

Contents.—I. Contributions to a Knowledge of Vedic Theogony and Mythology.

By J. Muir, Esq.—II. Miscellaneous Hymns from the Rig- and Atharva-Yedas. By J. Muir, Esq.—III. Fire hundred questions on the Social Condition of the Natives of Bengal. By the Rev. J. Long.—IV. Short account of the Malay Manuscripts belonging to the Royal Asiatic Society. By Dr. II. N. van der Tauk.—V. Translation of the Amitabha Satra from the Chinese. By the Rev. S. Beal, Chaplain Royal Navy.—VI. The initial coinage of Bengal. By Edward Thomas, Esq.—VII. Specimens of an Assyrian Dictionary. By Edwin Norris, Esq.—VIII. On the Relations of the Priests to the other classes of Indian Society in the Vedic age. By J. Muir, Esq.—IV. On the Interpretation of the Veda. By the same.—X. An attempt to Translate from the Chinese a work known as the Confessional Services of the great compassions to Kwan Yin, possessing 1060 hands and 1000 eyes. By the Sane.—X. An Edward Myn Indyn In

Vol. III. In Two Parts. pp. 516. With Photograph. 22s.

Vol. III. In Two Parts. pp. 516. With Photograph. 22s.

CONTENTS.—I. Contributions towards a Glossavy of the Assyrian Language. By H. I. Talbot.

—II. Remarks on the Indo-Chinese Alphabets. By Dr. A. Bastian.—III. The picetry of Mohamed Rabadan, Arragonese. By the Hon. II. E. J. Stenley.—IV. Caralogue of the Oriental Manuscripts in the Library of King's College, Cambridge. By Edward Henry Patte et. B.A., Scholar of St. John's College, Cambridge: Mender of the Royal Asiatic Society, Memit re de la Societé Asiatique de Paris.—V. Description of the Araravati Tope in Guntur. By J. F. r. gusson, F.R.S.—VI. Remarks on Prof. Brockhaus' edition of the Kathasarit-sägara, Lam bake IX. XVIII. By Dr. H. Kern, Professor of Sanskrit in the University of Leyden.—VII. The source of Colebrooke's Essay "On the Duties of a Faithful Hindu Widow," By Fitzedward Hall, Esq.—VII. The Sixth Hymn of the First Book of the Rig Yeda. By Professor Max Müller, M.A., Bot.—IX. Sassanian Inscriptions. By E. Thomas, Esq.—X. Account of an Embassy from Morocco to Spain in 1690 and 1691. By the Hon. H. E. J. Sanley.—XII. Materials for the History of India for the Six Hundred Years of Mohamanadan rule, pravious to the Foundation of the British Indian Empire. By Major W. Nassau Lees, LL.D., Ph.II.—XIII.

A few Words concerning the Hill people inhabiting the Forests of the Cochin State. By Captain G. E. Fryer, Madras Staff Corps, M.R.A.S.—XIV. Notes on the Bhojpurf Dialect of Hindi, spoken in Western Behar. By John Beames, Esq., B.C.S., Magistrate of Chump aron.

Vol. IV. In Two Parts. Dn. 521. 168.

Vol. IV. In Two Parts.

In Two Parts. pp. 521.

Contribute towards a Clossary of the Assyrian Language. By H. I. Taibot. Part H.—H. On Indian Chronology. By J. Fergusson, Esq., F.R.S.—III. The Poetry of Mohamed Rubadan of Arragon. By the Hon. H. E. J. Stauley.—IV On the Magar I anguage of Nepal. By John Beames, Esq., B.C.S.—V. Contributions to the Knowledge of Parsee Liverature. By Edward Sachau, Fh.D.—VI. Illustrations of the Lamaist System in The f, drawn from Chinese Sources. By Win. Fredetick Mayers, Esq., of H.B.M. Constair Service, China.—VII. Knuddaka Pátha, a Páli Text, with a Translation and Notes. By R. C. Childes, late of the Ceylon Civil Service.—VIII. An Endeavour to clucitate Rashfuddin's Gographical Rotices of India. By Col. H. Yule, C.B.—IX. Sassanian Inscriptions explained by the Pahlavi of the

LINGUISTIC PUBLICATIONS

O.F

TRÜBNER & CO.,

8 AND 60, PATERNOSTER ROW, LONDON, E.C.

Ahlwardt.—The Divans of the Six Angient Arabic Poets, Eunábigh, 'Antara, Tarafa, Zuhair, 'Algama, and Impudgais; chiefly according to the MSS, of Paris, Gotha, and Leyden, and the collection of their fragments: with a complete list of the various readings of the Text. Edited by W. Ahlwahot, Professor of Oriental Languages at the University of Geifswald. Svo. pp. xxx. 340, sewed. 1870. 122.

Alabaster.—The Modern Buddhist: Being the Views of a Siamese Minister of State on his own and other Religious. Translated, with Remarks, by Henry Alabaster, Interpreter of H.B.M. Consulate-General in Siam, &c. Second Edition, considerably improved, and augmented by a Treatise on the Holy Footprint of Buddha in Siam.

[In preparation.]

Alcock.—A PRACTICAL GRAMMAR of the JAPANESE LANGUAGE. By Sir RUTHERFORD ALCOCK, Resident British Minister at Jeddo. 4to. pp. 61, sewed. 18s.

Alcock.—Familiar Dialogues in Japanese, with English and French Trenslations, for the use of Students. By Sir Rutherford Alcock. 8vo. pp. viii. and 40, sewed. Paris and London, 1863. 5s.

Alger. -The Poetry of the Orient. By Wilmam Rounseville Alger, 8vo. cloth, pp. xii, and 337. 9s.

Alif Lailat wa Lailat.—The Arabian Nights. 4 vols. 4to. pp. 495, 493, 442, 434. Ceiro, a.u. 1279 (1862). £2 2s.

This co-lebrated Edition of the Arabian Nights is now, for the first time, offered at a price which m kes it accessible to Scholars of limited means,

Andre', 18.—A Dictionary of the Hawahan Language, to which is appended an English-Hawahan Vocabulary, and a Chronological Table of Remarkable Events. By LORRIN ANDREWS. 8vo. pp. 560, cloth. £1 11s. 0d.

Arabi , Persian, and Turkish Books (A Catalogue of). Printed in the East. Constantly for sale by Trübner and Co., 8 and 60, Paternoster Row, London. Contexts.—Arabic, Persian, and Turkish Books printed in Egypt.—Arabic Books printed in Oudh.—Persian Literature printed in Oudh.—Editions of the Koran printed in Oudh.—Arabic Books printed at Bombay.—Persian Books printed at Bombay.—Arabic Literature printed at Tunis.—Arabic Literature printed in Syris. 16mo. pp. 68. Price 1s.

Asher —On the Study of Modern Languages in General, and of the English Language in particular. An Resay. By David Asher, Ph.D. 12mo.

pp viii. and 80, cloth. 2s.

Asiatic Society.—Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Irrland, from the Commencement to 1863. First Series, complete in 20 Vols. 8vo., with many Plates. Price £10; or, in Single Numbers, as follows:—Nos. 1 to 14, 6s. cach; No. 15, 2 Parts, 4s. each; No. 16, 2 Parts, 4s. each; No. 17, 2 Parts, 4s. each; No. 18, 6s. These 18 Numbers form Vols. I. to IX.—Vol. X., Part. 1, op.; Part 2, 5s.; Part 3, 5s.—Vol. XI., Part 1, 6s.; Part 2 not published.—Vol. XII., 2 Parts, 6s. each.—Vol. XIV., Part 1, 5s.; Part 2 not published.—Vol. XV., Part 1, 6s.; Part 2, with Mans. 10s.—Vol. XVI., 2 Parts, 6s. each.—Vol. XVIII., 2 Parts, 6s. each.—Vol. XIX., Parts 1 to 4, 16s.—Vol. XX., 3 Parts, 4s. each.

Pârsis. By E. W. West, Esq.—X. Some Account of the Senbyd Pagoda at Mengdin, near the Burmose Capital, in a Memorandum by Capt. E. H. Sladan, Political Agent at Mandalé; with Remarks on the Subject by Col. Henry Yule, C.B.—XI. The Brhát-Sanhitá; or, Complete System of Natural Astrology of Varáha-Milbra. Translated from Sankrit inte English by Dr. H. Kern.—XII. The Mohammedan Law of Evidence, and its influence on the Administration of Justice in India. By N. B. E. Baillie, Esq.—XIII. The Mohammedan Law of Evidence in conscious with the Administration of Justice to Foreigners. By N. B. E. Baillie, Esq.—XIV. A Translation of a Bactrian Páli Inscription. By Prof. J. Dowson.—XV. Indo-Parthian Coins. By E. Thoroga Few. By E. Thomas, Esq.

Vol. V. Part I. pp. 197.

Contents.—I. Two Jatakas. The original Pali Text, with an English Translation. By V. Fansböll.—II. On an Ancient Buddhist Inscription at Keu-ving kwan, in North China. By A. Wylie.—III. The Brhat Sanhita; or Complete System of Natural Astrology of Varaha-Mihira Translated from Sansarit into English by Dr. H. Kern.—IV. The Pongol Pestival in Southern India. By Charles B. Gover.—V. The Poetry of Mohamed Rabadan, of Arragon. By the Right Hon. Lord Stanley of Aidetley.—VI. Essay on the Creed and Customs of the Jangangs. By Charles P. Brown.—VII. On Malabar, Coromandel, Quilon, etc. By C. P. Brown.—VIII. On the Treatment of the Nexus in the Neo-Aryan Languages of India. By John Beames, B.C.S.—IX. Some Remarks on the Great Tope at Sanchi. By the Rev. S. Beal.—X. Ancient Inscriptions from Mathura. Translated by Professor J. Dowson.—Note to the Mathura Inscriptions. By Major-General A. Cunningham.

Asiafic Society.—Translated

Asiatic Society.—Transactions of the Royal Asiatic Society of GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND. Complete in 3 vols. 4to., 80 Plates of Pacsimiles, etc., cloth. London, 1827 to 1835. Published at £9 5s.; reduced to

£3 3s.

The above contains contributions by Professor Wilson, G. C. Haughton, Davis, Morrison, Colebrooke, Humboldt, Dorn, Grotefend, and other emineut Oriental scholars.

Auctores Sanscriti. Edited for the Sanskrit Text Society, under the supervision of Theodon Goldstücker. Vol. I., containing the Jaiminîya-Nyâya-Mâlâ-Vistara. Parts I. to V., pp. I to 400, large 4to, sewed. 10s. each part.

Axon.—THE LITERATURE OF THE LANCASHIRE DIALECT. A Bibliographical Essay. By William E. A. Akon, F.R.S.L. Fcap. 8vo. sewed. 1870. ls.

Ballad Society's Publications. - Subscriptions-Small paper, one guinea, and large paper, three guineas, per annum.

1868.

- . 1. Ballads from Manuscripts. Vol. I. Ballads on the condition of England in Henry VIII.'s and Edward VI.'s Reigns (including the state of the Clergy, Monks, and Friars), on Wolsey and Anne Boleyn. Part I. Edited by F. J. Furnivall, M.A. Svo.
 - 2. Ballads from Manuscripts. Vol. I. Part 2. In the Press.
 - 3. Ballads from Manuscripts. Vol. II. Part 1. The Poore Mans Pittance. By Richard Williams. Edited by F. J. FURNIVALL, M.A. 8vo.

- 4. THE ROXBURGHE BALLADS. Part 1. With short Notes by W. CHAPPELL, Esq., F.S.A., author of "Popular Music of the Olden Time," etc., etc., and with copies of the Original Woodcuts, drawn by Mr. RUDOLPH BLIND and Mr. W. H. HOOPER, and engraved by Mr. J. H. RIMBAULT and Mr. HOOPER. 8vo.
- 5. THE ROXBURGHE BALLADS. Part 2.
- Ballantyne.—Elements of Hindí and Braj Bhárá Grammar. late James R. Ballantyne, LL.D. Second edition, revised and corrected Crown 8vo., pp. 44, cloth. 5s.
- Ballantyne .- First Lessons in Sanskeit Grammar; together with an Introduction to the Hitopadess. Second edition. By JAMES R. BALLANTYNE. LL.D., Librarian of the India Office. 8vo. pp. viii. and 110, cloth. 1869. 5s.
- Bartlett.—Dictionary of Americanisms: a Glossary of Words and Phrases colloquially used in the United States. By John R. Bartlett. Second Edition, considerably enlarged and improved. I vol. 8vo., pp. xxxii. and 524, cloth. 16s.

- Beal.—Travels of Fah Hian and Sung-Yun, Buddhist Pilgrims from China to India (400 a.d. and 518 a.d.) Translated from the Chinese, by S. Beal (B.A. Trinity College, Cambridge), a Chaplain in Her Majesty's Floet, a Member of the Royal Asiatic Society, and Author of a Translation of the Pratinôksha and the Amithaba Sütra from the Chinese. Crown 8vo. pp. lxxiii. and 210, cloth, ornamental, with a coloured map, 10s. 6d.
- Beal.—The Catena of Buddhist Scriptures from the Chinese. By S. Beal, B.A., Trinity College, Cambridge; a Chaplain in Her Majesty's Fleet, etc. Crown 8vo. [Nearly ready.
- Beames.—OUTLINES OF INDIAN PHILOLOGY. With a Map, showing the Distribution of the Indian Languages. By John Branes. Second enlarged and revised edition. Crown 8vo. cloth, pp. viii, and 96. 5s.
- Beames.—Notes on the Brojecki Dialect of Hindi, spoken in Western Behar. By John Beames, Esq., B.C.S., Magistrate of Chumparun. 8vo. pp. 26, sewed. 1868. 1s. 6d.
- Bell.—Ewelish Visible Speech for the Million, for communicating the Exact Pronunciation of the Language to Native or Foreign Learners, and for Teaching Children and illiterate Adults to Read in few Days. By Alexander Melville Rell, F.E. I.S., F.R. S. S. A., Lecturer on Elocution in University College, London. 4to sewed, pp. 16. 13.
- Bell.—VISIBLE SPEECH; the Science of Universal Alphabetics, or Self-Interpreting Physiological Letters, for the Writing of all Languages in one Alphabet. Illustrated by Tables, Diagrams, and Examples. By ALEXANDER MELVILLE BELL, F.E.I.S., F.R.S.A., Professor of Vocal Physiology, etc. 4to., pp. 156, cloth. 15s.
- Bellew.—A DICTIONART OF THE PURKETO, OR PURSETO LANGUAGE, ON a New and Improved System. With a reversed Part, or English and Pukkhto. By H. W. Bellew, Assistant Surgeon, Bengal Army. Super Royal 8vo., pp. xii. and 356, cloth. 42s.
- Bellew.—A GRAMMAE OF THE PURRHTO OR PURSHTO LANGUAGE, ON a New and Improved System. Combining Brevity with Utility, and Illustrated by Exercises and Dialogues. By H. W. Bellew, Assistant Surgeon, Bengel Army. Super-royal 8vo., pp. xii. and 156, cloth. 21s.
- Bellows.—English Outline Vocabulary, for the use of Students of the Chinese, Japanese, and other Languages. Arranged by John Bellows. With Notes on the writing of Chinese with Roman Letters. By Professor Summers, King's College, London. Crown 8vo., pp. 6 and 368, cloth. 6s.
- Bellows.—OUTLINE DICTIONARY, FOR THE USE OF MISSIONARIES, Explorers, and Students of Language. By Max Müller, M.A., Taylorian Professor in the University of Oxford. With an Introduction on the proper use of the ordinary English Alphabet in transcribing Foreign Languages. The Vocabulary compiled by John Bellows. Crown 8vo. Limp morocco, pp. xxxi. and 868. 7s. 6d.
- Benfey.—A PRACTICAL GRAMMAR OF THE SANSKEIT LANGUAGE, for the use of Early Students. By Theodor Benfey, Professor of Sanskrit in the University of Göttingen. Second, revised and enlarged, edition. Royal Svo. pp. viii. and 296, cloth. 10s. 6d.
- Beurmann.—Vocabulary of the Tigré Language. Written down by Moritz von Beurmann. Published with a Grammatical Sketch. By Dr. A. Merx, of the University of Jena. pp. viii. and 78, cloth. 3s. 6d.
- Bholanauth Chunder.—The Travels of A Hindoo to various parts of Bengal and Upper India. By Briolanauth Chunder, Member of the Asiatic Society of Bengal. With an Introduction by J. Talboys Wheeler, Esq., Author of "The History of India." Dedicated, by permission, to His Excellency Sir John Laird Mair Lawrence, G.C.B., Q.C.B.I., Viceroy and Governor-General of India, etc. In 2 volumes, crown 8vo., cloth, pp. xxv. and 440, viii. and 410. 21s.

- Bigandet.—The Life or Legend of Gaudama, the Buddha of the Burmese, with Annotations. The ways to Neibban, and Notice on the Phongyies, or Burmese Monks. By the Right Reverend P. Bigandet, Bishop of Ramatha, Vicar Apostolic of Ava and Pegu. 8vo. sewed, pp. xi., 538, and v. 18s.
- Bleck.—A Comparative Grammar of South African Languages. By W. H. I. Birer, Ph.D. Volume I. I. Phonology. II. The Concord. Section 1. The Noun. 8vo. pp. xxxvi. and 322, cloth. 16s.
- Bieck.—REYNARD IN SOUTH AFRICA; or, Hottentot Fables. Translated from the Original Manuscript in Sir George Grey's Library. By Dr. W. H. I. Bleek, Librarian to the Grey Library, Cape Town, Cape of Good Hope. In one volume, small 8vo., pp. 1xxi. and 94, cloth. 3s. 6d.
- Bombay Sanskrit Series. Edited under the superintendence of G. Buhler, Ph. D., Professor of Oriental Languages, Elphinstone College, and F. Kielhohn, Ph. D., Superintendent of Sanskrit Studies, Deccan College.

 Abready published,
- 1. PANCHATANTRA IV. AND V. Edited, with Notes, by G. Bühler, Ph. D. Pp. 84, 16. 4s. 6d.
- NAGOJÍBHATTA'S PARIBHÁSHENDUSEKHARA. Edited and explained by F. Kielhorn, Ph. D. Part I., the Sanskrit Text and various readings. pp. 116. 8s. 6d.
- 3. PANCHATANTEA II. AND III. Edited, with Notes, by G. Bühler, Ph. D. Pp. 86, 14, 2. 58. 6d.
- 4. PANCHATANTRA 1. Edited, with Notes, by F. Kielhorn, Ph.D. Pp. 114, 53. 6s. 6d.
- KALIDASA'S RAGHUVAMSA. With the Commentary of Mallinatha. Edited, with Notes, by SHANKAR P. PANDIT, M.A. Part I. Cantos I.-VI. 9s.
- 6. Kálidása's Málavikágninsitra. Edited, with Notes, by Shankar P. Panger, M.A. 8s.
- Bottrell.—Traditions and Hearthside Stories of West Cornwall. By William Bottrell (an old Celt). Demy 12mo. pp. vi. 292, cloth. 1870. 6s.
- Boyce.—A Gramman of the Kappin Language.— By William B. Boyce, Wesleyan Missionary. Third Edition, sugmented and improved, with Exercises, by William J. Davis, Wesleyan Missionary. 12mo. pp. xii. and 164, cloth. 8s.
- Bowditch.—Support Surnames. By N. I. Bowditch. Third Edition, 8vo. pp. xxvi. and 758, cloth. 7s. 6d.
- Brice.—A ROMANIZED HINDUSTANI AND ENGLISH DICTIONARY. Designed for the use of Schools and for Vernacular Students of the Language. Compiled by NATHANIEL BRICE. New Edition, Revised and Enlarged. Post 8vo. cloth, pp. vi. and 357. 8s.
- Brinton.—The Myths of the New World. A Treatise on the Symbolism and Mythology of the Red Races of America. By Daniel G. Brinton, A.M., M.D. Crown 8vo. cloth, pp. viii. and 308. 10s. 6d.
- Brown.—The Dervishes; or, Oriental Spiritualism. By John P. Brown, Secretary and Dragoman of the Legation of the United States of America at Constantinople. With twenty-four Illustrations. 8vo. cloth, pp. viii. and 415. 14s.
- Brown.—CARNATIC CHRONOLOGY. The Hindu and Mahomedan Methods of Reckoning Time explained: with Essays on the Systems; Symbols used for Numerals, a new Titular Method of Memory, Historical Records, and other subjects. By CHARLES PHILIP BROWN, Member of the Royal Asiatic Society; late of the Madras Civil Service; Telugu Translator to Government; Senior Member of the College Board, etc.; Author of the Telugu Dictionaries and Grammar, etc. 4to. sewed, pp. xii. and 90. 10s. 6d.

- Brown.—Sanskrit Prosody and Numerical Symbols Explained. By Charles Philip Brown, Author of the Telugu Dictionary, Grammar, etc., Professor of Telugu in the University of London. Demy 8vo. pp. 64, cloth. 3s. 6d.
- Buddhaghosha.—Buddhaghosha's Parables: translated from Burmese by Captain H. T. Rogers, R. E. With an Introduction containing Buddha's Dhammapadam, or, Path of Virtue; translated from Pali by F. Max Müller. 8vo. pp. 378, cloth. 12s. 6d.
- Burgess.—Surya-Siddhanta (Translation of the): A Text-book of Hindu Astronomy, with Notes and an Appendix, containing additional Notes and Tables, Calculations of Eclipses, a Stellar Map, and Indexes. By Rev. Ebbrezer Burgess, formerly Missionary of the American Board of Commissioners of Foreign Missions in India; assisted by the Committee of Publication of the American Oriental Society. 8vo. pp. iv. and 354, boards. 15s.
- Burnell.—Catalogue of a Collection of Sanskeit Manuscripts. By A. C. Burnell, M.R.A.S., Madres Civil Service. Part 1. Vedic Manuscripts. Fcap. 8vo. pp. 64, sewed. 1870. 2s.
- Byington.—Grammar of the Choctaw Language. By the Rev. Cyrus Byington. Edited from the Original MSS. in the Library of the American Philosophical Society, by D. G. Brinton, A.M., M.D., Member of the American Philosophical Society, the Pennsylvania Historical Society, Corresponding Member of the American Ethnological Society, etc. 8vo. sewed, pp. 66. 12s.
- Calcutta Review.—The Calcutta Review. Published Quarterly.

 Price 8s. 6d.
- CONTENTS OF THE OUTOBER NUMBER.—I. Indian Legislation since the Mutiny. II. The Future Coinage of India. III. Woman. IV. The Nicobar Islands. V. Serpent Worship. VI. Topography of the Mogul Empire in the Sixtenth and Seventeenth Centuries. VII. Education in Bengal. VIII. Wahhabis in India, No. III. Critical Notices.
- Callaway. IZINGANERWANE, NENSUMANSUMANE, NEZINDABA, ZABANTU (Nursery Tales, Traditions, and Histories of the Zulus). In their own words, with a Translation into English, and Notes. By the Hev. Henry Callaway, M.D. Volume I., 8vo. pp. xiv. and 378, cloth. Natal, 1866 and 1867. 16s.
- Callaway. The Religious System of the Amazulu.
 - Part I.—Unkulunkulu; or, the Tradition of Creation as existing among the Amazulu and other Tribes of South Africa, in their own words, with a translation into English, and Notes. By the Rev. Canon Callaway, M.D. 8vo. pp. 128, sewed. 1868. 4s.
 - Part II.—Amatongo; or, Ancestor Worship, as existing among the Amazulu, in their own words, with a translation into English, and Notes. By the Rev. Canon Callaway, M.D. 1869. 8vo. pp. 127, sewed. 1869. 4s.
 - Part III.—Izinyanga Zokubula; or, Divination, as existing among the Amazulu, in their own words. With a Translation into English, and Notes. By the Rev. Canon Callaway, M.D. 8vo. pp. 150, sewed. 1870. 4s.
 - Part IV .- On Medical Magic and Witchcraft. [In preparation.
- Canones Lexicographici; or, Rules to be observed in Editing the New English Dictionary of the Philological Society, prepared by a Committee of the Society. 8vo., pp. 12, sewed. 6d.
- Carpenter.—The Last Days in England of the Rajah Rammohun Roy. By Mary Carpenter, of Bristol. With Five Illustrations. 8ve. pp. 272, cloth. 7s. 6d.
- Carr. せっぱぎょうさっしるぎ、A Collection of Telugu Proverses,
 Translated, Illustrated, and Explained; together with some Sanscrit Proverses
 printed in the Devnagari and Telugu Characters. By Captain M. W. Carr,
 Madras Staff Corps. One Vol. and Supplement, royal 8vo. pp. 488 and 148. 31s. 6d

Catlin.—O-KEE-PA. A Religious Ceremony of the Mandans. GEORGE CATLIN. With 13 Coloured Illustrations. 4to. pp. 60, bound in cloth, gilt edges. 14s.

Chalmers.—The Origin of the Chinese; an Attempt to Trace the connection of the Chinese with Western Nations in their Religion, Superstitions, Arts, Language, and Traditions. By John Chalmers, A.M. Poolscap 8vo. cloth, pp. 78. 2s. 6d.

Chalmers.—The Speculations on Metaphysics, Polity, and Morality of "THE OLD PHILOSOPHER" LAU TSZE. Translated from the Chinese, with an Introduction by John Chalmers, M.A. Feap. 8vo. cloth, xx. and 62. 4s. 6d.

Charnock.—Ludus Patronymicus; or, the Etymology of Curious Surnames. By Richard Stephen Charnock, Ph.D., F.S.A., F.R.G.S. Crown 8vo., pp. 182, cloth. 7s. 6d.

Charnock.—Verba Nominalia; or Words derived from Proper Names. By Richard Stephen Charnock, Ph. Dr. F.S.A., etc. 8vo. pp. 326, cloth. 14s.

Charnock.—The Peoples of Thansylvania. Founded on a Paper read before THE ANTHROPOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF LONDON, on the 4th of May, 1869. By RICHARD STEPHEN CHARNOCK, Ph.D., F.S.A., F.R.G.S. Demy 8vo. pp. 36, sewed. 1870. 2s. 6d.

Chaucer Society's Publications. Subscription, two guineas per annum. 1868. First Series.

CANTERBURY TALES. Part I.

I. The Prologue and Knight's Tale, in 6 parallel Texts (from the 6 MSS. named below), together with Tables, showing the Groups of the Tales, and their varying order in 38 MSS. of the Tales, and in the old printed editions, and also Specimens from several MSS. of the "Moveable Prologues" of the Canterbury Tales,—The Shipman's Prologue, and Franklin's Prologue,—when moved from their right places, and of the substitutes for them.

II. The Prologue and Knight's Tale from the Ellesmere MS.

III. " , Hengwrt 99 -٠, ,, 154. 29 IV. " V. " " Cambridge " Gg. 4. 27. ,, 27 " 99 ,, Corpus " 99 Oxford. 27 VI. ,, Petworth " 99 "

VII. " Lansdowne " 861. 22 31 11

Nos. II. to VII. are separate Texts of the 6-Text edition of the Canterbury Tales, Part I. 1868. Second Series.

On Early English Pronunciation, with especial reference to Shakspere and Chaucer, containing an investigation of the Correspondence of Writing with Speech in England, from the Anglo-Saxon period to the present day, preceded by a systematic notation of all spoken sounds, by means of the ordinary print-

ing types. Including a re-arrangement of Prof. F. J. Child's Memoirs on the Language of Chaucer and Gower, and Reprints of the Rare Tracts by Salesbury on English, 1547, and Welsh, 1567, and by Barcley on French, 1521. By ALEXANDER J. ELLIS, F.R.S., etc., etc. Part I. On the Pronunciation of the

xivth, xvith, xviith, and xviiith centuries.

Essays on Chaucen: His Words and Works. Part I. 1. Ebert's Review of Sandras's E'tude sur Chaucer, considére comme Imitateur des Trouvères, translated by J. W. Van Rees Hoets, M.A., Trinity Hall, Cambridge, and revised by the Author.—II. A Thirteenth Century Latin Treatise on the Chilindre: "For by my chilindre it is prime of day " (Shipmannes Tale). Edited, with a Translation, by Mr. EDMUND BROCK, and illustrated by a Woodcut of the Instrument from the Ashmole MS. 1522.

A TEMPORARY PREFACE to the Six-Text Edition of Chancer's Canterbury Tales. Part I. Attempting to show the true order of the Tales, and the Days and Stages of the Pilgrimage, etc., etc. By F. J. FURNIVALL, Esq.,

M.A., Trinity Hall, Cambridge.

```
Chaucer Society's Publications-continued.
```

1869.First Series. VIII. The Miller's, Reeve's, Cook's, and Gamelyn's Tales: Ellesmere MS. Hengwrt " IX. 99 29 14 ,, X. Cambridge " ,, " 77 23 Corpus XI. 72 " ,, ,, ,, *1 77 Petworth XII. ,, " ** 54 77 ** Lansdowne,, XIII. These are separate issues of the 6-Text Chaucer's Canterbury Tales, Part 11. 1869. Second Series.

ENGLISH PRONUNCIATION, with especial reference to Shakspere and Chaucer. By Alexander J. Ellis, F.R.S. Part II.

1870. First Series.

XIV. The Miller's, Resve's, and Cook's Tales, with an Appendix of the Spurious Tale of Gamelyn, in Six parallel Texts.

Childers.—Khuddama Patha. A Páli Text, with a Translation and

Childers.—Khuddara Patha. A Páli Text, with a Translation and Notes. By R. C. Childers, late of the Ceylon Civil Service. 8vo. pp. 32, stitched. 1s. 6d.

Childers.—A Pali-English Dictionary, with Sanskrit Equivalents, and with numerous Quotations, Extracts, and References. Compiled by R. C. Childers, late of the Ceylon Civil Service.

[In preparation.]

Chronique De Abou-Djafar-Mohammed-Ben-Djarir-Ben-Yezid Tabari.
Traduite par Monsieur Hermann Zotenberg. Vol. I. 8vo. pp. 608. Vol. II,
8vo. pp. ii, and 252, sewed. 7s. 6d. each. (To be completed in Four Volumes.)

8vo. pp. ii. and 252, sewed. 7s. 6d. each. (To be completed in Four Volumes.)

Colenso.—First Steps in Zulu-Kafir: An Abridgement of the Elementary Grammar of the Zulu-Kafir Language. By the Right Rev. John W. Colenso, Bishop of Natal. 8vo. pp. 86, cloth. Ekukanyeni, 1859. 4s. 6d.

Colenso.—Zulu-English Dictionary. By the Right Rev. John W. Co-Lenso, Bishop of Natal. 8vo. pp. viii. and 552, sewed. Pietermaritzburg, 1861. 15s.

Colenso.—First Zulu-Kafir Reading Book, two parts in one. By the Right Rev. John W. Colenso, Bishop of Natal. 16mo. pp. 44, sewed. Natal. 1s. Colenso.—Second Zulu-Kafir Reading Book. By the same. 16mo. pp. 108, sewed. Natal. 3s.

Colenso.—Fourth Zulu-Kafir Reading Book. By the same. 8vo. pp. 160, cloth. Natal, 1859. 7s.

Colenso.—Three Native Accounts of the Visits of the Bishop of Natal in September and October, 1859, to Upmande, King of the Zulus; with Explanatory Notes and a Literal Translation, and a Glossary of all the Zulu Words employed in the same: designed for the use of Students of the Zulu Language. By the Right Rev. John W. Colenso, Bishop of Natal. 16mo. pp. 180, stiff cover. Natal, Maritzburg, 1860. 4s. 6d.

Coleridge.—A GLOSSARIAL INDEX to the Printed English Literature of the Thirteenth Century. By HERBERT COLERIDGE, Esq. 8vo. pp. 104, cloth.

Colleccao de Vocabulos e Frases usados na Provincia de S. Pedro, de Rio Grande do Sul, no Brasil. 12mo. pp. 32, sewed. 1s.

Contopoulos.—A Lexicon of Modern Greek-English and English Modern Greek. By N. Contopoulos.

Part I. Modern Greek-English. 8vo. cloth, pp. 460. 12s. Part II. English-Modern Greek. 8vo. cloth, pp. 582. 15s.

Cunningham.—The Ancient Geography of India. I. The Buddhist Period, including the Campaigns of Alexander, and the Travels of Hwen-Thsang. By ALEXANDER CUNNINGHAM, Major-General, Royal Engineers (Bengal Retired). With thirteen Maps. 8vo. pp. xx. 590, cloth. 1870. 28s.

Cunningham.—An Essay on the Arian Order of Architecture, as exhibited in the Temples of Kashmere. By Captain (now Major-General) Alexander Cunningham. 8vo. pp. 86, cloth. With seventeen large folding Plates. 18s.

Cunningham.—The Bhilsa Topes; or, Buddhist Monuments of Central India: comprising a brief Historical Sketch of the Rise, Progress, and Decline of Buddhism; with an Account of the Opening and Examination of the various Groups of Topes around Bhilsa. By Brev.-Major Alexander Cunningham, Bengal Engineers. Illustrated with thirty-three Plates. 8vo. pp. xxxvi. 870, cloth. 1854. 21s.

Delepierre.—Revue Analytique des Ouvrages Écrits en Centons, depuis les Temps Anciens, jusqu'au xixième Siècle. Par un Bibliophile Belge.

Small 4to. pp. 508, stiff covers. 1868. 30s.

Delepierre, - Essai Historique et Bibliographique sur les Rébus. Par Octave Delepierre. 8vo. pp. 24, sewed. With 15 pages of Woodcuts.

Dennys.—CHINA AND JAPAN. A complete Guide to the Open Ports of those countries, together with Pekin, Yeddo, Hong Kong, and Macao; forming a Guide Book and Vade Mecum for Travellers, Merchants, and Residents in general; with 56 Maps and Plans. By WM. FREDERICK MAYERS, F.R.G.S. H.M.'s Consular Service; N. B. DENNYS, late H.M.'s Consular Service; and CHARLES KING, Lieut. Royal Marine Artillery. Edited by N. B. DENNYS. In one volume. 8vo. pp. 600, cloth. £2 2s.

Digest of Hindu Law, from the Replies of the Shastris in the several Courts of the Bombay Presidency. With an Introduction, Notes, and Appendix. Edited by Raymond West and Johann Georg Bühler. Vol. I. 8vo. cloth.

£3 3s. Vol. II. 8vo. pp. v. 118, cloth. 12s.

Döhne.—A Zulu-Kafir Dictionary, etymologically explained, with copious Illustrations and examples, preceded by an introduction on the Zulu-Kafir Language. By the Rev. J. L. Döhne. Royal 8vo. pp. xlii, and 418, sewed. Cape Town, 1857. 21s.

Döhne.—The Four Gospels in Zulu. By the Rev. J. L. Döffne, Missionary to the American Board, C.F.M. 8vo. pp. 208, cloth. Pietermaritz-

Doolittle .- An English and Chinese Dictionary. JUSTUS DOOLITTLE, China. By the Rev. Early English Text Society's Publications. [In the Press. Subscription, one guinea

per annum,

1. EARLY ENGLISH ALLITERATIVE POEMS. In the West-Midland Dislect of the Fourteenth Century. Edited by R. Mouris, Esq., from an unique Cottonian MS. 16s.

2. ARTHUR (about 1440 A.D.). Edited by F. J. FURNIVALL, Esq.,

from the Marquis of Bath's unique MS. 4s.

3. ANE COMPENDIOUS AND BREUE TRACTATE CONCERNYNG YE OFFICE AND DEWTIE OF KYNGIS, etc. By WILLIAM LAUDER. (1556 A.D.) Edited by F. HALL, Esq., D.C.L. 4s.

4. SIE GAWAYNE AND THE GREEN KNIGHT (about 1320-30 A.D.). Edited by R. Morris, Esq., from an unique Cottonian MS. 10s.

5. OF THE ORTHOGRAPHIE AND CONGRUITIE OF THE BRITAN TONGUE; a treates, noe shorter than necessarie, for the Schooles, be ALEXANDER HUME. Edited for the first time from the unique MS. in the British Museum (about 1617 A.D.), by HENRY B. WHEATLEY, Esq. 4s.
6. LANGELOT OF THE LAIK. Edited from the unique MS. in the Cam-

bridge University Library (ab. 1500), by the Rev. WALTER W. SKRAT, M.A. 8s.

7. THE STORY OF GENESIS AND EXODUS, an Early English Song, of about 1250 A.D. Edited for the first time from the unique MS. in the Library of Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, by R. Monkis, Esq. 8s.

8 MORTE ARTHURE; the Alliterative Version. Edited from Robert THORNTON'S unique MS. (about 1440 A.D.) at Lincoln, by the Rev. GEORGE

PERRY, M.A., Prebendary of Lincoln. 7s.

Early English Text Society's Publications—continued.

9. Animadversions uppon the Annotacions and Corrections of SOME IMPERFECTIONS OF IMPRESSIONES OF CHAUCER'S WORKES, reprinted in 1598; by Francis Thynne. Edited from the unique MS. in the Bridgewater Library. By G. H. Kinssley, Esq., M.D. 4s.

10. MERLIN, OR THE EARLY HISTORY OF KING ARTHUR. Edited for the

first time from the unique MS. in the Cambridge University Library (about

1450 A.D.), by HENHY B. WHEATLEY, Esq. Part I. 2s. 6d.

11. THE MONABCHE, and other Poems of Sir David Lyndesay. Edited from the first edition by JOHNE SKOTT, in 1552, by FITZEDWARD HALL, Esq., D.C.L. Part I. 3s.

12. THE WEIGHT'S CHASTE WIFE, a Merry Tale, by Adam of Cobsam (about 1462 A.D.), from the unique Lambeth MS. 306. Edited for the first

time by F. J. FURNIVALL, Esq., M.A. 1s.

13. SEINTE MARHERETE, DE MEIDEN ANT MARTYR. Three Texts of ab. 1200, 1310, 1330 A.D. First edited in 1862, by the Rev. OSWALD COURTYNE, M.A., and now re-issued. 2s.

14. KYNG HORN, with fragments of Floriz and Blauncheflur, and the Assumption of the Blessed Virgin. Edited from the MSS. in the Library of the University of Cambridge and the British Museum, by the Rev. J. RAWSON

15. POLITICAL, RELIGIOUS, AND LOVE POEMS, from the Lambeth MS. No. 306, and other sources. Edited by F. J. FURNIVALL, Esq., M.A. 7s. 6d.

- 16. A TRETICE IN ENGLISH breuely drawe out of b book of Quintis essencijs in Latyn, b Hermys b prophete and king of Egipt after b flood of Noe, fader of Philosophris, hadde by reuelacious of an aungil of God to him sente. Edited from the Sloane MS. 73, by F. J. FURNIVALL, Esq., M.A. 1s.
- 17. Panallel Extracts from 29 Manuscripts of Pikes Plowman, with Comments, and a Proposal for the Society's Three-text edition of this Poem. By the Rev. W. SKEAT, M.A. 1s.

18. Hali Meidenhead, about 1200 A.D. Edited for the first time from the MS. (with a translation) by the Rev. OSWALD COCKAYNE, M.A. 1s.

- 19. THE MONARCHE, and other Poems of Sir David Lyndesay. Part II., the Complayat of the King's Paningo, and other minor Poems. Edited from the First Edition by F. HALL, Esq., D.C.L. 3s. 6d.
- 20. Some Treatises by Richard Rolle de Hampole. Edited from Robert of Thornton's MS. (ab. 1440 a.d.), by Rev. George G. Perry, M.A. 1s.
- 21. MERLIN, OR THE EARLY HISTORY OF KING ARTHUR. Part II. Edited by HENRY B. WHEATLEY, Esq. 4s.

22. THE ROMANS OF PARTENAY, OR LUSIGNEN. Edited for the first time from the unique MS. in the Library of Trinity College, Cambridge, by the Rev. W. W. SKBAT. M.A. 6s.

23. DAN MICHEL'S AVENUITE OF INWYT, or Remorse of Conscience, in the Kentish dialect, 1340 A.D. Edited from the unique MS. in the British Museum, by RICHARD MORRIS, Esq. 10s. 6d.

24. HYMNS OF THE VIRGIN AND CHRIST; THE PARLIAMENT OF DEVILS, and Other Religious Poems. Edited from the Lembeth MS. 853, by F. J. FURNIVALL, M.A. 3s.

25. THE STACIONS OF ROME, and the Pilgrim's Sca-Voyage and Sea-Sickness, with Clene Maydenhod. Edited from the Vernon and Porkington MSS., etc., by F. J. FURNIVALL, Esq., M.A. 1s.

Containing Dan Jon 26. Religious Pieces in Prose and Verse. Gaytrigg's Sermon; The Abbaye of S. Spirit; Sayne Jon, and other pieces in the Northern Dialect. Edited from Robert of Thorntone's MS. (ab. 1460 A.D.), by the Rev. G. PERRY, M.A. 2s.

Early English Text Society's Publications—continued.

27. MANIPULUS VOCABULORUM: a Rhyming Dictionary of the English Language, by Peren Levins (1570). Edited, with an Alphabetical Index, by Henry B. Wheatley. 12s.

28. THE VISION OF WILLIAM CONCERNING PIERS PLOWMAN, together with Vita de Dowel, Dobet et Dobest. 1862 A.D., by WILLIAM LANGLAND. The earliest or Vernon Text; Text A. Edited from the Vernon MS., with full Collations, by Rev. W. W. SKEAT, M.A. 7s.

29. OLD ENGLISH HOMILIES AND HOMILETIC TREATISES. (Sawles Warde and the Wohunge of Ure Lauerd : Ureisuns of Ure Louerd and of Ure Lefdi, etc.) of the Twelfth and Thirteenth Centuries. Edited from MSS, in the British Museum, Lambeth, and Bodleian Libraries; with Introduction, Transla-

tion, and Notes. By Richard Morris. First Series. Part I. 7s.

30. Piers, the Ploughman's Crede (about 1394). Edited from the

MSS. by the Rev. W. W. Skraf, M.A. 2s.

31. Instructions for Parish Priests. By John Myrc. Edited from Cotton MS. Claudius A. II., by EDWARD PEACOCK, Esq., F.S.A., etc., etc. 48.

32. THE BABEES BOOK, Aristotle's A B C, Urbanitatis, Stans Puer ad Mensam, The Lytille Childrenes Lytil Boke. The Bokes of NURTURE of Hugh Rhodes and John Russell, Wynkyn de Worde's Boke of Kervynge, The Booke of Demeanor, The Boke of Curtasye, Seager's Schoole of Vertue, etc., etc. With some French and Latin Poems on like subjects, and some Forewords on Education in Early England. Edited by F. J. FURNIVALL, M.A., Trin. Hall, Cambridge 15s.

33. THE BOOK OF THE KNIGHT DE LA TOUR LANDRY, 1372. A Father's Book for his Daughters, Edited from the Harleian MS. 1764, by Thomas WRIGHT, Esq., M.A., and Mr. WILLIAM ROSSITER. 8s.

34. OLD ENGLISH HOMILIES AND HOMILIETIC TREATISES. (Sawles Warde, and the Wohunge of Ure Lauerd: Ureisuns of Ure Louerd and of Ure Lefdi, etc.) of the Twelfth and Thirteenth Centuries. Edited from MSS, in the British Museum, Lambeth, and Bodleian Libraries; with Introduction, Trans-

lation, and Notes, by Richard Morris. First Series. Part 2. 8s. 35. Sir David Lyndesay's Works. Part 3. The Historic of ane Nobil and Wailzeand Sqvyer, William Meldrum, umaybyle Laird of Cleische and Bynnis, compylit be Sir Dauld Lyndesay of the Mont alias Lyoun King of Armes. With the Testament of the said Williame Meldrum, Squyer, compylit alswa be Sir Dauld Lyndesay, etc. Edited by F. HALL, D.C.L. 2s.

36. MERLIN, OR THE EARLY HISTORY OF KING ARTHUR. A Prose Romance (about 1450-1460 A.D.), edited from the unique MS. in the University Library, Cambridge, by Henry B. Wheatley. With an Essay on Arthurian Localities, by J. S. Stuart Glennie, Esq. Part III. 1869, 12s.

37. SIR DAVID LYNDESAY'S WORKS. Part IV. Ane Satyre of the thrie estaits, in commendation of vertew and vityperation of vyce. Maid be Sir David Lindesay, of the Mont, alias Lyon King of Armes. At Edinburgh. Printed be Robert Charteris, 1602. Cvm privilegio regis.

Edited by F. Hall, Esq., D.C.L. 4s.
38. The Vision of William concenning Piers the Plowman, together with Vita de Dowel, Dobet, et Dobest, Secundum Wit et Resoun, by WILLIAM LANGLAND (1377 A.D.), The "Crowley" Text; or Text B. Edited from MS. Land Misc. 581, collated with MS. Rawl. Poet. 38, MS. B. 15, 17. in the Library of Trinity College, Cambridge, MS. Dd. 1. 17. in the Cambridge University Library, the MS. in Oriel College, Oxford, MS. Bodley 814, etc. By the Rev. Walter W. Skeat, M.A., late Fellow of Christ's College, Cambridge. 10s. 6d.

39. The "Gest Hystoriale" of the Destruction of Troy. An

Alliterative Romance, translated from Guido De Colonna's "Hystoria Troiana." Now first edited from the unique MS. in the Hunterian Museum. University of Glasgow, by the Rev. GEO. A. PANTON and DAVID DONALDSON.

Part I. 10s. 6d.

Early English English Text Society's Publications—continued.

40. English Gilbs. The Original Ordinances of more than One Hundred Early English Gilds: Together with the olde usages of the cite of Wynchestre; The Ordinances of Worcester; The Office of the Mayor of Bristol; and the Customary of the Manor of Tettenhall-Regis. Original MSS. of the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Centuries. Edited with Notes by the late Toulmin Smith, Esq., F.R.S. of Northern Antiquaries (Copenhagen). With an Introduction and Glossary, etc., by his daughter, LUCY TOULMIN SMITH. And a Preliminary Essay, in Five Parts, ON THE HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF GILDS, by LUJO BRENTANO, Doctor Juris Utriusque et Philosophiæ. 21s.

41. THE MINOR POEMS OF WILLIAM LAUDER, Playwright, Poet, and Minister of the Word of God (mainly on the State of Scotland in and about 1568 A.D., that year of Famine and Plague). Edited from the Unique Originals belonging to S. Christie-Miller, Esq., of Britwell, by F. J. FURNIVALL, M.A., Trin. Hall, Camb. 3s.

42. Bernardus de Cura rei Famuliaris, with some Early Scotch Prophecies, etc. From a MS., KK 1. 5, in the Cambridge University Library. Edited by J. RAWSON LUMBY, M.A., late Fellow of Magdalen College, Cambridge. 2s.

43. RATIS RAVING, and other Moral and Religious Pieces, in Prose and Verse. Edited from the Cambridge University Library MS. KK 1. 5, by J. RAWSON LUMBY, M.A., late Fellow of Magdalen College, Cambridge. 3s.

Extra Series. Subscriptions-Small paper, one guinea; large paper,

two guineas, per annum.

1. THE ROMANCE OF WILLIAM OF PALERNE (otherwise known as the Romance of William and the Werwolf). Translated from the French at the command of Sir Humphrey de Bohun, about A.D. 1350, to which is added a fragment of the Alliterative Romance of Alisaunder, translated from the Latin by the same author, about A.D. 1340; the former re-edited from the unique MS, in the Library of King's College, Cambridge, the latter now first edited from the unique MS. in the Bodleian Library, Oxford. By the Rev. WALTER W. SKEAT, M.A. 8vo. sewed, pp. xliv. and 328. £1 6s.

2. On Early English Pronunciation, with especial reference to Shakspere and Chaucer; containing an investigation of the Correspondence of Writing with Speech in England, from the Anglo-Saxon period to the present day, preceded by a systematic Notation of all Spoken Sounds by means of the ordinary Printing Types; including a re-arrangement of Prof. F. J. Child's Memoirs on the Language of Chaucer and Gower, and reprints of the rare Tracts by Salesbury on English, 1547, and Welsh, 1567, and by Barcley on French, 1521. By ALEXANDER J. ELLIS, F.R.S. Part I. On the Pronunciation of the xivth, xviith, and xviiith centuries. 8vo. sewed, pp. viii. and 416. 10s.

3. Canton's Book of Curtesye, printed at Westminster about 1477-8. A.D., and now reprinted, with two MS. copies of the same treatise, from the Oriel MS. 79, and the Balliol MS. 354. Edited by FREDERICK J. FURNIVALL, M.A. 8vo. sewed, pp. xii. and 58. 5s.

4. THE LAY OF HAVELOR THE DANE; composed in the reign of Edward I., about A.D. 1280. Formerly edited by Sir F. MADDEN for the Roxburghe Club, and now re-edited from the unique MS. Laud Misc. 108, in the Bodleian Library, Oxford, by the Rev. WALTER W. SKEAT, M.A. 8vo. sewed, pp. lv. and 160. 10s.

5. Chaucer's Translation of Boethius's "De Consolatione PHILOSOPHIE." Edited from the Additional MS. 10,340 in the British Museum. Collated with the Cambridge Univ. Libr. MS. Ii. 3. 21. By RICHARD MORRIS. Svo. 12s.

6. THE ROMANCE OF THE CHEVELERE ASSIGNE. Re-edited from the unique manuscript in the British Museum, with a Preface, Notes, and Glossarial Index, by HENRY H. GIBBS, Esq., M.A. Byo. sewed, pp.

xviii. and 38. 3s.

Early English Text Society's Publications—continued.

7. ON EARLY ENGLISH PRONUNCIATION, with especial reference to Shakspere and Chaucer. By ALEXANDER J. ELLIS, F.R.S., etc., etc. Part II. On the Pronunciation of the XIII th and previous centuries, of Anglo-Saxon, loclandic, Old Norse and Gothic, with Chromological Tables of the Value of Letters and Expression of Sounds in English Writing. 10s.

8. QUEENE ELIZABETHES ACHADEMY, by Sir HUMPHREY GILBERT. A Booke of Precedence, The Ordering of a Funerall, etc. Varying Versions of the Good Wife, The Wise Man, etc., Maxims, Lydgate's Order of Fools, A Poem on Heraldry, Occleve on Lords' Men, etc., Edited by F. J. FURNIVALL, M.A., Trin. Hall, Camb. With Essays on Early Italian and German Books of Courtesy, by W. M. Rossetti, Esq., and E. Oswald, Esq. 8vo. 13s.

9. THE FRATERNITYE OF VACABONDES, by John Awdeley (licensed in 1560-1, imprinted then, and in 1565), from the edition of 1575 in the Bodleian Library. A Caucat or Warening for Commen Cursetors vulgarely called Vagabones, by Thomas Harman, Esquiere. From the 3rd edition of 1567, belonging to Henry Huth. Esq., collated with the 2nd edition of 1567, in the Bodleian Library, Oxford, and with the reprint of the 4th edition of 1673. A Sermon in Praise of Thieves and Thievery, by Parson Haben or Hybrarden, from the Landowne MS. 98, and Cotton Vesp. A. 25. Those parts of the Groundworke of Comy-catching (ed. 1592), that differ from Harman's Caucat. Edited by Edward Viles & F. J. Furnivalli, 8vo. 7s. 6d.

10. THE FYRST BOKE OF THE INTRODUCTION OF KNOWLEDGE, made by Andrew Borde, of Physycke Doctor. A Compendators Regyment of A Dyerary of Helth made in Mountpyllier, compiled by Andrewe Boorde, of Physycke Doctor. Barnes in the Defence of the Berne: a treating made, answerings the treatyse of Doctor Borde upon Berdes. Edited, with a life of Andrew Boorde, and large extracts from his Breuyary, by F. J. Furnivalle, M.A., Trinity Hall, Camb. 8vo. 18s.

11. The Bruce; or, the Book of the most excellent and noble Prince, Robert de Broyss. King of Scots: compiled by Master John Barbour, Archdeacon of Aberdeen. A.D. 1375. Edited from MS. G 23 in the Library of St. John's College, Cambridge, written A.D. 1487; collated with the MS. in the Advocates' Library at Edinburgh, written A.D. 1489, and with Hart's Edition, printed A.D. 1616; with a Preface, Notes, and Glossarial Index, by the Rev. Walter W. Skeat, M.A. Part I. 8vo. 128.

Edda Saemundar Hinns Froda—The Edda of Saemund the Learned.
From the Old Norse or Icelandic. Part I. with a Mythological Index. 12ma. pp.
152, cloth, 3s. 6d. Part II. with Index of Persons and Places. By Benjamin
Thorrs. 12mo. pp. viii. and 172, cloth. 1866. 4s.; or in 1 Vol. complete, 7s. 6d.

Edkins.—China's Place in Philology. An attempt to show that the Languages of Europe and Asia have a common origin. By the Rev. Joseph Edkins.

[In the press.

Eger and Grime; an Early English Romance. Edited from Bishop Percy's Folio Manuscript, about 1650 A.D. By John W. Hales, M.A., Fellow and late Assistant Tutor of Christ's College, Cambridge, and Francence J. Furnivall, M.A., of Trinity Hall, Cambridge. 1 vol. 4to. (only 100 copies printed), bound in the Roxburghe style. pp. 64. Price 10s. 6d.

Eitel.—HANDBOOK FOR THE STUDENT OF CHINESE BUDDHISM. By the Rev. E. J. Eitel, of the London Missionary Society. Crown 8vo. pp. viii., 224, cloth, 18s.

Elliot.—The History of India, as told by its own Historians. The Muhammadan Period. Edited from the Posthumous Papers of the late Sir H. M. Elliot, K.C.B., East India Company's Bengal Civil Service, by Prof. John Dowson, M.R.A.S., Staff College, Sandhurst. Vols. I. and II. With a Portrait of Sir H. M. Elliot. 8vo. pp. xxxii. and 542, x. and 580, cloth. 18s. each. Vol. III. 8vo. pp. xii. and 627, cloth. 21s.

- Elliot.—Memoirs on the History, Folk-Lore, and Distribution of THE RACES OF THE NORTH WESTERN PROVINCES OF INDIA; being an amplified Edition of the original Supplementary Glossary of Indian Terms. By the late Sir HENRY M. ELLIOT, K.C.B., of the Hon. East India Company's Bengal Civil Service. Edited, revised, and re-arranged, by John Beames, M.R.A.S., Bengal Civil Service; Member of the German Oriental Society, of the Asiatic Societies of Paris and Bengal, and of the Philological Society of London. In 2 vols. demy 8vo., pp. xx., 870, and 396, cloth. With two Lithographic Plates, one full-page coloured Map, and three large coloured folding Maps. 36s.
- Ellis.—The Asiatic Appinities of the Old Italians. By Robert ELLIS, B.D., Fellow of St. John's College, Cambridge, and author of "Accient Routes between Italy and Gaul." Crown 8vo. pp. iv. 156, cloth. 1870. 5s.
- English and Welsh Languages.—The Influence of the English and Welsh Languages upon each other, exhibited in the Vocabularies of the two Tongues. Intended to suggest the importance to Philologers, Antiquaries, Ethnographers, and others, of giving due attention to the Celtic Branch of the Indo-Germanic Family of Languages. Square, pp. 30, sewed. 1869. 1s.
- Etherington.—The Student's Grammar of the Hindi Language, By the Rev. W. ETHERINGTON, Missionary, Renares. Crown 8vo. pp. xii. 220. zlviji, cloth, 1870. 10s. 6d.
- Ethnological Society of London (The Journal of the). Edited by Professor Huxley, F.R.S., President of the Society; George Busk, Esq., F.R.S.; Sir John Lubbock, Bart., F.R.S.; Colonel A. Lang Fox, Hon. Sec.1 THOMAS WRIGHT, Esq., Hon. Sec.; HYDE CLARKE, Esq.; Sub-Editor; and Assistant Secretary, J. H. LAMPREY, Esq. Published Quarterly.

Vol. I., No. 1. April, 1869. 8vo. pp. 88, sewed. 3s.

Vol. 1., No. 1. April, 1869. Svo. pp. 88, sewed. 3s.

Contants.—Flint Instruments from Oxfordshire and the Isle of Thanet. (Illustrated.) By Colonel A. Lune Fox.—The Westerly Drifting of Nomeds. By H. H. Howorth.—On the Lion Shilling. By Hyde Clarks.—Letter on a Marble Armist. By H. W. Edwards.—On a Bronze Spear from Lough Gur, Limerick. (Illustrated.) By Col. A. Lane Fox.—On Chinese Charms. By W. H. Black.—Proto-ethnic Condition of Asia Minor. By Hyde Clarks.—On Stone Inplements from the Cape. (Illustrated.) By Sir J. Lubbock. — Cromlechs and Megalithic Structures. By H. M. Westropp.—Remarks on Mr. Westropp's Paper. By Colonel A. Lane Fox.—Stone Implements from San José. By A. Steffens.—On Child-bearing in Australia and New Zesland. By J. Hooker, M.D.—On a Psondo-eromlech on Mount Alexander, Australia. By Acheson.—The Cave Caucibals of South Africa. By Lavland.—Reviews: Wallace's Malay Archipelago (with illustrations); Fryer's Hill Tribes of India (with an illustration); Reliquies Aquitanlex, etc.—Method of Photographic Measurement of the Human Frame (with an illustration). By J. H. Lamproy.—Notes and Queries.

Vol. 1 No. 2. July 1869. Swo. no. 117 sewed. Se

Vol. I., No. 2. July, 1869. 8vo. pp. 117, sewed. 8s.

Vol. I., No. 2. Jaly, 1869. 8vo. pp. 117, sewed. Ss.

7. CONTENES.—Ordinary Meeting, March 9, 1869 (held at the Museum of Practical Geology),
Frofessor Huxley, F.R.S., President, in the Chair. Opening Address of the President.—On
the Characteristics of the population of Central and South India (Blustrated). By Sir Walter
Elliot.—Oo the Races of India as traced in existing Tribes and Castes (With a Map).
By G. Campbell, Esc.—Remarks by Mr. James Fergusson.—Remarks by Mr. Walter Dendy.
—Ordinary Meeting, January 23rd, 1869. Professor Huxley, F.B.S., President, in the
Chair. On the Lepchas. By Dr. A Campbell, late Superintendent of Darjeeling.—On Prehistoric Archeology of India (Illustrated). By Colonel Meadows Taylor, C.S.I., M.R.A.S.,
M.R.I.A., etc.—Appendix I. Extract from description of the Pandoo Coolies in Malsbar. By Jr.
Babington, Esq. (Read before the Literary Society of Bombay, December 2018 1820. Published
in Volume III., of the Society's Transactions).—Appendix II. Extract from a letter from Capitaln,
now Colonel, A. Doris, dated Camp Katangrich, April 12th, 1852.—On permanence of
type in the Human Race. By Sir William Denison.—Notes and Reviews.—Ethnological Notes
and Queries.—Notices of Ethnology.

Vol. I., No. 8. October, 1869. up. 137, sewed. 3s.

Vol. I., No. 8. October, 1869. pp. 137, sewed. 3s.

CONTENT.—On the Excavation of a large raised Stone Circle or Barrow, near the Village of Wuvregaon, one mile from the military station of Kamptee, Central Provinces of India (Illustrated). By Major George Godfrey Pearse, Royal Artillery.—Remarks by Dr. Hooker on Dr. Campbell's paper.—North-American Ethnology: Address of the President.—On the Native Races of New Mexico (Illustrated). By Dr. A. W. Bell.—On the Arapahoes, Kiowas, and Comanches. By Morton C. Fisher.—The North-American Indians: a Sketch of some of the hostile Tribes: together with a brief account of General Sheridan's Campaign of 1868 against the Sioux, Cheyenne, Arapahoe, Kiowa, and Comanche Indians. By William Slackmore.—Notes and Reviews: The Ethnological Essays of William Ewart Gladstone.

Juventus Mundi, the Gods and Men of the Homeric Age. By the Right Hon. William Ewart Gladstone. (The Review by Hyde Clarke, Esq.)—Notes and Queries.—Classification Committee.

Vol. I., No. 4. January, 1870. pp. 98, sewed. 3s.

CONTENTS, -On New Zealand and Polynesian Ethnology: On the Social Life of the ancient CONTENTS.—On New Zealand and Polynesian Extratology: On the Social Line of the ancient Inhalitants of New Zealand, and on the national character it was likely to form. By Sir George Grey, K.C.B.—Notes on the Maories of New Zealand and some Melanesians of the south-west Pacific. By the Bishop of Wellington.—Observations on the Inhalitants and Antiquities of Easter Island. By J. L. Palmer.—On the westerly drifting of Nomades from the fifth to the nineteenth century. Part II. The Seljuks, Ghazdevides, etc. Ry H. H. Howorth, Esq.—Settle Cave Exploration.—Index.—Contents.—Report of the Council.—List of Fellows.

Vol. II., No. 1. April, 1870. 8vo. sewed, pp. 96. 8s.

Vol. II., No. 1. April, 1870. 8vo. sewed, pp. 96. 3s,

Contents:—On the Proposed Exploration of Stonehenge by a Committee of the British
Association. By Col. A. Lane Fox.—On the Chinese Race, their Language, Government, Social
Institutions, and Religion. By C. T. Gardner. Appendix I.: On Chinese Mythological and Legendary History II.: On Chinese Time.—Discussion,—Cut he Races and Lauguages of Dardistan.

By Dr. G. W. Leitner.—Discussion.—Extract from a Communication by Munphool, Pundit to
the Political Department, India Office, on the Relations between Glight, Chitral, and Kashmir.—
On Quartsite Implements from the Cape of Good Hope. By Sir G. Grey.—Discussion.—Note
on a supposed Stone implement from County Wicklow, Ireland. By F. Atteheon.—Note
on the Stature of American Indians of the Chipewyan Tribe. By Major-General Lefroy—
Report on the Present State and Condition of Pre-bistoric Remains in the Channel Islands. By
Lieut. S. P. Joliver.—Appendix: The Opening and Restoration of the Crometo of Le Couperon.—
Discussion —Description and Remarks upon an Ancient Calvaria from China, which has been

**Reposed to be that of Confuctus, By George Busk.—Discussion.—On the Vesterly Drifting of
Nomades, from the 5th to the 19th Century. Part III. The Comans and Petchenegs. By H. H.

Howorth.—Review.—Notes and Querica,—Illustrated.

Vol. 11. No. 2. July. 1870. 8vo. sevend pp. 95. 2s.

Vol. II., No. 2. July, 1870. 8vo. sewed, pp. 95. 3s.

Vol. 11., No. 2. July, 1870. 8vo. sewed, pp. 95. 3s.

Contents:—On the Kitai and Kara-Kitai. By Dr. G. Oppert.—Discussion.—Note on the Use of the New Zealand Mere. By Colonel A. Lane Fox.—On Certain Pre-historic Remains discovered in New Zealand, and on the Nature of the Deposits in which they occurred. By Dr. Julius Hasst.—Discussion.—On the Origin of the Tasmanians, geologically considered. By James Bonwick.—Discussion.—On a Frontier Line of Ethnology and Geology. By H. H. Howorth.—Notes on the Nicobar Islanders. By G. M. Atkinson.—On the Discovery of Plint and Chert under a Submerged Forest in West Somerset. By W. Boyd Onwkins.—Discussion,—Remarks by Dr. A. Campbell, introductory to the Rev. R. J. Mapleton's Report.—Report on Pre-historic Remains in the Neighbourhood of the Crinan Canal, Argyllshire. By the Rev. R. J. Mapleton.—Discussion.—Supplementary Remarks to a Note on an Ancient Chinese Catva. By George Busk.—On Discoveries in Recent Deposits in Yorkshire. By C. Monkman, Discussion.—On the Natives of Nags, in Luzon, Philippine Islands.—By Dr. Jagor.—On the Koords. By Major F. Millinger.—On the Westerly Drifting of Nomades, from the 5th to the 19th Century. Part IV. The Circassians and White Kazars. By H. H. Howorth.—Notes and Queries.—Illustrated. Illustrated.

Vol. II., No. 8. October, 1870. 8vo. sewed, pp. 176. 3s.

Contents:—On the Aymara Indians of Bolivia and Peru. By David Forbes. Appendix:

A. Table of Detailed Measurements of Aymara Indians. B. Substances used as Medicines by
the Aymara Indiana, and their Names for Diseases. C. Vocabulary of Aymara Words—Discusston.—On the Opening of Two Cairns near Bangor, North Wales. By Colonel A. Lane Fox.—
Discussion.—On the Earliest Phases of Civilization. By Hodder M. Westropp.—On Current
British Mythology and Oral Traditions. By J. F. Campbell.—Note on a Cist with Engraved
Stones on the Poltalloch Estate, Arrylishive. By the Rev. R. J. Mapleton.—Discussion—On the
Tribal System and Land Tenure in Ireland under the Brehon Laws. By Hodder M. Westropp.

—Discussion.—On the Danish Element in the Population of Cleveland, Yorkshire. By the Rev.

I. C. Atkinson.—Discussion—Notes and Oweries.—Ulwarsted J. C. Atkinson. - Discussion. - Notes and Queries. - Illustrated.

- Facsimiles of Two Papyri found in a Tomb at Thebes. With a Translation by Samuel Birch, LL.D., F.S.A., Corresponding Member of the Institute of France, Academies of Berlin, Herculaneum, etc., and an Account of their Discovery. By A. Henry Rhind, Esq., F.S.A., etc. In large folio, pp. 30 of text, and 16 plates coloured, bound in cloth. 21s.
- Furnivall.—Education in Early England. Some Notes used as Forewords to a Collection of Treatises on "Manners and Meals in the Olden Time," for the Early English Text Society. By FREDERICK J. FURNIVALL, M.A., Trinity Hall, Cambridge, Member of Council of the Philological and Early English Text Societies. 8vo. sewed, pp. 74. 1s.
- Gesenius' Hebrew Grammar. Translated from the 17th Edition. Dr. T. J. CONANT. With grammatical Exercises and a Chrestomathy by the Translator. 8vo. pp. xvi. and 364, cloth. 20s.
- Gesenius' Hebrew and English Lexicon of the Old Testament, including the Biblical Chaldee, from the Latin. By EDWARD ROBINSON. Fifth Edition. 8vo. pp. xii. and 1160, cloth. 36s.

- God.—Book of God. By ©. 8vo. cloth. Vol. I.: The Apocalypse. pp. 647. 12s. 6d.—Vol. II. An Introduction to the Apocalypse, pp. 752. 14 Vol. III. A Commentary on the Apocalypse, pp. 854. 16s.
- God.—Της Name of God in 405 Languages. 'Αγνώστφ Θεφ. 32mo. pp. 64, sewed. 2d.
- Goldstücker.—A Dictionary, Sanskrit and English, extended and improved from the Second Edition of the Dictionary of Professor H. H. Wilson, with his sanction and concurrence. Together with a Supplement, Grammatical Appendices, and an Index, serving as a Sanskrit-English Vocabulary. THRODOR GOLDSTHORER. Parts I. to VI. 4to. pp. 400. 1856-1863. 6s. each.
- Goldstücker,—A Compendious Sanskrit-English Dictionary, for the Use of those who intend to read the easier Works of Classical Sanskrit Literature. By Theodor Goldstücker. Small 4to. pp. 900, cloth. [In preparation.
- Goldstücker.—Panini: His Place in Sanskrit Literature. tigation of some Literary and Chronological Questions which may be settled by a study of his Work. A separate impression of the Preface to the Facsimile of MS. No. 17 in the Library of Her Majesty's Home Government for India, which contains a portion of the Manava-Kalpa-Sutra, with the Commentary of Kumarila-Swamin. By Throdor Goldstücker. Imperial 8vo. pp. 268, cloth. 12s.
- Grammatography.—A Manual of Reference to the Alphabets of Aucient and Modern Languages. Based on the German Compilation of F. BALLHORN. Royal 8vo. pp. 80, cloth. 7s. 6d.

The "Grammatography" is offered to the public as a compendious introduction to the reading of the most important ancient and modern languages. Simple in its design, it will be consulted with advantage by the philological student, the amateur linguist, the bookseller, the corrector of the press, and the diligent compositor. ALPHABETICAL INDEX.

Czechian(or Bohemian). Hebrew (corrent hand). Polish.

Danish. Hebrew (Judse-Ger- Pushto Afghan (or Pushto). Pushto (or Afghan). Ambaric. [man). Romaic (Modern Greek) Anglo-Saxon. Demotic. Rungerian. Illyrian. Arabio. Estrangelo. Russlan. Ethlopic. Arabic Ligatures. Irish. Runes. Samaritan. Etruscan. Italian (Old). Aramaic. Archaic Characters. Georgian. Japanese. Sanscrit. Armenian. German. Javanese. Servian. Assyrian Cuneiform. Glagolitic. Lettish. Blavonic (Old). Cothic. Mantshu. Median Cuneiform. Sorbian (or Wendish). Bengali. Bohemian (Czechian). Greek. Swedish. Burnese. Greek Ligatures. Modern Greek (Archatc). Mongolian Canarese (or Carnâtaca). Gujerati(orGuzzeratte). Namidian Modern Greek (Romaic) Syriac. Mongolian. Tamil. Mongolian. Telugu. OldSlavonic(orCyrillic). Tibetan. Chinese. Hieratic. Coptie. Hieroglyphics. Palmyrenian. Turkish. Croato-Glapolitic. Hebrew. Persian. Wallachian. Cuffe. Hebrew (Archaic).
Cyrillic (or Old Slavonic). Hebrew (Rabbinical). Persian Cuneiform. Wendish (or Sorbian). Phoenician. Zend.

- Grey.—Handbook of African, Australian, and Polynesian Phi-LOLOGY, as represented in the Library of His Excellency Sir George Grey, K.C.B. Her Majesty's High Commissioner of the Cape Colony. Annotated, and Edited by Sir George Grey and Dr. H. I. BLEEK.

- Vol. I. Part 1.—South Africa. 8vo. pp. 186. 7s. 6d.
 Vol. I. Part 2.—Africa (North of the Tropic of Capricorn). 8vo. pp. 70. 2s.
 Vol. I. Part 3.—Madagascar. 8vo. pp. 24. 1s.
 Vol. II. Part 1.—Australia. 8vo. pp. 1v. and 44. 1s. 6d.
 Vol. II. Part 2.—Papuan Languages of the Loyalty Islands and New Hebrides, comprising those of the Islands of Nengone, Lifu, Ancitum, Tans, and
- others. 3vo. p. 12. 6d.
 Vol. II. Part 3.—Fiji Islands and Rotums (with Supplement to Part II., Papuan Lan-
- guages, and Part I., Australia). 8vo. pp. 31. 1s.
 Vol. II. Part 4.—New Zealand, the Chatham Islands, and Auckland Islands. 8vo. pp. 76. 3s. 6d.
- Vol. II. Part 4 (continuation).-Polynesis and Borneo. 8vo. pp. 77-154. 8s. 8d.
- Vol. III. Part 1.—Manuscripts and Incunables. 8vo. pp. viii. and 24. 2: Vol. IV. Part 1.—Early Printed Books. England. 8vo. pp. vi. and 268.

- Grey.—Maori Mementos: being a Series of Addresses presented by the Native People to His Excellency Sir George Grey, K.C.B., F.R.S. With Introductory Remarks and Explanatory Notes; to which is added a small Collection of Laments, etc. By Ch. Oliver B. Davis. 8vo. pp. iv. and 228, cloth. 12s.
- Green.—Shakespeare and the Emblem-Writers: an Exposition of their Similarities of Thought and Expression. Preceded by a View of the Emblem-Book Literature down to A.D. 1616. By Henry Green, M.A. In one volume, pp. xvi. 572, profusely illustrated with Woodcuts and Photolith. Plates, elegantly bound in cloth glit, large medium 8vo. £1 11s. 6d; large imperial 8vo. £2 12s. 6d. 1870.

Griffith.—Scenes from the Ramayana, Meghaduta, etc. Translated by Ralph T. H. Griffith, M.A., Principal of the Benares College. Second Edition. Crown 8vo. pp. xviii., 244, cloth. 6s.

CONTENTS.—Preface—Ayodhya—Ravan Doomed—The Birth of Rama—The Heir apparent—Menthara's Gulle—Dasaratho's Oath—The Step-mother—Mother and Son—The Triumph of Love—Farewell?—The Hermit's Son—The Trial of Truth—The Forest—The Rape of Sita—Rama's Despair—The Messenger Cloud—Khumbakarna—The Suppliant Dove—True Glory—Feed the Poor—The Wise Scholar.

- Griffith.—The Ramayan of Valmini. Translated into English verse. By Ralph T. H. Griffith, M.A., Principal of the Benares College. Vol. I., containing Books I. and II. 8vo. pp. xxxii. 440, cloth. 1870. 188.
- Grout.—The Isizulu: a Grammar of the Zulu Language; accompanied with an Historical Introduction, also with an Appendix. By Rev. Lewis Grout. Svo. pp. lii. and 432, cloth. 21s.
- Haug.—Essays on the Sacred Language, Writings, and Religion of the Parsees. By Martin Haug, Dr. Phil. Superintendent of Sanskrit Studies in the Poons College. 8vo. pp. 278, cloth. [Out of print.]
- Hang.—A LECTURE ON AN ORIGINAL SPEECH OF ZOROASTER (Yasna 45), with remarks on his age. By Martin Haug, Ph.D. 8vo. pp. 28, sewed. Bombay, 1865. 2s.
- Haug.—Outline of a Grammae of the Zend Language. By Martin Haug, Dr. Phil. 8vo. pp. 82, sewed. 14s.
- Haug.—The Aitareya Brahmanam of the Rig Veda: containing the Earliest Speculations of the Brahmana on the meaning of the Sacrificial Prayers, and on the Origin, Performance, and Sense of the Rites of the Vedic Religion. Edited, Translated, and Explained by Martin Haug, Ph.D., Superintendent of Sanskrit Studies in the Poona College, etc., etc. In 2 Vols. Crown 8vo. Vol. I. Contents, Sanskrit Text, with Preface, Introductory Essay, end a Map of the Sacrificial Compound at the Soma Sacrifice, pp. 312. Vol. II. Translation with Notes, pp. 544. £3 3s.
- Hang.—An Old Zand-Pahlavi Glossary. Edited in the Original Characters, with a Transliteration in Roman Letters, an English Translation, and an Alphabetical Index. By Destur Hoshengii Jamaspii, High-priest of the Parsis in Malwa, India. Revised with Notes and Introduction by Martin Haug, Ph.D., late Superintendent of Sanscrit Studies in the Poona College, Foreign Member of the Royal Bavarian Academy. Published by order of the Government of Bombay. Bvo. sewed, pp. 1vi. and 132. 15s.
- Haug.—An Old Pahlavi-Pazand Glossary. Edited, with an Alphabetical Index, by Destub Hoshanghi Jamashi Asa, High Priest of the Parsis in Malwa, India. Revised and Enlarged, with an Introductory Essay on the Pahlavi Language, by Martin Haug, Ph.D. Published by order of the Government of Bombay. 8vo. pp. xvi. 152, 268, sewed. 1870. 28s.
- Haug.—Essay on the Pahlavi Language. By Martin Haug, Ph. D., Professor of Sanscrit and Comparative Philology at the University of Munich, Member of the Royal Bavarian Academy of Sciences, etc. (From the Pahlavi-Pazand Glossary, edited by Destur Hoshangji and M. Haug.) 8vo. pp. 152, sewed. 1870. 3s. 6d.

- Haug.—The Religion of the Zoroastrians, as contained in their Sacred Writings. With a History of the Zend and Pehlevi Literature, and a Grammar of the Zend and Pehlevi Languages. By Martin Haug, Ph.D., late Superintendent of Sanscrit Studies in the Poona College. 2 vols. 8vo. [In preparation.
- Heaviside.—American Antiquities; or, the New World the Old, and the Old World the New. By John T. C. Heaviside. 8vo. pp. 46, sewed. 1s. 6d.
- Hepburn.—A JAPANESE AND ENGLISH DICTIONARY. With an English and Japanese Index. By J. C. Herburn, A.M., M.D. Imperial 8vo. cloth, pp. zii., 560 and 132. 56. 5s.
- Harnisz,—A Guide to Conversation in the English and Chinese.

 Languages, for the use of Americans and Chinese in California and elsewhere.

 By Stanislas Hernisz. Square 8vo. pp. 274, sewed. 10s. 6d.

The Chinese characters contained in this work are from the collections of Chinese groups, engraved on steel, and cast into moveable types, by Mr. Marcellin Legrand, engraver of the Imperial Printing Office at Paris. They are used by most of the missions to China.

- Hincks.—Specimen Chapters of an Assyrian Grammar. By the late Rev. E. Hincks, D.D., Hon. M.R.A.S. 8vo., pp. 44, sewed. 1s.
- History of the Sect of Maharajahs; or, Vallabhacharas in Western India. With a Steel Plate. Svo. pp. 384, cloth. 12s.
- Hoffmann.—Shopping Dialogues, in Japanese, Dutch, and English.
 By Professor J. Hoffmann. Oblong Syd. pp. ziii. and 44, sewed. 3s.
- Hoffmann.—A JAPANESE GRAMMAR. By J. J. HOFFMANN, Ph. Doc., Member of the Royal Academy of Sciences, etc., etc. Published by command of His Majesty's Minister for Colonial Affairs. Imp. 8vo. pp. viii 352, sewed. 12s. 6d.
- Historia y fundacion de la Ciudad de Tlaxoala, y sus cuatro caveceras. Sacada por Francisco de Losiza de iengua Castellana à esta Mexicana. Año de 1718. Con una Traduccion Castellana, publicado por S. Leon Reinisch. In one volume folio, with 25 Photographic Plates.
- Howse.—A Grammar of the Cree Language. With which is combined an analysis of the Chippeway Dialect. By Joseph Howse, Eq., F.R.G.S. 8vo. pp. xx. and 324, cloth. 7s. 6d.
- Hunter.—A Comparative Dictionary of the Languages of India and High Asia, with a Dissertation, based on The Hodgson Lists, Official Records, and Manuscripts. By W. W. Hunter, B.A., M.R.A.S., Honorary Fellow, Ethnological Society, of Her Majesty's Bengal Civil Service. Folio, pp. vi. and 224, cloth. £2 2a.
- Ikhwanu-s Safa.—IHEWANU-s SAFA; or, BROTHERS OF PURITY. Describing the Contention between Men and Beasts as to the Superiority of the Human Race. Translated from the Hindustani by Professor J. Dowson, Staff College, Sandhurst. Crown 8vo. pp. viil. and 156, cloth. 7s.
- Inman.—Anoient Faiths Embodied in Ancient Times; or, an attempt to trace the Religious Belief, Sacred Rites, and Holy Emblems of certain Nations, by an interpretation of the names given to children by Priestly authority, or assumed by prophets, kings and hierarchs. By Thomas Inman, M.D., Liverpool. 2 vols. 8vo. pp. 1. and 1028, cloth, illustrated with numerous plates and woodcuts. £3.
- Inman.—Ancient Pagan and Modern Christian Symbolism Exposed and Explained. By Thomas Inman, M.D. (London), Physician to the Royal Infirmary, Liverpool. 8vo. pp. xvi. 68, stiff covers, with numerous Illustrations. 1870. 5s.
- Jaeschke.—A Short Practical Grammar of the Tibetan Language, with special Reference to the Spoken Dialects. By H. A. Jaeschub, Moravian Missionary. 8vo. sewed, pp. ii. and 56. 2s. 8d.

Jaesohke.—Romanized Tibetan and English Dictionary, each word being re-produced in the Tibetan as well as in the Roman character. By H. A.

Jaesohke.—Romanized Tibetan and English Dictionary, each word being re-produced in the Tibetan as well as in the Roman character. By H. A.

Jaesohke.—Romanized Tibetan and English Dictionary, each word being re-produced in the Tibetan as well as in the Roman character. By H. A.

Jaesohke.—Romanized Tibetan and English Dictionary, each word being re-produced in the Tibetan as well as in the Roman character. By H. A.

Jaesohke.—Romanized Tibetan and English Dictionary, each word being re-produced in the Tibetan as well as in the Roman character. By H. A.

Julien.—Syntake Nouvelle de la Langue Chinoise.

Vol. I.—Fondée sur la position des mots, suivie de deux traités sur les particules et les principaux termes de grammaire, d'une table des idiotismes, de fables, de légandes et d'apologues traduits mot à mot. 8vo. sawed. 1869. 20s.

Vol. II.—Fondée sur la position des mots confirmée par l'analyse d'un texte ancien, suivie d'un petit Dictionnaire du Roman des Deux Cousines, et de Dialogues dramatiques traduits mot à mot, par M. STANISLAS JULIEN, de l'Institut. 8vo. pp. 436, sewed. 1870. 20s.

Justi.—HANDBUCH DER ZENDSFRACHE, VON FERDINAND JUSTI. Altbactrisches Woerterbuch. Grammatik Chrestomathie. Four parts, 4to. sewed, pp. xxii. and 424. Leipzig, 1884. 24s.

Kafir Essays, and other Pieces; with an English Translation. Edited by the Right Rev. the Bishor of Ghahamstown. 32mo. pp. 84, sewed. 2e dd.

Kalidasa.—Raghuvansa. By Kalidasa. No. 1. (Cantos 1-3.)
With Notes and Grammatical Explanations, by Rev. K. M. Banbarba,
Second Professor of Bishop's College, Calcutta; Member of the Board of Examiners, Fort-William; Honorary Member of the Royal Asiatic Society, London.
8vo. sewed, pp. 70. 4s. 6d.

Kern.— The Behat-Sanhfrá; or, Complete System of Natural Astrology of Varáha-Mihira. Translated from Sanskrit into English by Dr. H. Kenn, Professor of Sanskrit at the University of Leyden. 8vo. pp. 50, stitched, Part I. 2s. [Will be completed in Nine Parts.

Khirad-Afroz (The Illuminator of the Understanding). By Maulaví Hafízu'd-dín. A new edition of the Hindústání Text, carefully revised, with Notes, Critical and Explanatory. By Edward B. Eastwick, M.P., F.R.S., F.S.A., M.R.A.S., Professor of Hindústání at the late East India Company's College at Haileybury. 8vo. cloth, pp. xiv. and 321. 18s.

Kidd.—Catalogue of the Chinese Library of the Royal Asiatic Society. By the Rev. S. Kidd. 8vo. pp. 58, sewed. 1s.

Kielhorn. —A Grammar of the Sanskrit Language. By F. Kielhorn, Ph.D., Superintendent of Sanskrit Studies in Deccan College. Registered under Act xxv. of 1867. Demy 8vo. pp. xvi. 260. cloth. 1870. 10s. 6d.

Kistner.—Buddha and his Doctrines. A Biographical Essay. By Otto Kistner. Imperial 8vo., pp. iv. and 82, sewed. 2s. 6d.

Koran (The). Arabic text, lithographed in Oudh, A.H. 1284 (1867).
16mo. pp. 942, bound in red goatskin, Oriental style, silver tooling. 7s. 6d.
The printing, as well as the outer appearance of the book, is extremely tasteful, and the characters, although small, read very easily. As a cheap edition for reference this is preferable to any other, and its price puts it within the reach of every Oriental scholar. It is now first imported from Iudia.

Laghu Kaumudí. A Sanskrit Grammar. By Varadarája. With an English Version, Commentary, and References. By James R. Ballantyne, LL.D., Principal of the Saskrit College, Benares. Svo. pp. xxxvi. and 424, cloth. £1 11s. 5d.

Legge.—The Chinese Classics. With a Translation, Critical and Exagetical Notes, Prolegomena, and Copious Indexes. By James Legge, D.D., of the London Missionary Society. In seven vols. Vol. I. containing Confucian Analests, the Great Learning, and the Doctrine of the Mean. 8vo. pp. 626, cloth. £2 2s.—Vol. II., containing the Works of Mencius. 8vo. pp. 634, cloth. £2 2s.—Vol. III. Part I. containing the First Part of the Shoo-King, or the Books of T. Aug, the Books of Yu, the Books of Hea, the Books of Shang, and the Prolegomena. Royal 8vo. pp. viii. and 280, cloth. £2 2s.—Vol. III. Part II. containing the Fifth Part of the Shoo-King, or the Books of Chow, and the Indexes. Royal 8vo. pp. 281—736, cloth. £2 2s.

Legge.—The Life and Teachings of Confucius, with Explanatory Notes. By James Legge, D.D. Reproduced for General Readers from the Author's work, "The Chinese Classics," with the original Text. Second edition. Crown 8vo. cloth, pp. vi. and 338. 10s. 6d.

Leigh.—The Religion of the World. By H. Stone Leigh. 12mo. pp. xii. 66, cloth. 1869. 2s. 6d.

Leitner.—The Races and Languages of Dardistan. By G. W. Leitner, M.A., Ph.D., Honorary Fellow of King's College London, etc.; late on Special Duty in Kashmir. 4 vols. 4to.

Leland.—HANS BREITMANN'S PARTY. With other Ballads. By CHARLES G. LELAND. Eighth Edition. Square, pp. xvi. and 74, sewed. 1s.

Leland.—HANS BREITMANN'S CHRISTMAS. With other Ballads. By CHARLES G. LELAND. Second edition. Square, pp. 80, sewed. 1s.

Leland.—Hans Breitmann as a Politician. By Charles G. Leland. Second edition. Square, pp. 72, sewed. 1s.

Leland.—HANS BREITMANN IN CHURCH. With other Ballads. By Charles G. Leland. With an Introduction and Glossary. Square, pp. 80, sewed. 1870. 1s.

Leland.—Breitmann Ballads. Four Series complete. Contents:—
Hans Breitmann's Party. Hans Breitmann's Christmas. Hans Breitmann as a Politician. Hans Breitmann in Church. With other Ballads. By CHARLES G. Leland. With Introductions and Glossaries. Square, pp. 300, cloth. 1870. 4s. 6d.

1870. 4s. 6d.

Leland.—Hans Breitmann as an Uhlan. Six New Ballads, with a Glossary. Square, sewed, pp. 72. Is.

Lesley.—Man's Origin and Destiny, Sketched from the Platform of the Sciences, in a Course of Lectures delivered before the Lowell Institute, in Boston, in the Winter of 1865-6. By J. P. Lesley, Member of the National Academy of the United States, Secretary of the American Philosophical Society. Numerous Woodcuts. Crown 8vo. pp. 392, cloth. 10s. 6d.

Liherien hag Avielen; or, the Catholic Epistles and Gospels for the Day up to Ascension. Translated for the first time into the Brehonec of Brittany. Also in three other parallel columns a New Version of the same into Breizounec (commonly called Breton and Armorican); a Version into Welsh, mostly new, and closely resembling the Breton; and a Version Garlic or Many or Cernawec; with Illustrative Articles by Christolia Psalms are also added. Oblong 4to. pp. 156, sewed. 5s.

Lobscheid.—English and Chinese Dictionary, with the Punti and Mandarin Pronunciation. By the Rev. W. Lobscheid, Knight of Francis Joseph, C. M.I. R. G. S. A., N. Z. B. S. V., etc. Folio, pp. viii. and 2016. In Four Parts. £8 8s.

Lobscheid.—Chinese and English Dictionary, Arranged according to the Radicals. By the Rev. W. Lobscheid, Knight of Francis Joseph, C.M.I.R.G.S.A., N.Z.B.S.V., &c. 1 vol. imp. 8vo. double columns, pp. 800.

Ludewig (Hermann E.)—The Literature of American Aboriginal Languages. With Additions and Corrections by Professor Wm. W. Turner. Edited by Nicolas Trüber. Svo. fly and general Title, 2 leaves; Dr. Ludewig's Preface, pp. v.—viii.; Editor's Preface, pp. iv.—xii.; Biographica Memoir of Dr. Ludewig, pp. xiii.—xiv.; and Introductory Biographical Notices pp. xiv.—xxiv., followed by List of Contents. Then follow Dr. Ludewig's Bibliotheca Glottica, alphabetically arranged, with Additions by the Editor, pp. 1—209; Professor Turner's Additions, with those of the Editor, pp. also alphabetically arranged, pp. 210—246; Index, pp. 247—256; and List of Errata, pp. 267, 258. Handsomely bound in cloth. 10s. 6d.

- Manava-Kalpa-Sutra; being a portion of this ancient Work on Vaidik Rites, together with the Commentary of Kumarila-Swamin. A Facsimile of the MS. No. 17, in the Library of Her Majesty's Home Government for India. With a Preface by Theodora Goldstücker. Oblong folio, pp. 268 of letterpress and 121 leaves of facsimiles. Cloth. £4 4w.
- Manipulus Vocabulorum; A Rhyming Dictionary of the English Language. By Peter Levins (1570) Edited, with an Alphabetical Index, by HENRY B. WHEATLEY. 8vo. pp. xvi. and 370, cloth. 14s.
- Manning.—An Inquiry into the Character and Origin of the Possessive Augment in English and in Cognate Dialects. By the late James Manning, Q.A.S., Recorder of Oxford. 8vo.pp. iv. and 90. 2s.
- Markham.—QUICHUA GRAMMAR and DICTIONARY. Contributions towards a Grammar and Dictionary of Quichua, the Language of the Yncas of Peru; collected by CLEMENTS R. MARKHAM, F.S.A., Corr. Mem. of the University of Chile. Author of "Cuzco and Lima," and "Travels in Peru and India." In one vol. crown 8vo., pp. 223, cloth. £1.1s.
- Marsden.—Numismata Orientalia Illustrata. The Plates of the Oriental Coins, Ancient and Modern, of the Collection of the late William Marsden, F.R.S., etc., etc., engraved from drawings made under his direction. 4to. pp. iv. (explanatory advertisement), cloth, gilt top. £1 11s. 6d.
- Mason.—Burmah: its People and Natural Productions; or Notes on the Nations, Fauna, Flora, and Minerals of Tenasserim, Pegu, and Burmah; with Systematic Catalogues of the known Mammals, Birds, Fish, Reptiles, Mollusks, Crustaceans, Annalids, Radiates, Plants, and Minerals, with Vernacular names. By Rev. F. Mason, D.D., M.R.A.S., Corresponding Member of the American Oriental Society, of the Boston Society of Natural History, and of the Lyceum of Natural History, New York. 8vo. pp. xviii. and 914, cloth. Rangoon, 1860. 30s.
- Mathuráprasáda Misra.—A TRILINGUAE DICTIONARY, being a comprehensive Lexicon in English, Urdú, and Hindí, exhibiting the Syllabication, Pronunciation, and Etymology of English Words, with their Explanation in English, and in Urdú and Hindí in the Roman Character. By MATHUMA-PRASÁDA MISRA, Second Master, Queen's College, Benares. 8vo. pp. xv. and 1330, cloth. Benares, 1865. £2 2s.
- Mayers.—Illustrations of the Lamaist System in Tiber, drawn from Chinese Sources. By William Frederick Mayers, Esq., of Her Britannic Majesty's Consular Service, China. 8vo. pp. 24, sewed. 1869. 1s. 6d.
- Medhurst.—Chinese Dialogues, Questions, and Familian Sentences, literally translated into English, with a view to promote commercial intercourse and assist beginners in the Language. By the late W. H. Medhurst, D.D. A new and enlarged Edition. 8vo.pp. 226. 18s.
- Megha-Duta (The). (Cloud-Messenger.) By Kälidäsa. Translated from the Sanskrit into Euglish verse, with Notes and Illustrations. By the late H. H. Wilson, M. A., F. R. S., Boden Professor of Sanskrit in the University of Oxford, etc., etc. The Vocabulary by Francis Johnson, sometime Professor of Oriental Languages at the College of the Honourable the East India Company, Haileybury. New Edition. 4to. cloth, pp. xi. and 180. 10s. 6d.
- Memoirs read before the Anthropological Society of London, 1863 1864. 8vc., pp. 542, cloth. 21s.
- Memoirs read before the Anthropological Society of London, 1865-6. Vol. II. 8vo., pp. x. 464, cloth. 21s.

- Merx.—Grammatica Syriaca, quam post opus Hoffmanni refecit Adalbertus Merx, Phil. Dr. Theol. Lic. in Univ. Litt. Jenensi Priv. Docens. Particula I. Royal 8vo. pp. 136, sewed. 7s. Particula II. Royal 8vo. pp. 137–388, sewed. 10s. 6d.
- Moffat.—The Standard Alphabet Problem; or the Preliminary Subject of a General Phonic System, considered on the basis of some important facts in the Sechwana Language of South Africa, and in reference to the views of Professors Lepsius, Max Müller, and others. A contribution to Phonetic Philology. By Robert Moffat, junr., Surveyor, Fellow of the Royal Geographical Society. 8vo. pp. xxviii. and 174, cloth. 7s. 6d.
- Molesworth.—A Dictionary, Márathi and English. Compiled by J. T. Molesworth, assisted by George and Thomas Candy. Second Edition, revised and enlarged. By J. T. Molesworth. Royal 4to. pp. xxx and 922, boards. Bombay, 1857. £3 8s.
- Morley.—A DESCRIPTIVE CATALOGUE of the HISTORICAL MANUSCRIPTS in the ARABIC and Persian Languages preserved in the Library of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland. By William H. Morley, M.R.A.S. 8vo. pp. viii. and 160, sewed. London, 1854. 2s. 6d.
- Morrison.—A DICTIONARY OF THE CHINESE LANGUAGE. By the Rev. R. MORRISON, D.D. Two vols. Vol. I. pp. x. and 762; Vol. II. pp. 828, cloth. Shanghae, 1865. £6 6s.
- Muhammed.—The Life of Muhammed. Based on Muhammed Ibn Ishak. By Abd El Malik Ibn Hisham. Edited by Dr. Ferdinand Wüstenfeld. One volume containing the Arabic Text. 8vo. pp. 1026, sewed. Price 21s. Another volume, containing Introduction, Notes, and Index in German. 8vo. pp. lxxii. and 266, sewed. 7s. 6d. Each part sold separately. The test based on the Manuscripts of the Berlin, Leipsic, Gotha and Leyden Libraries, has been carefully revised by the learned editor, and printed with the utmost exactness.
- Muir.—Original Sanskrit Texts, on the Origin and History of the People of India, their Religion and Institutions. Collected, Translated, and Illustrated by John Muir, Esq., D.C.L., LL.D., Ph.D.
- Vol. I. Mythical and Legendary Accounts of the Origin of Caste, with an Inquiry into its existence in the Vedic Age. Second Edition, re-written and greatly enlarged. 8vo. pp. xx. 532, cloth. 1868. 21s.
 - Vol. II. A New Edition is in preparation.
- Vol. III. The Vedas: Opinions of their Authors, and of later Indian Writers, on their Origin, Inspiration, and Authority. Second Edition, revised and enlarged. 8vo. pp. xxxii. 312, cloth. 1868. 16s.
- Vol. IV. Comparison of the Vedic with the later representation of the principal Indian Deities. 8vo. pp. xii. 440, cloth. 1863. 15s.
- Vol. V. Contributions to a Knowledge of the Cosmogony, Mythology, Religious Ideas, Life and Manners of the Indians in the Vedic Age. 8vo. pp. xvi. 492, cloth, 1870. 21s.
- Müller (Max).—The Sacred Hymns of the Brahmins, as preserved to us in the oldest collection of religious poetry, the Rig-Veda Sanhita, translated and explained. By F. Max Müller, M.A., Fellow of All Souls' College; Professor of Comparative Philology at Oxford; Foreign Member of the Institute of France, etc., etc. In 8 vols. Volume I. 8vo. pp. clii, and 264. 12s. 6d.
- Müller (Max).—A New Edition of the Hymns of the Rig-Veda in the Sanhitá Text, without the Commentary of the Sayana. Based upon the Editio princeps of Max Müller. Large 8vo. of about 800 pages. [In preparation.]
- "The above New Edition of the Sanhita Text of the Rig-Veda, without the Commentary of Sayana, will contain foot-notes of the names of the Authors, Deities, and Metres. It will be comprised in about fifty large 8vo. sheets, and will be carefully corrected and revised by Prof. F. Max Müller. The price to subscribers before publication will be 24s. per copy. After publication the price will be 36s. per copy.

- Müller (Max).—LECTURE ON BUDDHIST NIHILISM. By F. MAX MÜLLER, M.A., Professor of Comparative Philology in the University of Oxford; Member of the French Institute, etc. Delivered before the General Meeting of the Association of German Philologists, at Kiel, 28th September, 1869. (Translated from the German.) Sewed. 1869. 1s.
- Naphagyi.—The Album of Language, illustrated by the Lord's Prayer in one hundred languages, with historical descriptions of the principal languages, interlinear translation and pronunciation of each prayer, a dissertation on the languages of the world, and tables exhibiting all known languages, dead and living. By G. Naphboyi, M.D., A.M., Member of the "Sociedad Geografica y Estadistica" of Mexico, and "Mejoras Materiales" of Texoco, of the Numismatic and Antiquarian Society of Philadelphia, etc. In one splendid folio volume of 322 pages, illuminated frontispiece and title-page, elegantly bound in cloth, gilt top. £2 10s.

CONTENTS.—Preface (pp. 2).—Introduction.—Observations on the Origin of Language (pp. 12).—Authors of Collections of the Lord's Prayer (pp. 8).—Families of Language (pp. 13).—Alphabeta (pp. 25). The Lord's Prayer in the following languages (each accompanied by a transliteration into Roman characters, a translation into English, and a Monograph of the language), printed in the original characters.

- A. ARYAN FAMILY,—I, Sanskrit. 2. Bengaleo, 3. Moltance, 4. Hindoostance. 5. Gipsy. 6. Grock. 7. Modern Greek. 8. Latin, 9. Italian, 10. French. 11. Spanish, 12. Portuguese, 13. Celtic. 14. Welsh, 15. Cornish, 16. Irish, 17. Gothic. 18. Angle-Saxon, 19. Old Saxon and Dano-Saxon, 20. English (4 variotics), 21. German (4 varietics), 22. Dutch, 23. Runic. 24. Wallachian, 25. Icelandic. 25. Danish, 27. Norwegian, 28. Swedish, 29. Lithuanian, 30. Old Prussian, 31. Servian, 32. Salavonio, 33. Polavian, 34. Bohomian, 35. Polish, 36. Russian, 37. Bulgario, 38. Armenian, 39. Armenian-Turkish, 40. Albanian, 41. Persian.
- B. Semitic Pamily.—1, Hebrew. 2. Chaldee. 3. Samaritan. 4. Syriac. 5. Syro-Chaldesic. 6. Carshun. 7. Arabic. 8. Æthiopio. 8. Amharic.
- C. TURANIAN FAMILY.—I. Turkish. 2. Hungarian, 3. Finnish. 4. Estonian. 5. Lapponian. 6. Laplandie (Dialect of Una-Lapponark), 7. Basque, 8. Javanese, 9. Hawaiian. 10. Maori (New Zealandie), 11. Malay. 12. Ceylonese, 13. Moorish, 14. Coptic. 15. Berber. 16. Hottontot. 17. Susaic. 18. Burmese, 19. Siamese, 20. Mongolian, 21. Chinese, 22. Kalmuk. 23. Cashmere.
- D. AMERICAN FAMILY.—I. Cherokee. 2. Delawar. 3. Micmao. 4. Totonac. 5. Othomi 6. Cora. 7. Kolusic. 3. Greenland, 9. Mexican. 10. Mistekic, 11. Mayu. 12. Brazilian. 13. Chiquitic. 14. Amario.
- Nayler.—Commonsense Observations on the Existence of Rules (not yet reduced to System in any work extant) regarding Tub English Language; on the pernicious effects of yielding blind obedience to so-called authorities, whether Dictionary -Compilers, Grammar -Makers, or Spelling-Book Manupadturers, instead of examining and judging for ourselves on all questions that are open to investigation; followed by a Treatise, entitled Pronunciation made Rasy; accompanied with Lists, containing everal thousands of words, for the speedy eradication of blemishes; also an Essay on the Pronunciation of Proper Names. The work submitted with all its imperfections, as fearlessly, as respectfully, to the Judgment of every Male and Female Teacher of the Language, in Schools, Colleges, and Universities, and to all Ladies and Gentlemen individually. By B. S. Nayler, accredited Riocutionist to the most celebrated Literary Societies in London. 8vo. pp. iv. 148, boards. 1869. 5s.
- Newman.—A Handbook of Modern Arabic, consisting of a Practical Grammar, with numerous Examples, Dialogues, and Newspaper Extracts, in a European Type. By F. W. Newman, Emeritus Professor of University College, London; formerly Fellow of Balliol College, Oxford. Post 8vo. pp. xx. and 192, cloth. London, 1866. 6s.
- Newman.—THE TEXT OF THE IGUVINE INSCRIPTIONS, with interlinear Latin Translation and Notes. By Francis W. Newman, late Professor of Latin at University College, London. 8vo. pp. xvi. and 54, sewed. 2s.
- Mewman.—Orthoëry: or, a simple mode of Accenting English, for the advantage of Foreigners and of all Learners. By Francis W. Nawman, Emeritus Professor of University College, London. 8vo. pp. 28, sewed. 1869. 1s.

Notley.—A Comparative Grammae of the French, Italian, Spanish, and Postuguese Languages. By Edwin A. Notzer. Crown oblong 8vo. cloth, pp. xv. and 396. 7s. 6d.

Oriental Text Society.—(The Publications of the Oriental Text Society.)

- THEOPHANIA; or, Divine Manifestations of our Lord and Saviour. By Eusebius, Bishop of Cæsarea. Syrisc. Edited by Prof. S. Lee. 8vo. 1842, 15s.
- 2. ATHANASIUS'S FESTAL LETTERS, discovered in an ancient Syrine Version. Edited by the Rev. W. Cureton. 8vo. 1848. 15s.
- 3. Shahbastani: Book of Religious and Philosophical Sects, in Arabic. Two Parts. 8vo. 1842. 30s.
- Undat Akidat Ahl al Sunnat wa al Tamaat; Pillar of the Creed of the Sunnites. Edited in Arabic by the Rev. W. Cureton. 8vo. 1843. 5s.
- HISTORY OF THE ALMOHADES. Edited in Arabic by Dr. R. P. A. Dozy, 8vo. 1847. 10s. 6d.
- 6. SAMA VEDA. Edited in Sanskritby Rev. G. Stevenson. 8vo. 1843. 12.
- DASA KUMARA CHARITA. Edited in Sanskrit by Professor H. H. Wilson. 8vo. 1846. £1 4s.
- 8. Maha Vibe Charita, of a History of Rams. A Sanskrit Play. Edited by F. H. Trithen. 8vo. 1848, 15s.
- 9. MAZHZAN UL ASRAR: The Treasury of Secrets. By NIZAMI. Edited in Persian by N. Bland. 4to. 1844, 10s. 6d.
- SALAMAN-T-UBSAL; A Romance of Jami (Dshami). Edited in Persian by F. Falconer. 4to. 1843. 10s.
- MIRKHOND'S HISTORY OF THE ATABEES. Edited in Persian by W. H. MORLEY. 8vo. 1860. 12s.
- TUHFAT-UL-AHRAR; the Gift of the Noble. A Poem. By Jami (Dahami). Edited in Persian by F. Falconer. 4to. 1843. 10s.
- Osburn.—The Monumental History of Egypt, as recorded on the Ruins of her Temples, Palaces, and Tombs. By William Osburn. Illustrated with Maps, Plates, etc. 2 vols. 8vo. pp. xii. and 461; vii. and 643, cloth. £2 2s. Vol. I.—Prom the Colonisation of the Valley to the Visit of the Patriarch Abram. Vol. II.—From the Visit of Abram to the Exodus.
- Palmer.—EGYPTIAN CHRONICLES, with a harmony of Sacred and Egyptian Chronology, and an Appendix on Babylonian and Assyrian Antiquities. By WILLIAM PALMER, M.A., and late Fellow of Magdalen College, Oxford. 2 vols., 8vo. cloth, pp. lxxiv. and 428, and viii. and 656. 1861. 12s.
- Pand-Námah. The Pand-Námah; or, Books of Connsels. By Adarbad Máráspand. Translated from Pehlevi into Gujerathi, by Harbad Sheriarjee Dadabhoy. And from Gujerathi into English by the Rev. Shapurji Edalji. Fcap. 8vo. sewed. 1870. 6d.
- Pandit.—A Pandit's Remarks on Professor Max Müller's Translation of the "Rig-Veda." Sabskrit and English. Fcap. 8vo. sewed. 1870. 6d.
- Patell.—Cowasjee Patell's Chronology, containing corresponding Dates of the different Eras used by Christians, Jews, Greeks, Hindus, Mohamedans, Parsees, Chinese, Japanese, etc. By Cowasjee Sorabjee Patell. 4to.pp. viii. and 184, cloth. 50s.

- Pauthier.—Le Livre de Marco Polo, Citoyen de Vénise, Conseiller Privé et Commissaire Impérial de Khonbilal-Khaén. Rédigé en français sous sa dirtée en 1298 par Rusticien de Pise; Publié pour la première fois d'après trois manuscrits inédits de la Bibliothèque Impériale de Paris, présentant la rédection primitive du Livre, revue par Marco Polo lui-même et donneé par lui, en 1307, à Thiébault de Cépoy, accompagnée des Variantes, de l'Explication des mots hors d'usage, et de commentaires géographiques et historiques, tirés des écrivains orientaux, principalement Chinois, avec une Carte générale de l'Asia par M. G. Pauthier. Two vols, roy, 8vo. pp. clvi. 832. With Map and View of Marco Polo's House at Venice. £1 8s.
- Percy.—Bishop Percy's Folio Manuscripts.—Ballads and Romanges. Edited by John W. Hales, M.A., Fellow and late Assistant Tutor of Christ's College, Cambridge; and Frederick J. Furnivall, M.A., of Trinity Hall, Cambridge; essisted by Professor Child, of Harvard University, Cambridge, U.S.A., W. Chappell, Esq., etc. In 3 volumes. Vol. I., pp. 610; Vol. 2, pp. 681.; Vol. 3, pp. 640. Demy 8vo. half-bound, £4 4s. Extra demy 8vo. half-bound, on Whatman's ribbed paper, £6 6s. Extra royal 8vo., paper covers, on Whatman's best ribbed paper, £10 10s. Large 4to., paper covers, on Whatman's best ribbed paper, £12.
- Perny.—Dictionnaire Français-Latin-Chinois de la Langue Mandarine Parlée. Par Paul Perny. M.A., de la Congrégation des Missions Etrangères. 4to. pp. viil. 459, sewed. £2 2s.
- Perny.—Grammater Pratique de la Langue Mandarine Parlée.
 Par Paul Penny, M.A., de la Congrégation des Missions Etrangères.
 [In the Press.
- Perny.—Phoverers Chinois, Recurillis et mis en ordre. Par Paul Perny, M.A., de la Congrégation des Missions Étrangères. 12mo. pp. iv. 135. 36.
- Perrin.—English-Zulu Dictionary. New Edition, revised by J. A. Baickhill, Interpreter to the Supreme Court of Natal. 12mo. pp. 226, cloth, Pietermaritzburg, 1865. 5s.
- Philological Society.—Proposals for the Publication of a New English Dictionary. 8vo. pp. 32, sewed. 6d.
- Pieroe the Ploughman's Crede (about 1394 Anno Domini). Transcribed and Edited from the MS. of Trinity College, Cambridge, R. 3, 15. Colleted with the MS. Bibl. Reg. 18. B. xvii. in the British Museum, and with the old Printed Text of 1553, to which is appended "God spede the Plough" (about 1500 Anno Domini), from the Lansdowne MS. 762. By the Rev. Walter W. Skeat, M. A., late Fellow of Christ's College, Cambridge. pp. xx. and 75, cloth. 1867. 2s. 6d.
- Prakrita-Prakasa; or, The Prakrit Grammar of Vararuchi, with the Commentary (Manorama) of Bhamaha. The first complete edition of the Original Text with Various Readings from a Collation of Six Manuscripts in the Bodleian Library at Oxford, and the Libraries of the Royal Asiatic Society and the East India House; with copious Notes, an English Translation, and Index of Prakrit words, to which is prefixed an easy Introduction to Prakrit Grammar. By-EDWARD BYLES COWELL, of Magdalen Hall, Oxford, Professor of Sanskrit at Cambridge. Second issue, with new Preface, and corrections. 8vo. pp. xxxii. and 204. 14s.
- Prisulx.—QUESTIONES MOSAICE; or, the first part of the Book of Genesis compared with the remains of ancient religions. By Osmond de Beauvoir Prisulx. Syo. pp. viii. and 548, cloth. 12s.
- Raja-Niti.—A Collection of Hindu Apologues, in the Braj Bháshá Language. Revised edition. With a Preface, Notes, and Supplementary Glossary. By Fitzedward Hall, Esq. 8vo. cloth, pp. 204. 21s.

Ram Rag.—Essay on the Architecture of the Hindus. By Ram Rag., Native Judge and Magistrate of Bangalore, Corresponding Member of the R.A.S. of Great Britain and Ireland. With 48 plates. 4to. pp. xiv. and 64, sewed. London, 1834. Original selling price, £1 11s. 6d., reduced (for a short time) to 12s.

Rask.—A Gramman of the Anglo-Saxon Tongue. From the Danish of Erasmus Rask, Professor of Literary History in, and Librarian to, the University of Copenhagen, etc. By Benjamin Thorpe, Member of the Munich Royal Academy of Sciences, and of the Society of Netherlandish Literature, Leyden. Second edition, corrected and improved. 18mo. pp. 200, cleth. 5s. 6d.

Rawlinson.—A COMMENTARY ON THE CUNEIFORM INSCRIPTIONS OF BAHYLONIA AND ASSYRIA, including Readings of the Inscription on the Nimrud Obelisk, and Brief Notice of the Ancient Kings of Nineveh and Bahylon, Read before the Royal Asiatio Society, by Major H. C. Rawlinson. 8vo., pp. 84, sewed. London, 1850. 2s. 6d.

Rawlinson.—OUTLINES OF ASSYRIAN HISTORY, from the Inscriptions of Nineveh. By Lieut. Col. Rawlinson, C.B., followed by some Remarks by A. H. LAYARD, Esq., D.C.L. 8vo., pp. 1liv., sewed. London, 1882. 1s.

Renan.—An Essay on the Age and Antiquity of the Book of Nabathean Agriculture. To which is added an Inaugural Lecture on the Position of the Shemitic Nations in the History of Civilization. By M. Ernest Renan, Membre de l'Institut. Crown 8vo., pp. xvi. and 148, cloth. St. 6d.

Revue Celtique.—The Revue Celtique, a Quarterly Magazine for Celtic Philology, Literature, and History. Rdited with the assistance of the Chief Celtic Scholars of the British Islands and of the Continent, and Conducted by H. Gainoz. 8vo. Subscription, £1 per annum.

Ridley-Kamilaroi, Dippil, and Turrubul. Languages Spoken by Australian Aborigines. By Rev. Wm. Ridley, M.A., of the University of Sydney; Minister of the Presbyterian Church of New South Wales. Printed by authority. Small 4to cloth, pp. vi. and 90, 30s.

Rig-Veda.—A New Edition of the Hyuns of the Rig-Veda in the Sanhirá Text, without the Commentary of the Sâyana. Based upon the Editio princeps of Max Müller. Large 8vo. of about 800 pages. See also under Max Müller. [In preparation.

Rig-Veda-Sanhita (The). The Sacred Hymns of the Brahmins, as preserved to us in the oldest collection of Religious Poetry, the Rig-Veda-Sanhita, translated and explained. By F. Max Muller, M.A., Fellow of All Soul's College; Professor of Comparative Philology at Oxford; Foreign Member of the Institute of France, etc. In 8 vols. Vol. I. 8vo. pp. clii. and 264. 12s. 6d.

Rig-Veda-Sanhita (The): THE SACRED HYMNS OF THE BRAHMANS.

Translated and explained by F. MAX MÜLLER, M.A., LL.D., Fellow of All
Soul's College, Professor of Comparative Philology at Oxford, Foreign Member
of the Institute of France, etc., etc. Vol. I. HYMNS TO THE MARUTS, OR THE

STORM-GODS. 8vo. pp. clii. and 264. cloth. 1869. 12s. 6d.

Rig-Veda Sanhita.—A Collection of Ancient Hindu Hymns. Constituting the First Ashtaka, or Book of the Rig-veda; the oldest authority for the religious and social institutions of the Hindus. Translated from the Original Sanskrit. By the late H. H. Wilson, M.A., F.R.S., etc. etc. Second Edition, with a Postsoript by Dr. Fitzedward Hall. Vol. I. 8vo. cloth, pp. lii. and 348, price 21s.

Rig-veda Sanhita.—A Collection of Ancient Hindu Hymns, constituting the Fifth to Eighth Ashtakas, or books of the Rig-Veda, the oldest Authority for the Religious and Social Institutions of the Hindus. Translated from the Original Sanskrit by the late Horace Hayman Wilson, M.A., F.R.S., etc. Edited by E. B. Cowbli, M.A., Principal of the Calcutta Sanskrit College. Vol. IV., 8vo., pp. 214, cloth. 14s.

A few copies of Vols. II. and III. still left. [Vols. V. and VI. in the Press.

- Sâma-Vidhâna-Bràhmana (The). With the Commentary of Sâyana. Edited, with Notes, Translation, and Index, by A. C. Burnell, M.R.A.S., Madras Civil Service. In 1 vol. 8vo. [In preparation.
- Schele de Vere.—Steddies in English; or, Glimpses of the Inner Life of our Language. By M. Schele de Vere, LL.D., Professor of Modern Languages in the University of Virginia. 8vo. cloth, pp. vi. and 365. 10s. 6d.
- Schemeil.—El Mubtaker; or, First Born. (In Arabic, printed at Beyrout). Containing Five Comedies, called Comedies of Fiction, on Hopes and Judgments, in Twenty-six Poems of 1092 Verses, showing the Seven Stages of Life, from man's conception unto his death and burial. By Emin Ibrahim Schemell. In one volume, 4to, pp. 166, sewed. 1870. 58.
- Schlagintweit.—Buddhism in Tiber. Illustrated by Literary Documents and Objects of Religious Worship. With an Account of the Buddhist Systems preceding it in India. By Emil Schlagintweit, LLD. With a Folio Atlas of 20 Plates, and 20 Tables of Native Prints in the Text. Royal 8vo., pp. xxiv. and 404. £2 2s.
- Schlagintweit.—Glossary of Geographical Terms from India and Tibet, with Native Transcription and Transliteration. By Hermann de Schlagintweit. Forming, with a "Route Book of the Western Himalaya, Tibet, and Turkistan," the Third Volume of H., A., and R. de Schlagintweit's "Results of a Scientific Mission to India and High Asia." With an Atlas in imperial folio, of Maps, Panoramas, and Views. Royal 4to., pp. xxiv. and 293. £4.
- Schlottmann.—The Monument of a Victory of Mesha, King of the Moshites. A Contribution to Hebrew Archmology by Dr. Konstantin Schlottmann, Professor of Theology at the University of Halle. Translated from the German.

 [In the Press.]
- Shápurjí Edaljí. A Grammar of the Gujarátí Language. By Shápurjí Edaljí. Cloth, pp. 127. 10s. 6d.
- Shápurjí Edaljí.—A Dictionary, Gujrati and English. By Shápurjí Edaljí. Second Edition. Crown 8vo. cloth, pp. xxiv. and 874. 21s.
- Bherring —The Sacred City of the Hindus. An Account of Beneros in Ancient and Modern Times. By the Rev. M. A. Sherring, M.A., LL.D.; and Prefaced with an Introduction by Fitzenward Hall, Eq., D.C.L. 8vo. cloth, pp. xxxvi. and 388, with numerous full-page illustrations. 21s.
- Smith.—A Vocabulary of Proper Names in Chinese and English. of Places, Persons, Tribes, and Sects, in China, Japan, Corea, Assam, Siam, Burmah, The Straits, and adjacent Countries. By F. Porter Smith, M.B., China.

 [In the Press.
- Smith.—A Handbook of Chinese Materia Medica. By F. Porter Smith. In 4to. [In the Proce.
- Sophocles.—A GLOSSARY OF LATER AND BYZANTINE GREEK. By E. A. SOPHOCLES. 4to., pp. iv. and 624, cloth. £2 2s.
- Sophocles. -Romaic of Modern Greek Grammar. By E. A. Sophocles. 8vo. pp. xxviii. and 196. 7s. 6d.
- Sophocles.—Greek Lexicon of the Roman and Byzantine Perions (from B.C. 146 to A.D. 1100). By E. A. Sophocles. Imp. 8vo. pp. xvi. 1188, cloth. 1870. £2 8s.
- Stratmann.—A Dictionary of the English Language. Compiled from the writings of the knith, knyth, and kyth centuries. By Francis Henry Stratmann. Second Edition. 8vo. cloth, pp. x. and 694.

 [In preparation.
- Stratmann.—An Old English Poem of the Owl and the Nightingale. Edited by Francis Henry Stratmann. 8vo. cloth, pp. 60. 3s.

Syed Ahmed.—A SERIES OF ESSAYS ON THE LIFE OF MOHAMMED, and Subjects subsidiary thereto. By SYED AHMED KHAN BAHADOR, C.S.I., Author of the "Mohammedan Commentary on the Holy Bible," Honorary Member of the Royal Asistic Society, and Life Honorary Secretary to the Allygurh Scientific Society. 8vo. pp. 532, with 4 Genealogical Tables, 2 Maps, and a Coloured Plate, handsomely bound in cloth. 30s.

Fiste, handsomely bound in cloth. 30s.

Coments.—Prefare and Introduction.—Essay on the Historical Geography of Arabia.—Essay on the Manners and Customs of the Pre-Islamic Arabia.—Essay on the various Religious of the Pre-Islamic Arabs, wherein it is inquired to which of them Islam bears the closest resemblance, and whether by such affinity Islam is proved to be of Divine Origin or "A Cunningly Devised Falle."—Essay on the question Whether Islam has been Beneficial or Injurious to Human Society in general, and to the Mosaic and Christian Dispensations.—Essay on the Holy Koran.—Essay on the History of the Holy Mocos, including an account of the distinguished part enacted in connexion therewith by the Ancestors of Mohammed.—Essay on the Pedigree of Mohammed.—Essay on the Prophecies respecting Mohammed., as contained in both the Old and the New Testament.—Essay on Shokkisadar and Meraj, that is, the splitting (open) of the Chest of Mohammed; and his Night Journey.—Essay on the Birth and Childhood of Mohammed.

- The Boke of Kurture. By John Russell, about 1460-1470 Anno Domini. The Boke of Keruynge. By WYNEYN DE WOEDE, Anno Domini 1513. The Boke of Nurture. By Hugh Rhodes, Anno Domini 1577. Edited from the Originals in the British Museum Library, by Frederick J. Furnivalle, M.A., Trinity Hall, Cambridge, Member of Council of the Philological and Early English Text Societies. 4to, half-moroceo, gilt top, pp. xix. and 146, 28, xxviii. and 56. 1867. 17. 11s. 6d.
- The Vision of William concerning Piers Plowman, together with Vita de Dowel, Dobet et Dobest, secundum wit et resoun. By William Langland (about 1362-1980 anno domini). Edited from numerous Manuscripts, with Prefaces, Notes, and a Glossary. By the Rev. Walter W. Skeat, M.A. pp. xliv. and 158, cloth, 1867. Vernon Text; Text A. 7s. 6d.
- Thomas.—Early Sassanian Inscriptions, Seals and Coins, illustrating the Early History of the Sassanian Dynasty, containing Proclamations of Ardeshir Babek, Sapor I., and his Successors. With a Critical Examination and Explanation of the Celebrated Inscription in the Hajiabad Cave, demonstrating that Sapor, the Conqueror of Velerian, was a Professing Christian. By Edward Tromas, Esq. 8vo. cloth, pp. 148, Illustrated. 7s. 6d.
- Thomas.—The Coins of the Pathan Scltans of Dehli, a.d. 1193-1554. Chiefly re-written, amplified, and enriched with New Specimens from the Collections of Mr. E. C. Bayley, the late Colonel Stacey, Mr. C. Freeling, and of Col. S. C. Guthrie. By Edward Thomas. In one vol. 8vo. with numerous Illustrations.

 [In February.
- Thomas.—Essays on Indian Antiquities: following up the Discoveries of James Prinsep, with specimens of his Engravings, and selections from his Useful Tables, and embodying the most recent investigations into the History, Palæography, and Numismatics of Ancient India. By Edward Thomas, late of the East India Company's Bengal Civil Service. In 2 vols. 8vo., profusely illustrated.

 [In preparation.]
- Thomas.—The Theory and Practice of Creole Grammar. By J. J. Tromas. Port of Spain (Trinidad), 1869. One vol. 8vo. boards, pp. viii. and 135. 12s.
- Thonissen.—ÉTUDES SUR L'HISTOIRE DU DROIT CRIMINEL DES PEUPLES Anciens (Inde Brahmanique, E'gypte, Judée), par J. J. THONISSEN, Professeur à l'Université Catholique de Louvain, Membre de l'Academie Royale de Belgique. 2 vols. 8vo. pp. xvi. 248, 320, sewed. 1869. 12s.
- Thorpe.—DIPLOMATARIUM ANGLICUM ÆVI SAXONICI. A Collection of English Charters, from the reign of King Æthelberht of Kent, A.D., DCV., to that of William the Conqueror. Containing: I. Miscellaneous Charters. II.

- Wills. III. Guilds. IV. Manumissions and Acquittances. With a Translation of the Anglo-Saxon. By the late Banjamix Thomas, Member of the Royal Academy of Sciences at Munich, and of the Society of Netherlandish Literature at Leyden. 8vo. pp. xlii. and 682, clotb. 1865. £1 1s.
- Tindall.—A Grammar and Vocabulary of the Namaqua-Hottentot Language. By Henry Tindall, Wesleyer Missionary. 8vo., pp. 124, sewed. 6s.
- Van der Tuuk.—Outlines of a Grammae of the Malagasy Language By H, N, van der Tuuk. Svo., pp. 28, sewed. 1s.
- Van der Tuuk.—Short Account of the Malay Manusceipts belonging to the Royal Abiatic Society. By H. N. van der Tuuk. 8vo., pp. 52. 2s. 6d.
- Vishnu-Purana (The); a System of Hindu Mythology and Tradition.
 Translated from the original Sanskrit, and Illustrated by Notes derived chiefly
 from other Puranas. By the late H. H. Wilson, M.A., F.R.S., Boden Professor of Sanskrit in the University of Oxford, etc., etc., Edited by Firzedward
 Hall. In 6 vols. 8vo. Vol. I. pp. cxl. and 200; Vol. II. pp. 348; Vol. IV. pp. 346 cloth; Vol. V. cloth. Price 10s. 6d. each.

[Vol. VI. in preparation.

- Wade.—Yii-Yen Tzú-Eeh Chi. A progressive course designed to assist the Student of Colloquial Chinese, as spoken in the Capital and the Metropolitan Department. In eight parts, with Key, Syllabary, and Writing Exercises. By Thomas Francis Wade, C.B., Secretary to Her Britannic Majesty's Legation, Peking. 3 vols. 4to. Progressive Course, pp. xx. 296 and 16; Syllabary, pp. 126 and 36; Writing Exercises, pp. 48; Key, pp. 174 and 140, sewed. £4.
- Wade.—Wen-Crien Tzu-Err Cht. A series of papers selected as specimens of documentary Chinese, designed to assist Students of the language, as written by the officials of China. In sixteen parts, with Key. Vol. I. By Thomas Francis Wade, C.B., Secretary to Her Britannic Majesty's Legation at Peking. 4to., half-cloth, pp. xii. and 455; and iv. 72, and 52. £1 16s.
- Wake.—Chapters on Man. With the Outlines of a Science of comparative Psychology. By C. Staniland Wake, Fellow of the Anthropological Society of London. Crown 8vo; pp. viii, and 344, cloth. 7s. 6d.
- Watson.—Index to the Native and Scientific Names of Indian and other Rastern Economic Plants and Products, originally prepared under the authority of the Secretary of State for India in Council. By John Foress Watson, M.A., M.D., F.L.S., F.R.A.S., etc., Reporter on the Products of India. Imperial 8vo., cloth, pp. 656. £1 11s. 6d.
- Watts.—Essays on Language and Literature. By Thomas Watts, late of the British Museum. Reprinted, with Alterations and Additions, from the Transactions of the Philological Society, and claewhere. In 1 vol. 8yo.

 [In preparation.]
- Webster.—An Intendectory Essay to the Science of Comparative Theology; with a Tabular Synopsis of Scientific Religion. By Edward Webster, of Ealing, Middlesex. Read in an abbreviated form as a Lecture to a public audience at Ealing, on the 3rd of January, 1870, and to an evening congregation at South Place Chapel, Finsbury Square, London, on the 27th of February, 1870. 8vo. pp. 28, sewed. 1870. 1s.
- Wedgwood.—A DICTIONARY OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE. By HENS-SLHIGH WEDGWOOD, M.A. late Fellow of Christ's College, Cambridge. Vol. 1. (A to D) 8vo., pp. xxiv. 508, cloth, 14s.; Vol. II. (E to P) 8vo. pp. 578, cloth, 14s.; Vol. III., Part 1. (Q to Sy), 8vo. pp. 366, 10s. 6d.; Vol. 111. Part II. (T to W) 8vo. pp. 200, 5s. 6d. completing the Work. Price of the complete work, £2 4s.

"Dictionaries are a class of books not usually esteemed light reading; but no intelligent man

were to be pitied who should find himself shut up on a rainy day in a lonely house in the dreariest part of Salisbury Plain, with no other means of recreation than that which Mr. Wedgwood's Dictionary of Etymology could afford him. He would read it through from cover to cover at a sitting, and only regret that he had not the second volume to begin upon forthwith. It is a very able book, of great research, full of delightful surprises, a repertory of the fairy tales of linguistic science,"—Spectator.

Wedgwood.—On the Origin of Language. By Hensleigh Wedgwood, late Fellow of Christ's College, Cambridge. Fcap. 8vo. pp. 172, cloth. 3s. 6d.

Wékey.—A GRAMMAR OF THE HUNGARIAN LANGUAGE, with appropriate Exercises, a Copious Vocabulary, and Specimens of Hungarian Poetry. By SIGISMUND WEKEY, late Aide-de-Camp to Kossuth. 12mo., pp. zii. and 150, sewed. 4s. 6d.

Wheeler.—The History of India from the Earliest Ages. By J. TALBOYS WHEELER, Assistant Secretary to the Government of India in the Foreign Department, Secretary to the Indian Record Commission, author of "The Geography of Herodotus," etc. etc. Vol. I., The Vedic Period and the Maha Bharata. 8vo. cloth, pp. lxxv. and 576. 18s.

Vol. II., The Ramayana and the Brahmanic Period. 8vo. cloth, pp. lxzzviil. and

680, with 2 Maps. 21s.

Whitney — Atharva Veda Práticákhva; or, Câunakíyâ Caturâdhyâyikâ (The). Text, Translation, and Notes. By WILLIAM D. WHITNEY, Professor of Sanskrit in Yele College. 8vo. pp. 286, boards. 12s.
Whitney.—Language and the Study of Language: Twelve Lectures

on the Principles of Linguistic Science. By WILLIAM DWIGHT WHITMEY, Professor of Sanskrit, etc., in Yale College. Second Edition, sugmented by an Analysis. Crown 8vo. cloth, pp. xii. and 504. 10s. 6d.

Wilkins.—The Bhagavat-Geeta; or, Dialogues of Kreeshna and Arjoon. Translated by Chas. Wilkins. A faithful reprint of the new very scarce Original London Edition of 1785, made at the Bradshoot Press, New York. In one vol. 8vo. Beautifully printed with old face type on laid paper. 261 copies were produced of this edition, of which only a few now remain. 12s.

Williams.—First Lessons in the Maori Language, with a Short Vocabulary. By W. L. WILLIAMS, B.A. Square 8vo., pp. 80, cloth, London,

1862. 104.

Williams.—Lexicon Cornu-Britannicum. A Dictionary of the Ancient Celtic Language of Cornwall, in which the words are elucidated by copious examples from the Cornish works now remaining, with translations in English. The synonyms are also given in the cognate dialects of Welsh, Armoric, Irish, Gaelic, and Manx, showing at one view the connexion between them. By the Rev. ROBERT WILLIAMS, M.A., Christ Church, Oxford, Parish Curate of Llangadwaladr and Rhydycroesan, Denbighshire. Sewed. 3 parts, pp. 400. £2 5s.

Williams. - A Dictionary, English and Sanscrit. By MONIER WILLIAMS, M.A. Published under the Patronage of the Honourable East India

Company. 4to. pp. xii. 862, cloth. London, 1855. £3 3s.

Wilson. Works of the late Horace HAYMAN WILSON, M.A., F.R.S., Member of the Royal Asiatic Societies of Calcutta and Paris, and of the Oriental Society of Germany, etc., and Boden Professor of Sanskrit in the University of Oxford. Vols I. and II. Also, under this title, Essays and LECTURES chiefly on the Religion of the Hindus, by the late H. H. WILSON, M.A., F.R.S., etc. Collected and edited by Dr. REINHOLD ROST. 2 vols. cloth, pp. ziii. and 399, vi. and 416. 21s.

Wilson .- Works of the late Horace Hayman Wilson, M.A., F.R.S., Member of the Royal Asiatic Societies of Calcutta and Paris, and of the Oriental Society of Germany, etc., and Boden Professor of Sanskrit in the University of Oxford. Vols. III, IV. and V. Also, under the title of Essays Analytical, Critical, and Philological, on subjects connected with Sanskrit Literature. Collected and Edited by Dr. REINHOLD ROST. 8 vols. 8vo.

pp. 408, 406, and 390, cloth. Price 36e

- Wilson.—Works of the Late Horace Hayman Wilson. Vols. VI. VII. VIII, IX. and X. Also, under the title of the Vishnu Purana, a system, of Hindu mythology and tradition. Translated from the original Sanskrit, and Illustrated by Notes derived chiefly from other Puranas. By the late H. H. Wilson, Boden Professor of Sanskrit in the University of Oxford, etc., etc. Edited by Fitzedward Hall, M.A., D.C.L., Oxon. Vols. I. to V. 8vo., pp. cxl. and 200; 344; 344; 346, cloth. 2l. 12s. 6d. [Vol. VI. in the press.
- Wilson.—Select Specimens of the Theatre of the Hindus. Translated from the Original Sanskrit. By Horace Hayman Wilson, M.A., F.R.S. Third corrected edition. 2 vols. 8vo. [Nearly ready.]
- Vol. I.—Preface—Treatise on the Dramatic System of the Hindus—Dramas translated from the Original Sanskrit—The Mrichehakati, or the Toy Carl—Vikrama and Urvasi, or the Hero and the Nymph—Uttara Hama Cheritra, or continuation of the History of Hama.
- Yol. II.—Dramas translated from the Original Sanskrit—Maláti and Mádhava, or the Stolen Marriage—Mudrá Rakshasa, or the Signet of the Minister—Retnávali, or the Necklace—Appendix, containing short accounts of different Dramas.
- Wilson.—The Present State of the Cultivation of Oriental Literature. A Lecture delivered at the Meeting of the Royal Asiatic Society. By the Director, Professor H. H. Wilson. 8vc., pp. 26, sewed. London, 1852, 6d.
- WISE, M.D., Bengal Medical Service, 8vo., pp. xx. and 432, cloth. 7s. 6d.
- Wylie.—Notes on Chinese Literature; with introductory Remarks on the Progressive Advancement of the Art; and a list of translations from the Chinese, into various European Languages. By A. Wylie, Agent of the British and Foreign Bible Society in China. 4to. pp. 296, cloth. Price, 11, 10s.
- British and Foreign Bible Society in China. 4to. pp. 296, cloth. Price, 17. 10s.

 Yates.—A Bengalf Grammar. By the late Rev. W. Yates, D.D.

 Reprinted, with improvements, from his Introduction to the Bengali Language,
 Edited by I. Wenger. Fcap. 8vo., pp. iv. and 150, bds. Calcutta, 1864. 8s. 6d.

 TRÜBNER & CO., 8 and 60, PATERNOSTER ROW.



सद्यमेव जयते