# WORKS

BY

#### THE LATE

# ORACE HAYMAN WILSON,

M.A., F.R.S.,

MEMBER OF THE ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY, OF THE ASIATIC SOCIETIES OF
CALCUTTA AND PARIS, AND OF THE ORIENTAL SOCIETY OF GERMANY;
FOREIGN MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FRANCE;
MEMBER OF THE IMPERIAL ACADEMIES OF ST. PETERSBURGH AND VIENNA,
AND OF THE ROYAL ACADEMIES OF MUNICH AND BERLIN;
PH. D. BRESLAU; M. D. MARBURG, ETC.;
AND BODEN PROFESSOR OF SANSKRIT IN THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD.

# VOL. VIII.



#### LONDON:

TRÜBNER & CO., 60, PATERNOSTER ROW. 1866.



सद्यमेव जयते

#### THE

# VISHŃU PURÁŃA:

#### A SYSTEM

OF

# HINDU MYTHOLOGY AND TRADITION.

TRANSLATED

FROM THE ORIGINAL SANSKRIT,

AND

ILLUSTRATED BY NOTES

DERIVED CHIEFLY FROM OTHER PURANAS,

BY THE LATE

H. H. WILSON, M.A., F.R.S.,

BODEN PROFESSOR OF SANSKRIT IN THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, ETC., ETC.

EDITED BY

FITZEDWARD HALL.

VOL. III.

LONDON:

TRÜBNER & CO., 60, PATERNOSTER ROW. 1866.



सद्यमेव जयते

# VISHŃU PURÁŃA.

## BOOK III.

#### CHAPTER I.

Account of the several Manus and Manwantaras. Swárochisha, the second Manu: the divinities, the Indra, the seven Rishis, of his period, and his sons. Similar details of Auttami, Támasa, Raivata, Chákshusha, and Vaivaswata. The forms of Vishńu, as the preserver, in each Manwantara. The meaning of Vishńu.

MAITREYA.—The disposition of the earth and of the ocean, and the system of the sun and the planets, the creation of the gods and the rest, the origin of the Rishis, the generation of the four castes, the production of brute creatures, and the narratives of Dhruva and Prahláda, have been fully related by thee, my venerable preceptor. I am now desirous to hear from you the series of all the Manwantaras, as well as an account of those who preside over the respective periods, with Sakra, the king of the gods, at their head.

Paráśara.—I will repeat to you, Maitreya, in their order, the different Manwantaras,—those which are past, and those which are to come.

The first Manu was Swáyambhuva; then came Swárochisha; then, Auttami;\* then, Támasa; then, Raivata;

<sup>\*</sup> Some of my MSS. have Uttama. See note 2 at p. 5, infra. III.

then, Chákshusha: these six Manus have passed away. The Manu who presides over the seventh Manwantara, which is the present (period), is Vaivaswata, the son of the Sun.\*

The period of Swáyambhuva Manu, in the beginning of the Kalpa, † has already been described by me, together with the gods, Řishis, (and other personages) who then flourished. I will now, therefore, enumerate the presiding gods, Řishis, and sons of the Manu, in the Manwantara of Swárochisha. The deities of this period (or the second Manwantara) were the classes

¹ The gods were said to be the Yámas (Vol. I., p. 109); the Řishis were Maríchi, Angiras, &c. (Vol. I., p. 100, note 2); and the sons were Priyavrata and Uttánapáda (Vol. I., pp. 107, 108). The Váyu adds, to the Yamas, the Ajitas, who share with the former, it observes, sacrificial offerings. The Matsya, Padma, Brahma Puráúas, and Hari Vaúsa‡ substitute, for the sons, the grandsons, of Swáyambhuva, — Agnídhra and the rest (Vol. II., pp. 101, 102).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This Manu, according to the legend of his birth in the Már-kańdeya Puráńa, § was the son of Swarochis, so named from the splendour of his appearance, when born, and who was the son of the nymph Varúthiní, by the Gandharva Kali. ¶ The text, in another place, makes him a son of Priyavrata. ¶

<sup>\*</sup> Compare the Laws of the Mánavas, I., 61, 62.

<sup>†</sup> The present Kalpa, the Váráha, from varáha, 'boar'. See Vol. I., pp. 58, 59.

<sup>\*</sup> Śl. 415.

<sup>§</sup> Chapter LXIII.

<sup>|</sup> I find Kala.

<sup>¶</sup> See p. 11, note <sup>‡</sup>, *infra*. According to the *Bhágavata-puráńa*, VIII., I., 19, Swárochisha was son of Agni.

called Párávatas and Tushitas; 1\* and the king of the gods was the mighty Vipaschit. † The seven Říshis²

¹ The Váyu gives the names of the individuals of these two classes, consisting, each, of twelve. It furnishes, also, the nomenclature of all the classes of divinities, and of the sons of the Manus, in each Manwantara. According to the same authority, the Tushitas were the sons of Kratu: ‡ the Bhágavata calls them the sons of Tushitá by Vedaśiras. § The divinities of each period are, according to the Váyu, those to whom offerings of the Soma juice and the like are presented collectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Váyu describes the Řishis of each Manwautara as the sons, or, in some cases, the descendants, in a direct line, of the seven sages, Atri, Angiras, Bhřigu, Kašyapa, Pulaha, Pulastya, and Vasishíha: with some inconsistency; for Kašyapa, at least, did not appear, himself, until the seventh Manwantara. In the present series, Úrja is the son of Vasishíha; Stambha springs from Kašyapa; Práňa, from Bhřigu; Řishabha descends from Angiras; Dattoli is the son of Pulastya; Nišchara springs from Atri; and Arvarívat is the son of Pulaha. The Brahma Puráňa and Hari Vamša ¶ have a rather different list, or, Aurva, Stambha, Kašyapa,

<sup>\*</sup> We read, Vol. II., p. 27, after an enumeration of twelve names: "These, who, in the Chakshusha Manwantara, were the gods called Tushitas, were called the twelve Adityas, in the Manwantara of Vaivaswata." But our text, as now appears, places the Tushitas in the second Manwantara, not in the sixth: see p. 12, infra. Nor, according to the Váyu-puráńa, were they Vishúu, Śakra, &c.

<sup>†</sup> The Bhágavata-puráña, VIII., I.. 20, gives Rochana as the Indra of the second Manwantara or Patriarchate.

<sup>†</sup> Tushitá, according to the same authority, was their mother, as in our text, p. 17, infra.

<sup>§</sup> So, rather, the Bhágavata appears to imply: VIII., I., 21.

<sup>||</sup> Professor Wilson seems to have followed, here, his own MSS. of the Váyu-puráňa, exclusively; and they must differ greatly from those to which I, after him, have access. Instead of Úrja occurs, in these MSS., what looks like a corruption of Turya or Úrva; for Práňa, Droňa; for Dattoli, Dattátri; for Niśchara, Niśchala; and, for Arvarívat, Dhávat. ¶ Śl. 417.

# were Urja, Stambha, \* Práńa, Dattoli, † Ŕishabha, Niś-

Prána, Brihaspati, Chyavana, and Dattoli: but the origin of part of this difference is nothing more than an imperfect quotation from the Váyu Purána; the two first, Aurva and Stambha, being specified as the son of Vasishtha and the descendant of Kasyapa, and then the parentage of the rest being omitted: to complete the seven, therefore, Kasyapa becomes one of them. Some other errors of this nature occur in these two works, and from the same cause,—a blundering citation § of the Váyu, which is named as their authority:

#### एते महर्षयस्तात वायुमोका महाव्रताः।

A curious peculiarity, also, occurs in these mistakes. They are confined to the first eight Manwantaras. The Brahma Puráúa omits all details of the last six; and the Hari Vaínsa inserts them fully and correctly, agreeably to the authority of the Váyu. It looks, therefore, as if the compiler of the Hari Vaínsa had followed the Brahma, as far as it went, right or wrong, but had had recourse to the original Váyu Puráía, when the Brahma failed him. Dattoli is sometimes written Dattoni and Dattotri; and the latter appears to have been the case with the copy of the Hari Vaínsa employed by M. Langlois, who makes one of the Říshis of this Manwantara, "le pénitent Atri." He is not without countenance in some such reading; for the Padma Puráía changes the name to Dattátreya, no doubt suggested by Datta-atri. Dattátreya, however, is the son of Atri; whilst the Váyu calls the person of the text the son of Pulastya. There can be no doubt,

<sup>\*</sup> Stamba is an equally common reading in my MSS.

<sup>†</sup> So read three of my MSS. Variants are Dattoni, Dattori, Dattobhi, Dattokti, Dantoli, Dantobhi, and Dambholi. See, further, Vol. I., p. 154, note ‡.

<sup>†</sup> In MSS, of the Brahma-purána I find Stamba and Dattoni. The Calcutta edition of the Harivaínsa has Stamba, Kásyapa, and Dattoni.

<sup>§</sup> In sl. 418.

<sup>||</sup> Vol. I., p. 38.

chara,\* and Arvarívat;† and Chaitra, Kimpurusha, and others were the Manu's sons.¹

In the third period, or Manwantara of Auttami, 2 Su-

therefore, of the correct reading; for the son of Pulastya is Dattoli. ‡ (Vol. I., p. 154.)

- The Váyu agrees with the text in these names, adding seven \$\ others. The Bhágavata has a different series. The Padma has four other names: Nabha, Nabhasya, Prasřiti, Bhavana. The Brahma has ten names, including two of these, and several of the names of the Rishis of the tenth Manwantara. The Matsya has the four names of the Padma for the sons of the Manu, and gives seven others, Havíndhra, Sukřita, Múrti, Apas, Jyotis, Aya, Smřita (the names of the Brahma), as the seven Prajápatis of this period, and sons of Vasishíha. The sons of Vasishíha, however, belong to the third Manwantara, and bear different appellations. There is, no doubt, some blundering, here, in all the books except the Váyu and those which agree with it.
- <sup>2</sup> The name occurs Auttami, Auttama, and Uttama. The Bhágavata ¶ and Váyu agree with our text (p. 11, infra), in making him a descendant from Priyavrata. The Márkańdeya calls him the son of Uttama,\*\*\* the son of Uttanapáda;†† and this appears to be the correct genealogy, both from our text and the Bhágavata.;‡

<sup>\*</sup> One MS. gives Niśchíra.

<sup>†</sup> The much more frequent lection known to me is Urvarivat.

<sup>†</sup> There is, I incline to think, room for very grave doubt as to both these points. See note † in p. 4, supra.

<sup>§</sup> I do not count so many; and those that I find are very corruptly written.

<sup>||</sup> At VIII., I., 20, it says there were seven, but names only Urja and Stambha. Burnouf melts these two names into one.

<sup>¶</sup> It calls him Priyavrata's son: VIII., I., 23.

<sup>\*\*</sup> LXXII., 39. Auttama is the grandson's name, in the Markańdeya-puráńa.

<sup>††</sup> LXXIX., 3. Suruchi is there said to be Uttama's mother. For the same parentage, see Vol. I., p. 159, of the present work.

<sup>†</sup> Not from the Bhågavata, certainly. Sec note ¶, in this page. As to our text, see note ‡ at p. 11, infra.

śánti\* was the Indra, the king of the gods; the orders of whom were the Sudhámans,† Satyas, Śivas, Pradarśanas,‡ and Vaśavartins;¹ § each of the five orders consisting of twelve (divinities). The seven sons of Vasishtha were the seven Řishis;² and Aja, || Paraśu,¶

- <sup>1</sup> The Brahma and Hari Vamsa\*\* have, in place of these, the Bhanus; but the Vayu and Markandeya†† concur with the text. !!
- <sup>2</sup> All the authorities agree in this; but the Brahma and Hari Vamsass appear to furnish a different series, also; or even a third, according to the French translation: "Dans le troisième Manwantara parurent, comme Saptarchis, les fils de Vasichtha, de son nom appelés Vâsichthas, les fils d'Hiraúyagarbha, et les illustres enfans d'Oûrdja. The text is:

#### वसिष्ठपुत्राः सप्तासन्वासिष्ठा इति विश्रुताः। हिर्ष्यगर्भेख सुता ऋौर्जा भी नाम सुतेजसः॥

The meaning of which is: "There were (in the first Manwantara) seven celebrated sons of Vasishtha, who (in the third Manwantara) were sons of Brahmá (i. e., Řishis), the illustrious posterity

सत्यमेव जयत

<sup>\*</sup> Satyajit, according to the Bhágavata-puráńa, VIII., I., 24.

<sup>†</sup> Five MSS. have Swadhamans.

<sup>†</sup> Pratardanas represents the reading of two MSS.

<sup>§</sup> Three MSS. exhibit Vamsavartins. Professor Wilson put "Vasavertis".

<sup>||</sup> In three MSS. Prajas occurs.

<sup>¶</sup> A single MS. reads Parabhu.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Śl. 825.

<sup>††</sup> In my three MSS. I find Pratardanas, as in the Calcutta edition, instead of Pradarsanas. The Calcutta edition, at variance with my copies, has Swadhamans, for Sudhamans.

<sup>‡‡</sup> The Bhágavata-puráńa, VIII., I., 24, names the Satyas, Vedaśrutas, and Bhadras. Also see note \* at p. 17, infra.

<sup>§§</sup> Sl. 422.

<sup>|</sup> Vol. I., p. 38.

<sup>¶¶</sup> The Calcutta edition reads জর্জা.

Divya, and others were the sons of the Manu.1

The Surúpas, \* Haris, Satyas, and Sudhís²† were the classes of gods, each comprising twenty-seven, in the period of Támasa, (the fourth Manu). Sibit was the

of Úrjá. We have already seen that Úrjá was the wife of Vasishtha, by whom she had seven sons, Rajas," &c. (see Vol. I., p. 155), in the Swáyambhuva Manwantara; and these were born again, as the Éishis of the third period. The names of these persons, according to the Matsya and Padma, are, however, very different from those of the sons of Vasishtha given in Vol. I., p. 155, or, Kaukuńdihi, Kuruńdi, Dálbhya, Śankha, Praváhita, Mita, and Sańmita. §

- <sup>1</sup> The Váyu adds ten other names to those of the text. The Brahma gives ten altogether different. The Bhágavata || and Padma have, each, a separate nomenclature.
- <sup>2</sup> Of these the Brahma and Hari Vamsa¶ notice only the Satyas; the Matsya and Padma have only Sádhyas. The Váyu, Bhágavata,\*\* Kúrma, and Márkandeya†† agree with the text.
- <sup>3</sup> He is the son of Priyavrata, according to the text, ‡‡ the Váyu, &c. The Márkańdeya §§ has a legend of his birth by a doe;

<sup>\*</sup> One MS. has Swarupas. † Swadhis is the reading of one MS.

<sup>†</sup> Śikhi is, in my MSS., almost as common a lection. And herewith agrees the *Márkańdeya-puráńa*, LXXIV., 58. Triśikha is the name in the *Bhágavata-puráńa*, VIII., I., 28.

<sup>§</sup> I have put Dálbhya for "Dalaya", on manuscript authority. The Bhágavata-puráña, VIII., I., 24, names only Pramada, out of the seven; and he is not of the family as detailed in IV., I., 41, 42. See Vol. I., p. 155, note 3.

<sup>||</sup> VIII., I., 23: Pavana, Srinjaya, Yajnahotra, and others unnamed.

<sup>¶</sup> Śl. 427.

<sup>\*\*</sup> I find - VIII., I., 28, 29 - the Satyakas, Haris, Viras, and Vaidhritis.

<sup>††</sup> LXXIV., 57.

<sup>\*\*</sup> See p. 11, note \*, infra; also, p. 17, text and notes \* and §.

<sup>§§</sup> Chapter LXXIV.

Indra, also designated by his performance of a hundred sacrifices, (or named Śatakratu\*). The seven Kishis were Jyotirdháman, Přithu, Kávya, Chaitra, Agni, Vanaka,† and Pívara.¹ The sons of Támasa were the mighty kings Nara, Khyáti, Śántahaya,‡ Jánujangha, and others.²

and, from his being begotten in dark tempestuous weather (तमस्), he derives his name.

- ¹ Severally, according to the Váyu, the progeny of Bhŕigu, Kaśyapa, Angiras, Pulastya, Atri, Vasishíha, and Pulaha. There is considerable variety in some of the names. Thus, the Matsya has Kavi, Přithu, Agni, Akapi, Kapi, Jalpa, || Dhímat. The Hari Vamsa ¶ has Kávya, Příthu, Agni, Jahnu, Dhátři,\*\* Kapívat, Akapívat. For the two last the Váyu reads Gátra and Vanapítha. The son of Pulaha is in his place (Vol. I., p. 155, note 1),—Arvarívat or Vanakapívat. Gátra is amongst the sons of Vasishtha (Vol. I., p. 155). The Váyu is, therefore, probably, most correct, although our text, in regard to these two denominations, admits of no doubt: †† अधिवेनकस्था पीवर्श ! ‡‡
- <sup>2</sup> The Váyu, &c. agree with the text; the Váyu naming eleven. The Brahma, Matsya, and Padma have a series of ten names, Sutapas, Tapomúla, &c., of which seven are the Řishis of the twelfth Manwantara. §§

\* This parenthesis was supplied by the Translator.

† In one MS. is Sántihaya; and, in one, Sántihavya.

<sup>†</sup> Five of my MSS. read Varada. Two of my MSS. of the Markandeya-purána have Vanaka; the third, Varuna. The Calcutta edition, LXXIV., 59, gives Valaka.

<sup>§</sup> The Bhágavata-purána, VIII., I., 27, represents him as brother of Uttama.

<sup>||</sup> Corrected from the printer's "Salpa". ¶ Śl. 426.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The Calcutta edition has Janyu and Dhaman.

<sup>††</sup> See, however, note † in this page.

<sup>††</sup> The Bhágavata-puráńa, VIII., I., 28, names Jyotirdháman only.

<sup>§§</sup> Agreeably to the Bhágavata-puráńa, VIII., I., 27, they were ten in number, of whom it specifies Prithu, Khyati, Nara, and Ketu.

In the fifth interval, the Manu was Raivata; the Indra was Vibhu; the classes of gods, consisting of fourteen each, were the Amitábhas, Abhútarajasas, Vaikuńthas, and Sumedhasas; the seven Rishis were

अमिताभाभूतरजा वैकुखाः ससुमेधसः।

That the first two names must be taken as welded into a compound embodying Abhútarajas is attempted to be shown in note || in the next page. See, further, note  $\P$ , p. 17, infra. And hence the "Abhútarajasas" -i. e., Abhútarajases - of Professor Wilson may be open to correction, as regards its first syllable. Moreover, on the assumption that his MSS. were like mine, he has substituted the longer ending of the word for the shorter. See Vol. II., p. 101, note \*; and p. 107, note  $\ddagger$ .

The Sanskrit scholar will have noticed, that, other considerations permitting, the line just quoted might yield Bhútarajas. The reading, unquestionably, of the *Bhágavata-purána*, VIII., V., 3, omits the vowel at the beginning. It is Bhútarayas; and it involves a corruption, I take it, as to its y, such as we have in Ábhútarayas. The *Márkaúdcya-pu-rána*, LXXV., 71, has, in my three MSS., Ábhútanayas or Bhútanayas, which, as could be shown, may easily have grown out of Ábhútarajas. The Calcutta edition exhibits Bhúpatis!

- † In the singular, Suniedhas. Three MSS agree in reading Susamedhases.
- † The Bhágavata-purána, VIII., V., 2, calls him uterine brother of Támasa. And see p. 11, note †, infra.

Raivata, as well as his three predecessors, is regarded, usually, as a descendant of Priyavrata. The Márkańdeya§ has a long legend of his birth, as the son of King Durgama by the nymph Revatí, sprung from the constellation Revatí, whom Kitavách, a Muni, caused to fall from heaven. Her radiance became a lake on Mount Kumuda, thence called Raivataka; and from it appeared the damsel, who was brought up by Pramucha Muni. Upon the marriage of Revatí, the Muni, at her request, restored the asterism to its place in the skies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Brahma inserts, of these, only the Abhútarajasas, with

<sup>\*</sup> Two MSS. have Ábhútaramas; two, Ábhútarayas; both which words look very like depravations of the reading in all my other copies, towit, Ábhútarajas. The ordinary reading of the line containing this term is:

<sup>§</sup> Chapter LXXV.

Hiranyaroman, Vedaśri,\* Úrdhwabáhu, Vedabáhu,† Sudháman,‡ Parjanya, and Mahámuni.¹§ The sons of the remark, that 'they were of like nature (with their name):'|

देवाञ्चाभृतरजसस्तथाप्रकृतयः स्नुताः।

i. e., they were exempt from the quality of passion. M. Langlois, I in rendering the parallel passage of the Hari Vamsa, \*\* has confounded the epithet and the subject: 'dont les dieux furent les Pracritis, dépourvus de colère et de passion.' He is, also, at a loss what to do with the terms Pariplava and Raibhya, in the following passage, पारिश्वय रिस्थ, †† asking: 'Qu'est-ce que Pâriplava? qu'est-ce que Rèbhya?' If he had had the commentary at hand, these questions would have been unnecessary: they are there said to be two classes of divinities: पारिश्व रिस्थ देवता-गणविश्वेषी । ‡‡

<sup>1</sup> There is less variety in these names than usual.§§ Vedabáhu

- \* Devaśri, according to a single MS.
- † In three MSS., Devabáhu.
- † Two MSS. have Swadhaman.
- § The Bhágavata-purána, VIII., V., 3, says that they were, with others, Hiranyaroman, Vedasíras, and Úrdhwabáhu.
- || The Sanskrit allows us to take the word as Abhútarajases; meaning, perhaps, 'endowed with activity + rajas as far as that possessed by the Bhútas.' See Vol. I., p. 83; and Vol. II., p. 74, note 2.

The Váyu-purána speaks of the Amritábhas and Ábhútarajas:

#### त्रमृताभाभूतर्जा वैकुष्टाः ससुमेधसः।

For, just below this, it has the line:

द्खेतानीह नामानि त्राभृतर्जसं विदः।

On now comparing note \* in the last page with note ¶ at p. 17, \infra, it will be seen, that the gods under discussion were characterized by their possession, not want, of activity. In the latter passage here referred to, all Professor Wilson's MSS., including those now at Oxford, have, like my own, संभूतो राजसे:, or else संभूतो मानसे:

¶ Vol. I, p. 39.

\*\* Śl. 432:

#### देवाञ्चाभृतर्जसस्त्रथाप्रकृतयो ऽ परे।

++ Śl. 432.

‡‡ Of the gods of the fifth Manwantara the Bhágavata-puráńa, VIII., V., 3, 4, particularizes the Bhútarayas and Vaikuńthas only. See note \* in the preceding page.

§§ See the Márkańdeya-puráńa, LXXV., 73, 74.

Raivata were Balabandhu, Susanbhávya,\* Satyaka, and other valiant kings.†

These four Manus, Swárochisha, Auttami,: Támasa, and Raivata, were, all, descended from Priyavrata, who, in consequence of propitiating Vishnu by his devotions, \$\\$ obtained these rulers of the Manwantaras for his posterity.

Chákshusha was the Manu of the sixth period, in

is read Devabáhu; Sudháman, Satyanetra; and Mahámuni, Muni, Yajus, Vásishíha, and Yadudhra. According to the Váyu, those of the text are, respectively, of the lineage of Angiras, Bhŕigu, Vasishíha, Pulastya, Atri, Pulaha, and Kasyapa. There is considerable variety in the names of the Manu's sons.

¹ Chákshusha, according to the best authorities, descended from Dhruva (see Vol. I., p. 177): but the Márkańdeya has a legend of his birth as the son of a Kshattriya named Anamitra; of his being exchanged, at his birth, for the son of Viśránta Rája, and being brought up, by the prince, as his own; of his revealing the business, when a man, and propitiating Brahmá by his devotions, in consequence of which, he became a Manu. In his former birth, he was born from the eye of Brahmá, whence his name, from Chakshus, 'the eye.'

<sup>\*</sup> Sambhávya is the reading of five MSS.; Swasambhávya, that of one. † Only Arjuna and Balivindhya are named in the *Bhágavata-purána*, VIII., V., 2.

<sup>†</sup> Uttama, as before, is here a variant. The Vishńu-puráńa is at odds with itself, if it here derives Auttami from Priyavrata,—not from Uttánapáda. See Vol. I. p. 159: also, p. 5, note ¶; p. 7, note 3; p. 8, note §; p. 9, note ‡, supra.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Descended from Priyavrata" translates प्रियन्तान्याः; and "for his posterity", आत्मवंश्रजान्. We may render: "one in lineage with Priyavrata"; but hardly, considering the context, "as his kindred", instead of "for his posterity". Uttanapada and Priyavrata were brothers.

<sup>§</sup> Tapas, 'austerity'. || Compare the Bhágavata-purána, VIII., V., 7.

which the Indra was Manojava:\* the five classes of gods† were the Ádyas, † Prastútas, § Bhavyas, Prithugas, || and the magnanimous Lekhas, eight of each: †¶ Sumedhas, Virajas, Havishmat, Uttama, Madhu,\*\* Abhináman, †† and Sahisháu were the seven sages. † The kings of the earth, the sons of Chákshusha, were the

¹ The authorities agree as to the number, but differ as to the names; reading, for Ádyas, Áryas and Ápyas;‡‡ for Prastútas, Prabhútas and Prasútas; for Prithugas, Prithukas and Prithusas; and, which is a more wide deviation, Řibhus for Bhavyas. M. Langlois§§ omits the Prasútas, and inserts Divaukasas; but the latter, meaning 'divinities,' is only an epithet. The Hari Vamsa 🍴 has:

स्राद्याः प्रसूता ऋभवः पृथुगाञ्च दिवीकसः। १९ लेखा नाम महाराज पञ्च देवगणाः स्नृताः॥ The comment adds: दिवीकस इति सर्वेषां विश्वषणम्।

<sup>2</sup> The Váyu reads Sudháman, \*\*\* for the first name; Unnata, for Uttama; and Abhimana, for Abhinaman. ††† The latter occurs

<sup>\*</sup> Mantradruma: Bhágavata-purána, VIII., V., 8.

<sup>†</sup> See note \* at p. 3, supra.

<sup>‡</sup> One MS. has Áryas.

<sup>§</sup> This reading is in none of my MSS. Two have Prasritas; all the rest, Prasutas.

| Three MSS. have Prithagas.

<sup>¶</sup> See p. 3, note \*, supra.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Maru is in one MS.

tt Every one of my MSS. has Atinaman.

<sup>‡‡</sup> The Bhágavata-purána names the Ápyas only, of all the gods of this Patriarchate.

<sup>§§</sup> Vol. I., p. 39.

<sup>|| ||</sup> Śl. 437.

<sup>¶¶</sup> The Calcutta edition has ऋाष्या:, प्रभूता:, and पृथुकाः

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> And so does the Harivainsa, sl. 435.

<sup>†††</sup> The Márkańdeya-puráńa, LXXVI., 54, has, in one of my three MSS., Unnata, as against Uttama in the other two; and so has the Calcutta edition, with which they all concur in reading Atinaman.

powerful Uru,\* Puru,† Śatadyumna, and othera.:

The Manu of the present period is the wise lord of obsequies, \$\\$ the illustrious offspring of the Sun. The deities are the Ádityas, \$\|\] Vasus, and Rudras: \$\\\\$ their sovereign is Purandara. Vasishtha, Kasyapa, Atri, Jamadagni, Gautama, Viswamitra, and Bharadwaja are the seven Kishis; and the nine pious sons of Vaivaswata Manu are the kings\*\* Ikshwaku, Nabhaga, \$\\\\$\$ Dhrishta, \$\\\\$\$ Saryati, \$\\\\$\$ Narishyanta, Nabhanidishta, \$\|\|\|

also Abhinámin (Matsya) and Atináman (Hari Vanísa¶¶). The latter

<sup>\*</sup> Here - as in Vol. I., p. 177-I have corrected the Translator's "Uru".

<sup>†</sup> Para is the worthless reading of two MSS.; and as many have Púru, the ancient form of the name. See Vol. I., p. 177, note †.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Those named in the *Bhágavata-puráha*, VIII., V., 7, are Puru, Purusha, and Sudyumna.

<sup>§</sup> Śráddhadeva; often taken as a proper name. Vaivaswata is intended. See p. 2, supra.

<sup>||</sup> See Vol. II., p. 27, for their names.

<sup>¶</sup> Add 'etc.' And see p. 15, note +, infra.

<sup>\*\*</sup> See Book IV., Chapters I.-V., where I return to these kings.

<sup>††</sup> Three MSS. have Nabhaga. As will be seen further on, this king should seem to bear another name, that of Nriga, which word several of my copies give here, as the reading.

<sup>‡‡</sup> In two MSS., Dhrishtu; in one, Vishnu; the former of which lections is of no account.

<sup>§§</sup> Here I correct the "Sanyati" of the original edition. Half my MSS. have Saryati.

<sup>||||</sup> Not one of my MSS. has this reading. Six—like two of Professor Wilson's, now at Oxford—give Nabhaga and Dishta; two, Nabhaga and Arishta; one, Nabhaga and Dishta; one, Nabhaga and Dishta, one, Nabhaga and Dishta, &c. Moreover, it is shown, in the next page, that at least one of the commentators understands two kings to be here spoken of. And there is strong ground for believing that herein he is right.

Professor Wilson's choice of name—to which there is nothing, in any of the MSS. he used, nearer than नाभो नेद्द:, occurring in one of them—must have been suggested by the Nabhanedishtha of the *Rigveda* and other ancient writings, to whom he refers in a note to Book IV., Chapter I.

Karúsha, Prishadhra, and the celebrated Vasumat. \*\*
The unequalled energy of Vishnu, combining with

reads, † no doubt incorrectly, Bhrigu, Nabha, and Vivaswat, for Uttama, Madhu, and Havishmat.;

The sous of Chákshusha are enumerated in Vol. I., p. 177.

<sup>1</sup> There is no great variety of nomenclature in this Manwanara. The Váyu adds, to the deities, the Sádhyas, Viśwas, Ma-

#### करूषस पृष्धस वसुमाँ हो कविश्वतः।

On this it is remarked, in one of the commentaries, the other being silent: वसुमाँ बोकाविश्वत इति विशेषणद्वं पृषध्रश्चित । वसुमान्धेर्यवान् । वसिष्ठशापे जाते ६ पि बोभाभावाजीकविश्वतः सर्वसंगपरित्यागेन मुक्तिप्राप्तेः। तथा सति नव पुत्रा महाबला इति नवत्वमुपपद्वं भवति। That is to say, the "Vasumat" of the text is an epithet of Prishadhra, denoting 'fortitudinous'.

It is thus evident how the commentator here makes out the exact tale of nine kings.

Discordantly, the Bhágavata-puráńa, VIII., XIII., 2, 3, has Ikshwáku, Nabhaga, Dhíishía, Śaryáti, Narishyanta, Nábhága, Dishía, Karúsha, Prishadhra, and Vasumat,—ten, as it distinctly states. Reference will be made, in the sequel, to IX., I., 12. See, for nine sous of Vaivaswata, the Márkańdeya-puráńa, LXXIX., 11, 12.

At present, it need only be added, that the  $V\dot{a}yu$ -puraña, professing to name but nine sons of the reigning Manu, makes Prâmśu the last, and says nothing of Vasumat as one of his brothers. Later Purânas than the  $V\dot{a}yu$  have manipulated its statements with a very free hand. For instance, the first line of the stanza in which it speaks of the sixth and seventh of Vaivaswata's Kishis, served, pretty certainly, as the type of the quotation given above; and hence the creation, there, of Vasumat. This stanza is thus expressed:

#### षष्ठो वसिष्ठपुर्वस्तु वसुमाँ होकविश्वतः। वत्सारः काग्र्यपश्चिव सप्तिते साधुसंमताः॥

Of the two commentaries adduced in my annotations, that which I have hitherto designated as the smaller becomes, here at the beginning of Book III., considerably the ampler. From this point, not to mislead, I shall, till further notice, distinguish it as B; the other being called A.

<sup>\*</sup> The text is as follows:

<sup>†</sup> Śl. 435.

<sup>†</sup> Havishmat and Víraka, and these only, are spoken of in the Bhágavata-purána, VIII., V., 8.

the quality of goodness, and effecting the preservation of created things, presides over all the Manwantaras, in the form of a divinity.\* Of a portion of that divinity Yajna was born, in the Swáyambhuva Manwantara,

ruts, and gods sprung from Bhŕigu and Angiras.† The Bhágavata‡ adds the Řibhus; § and most include the two Aświns, as a class. Of the Maruts, however, the Hari Vaínśa remarks, that they are born in every Manwantara, seven times seven (or fortynine); that, in each Manwantara, four times seven (or twenty-eight) obtain emancipation, but their places are filled up by persons reborn in that character. So the commentator explains the passages

मन्वन्तरेषु सर्वेषु प्राग्देशं सप्तसप्तकाः ।

and

मन्वनारे व्यतिक्रान्ते चलारः सप्तका गणाः। "

सप्तसप्तका एकोनपञ्चाभ्रत्मको देवा: प्रतिमन्वनारे भविता। Commentary. तेषां मध्ये चलारः सप्तका अष्टाविभ्रति मक्तः। Commentary. It may be suspected, however, that these passages have been derived from the simple statement of the Matsya, that, in all the Manwantaras, classes of Rishis appear by seven and seven, and, having established a code of law and morality, depart to felicity:

# मन्वन्तरेषु सरेषु सप्त सप्त महर्षयः। क्रत्ना धर्मव्यवस्थानं प्रयान्ति पर्म पटम ॥

The Váyu has a rather different list of the seven Kishis: \*\* or, Vasumat, the son of Vasishtha; Vatsára, descended from Kasyapa;

#### \* विष्णुग्रातिर्नौपम्या सत्त्वोद्गिता स्थितो स्थिता। मन्वन्तरेष्वेग्रेषेषु देवलेनाधितिष्ठति॥

- † With this enumeration corresponds that in the Mark.-pur., LXXIX., 1.
- ‡ At VIII., XIII., 4, it adds, to the Adityas, Vasus, and Rudras, the Viśwe devas, Maruts, Aświns, and Kibhus.
- § For these gods, see Professor Wilson's Translation of the Kigveda, Vol. I., p. 46, note a.
  - $|| \hat{S}l. 444.$  ¶  $\hat{S}l. 445.$
- \*\* In this order: Viśwamitra, Jamadagni, Bharadwaja, Śaradwat. Atri, Vasumat, Vatsara.

### the will-begotten progeny of Akúti.1\* When the Swá-

Viśwamitra, the son of Gadhi, and of the Kuśika race; Jamadagni, son of Kuru,† of the race of Bhrigu; Bharadwaja, son of Brihaspati; Śaradwat, son of Gotama,‡ of the family of Utathya; § and Brahmakośa or Atri, descended from Brahma. || All the other authorities agree with our text.

<sup>1</sup> The nominal ¶ father being the patriarch Ruchi. (See Vol. I., p. 108.)

# त्रंग्रेन तस्य जज्ञे ऽसौ यज्ञः स्वायस्वि ऽन्तरे । त्राकृत्यां मानसी देव उत्पद्मः पथमे ऽन्तरे ॥

On this the two commentaries remark: तस्य विष्णोरंशेन स्वायसुव-मन्वनारे। त्राकूत्यां मातिर यज्ञसंज्ञ उत्पन्नी जज्ञे बभूवेत्यर्थः। यदा। प्रथमे ६ नारे ६ वसरे ब्रह्मणी मानसो य उत्पन्नी क्चिससात्पितुर्जज्ञे इति।

According to this, "From a portion of him Yajna was born, in the Swayambhuva Manwantara, of Ákúti; or, in this first Manwantara, Yajna was born from Ruchi, a god will-begotten of Brahmá." Hence the term mánasa must be taken to allude to Ruchi, Ákúti's husband, born from Brahmá in the first epoch of the Swayambhuva Patriarchate. Mánasa cannot be applied to the child of a virgin. Males, not females, had will-begotten offspring.

- † I find Úru; also, Kuśa. See Book IV., Chapter VII.
- † Corrected from "Gautama". This, importing 'son of Gotama', is Śaradwat's patronymic. See Book IV., Chapter XIX.
- § Corrected from "Utatthya". In Vol. I., p. 153, note 2, I have amended "Uttathya".

In Professor Wilson's Translation of the *Kigveda*, Vol. II., p. 63, appears "Uchatthya" – recte, Uchathya—as father of Dirghatamas; and it is added, in a note: "The reading of the Puráńas is, invariably, Uttathya." "Utatthya" occurs *ibid.*, p. 83, note 6. These spellings, which I have never met with, must be incorrect; as the etymology—uchatha, 'praise'—of the Vaidik form of the name, Uchathya, clearly evinces.

These and suchlike minutiæ are not purposeless, seeing that the great Sanskrit Dictionary of Messrs. Boehtlingk and Roth inserts so copiously, as variants, transformations of proper names which owe their existence to mere inadvertence, but the reality or unreality of which cannot be judged of in the absence of manuscripts.

|| Swáyambhuva, in the original, ¶ But see note \* in this page.

rochisha Manwantara had arrived, that divine (Yajna) was born as Ajita, along with the Tushita gods, the sons of Tushitá. In the third Manwantara,\* Tushita† was again born of Satyá, as Satya, along with the class of deities so denominated. In the next period, Satya became Hari,: along with the Haris, the children of Harí.§ The excellent Hari was again born, in the Raivata Manwantara, of Sambhúti, as Mánasa, along with the gods called Abhútarajasas.¶ In the next period, Vishúu\*\* was born of Vikunthí, † as Vaikuntha, along

रैवते ऽ ष्यन्तरे देवः संभूत्यां मानसो ऽ भवत् । संभूतो राजसैः साधें देवेदेववरो हरिः॥

'In the Raivata patriarchal period, again, Hari, best of gods, was born, of Sambhuti, as the divine Manasa,—originating with the deities called Rajasas'.

Mánasa is no inappropriate name for a deity associated with the Rajasas. We appear to have, in it, mánasam—the same as manas—with the change of termination required to express male personification. See Vol. I., p. 35, note \*.

Sambhuti had a son Paurnamasa. See Vol. I., p. 153. Also see the note immediately preceding this, and note |, at p. 10, supra

\*\* In the original, Purushottama.

†† We must read Vikuúthá. The Sanskrit presents the locative case as

Vikuńthá's husband was Śubhra, alleges the Bhágavata-puráńa, VIII., III. 2

<sup>\*</sup> Bhagavat then appeared as Satyasena, along with the Satyavratas, according to the Bhágavata-purána, VIII., I., 25.

<sup>†</sup> Here a name of Vishnu.

<sup>‡</sup> Son of Harińi and Harimedhas, says the Bhágavata-puráńa, VIII., I., 30.

<sup>\$</sup> The original has the locative ह्यायां, which supposes Harya for the nominative.

<sup>||</sup> Bhagavat manifested himself as Ajita, son of Vairaja and Saúbhúti, in the time of the sixth Manu, Chákshusha, according to the Bhágavatapuráńa, VIII., V., 9. We read, in this page, that Vishúu appeared as Ajita, in the age of Swárochisha. His epiphany then was as Vibhu, son of Vedaśiras and Tushitá, declares the Bhágavata-puráńa, VIII., I., 21.

<sup>¶</sup> All my MSS.—except that four of them have मानसै: for राजसै:—concur in reading:

with the deities called Vaikunthas.\* In the present Manwantara, Vishnu was again born as Vamana, the son of Kasyapa by Aditi.† With three paces: he subdued the worlds, and gave them, freed from all embarrassment, to Purandara.¹ These are the seven persons

\* That these gods appeared under Raivata, not under Chakshusha, we read in p. 9, supra.

† Hence, Vámana was brother of the Ádityas and Tushitas. See Vol. II., p. 27. Also see p. 3, note \*, supra. He is called the last-born of the Ádityas, in the Bhágavata-puráña, VIII., XIII., 6.

† On the three strides of Vishin, by reason of which he is called Trivikrama, see Professor Wilson's Translation of the Rigueda, Vol. I., Introduction, p. xxxiv.; and Vol. IV., p. 17, note: also, Original Sanskrit Texts, Part II., pp. 187 and 214—216; Part IV., Chapter II., especially pp. 54—58, and pp. 118, 119.

Dr Muir, in his Matapariksha, Part I., -p. 105 of the Sanskrit, p. 16 of the English, -and twice in pages, just referred to, of his Texts, has quoted and translated a curious relevant passage from Durga Áchárya on Yáska's Nirukta. It is subjoined, together with Dr. Muir's latest version of it:

विष्णुरादित्यः। कथमिति। यत त्राह वेधा निद्धे पदं निधत्ते पदं निधत्ते पदं निधानं पदैः। क्षतत्तावत्। पृथिव्यामन्तरिचे दिवीति शाक-पूषिः। पार्थिवो ऽित्रभूत्वा पृथिव्यां यित्वंचिद्स्ति तिद्वक्रमते तद्धि-तिष्ठति। त्रान्तरिचे वैद्युतात्मना। दिवि सूर्यात्मना। यदुक्तम्। तमू ऋकृष्वन्त्रेधा भुवे कमिति। समारोहणे उद्यगिरावुद्यन्पद्मेकं नि-धन्ते। विष्णुपदे मध्यंदिने ऽन्तरिचे। गयशिरस्यसंगिरावित्यार्णवाभ क्राचार्यो मन्यते।

"Vishnu is the sun [Aditya]. How so? Because [the hymn] says: 'In three places he planted his step'; i. e., plants his step, [makes] a planting with his steps. Where, then, is this done? 'On the earth, in the firmament, and in the sky', according to Sakapuni. Becoming terrestrial fire, he strides over, abides in, whatever there is on earth; in the shape of lightning, in the firmament; and in the form of the sun,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There is no further account of this incarnation in the Vishnu Purana. Fuller details occur in the Bhagavata, Kurma, Matsya,

V., 4. But, according to that authority, Vikuntha appeared in the fifth Patriarchate, not, as here, in the sixth.

by whom, in the several Manwantaras, created beings have been protected. Because this whole world has been pervaded by the energy of the deity, he is entitled Vishńu, from the root Viś, 'to enter', or 'pervade'; for all the gods, the Manus, the seven Kishis, the sons of the Manus, the Indras the sovereigns of the gods, all are but the impersonated might\* of Vishńu.

and Vámana Puráńas. The first of these (Book VIII., Chapters 15—23) relates the penance and sacrifices of Bali, son of Virochana, by which he had overcome Indra and the gods, and obtained supreme dominion over the three spheres. Vishńu, at the request of the deities, was born as a dwarf, Vámana, the son of Aditi by Kaśyapa; who, applying to Bali for alms, was promised, by the prince, whatever he might demand, notwithstanding Śukra, the preceptor of the Daityas, apprised him whom he had to deal with. The dwarf demanded as much space as he could step over at three steps, and, upon the assent of Bali, enlarged himself to such dimensions as to stride over the three worlds. Being worshipped, however, by Bali and his ancestor Prahláda, he conceded to them the sovereignty of Pátála.

<sup>1</sup> See the same etymology in Vol. I., p. 4, note 2.

\* Vibhútayah, 'potencies'.

in the sky. As it is said (in the R. V., X., 88, 10): 'They made him to become threefold'. Aurńavábha Áchárya thinks [the meaning is] this: 'He plants one foot on the 'samárohańa' (place of rising), when mounting over the hill of ascension; [another], on the 'vishúupada', the meridian sky; [a third], on the 'gayaśiras', the hill of setting".

#### CHAPTER II.

Of the seven future Manus and Manwantaras. Story of Sanjná and Chháyá, wives of the Sun. Sávarúi, son of Chháyá, the eighth Manu. His successors, with the divinities, &c. of their respective periods. Appearance of Vishúu in each of the four Yugas.

MAITREYA.—You have recapitulated to me, most excellent Brahman, the particulars of the past Manwantaras. Now give me some account of those which are to come.

Paráśara.—Sanjná, the daughter of Viśwakarman, was the wife of the Sun, and bore him three children, the Manu (Vaivaswata), Yama, and the goddess Yamí (or the Yamuná river). Unable to endure the fervours of her lord, Sanjná gave him Chháyá, as his handmaid, and repaired to the forests, to practise devout exercises.\* The Sun, supposing Chháyá to be his wife

¹ That is, her shadow, or image. It also means 'shade.' The Bhágavata, † however, makes both Sanjná and Chháyá daughters of Viśwakarman. According to the Matsya, Vivaswat, the son of Kaśyapa and Aditi, had three wives: Rájní, the daughter of Raivata, by whom he had Revanta; Prabhá, by whom he had Prabháta; and, by Sanjná, the daughter of Twashtri, the Manu, and Yama, and Yamuná. The story then proceeds much as in the text.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Devout exercises" renders tapas.

<sup>†</sup> VIII., XIII., 8. In the next stanza it adds, that some give the Sun a third wife, Vadava. The commentator, Śridhara, identifies her, nevertheless, with Sanjuá, who is said—VI., VI., 38—to have been transformed into a mare—vadavá.

Sanjná, begot, by her, three other children, Śanaiśchara (Saturn), another Manu (Sávarńi\*), and a daughter, Tapatí (the Taptee river). Chháyá, upon one occasion, being offended with Yama,1 the son of Sanjná, denounced an imprecation upon him, and, thereby, revealed to Yama, and to the Sun, that she was not, in truth, Sanjná, the mother of the former. † Being further informed, by Chháyá, that his wife had gone to the wilderness, the Sun beheld her, by the eye of meditation, t engaged in austerities, in the figure of a mare, (in the region of Uttara Kuru §). Metamorphosing himself into a horse, he rejoined his wife, and begot three other children, - the two Aswins and Revanta, - and then brought Sanjná back to his own dwelling. To diminish his intensity, Viśwakarman placed the luminary on his lathe, to grind off some of his effulgence, and, in this manner, reduced it an eighth: for more

¹ Yama, provoked at her partiality for her own children, abused Chháyá, and lifted up his foot, to kick her. She cursed him to have his leg affected with sores and worms: but his father bestowed upon him a cock, to eat the worms and remove the discharge; and Yama, afterwards propitiating Mahádeva, obtained the rank of Lokapála, and sovereign of Tartarus. ¶

<sup>\*</sup> Not named, here, in the original.

<sup>†</sup> क्रायासंज्ञा ददौ शापं यमाय कुपिता यदा। तदान्येयमसौ बुद्धिरिखासीयमसूर्ययोः॥

<sup>‡</sup> Samádhi.

<sup>§</sup> This parenthesis, as usual, is supplied by the Translator.

<sup>||</sup> See Professor Wilson's Translation of the *Rigueda*, Vol. I., Introduction, pp. xxxv., xxxvi., and p. 8, note a; also, Vol. II., Introduction, p. viii.

<sup>¶</sup> See the Márkańdeya-puráńa, Chapter LXXVII.

than that was inseparable.¹ The parts of the divine Vaishnava splendour, residing in the sun, that were filed off by Viśwakarman, fell, blazing, down upon the earth; and the artist constructed of them the discus of Vishnu, the trident of Śiva,\* the weapon² of the god of wealth,† the lance of Kárttikeya,‡ and the weapons of the other gods: all these Viśwakarman fabricated from the superfluous rays of the sun.³

The son of Chháyá, who was called, also, a Manu, was denominated Sávarńi, § from being of the same caste (Savarńa) as his elder brother, (the Manu Vai-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Matsya says, he trimmed the Sun everywhere except in the feet, the extent of which he could not discern. Consequently, in pictures, or images, the feet of the Sun must never be delineated, under pain of leprosy, &c.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The term is Śibiká, which properly means 'a litter'. The commentator calls it Astra, 'a weapon'. ||

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This legend is told, with some variations of no great importance, in the Matsya, Márkańdeya, and Padma Puráńas (Swarga Khańda), in the Bhágavata, and Hari Vamsa, ¶ &c.

<sup>4</sup> The Márkańdeya, \*\* whilst it admits Sávarńi to be the son of the Sun, has a legend of his former birth, in the Swárochisha Manwantara, as Suratha Rája, who became a Manu by having then propitiated Deví. It was to him that the Durgá Máhátmya †† or Chańdí, the popular narrative of Durgá's triumphs over various demons, was narrated.

<sup>\*</sup> Substituted, by the Translator, for Rudra.

<sup>†</sup> This is to translate Dhanada, one of the names of Kubera.

<sup>†</sup> The original has Guha.

<sup>§</sup> Sávarna is a variant.

<sup>||</sup> So both the commentators call it.

<sup>¶</sup> Chapter IX.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Chapter LXXXI.

<sup>++</sup> Or Devi-máhátmya.

vaswata). He presides over the ensuing, or eighth, Manwantara,\* the particulars of which, and the following, I will now relate. In the period in which Sávarńi shall be the Manu, the classes of the gods will be the Sutapas, Amitábhas, and Mukhyas; twenty-one† of each. The seven Rishis will be Díptimat, Gálava, Ráma,‡ Kripa, \$ Drauńi, || my son Vyása¶ (will be the sixth); and the seventh will be Rishyaśringa.¹ The Indra will be Bali, the sinless son of Virochana, who, through the favour of Vishńu, is, actually, sovereign

तेषां गणसु देवानामिकैको विश्वकः स्रुतः।

And both the commentaries observe: विश्वकः। विश्वतिसंख्याकः। The Váyu-puráňa, too, has twenty; and so the Márkańdeya-puráňa, LXXX., 5.

- † Otherwise known as Paraśuráma.
- § Drońa's brother-in-law.
- [] I. e., son of Drońa; namely, Aśwatthaman. See Book IV., Chapter XIX. Three of my MSS. have Drońi, as has the printed Markańdeya-purańa, LXXX., 4. This form sins against grammar.
  - ¶ Distinguished as Bádaráyana.
  - \*\* My MSS. here differ from the text, in reading:

# कौशिको गालवसीव जामदग्नस भागवः।

That is to say, Gálava was a Kauśika, and Jámadagnya, a Bhárgava. See pp. 14—16, note 1; and p. 16, note †, supra. Also see Professor Max Müller's History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 380; and p. 418, note 1.

¹ The Váyu has Jámadagnya (or Paraśuráma), of the Kuśika race; Gálava, of that of Bhŕigu; \*\* Dwaipáyana (or Vyása), of the family of Vasishíha; Kŕipa, the son of Śaradwat; Díptimat, descended from Atri; Řishyaśŕinga, from Kaśyapa; and Aśwattháman, the son of Drońa, of the Bháradwája family. The Matsya and Padma have Satánanda, in place of Díptimat.

<sup>\*</sup> Called Sávarńika or Sávarńaka, in the Sanskrit.

<sup>†</sup> My MSS. say 'twenty'; since they read:

of part of Pátála.\* The royal progeny of Sávarńi will be Virajas, Arvarívat,† Nirmoha,; and others.§

The ninth Manu will be Daksha-sávarńi. The Páras, Maríchigarbhas, and Sudharmans will be the

<sup>1</sup> The four following Sávarúis are described, in the Váyu, as the mind-engendered sons of a daughter of Daksha, named either Suvratá (Váyu) or Priyá (Brahma), by himself and the three gods, Brahmá, Dharma, and Rudra, to whom he presented her on Mount Meru; whence they are called also Meru-sávarúis. They are termed Sávarúis, from their being of one family or caste:

#### यस्रात्सवर्णास्त्वेषाँ वै ब्रह्मादीनां कुमारकाः। सवर्णा मनवस्तेषां सवर्णलं च तेषु तत्॥

According to the same authority, followed by the Hari Vamsa, \*\* it appears that this Manu is also called Rohita. Most of the details of this and the following Manwantaras are omitted in the Matsya, Brahma, Padma, and Markandeya †† Puranas. The Bhagavata † and Kurma give the same as our text; and the Vayu, which agrees very nearly with it, §§ is followed, in most

#### ' विष्णुप्रसादाद्वाः पातालान्तरगोचरः। विरोचनसुतस्तेषां बलिरिन्द्रो भविष्यति॥

- † The "Arvarivas" of the former edition was an oversight. Variants which I find are Urvarivat, Urvaravat, and Arvariyat.
  - † Two of my MSS. have Nirmoka; one, Nirmogha.
- § According to the *Bhágavata-puráňa*, VIII., XIII., 11, 12, the gods will be the Sutapas, Virajas, and Amíitaprabhas; and among the sons of Sávarńi will be Nirmoka and Virajaska.
- || All my MSS. have Dakshasávarňa. The ninth Manu will be son of Varuńa, according to the Bhágavata-puráňa, VIII., XIII., 18.
  - ¶ Three MSS. give Paras.
  - \*\* Śl. 468.
- †† This Purana should here be omitted, as it contains a full exhibition of the details referred to. See its chapters XCIV. and C.
- ‡‡ It will be seen, from my notes, that its agreement with our text is not of the closest.
- §§ If my five MSS. are to be trusted, it deviates therefrom very widely. I have recorded only a few specimens of these deviations.

three classes of divinities; each consisting of twelve: their powerful chief will be the Indra Adbhuta. Savana, Dyutimat, Bhavya,\* Vasu, Medhádhriti,† Jyotishmat, and Satya will be the seven Rishis. Dhritaketu,: Díptiketu, § Panchahasta, Nirámaya, || Přithuśrava, ¶ and others, will be the sons of the Manu.\*\*

In the tenth Manwantara, the Manu will be Brahmasávarni: †† the gods will be the Sudhámans, Viruddhas, # and Satasankhyas: the Indra will be the mighty Sánti:§§

respects, by the Hari Vamsa. The Matsya and Padma are peculiar in their series and nomenclature of the Manus themselves: calling the ninth, Rauchya; tenth, Bhautya; eleventh, Meru-sávárni, son of Brahmá; twelfth, Ritu; thirteenth, Ritadháman; and fourteenth, Viśwaksena. The Bhágavata calls the two last Manus Deva-sávarńi and Indra-sávarńi.

#### ब्रह्मसावर्णप्रवास्त रिचयन्ति वसंधराम ।

He will be son of Upaśloka, according to the Bhágavata-puráńa, VIII., XIII., 21.

<sup>\*</sup> Of three MSS. the reading is Havya; and one has Sahya.

<sup>†</sup> Professor Wilson had "Medhatithi", which I find nowhere. Two of my MSS. have Medhamriti, a bad lection for what all the rest give, the name in the text.

<sup>;</sup> In a single MS. is Dh'itiketu. § The former edition had "D'riptiketu", for which I find no authority. A plurality of my MSS. read, like the Váyu-purána, as above; while five have Diptaketu; and two, Dasaketu.

Il seems very likely that we here have nirámaya, 'free from disease', as an epithet of Panchahasta. In the Váyu-purána, it qualifies, in the plural, names preceding it.

<sup>¶</sup> The Váyu-purána reads Prithusravas.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Of the sons of this Manu the Bhágavata-purána, VIII., XIII., 18, names only Bhútaketu and Diptaketu.

<sup>††</sup> He is called Brahmasavarna, also, as in the original of the end of this paragraph:

<sup>\*\*</sup> One MS. gives Viśuddhas.

<sup>§§</sup> Śambhu: Bhágavata-purána, VIII., XIII., 22.

the Rishis will be Havishmat, Sukriti, Satya,\* Apámmúrti, Nábhága, Apratimaujas, and Satyaketu:† and the ten sons of the Manu will be Sukshetra, Uttamaujas, Harisheńa,; and others.

In the eleventh Manwantara, the Manu will be Dharma-sávarńi: the principal classes of gods will be the Vihangamas, Kámagamas, and Nirmáńaratis, each thirty in number; of whom Vŕisha\*\* will be the Indra: the Řishis will be Niśchara, Agnitejas, Vapushmat, Vishňu, † Áruńi, Havishmat, and Anagha: §

Two copies have Nirvánaruchis, like the Bhágavata-purána, VIII., XIII., 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hence the Váyu identifies || || the first with days; the second, with nights; and the third, with hours. ¶¶

<sup>\*</sup> Four of my MSS. have Sahya; and two others have corruptions of it.

<sup>†</sup> Saptaketu appears in three MSS.

<sup>‡</sup> Four MSS. have Bhúrisheńa; and this is the reading of the Váyu-puráňa, and of the Bhágavata-puráňa, VIII., XIII., 21.

<sup>§</sup> In the original we have the elongated form, Dharmasavarnika.

II In the Váyu-purána, Kámajas (or Vihangamas) and Manojavas.

The Vdyu-puráńa, by twice beginning a line with this word, proves that we are not to read Anirmáńaratis, 'of measureless enjoyment'; for the original—which, by the by, in none of my MSS. has - निर्मान - might, otherwise, be taken to combine the names of the second and third classes of gods into a compound, thus:

विहंगमाः कामगमानिमीण्रतयस्या।

<sup>\*\*</sup> Vaidhŕita: Bhágavata-puráńa, VIII., XIII., 26.

<sup>††</sup> One MS. has Vrishúi; one, Dhriti.

<sup>‡‡</sup> Four MSS. exhibit Varuńi, the lection of the Váyu-puráńa. The reading of the Bhágavata-puráńa, VIII., XIII., 26, in Aruńa.

<sup>§§</sup> Anaya, in three of my MSS.

<sup>[1]</sup> I find a different adjustment of these identifications: but my MSS. differ, perhaps, from those used by Professor Wilson.

<sup>¶¶</sup> Muhúrta.

the kings of the earth, and sons of the Manu, will be Sarvaga,\* Sarvadharman, Deváníka, and others.

In the twelfth Manwantara, the son of Rudra, Sávarńi,†will be the Manu: Řitadháman: will be the Indra: and the Haritas, Lohitas,§ Sumanasas, and Sukarmans || will be the classes of gods, each comprising fifteen. Tapaswin, Sutapas, Tapomúrti, Taporati, Tapodhŕiti, Tapodyuti,¶ and Tapodhana will be the Řishis:\*\* and Devavat, Upadeva, Devaśreshtha, and others, will be the Manu's sons, and mighty monarchs (on the earth).

In the thirteenth Manwantara, the Manu will be Rauchya: †† the classes of gods, thirty-three in each,

¹ The son of the Prajápati Ruchi (Váyu, &c.), by the nymph Mániní,‡ the daughter of the Apsaras Pramlochá (Márkańdeya).

<sup>\*</sup> The "Savarga" of the former edition must have been a mere oversight. In two of my thirteen MSS., the name is Sarvatraga; that following being Sudharmatman. The Vayu-purana has Sarvavega, followed by Sudharman.

According to the Bhágavata-puráña, VIII., XIII., 25, the eleventh Manu will engender Satyadharman and nine other sons.

<sup>†</sup> Sávarńa, in my copies.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Ritudháma", the name in the first edition, was simply a typographic error, I take it, as to its second syllable. One of my MSS, seems to have Kshatadháman. Similarly as in all my MSS but one, Kitadháman is the reading of the Bhágavata-purána, VIII., XIII., 29.

<sup>§</sup> A single MS. has, like the Váyu-puráńa, Rohitas.

<sup>[]</sup> A fourth class of gods is here omitted. Ten of my MSS. call them Taras; one, Surupas; one, Surapas; and one, Suparas. The Váyu-pu-rána seems to have Suparvas.

<sup>¶</sup> This name is implied, not expressed in full; the original being: तपोधृतिर्झतिञ्चान्यः सप्तमञ्ज तपोधनः।

<sup>\*\*</sup> Of the Rishis under the twelfth Manu the Bhágavata-purána, VIII., XIII., 29, names only Tapomúrti, Tapaswin, and Ágnidhraka.

<sup>††</sup> Devasávarni: Bhágavata-purána, VIII., XIII., 31.

<sup>‡‡</sup> Malini, in some MSS., as in the Calcutta edition, XCVIII., 5. It may be a Bengal corruption.

will be the Sutrámans, \* Sukarmans, and Sudharmans; † their Indra will be Divaspati: the Řishis will be Nirmoha, † Tattwadarśin, § Nishprakampa, Nirutsuka, Dhritimat, Avyaya, and Sutapas: and Chitrasena, Vichitra, and others, will be the kings.

In the fourteenth Manwantara, Bhautya || will be the Manu; Suchi, the Indra: the five classes of gods will be the Chákshushas, the Pavitras, Kanishthas, Bhrájiras, and Váchávíiddhas: \*\* the seven Éishis †† will be Agnibáhu, Suchi, Sukra, Mágadha, § Gridhra, || ||

¹ Son of Kavi, by the goddess Bhútí, according to the Váyu; but the Márkańdeya ¶¶ makes Bhúti the son of Angiras, whose pupil, Śanti, having suffered the holy fire to go out in his master's absence, prayed to Agni, and so propitiated him, that he not only relighted the flame, but desired Śanti to demand a further

<sup>\*</sup> For the "Sudhámans" of the former edition I find no warrant. Sutrámans is the name in the Váyu-puráňa. Two of my MSS. have Sušarmans.

<sup>†</sup> These are not recognized in the Bhágavata-purána, VIII., XIII., 32.

One of my MSS. shows this name written over Nirmoka, the reading of the Bhagavata-purana, VIII., XIII., 32.

<sup>§</sup> Tattwadarśa, the reading of the Bhágavata-puráńa, VIII., XIII., 32, occurs in a single copy.

Indrasávarni: Bhágavata-purána, VIII., XIII., 34.

The Váyu-purána has Bhájiras or Bhájaras.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This is the reading of the Váyu-purána, likewise. "Vávriddhas" is in the old edition: but it looks like an inadvertence only. Two of my MSS, have Váchávrittas.

<sup>††</sup> They are said to be Agnibahu, Śuchi, Śuddha, Magadha, and others, in the Bhagavata-purana, VIII., XIII., 35.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Agnivayu is in one MS.

<sup>§§</sup> In two of my MSS. is what looks like the meaningless name Ma-madha.

<sup>||||</sup> Such is the lection of two of my MSS.; while all the rest have Agnidhra,—as has the Váyu-puráńa,—or corruptions thereof.

<sup>¶¶</sup> Chapter XCIX.

Yukta,\* and Ajita:† and the sons of the Manu will be Uru,: Gabhíra,\$ Bradhna, || and others,¶ who will be kings, and will rule over the earth.¹

At the end of every four ages \*\* there is a disappearance †† of the Vedas; and it is the province of the seven Kishis to come down upon earth, from heaven, to give them currency again. :: In every Krita age,

boon. Śánti accordingly solicited a son for his Guru; which son was Bhúti, the father of the Manu Bhautya.

¹ Although the Puranas which give an account of the Manwantaras agree in some of the principal details, yet, in the minor ones, they offer many varieties, some of which have been noticed. These chiefly regard the first six and the eighth. Except in a few individual peculiarities, the authorities seem to arrange themselves in two classes; one comprehending the Vishnu, Vayu, Kurma, Bhagavata, and Markandeya; and the other, the Matsya, Padma, Brahma, and Hari Vamsa. The Markandeya, although it agrees precisely with the Vishnu in its nomenclature, differs from it, and from all, in devoting a considerable number of its pages to legends of the origin of the Manus, all of which are, evidently, of comparatively recent invention, and several of which have been, no doubt, suggested by the etymology of the names of the Manus.

<sup>\*</sup> Two MSS, have Mukta.

<sup>†</sup> Arjita is the lection of two MSS.

<sup>†</sup> Úru, in a single copy.

<sup>§</sup> Six MSS. give the synonymous Gambhira.

<sup>||</sup> One MS. has Budhna; one, Budhnya; one, Randhra.

<sup>¶</sup> These sons, agreeably to the *Bhágavata-puráňa*, VIII., XIII., 34, will be Urubuddhi, Gambhírabuddhi, and others. The *Váyu-puráňa* has, apparently, Ojaswin, Subala, and Bhautya.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Yuga.

tt Viplava.

<sup>‡‡</sup> Compare the Mahábhárata, Śánti-párvan, śl. 7660, quoted and translated in Original Sanskrit Texts, Part III., p. 90.

the Manu (of the period) is the legislator or author\* of the body of law, the Smriti; the deities of the different classes receive the sacrifices during the Manwantaras to which they severally belong; and the sons of the Manu themselves, and their descendants, are the sovereigns of the earth for the whole of the same term. The Manu, the seven Rishis, the gods, the sons of the Manu, who are the kings, and Indra,† are the beings who preside over the world during each Manwantara.

An entire Kalpa,<sup>‡</sup> O Brahman, is said to comprise a thousand ages, § or fourteen Manwantaras;<sup>¹</sup> and it is succeeded by a night of similar duration; during which he who wears the form of Brahmá, Janárdana, the substance of all things, the lord of all, and creator of all, involved in his own illusions, and having swallowed up the three spheres, sleeps upon the serpent Śesha, amidst the ocean.<sup>²</sup> Being, after that, awake, he, who

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A thousand ages of the gods and fourteen Manwantaras are not precisely the same thing, as has been already explained. (See Vol. I., p, 51, note 2.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The order of the text would imply, that, as Brahmá, he sleeps upon Śesha: but, if this be intended, it is at variance with the usual legend, that it is as Vishńu or Náráyańa that the deity sleeps in the intervals of dissolution. The commentator # accordingly qualifies the phrase Brahmarúpadhara (ARICULE) by

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Legislator or author" is to translate pranetri, 'promulgator'.

<sup>†</sup> Śakra, in the original.

<sup>†</sup> For the names of twenty-eight Kalpas, as enumerated in the Váyu-purána, see Dr. Aufrecht's Catalogus, &c., pp. 51, 52.

<sup>§</sup> To render yuga.

Rather, both the commentators.

is the universal soul, again creates all things, as they were before, in combination with the property of foulness (or activity): and, in a portion of his essence, associated with the property of goodness, he, as the Manus, the kings, the gods, and their Indras, as well as the seven Rishis, is the preserver of the world. In what manner Vishnu, who is characterized by the attribute of providence\* during the four ages, effected their preservation, I will next, Maitreya, explain.

In the Krita age, Vishńu, in the form of Kapila and other (inspired teachers), assiduous for the benefit of all creatures, imparts to them true wisdom. In the Tretá age, he restrains the wicked, in the form of a universal monarch, and protects the three worlds. In the Dwápara age, in the person of Veda-vyása, he divides the one Veda into four, and distributes it into innumerable branches; and, at the end of the Kali (or fourth age), he appears as Kalki, and reestablishes the iniquitous in the paths (of rectitude). In this man-

the term Divá (दिवा): 'Vishńu wears the form of Brahmá by day; by night, he sleeps on Śesha, in the person of Náráyańa:' राजी श्रीनारायण्डपेण श्रेषाही \* भ्रेते। This, however, may be suspected to be an innovation upon an older system; for, in speaking of the alternations of creation and dissolution, they are always considered as consentaneous with the day and night of Brahmá alone.

सत्यमेव जयते

As a Chakravartin.

<sup>\*</sup> Sthiti.

<sup>†</sup> Literally, 'hundreds of', sata.

For a more literal rendering of this paragraph, down to this point, see Original Sanskrit Texts, Part III., p. 20.

ner the universal spirit preserves, creates, and, at last, destroys, all the world.\*

Thus, Brahman, I have described to you the true nature of that great being who is all things, and besides whom there is no other existent thing, nor has there been, nor will there be, either here or elsewhere. I have, also, enumerated to you the Manwantaras, and those who preside over them. What else do you wish to hear?

एवमेतज्जगत्सवं परिपाति करोति च।
 हिन चानेऽप्यननात्मा नास्त्रसाद्वातिरेकि यत्॥

सत्यमेव जयत

#### CHAPTER III.

Division of the Veda into four portions, by a Vyása, in every Dwápara age. List of the twenty-eight Vyásas of the present Manwantara. Meaning of the word Brahma.

MAITREYA.—I have learned from you, in due order, how this world is Vishńu, (how it is) in Vishńu, (how it is) from Vishńu: nothing further is to be known. But I should desire to hear how the Vedas were divided, in different ages,\* by that great being, in the form of Veda-vyása; who were the Vyásas of their respective eras; and what were the branches into which the Vedas were distributed.

Parasara.—The branches of the (great) tree of the Vedas are so numerous,† Maitreya, that it is impossible to describe them at length. I will give you a summary account of them.

In every Dwápara (or third) age, Vishňu, in the person of Vyása, in order to promote the good of mankind, divides the Veda, which is (properly, but) one, into many portions. Observing the limited perseverance, energy, and application of mortals, he makes the Veda fourfold, to adapt it to their capacities; and the bodily form which he assumes, in order to effect that classification, is known by the name of Veda-vyása.: Of the different Vyásas in the

<sup>•</sup> Yuga, rendered by "eras", just below.

<sup>†</sup> Literally, 'by thousands', sahasraśak.

वीर्यं तेजो बलं चाल्पं मनुष्याणामवेच्य वै। हिताय सर्वभूतानां वेदभेदान्करोति सः।

present Manwantara, and the branches which they have taught, you shall have an account.

Twenty-eight times have the Vedas been arranged, by the great Rishis, in the Vaivaswata Manwantara in the Dwápara age; and, consequently, eight and twenty Vyásas have passed away; by whom, in their respective periods, the Veda has been divided into four. In the first Dwápara age, the distribution was made by Swayambhú (Brahmá) himself; in the second, the arranger of the Veda (Veda-vyása) was Prajápati (or Manu); in the third, Uśanas; in the fourth, Brihaspati; in the fifth, Savitri; in the sixth, Mrityu (Death, or Yama); in the seventh, Indra; in the eighth, Vasishtha; in the ninth, Saraswata; in the tenth, Tridháman; in the eleventh, Trivrishan; (in the twelfth), Bharadwája; in the thirteenth, Antariksha; in the fourteenth, Vaprívan; in the fifteenth, Trayyáruńa;

## यसियान्वनारे ये ये वासासांसातिबोध मे।

But this is inconsistent with what follows, in which the enumeration is confined to the Vaivaswata Manwantara.

<sup>2</sup> This name occurs as that of one of the kings of the solar

## यथा स कुरते तन्वा वेदमेकं पृथवप्रभुः। वेदव्यासाभिधाना तुसा मूर्तिमध्विद्विषः।

Vishnu is here called "the enemy of Madhu."

- \* A more exact translation of Paráśara's reply, breaking off here, will be found in *Original Sanskrit Texts*, Part III., pp. 20, 21.
  - † Three MSS. have Trivrisha; and so has the Kúrma-puráňa.
- ‡ I find Bháradwája in six MSS.; and this lection seems best, as the nineteenth Vyása is called Bharadwája.
- § So read seven of my MSS.; while two give Vamrivan, and others, Vajrivan, Vyághrívan, Vapovan, &c. &c. Professor Wilson's "Vapra", now discarded, I have nowhere met with.
  - || Trayyaruńi, in two MSS. Is the name Traiyaruńa, or Traijaruńi?

The text has: 'Hear, from me, an account of the Vyásas of the different Manwantaras':

in the sixteenth, Dhananjaya; in the seventeenth, Kritanjaya; in the eighteenth, Rińajya;\* (in the nineteenth), Bharadwája;† (in the twentieth), Gautama; in the twenty-first, Uttama, also called Haryátman; (in the twenty-second), Vena, § who is likewise named Rájaśravas; (in the twenty-third), Saumaśushmáyańa, also Trińabindu; \*\* (in the twenty-fourth), Riksha, †† the descendant of Bhrigu, who is known also by the name Válmíki; (in the twenty-fifth), my father, Śakti, ;;

dynasty, and is included, by Mr. Colebrooke, amongst the per-

That the Kúrma-puráńa was compiled after our Puráńa had become corrupted, as to the name in question, might be inferred from my MSS., which read:

#### राजश्रवाश्वेकविंग्रससाद्रष्मापणः परः।

<sup>\*</sup> The Translator has "Řiňa", for which my MSS. furnish no warrant. Six of them exhibit Řiňajya; two, this, with Řiňadya written over; three, Křitin; others, Řiňavya and Řiňin.

<sup>†</sup> A single MS. has Bháradwája. See note ; in the last page.

<sup>\*</sup> Substituted for Professor Wilson's "Gotama", which none of my MSS. countenances.

<sup>§</sup> Exchanged for "Vena", which is in but one of my MSS.

<sup>||</sup> Almost as common a reading is Rájasravas: and one of my MSS. gives Vájasravas; another, Vájasrava. Again, three of them, including those accompanied by commentary B, have, instead of राजसवास्त यः, वाजश्रवान्वयः, "of the line of Vájasrava."

<sup>¶</sup> Eight MSS. have Somahsushmáyana; two, Somahsushmápana; others, Somayushmáyana, Somoyushmápana, and Somasushmánaya. The Translator put "Somasushmápana". My conjectural Saumasushmáyana would be descendant of Somasushma, mentioned in the Śatapatha-bráhmańa, XI., IV., 5, and elsewhere.

<sup>\*\*</sup> According to the Kúrma-purána, Třínabindu was the twenty-third Vyása, Úshmápana being the twenty-second. See note § in the next page.

<sup>††</sup> In one MS., Rikshya; in another, Daksha.

<sup>†</sup> Three MSS. have Śaktri. In Vol. I., p. 8, the Translator, after speaking, in a note, of "Śakti, the son of Vasishfha," and father of Paráśara, calls him "Śakti or Śaktri;" touching the first of which, Śakti, I there hastily observe: "This is hardly the name of a male. The right

was the Vyása; I (was the Vyása of the twenty-sixth Dwápara), and was succeeded by Játúkarňa;\* the Vyása (of the twenty-eighth), who followed him, was Křishňa Dwaipáyana. These are the twenty-eight elder Vyásas, by whom, in the preceding Dwápara ages, the Veda has been divided into four. In the next Dwápara, Drauńi (the son of Drońa) will be the Vyása, when my son, the Muni Křishňa Dwaipáyana, who is the actual Vyása, shall cease to be (in that character).

sons of royal descent who are mentioned as authors of hymns in the Kig-veda.† Asiatic Researches, Vol. VIII., p. 383.‡

word seems to be Śaktri." On this observation Dr. Kern, in his meritorious edition of the Bfihat-sainhitá, Preface, p. 31, comments in these words: "F. E. Hall remarks that Śakti is 'hardly the name of a male.' As if a male were intended! Śakti is the heavenly power of Indra-Agni." Assuredly, a male is intended; and Śaktiputra, as Paráśara is called in the Mahābhārata, is not to be rendered, as by Dr. Kern, "the son of strength",—but "son of Śakti,"—unless we consent to regard the attribute of strength as offspring of Vasishtha. For Paráśara, as son of Śakti, and grandson of Vasishtha, see the Anukramańiká to the Ŕigveda, on I., LXV., &c. &c. And the Ŕigveda itself—VII., XVIII., 21—makes mention of Paráśara, no less than of Vasishtha, as if he were anything but a myth. Further, Śakti, as "the heavenly power of Indra-Agni," is, so far as I am at present informed, altogether a novelty.

A similar list of Vyásas is given in the Kúrma § and Vá-

<sup>\*</sup> This is the reading of eleven of my MSS.; and the other two have Játúkarnya, which seems to be the lection of the Váyu-purána. Hence I have displaced Professor Wilson's "Jaratkáru."

<sup>†</sup> See the Anukramańiká to the Rigveda, on V., XXVII., and IX., CX. The Vaidik form of the name is Tryaruńa.

<sup>†</sup> Or Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 23.

<sup>§</sup> The list stands there as follows:

<sup>1.</sup> Swáyambhuva.

<sup>2.</sup> Prajápati.

<sup>3.</sup> Uśanas.

<sup>4.</sup> Brihaspati,

<sup>5.</sup> Savitři.

<sup>6.</sup> Mrityu.

The syllable Om is defined to be the eternal monosyllabic Brahma. The word Brahma is derived from

yu\* Puránas. Many of the individuals appear as authors of different hymns and prayers in the Vedas; and it is very possible that the greater portion, if not all of them, had a real existence; being the framers, or teachers, of the religion of the Hindus, before a complete ritual was compiled.

<sup>1</sup> We have already had occasion to explain the sanctity of this monosyllable (see Vol. I., p. 1, note 1), which ordinarily commences different portions of the Vedas, and which, as the text describes it, is identified with the supreme, undefinable deity, or Brahma. So, in the Bhagavad-gitá: †

### योमिलेकाचरं ब्रह्म याहरनामनुसारन्।

'Repeating Om, the monosyllable, which is Brahma, and calling me to mind;' which is not exactly the same idea that is conveyed by Schlegel's version, § 'Monosyllabum mysticum OM pronuntiando numen adorans, mei memor;' where 'numen ado-

Vasishtha. 8.

None of these sages, it is observable, has more than one appellation. Noteworthy variants, in my MSS., are: Áruúi, for Triyáruúi; Úshmánaya, for Úshmápańa. It is probable, that we should substitute, for Taraksha, Suraksha, which appears to be the reading of the Váyu-puráńa.

<sup>9.</sup> Sáraswata.

<sup>10.</sup> Tridháman.

<sup>11.</sup> Trivrisha.

<sup>12.</sup> Śatatejas.

<sup>13.</sup> Dharma.

<sup>14.</sup> Taraksha.

<sup>15.</sup> Triyaruńi. (Traiyaruńi?)

<sup>16.</sup> Dhananjaya.

<sup>17.</sup> 

Kritanjaya.

<sup>18.</sup> Ritanjava.

<sup>19.</sup> Bharadwaja.

<sup>20.</sup> Gautama.

<sup>21.</sup> Rájaśravas.

<sup>22.</sup> Úshmápana.

<sup>23.</sup> Trinabindu.

<sup>24.</sup> Válmíki.

<sup>25.</sup> Sakti.

<sup>26.</sup> Paráśara.

<sup>27.</sup> Játúkarna. 28. Kŕishňadwaipáyana.

<sup>\*</sup> See Dr. Aufrecht's Catalogus Cod. Manuscript., &c., pp. 52, 53.

<sup>†</sup> VIII., 13.

<sup>†</sup> This passage is referred to in the commentary.

<sup>§</sup> P. 156 of the first edition; p. 68 of the second.

the root Brih (to increase); because it is infinite (spirit), and because it is the cause by which the Vedas (and all things) are developed.\* Glory to Brahma, who is addressed by that mystic word, associated eternally with the triple universe, and who is one with the four Vedas! Glory to Brahma, who, alike in the detruction and renovation of the world, is called the great and mysterious cause of the intellectual principle (Mahat); who is without limit in time or space, and exempt from diminution or decay; in whom (as connected with the property of darkness) originates

rans', although it may be defended as necessary to the sense, is not expressed by the words of the text, nor compatible with Hindu notions. In one of the MSS, employed, the transcriber has, evidently, been afraid of desecrating this sacred monosyllable, and has, therefore, altered the text, writing it

## ध्रुवमेकाचरं ब्रह्म द्वापराहे व्यवस्थितम्।

instead of

## ध्रवमेकाचरं ब्रह्म क्रोमित्येवं व्यवस्थितम्।

¹ The daily prayers of the Brahman commence with the formula, Om bhur bhurah swar: 'Om, earth, sky, heaven.' These are the three mystical terms called Vyáhŕitis, and are scarcely of less sanctity than the Pranava itself. Their efficacy, and the order of their repetition, preceding the Gáyatri, are fully detailed in Manu, II., 76—81. In the Mitáksharᆠthey are directed to be twice repeated mentally, with Om prefixed to each; Om bhuh, Om bhurah, Om swar; the breath being suppressed by closing the lips and nostrils: श्रों भू: श्रों भुव: श्रों खरित वीन्वारानुख-नासिकासंचारिएं वायुविक्ष्यगमसा जपेत।

<sup>\*</sup> बृहत्तादुं हणलाच तद्रहोत्यभिधीयते।

Brahma and Brahma are similarly etymologized in the Váyu-puráńa and Linga-puráńa, respectively. See Vol. I., p. 30, note \*.

<sup>†</sup> Commenting on the Yajnavalkya-smŕiti, I., 23.

worldly illusion; and in whom resides the end of soul (fruition or liberation), through the properties of light and of activity (or goodness and foulness)! He is the refuge of those who are versed in the Sánkhya philosophy; of those who have acquired control over their thoughts and passions. He is the invisible, imperishable Brahma; varying in form, invariable in substance; the chief principle, self-engendered; who is said to illuminate the caverns of the heart; who is indivisible, radiant, undecaying, multiform. To that supreme Brahma be, for ever, adoration.

That form of Vásudeva, who is the same with supreme spirit, which is Brahma, and which, although diversified as threefold, is identical, is the lord who is conceived, by those that contemplate variety in creation, to be distinct in all creatures. He, composed of the Rig-, Sáma-, and Yajur-Vedas, is, at the same time, their essence, as he is the soul of all embodied spirits. He, distinguished as consisting of the Vedas, creates the Vedas, and divides them, by many subdivisions, into branches: he is the author\* of those branches: he is those aggregated branches: for he, the eternal lord, is the essence of true knowledge. 1†

¹ The form or sensible type of Vásudeva is here considered to be the monosyllable Om, and which is one with the three mystical words, Bhúh, Bhuvah, Swar, and with the Vedas. Consequently, the Vyáhritis and the Vedas are, also, forms of Vásudeva; diversified as to their typical character, but, essentially, one and the same.

<sup>\*</sup> Pranetri, 'promulgator.'

<sup>+</sup> For another rendering of the Sanskrit of the latter half of this paragraph, see *Original Sanskrit Texts*, Part III., pp. 11, 12.

#### CHAPTER IV.

Division of the Veda, in the last Dwápara age, by the Vyása Krishňa Dwaipáyana. Paila made reader of the Řich; Vaišampáyana, of the Yajus; Jaimini, of the Sáman; and Sumantu, of the Atharvan. Súta appointed to teach the historical poems. Origin of the four parts of the Veda. Sańhitás of the Řig-veda.

PARÁŚARA.—The original Veda, in four parts,\* consisted of one hundred thousand (stanzas); and from it sacrifice of ten kinds,¹ the accomplisher of all desires (proceeded). In the twenty-eighth Dwápara†

¹ According to the Grihya portion of the Sama-veda, there are five great sacrificial ceremonies: 1. Agnihotra, burnt-offerings, or libations of clarified butter on sacred fire; 2. Darśapaurńamása, sacrifices at new and full moon; 3. Cháturmásya, sacrifices every four months; 4. Paśuyajna or Aśwamedha, sacrifice of a horse or animal; and, 5. Soma-yajna, offerings and libations of the juice of the acid asclepias. These, again, are either Prákrita, 'simple', or Vaikrita, 'modified', ‡ and, being thus doubled, constitute ten. §

Chatushpáda. † In the original, antara, i. e., Manwantara.

<sup>‡</sup> Rather, 'normal' and 'supplemental'.

<sup>§</sup> The commentary says: यज्ञ: \* अपिहो नद्रभूषी र्णमासनातुर्मान्स्यप्रासोमा इति पञ्चिवधः। स एव प्रक्रतिविक्रतिभेनेन द्रभ्विध इति। यद्वा गृह्योक्तेः पञ्चयज्ञैः सह द्रमिवधलम्। Five sacrifices—but not said to belong to the Sámaveda ceremonial—are, thus, named, but not defined. The paśu of this nomenclature is, more usually, called paśubdanha or niridhapaśubandha. It is not to be confounded with the aśwamedha. To the five sacrifices in question, remarks the commentator, if we add the five mentioned in the Grihya, the ten of the text are completed. These five others—the maháyajnas of the Sámaveda ritual—are said, in Áśaditya's Karmapradipabháshya, to be the bhútayajna, manushyayajna, pitriyajna, devayajna, and brahmayajna. They are referred to in Chapter IX. of this Book. For the sacrifices generally, see Chapter XI., where I revert to this note.

age, my son Vyása separated the four portions of the Veda into four (Vedas). In the same manner as the Vedas were arranged by him, as Veda-vyása, so were they divided, (in former periods), by all the (preceding) Vyásas, and by myself; and the branches into which they were subdivided by him were the same into which they had been distributed in every aggregate of the four ages.\* Know, Maitreya, the Vyása called Krishňa Dwaipáyana to be the deity† Náráyaňa: for who else on this earth could have composed the Mahábhárata?¹ Into what portions the Vedas were arranged by my magnanimous son, in the Dwápara age, you shall hear.

When Vyása was enjoined, by Brahmá, to arrange the Vedas in different books, he took four persons, well read in those works, as his disciples. He appointed Paila reader of the Rig-; Vaisampáyana, of

Śrávaka means, properly, 'he who causes to hear,' 'a lecturer,' 'a preacher;' although, as in the case of its applicability to the laity of the Buddhists and Jainas, it denotes a disciple. The commentator, however, observes, that the text is sometimes read ऋग्वेद्पारगं, 'one who had gone through the Rig-veda.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The composition of the Mahabharata is always ascribed to the Vyása named Krishna Dwaipáyana, the contemporary of the events there described. The allusion in the text establishes the priority of the poem to the Vishnu Purána.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Or, rather, 'he took Paila as teacher.' The expression is: Řigvedaśrávakam Pailam jagráha sa mahámunih:

ऋग्वेदश्रावकं पैलं जग्राह स महामुनिः।

<sup>\*</sup> Yuga. † Prabhu.

<sup>‡</sup> But the more ordinary reading is the one found in the Váyu-puráňa, from which work our text seems to be taken, largely, hereabouts.

the Yajur-; and Jaimini, of the Sáma-veda: and Sumantu, who was conversant with the Atharva-veda,\* was also the disciple of the learned Vyása. He also took Súta, who was named Lomaharshańa,† as his pupil in historical and legendary traditions. 1:

So, in the preceding verse, it is said: 'He took four persons, well read in the Vedas, as his disciples:'

## त्रय शिष्यान्स जग्राह चतुरो वेदपारगान्।

And again it is said: 'Sumantu, conversant with the Atharva-veda, was his disciple:'

## \* \* \* \* \* \* तथैवाथर्ववेद्वित्। सुसन्तुस्तस्य शिष्योऽभूदेदवासस्य धीमतः॥

It is clear, therefore, that the Vedas were known, as distinct works, before Krishia Dwaipayana; and it is difficult to understand how he earned his title of arranger, or Vyasa. At any rate, in undertaking to give order to the prayers and hymns of which the Vedas consist, Paila and the others were rather his coadjutors than disciples; and it seems probable, that the tradition records the first establishment of a school, of which the Vyasa was the head, and the other persons named were the teachers.

¹ The Itihása and Puránas; understanding, by the former, legendary and traditional narratives. It is usually supposed, that, by the Itihása, the Mahábhárata is especially meant. But, although this poem is ascribed to Kŕishna Dwaipáyana, the recitation of it is not attributed to his pupil, Romaharshana or Lomaharshana:

<sup>\*</sup> The Sainhitás thus disposed of are said, in the Bhágavata-purána, XII., VI., 52, 53, to be called, respectively, the Bahwíichá, the Nigada, the Chhandoga, and the Atharvángirasí.

This statement occurs in the passage referred to in Vol. I., Preface, p. XLIV.

<sup>†</sup> All my MSS. have Romaharshana. Also see p. 64, 65, infra.

This chapter, thus far, will be found retranslated in Original Sanskrit Texts, Part III., pp. 21, 22.

There was but one Yajur-veda; but, dividing this into four parts, Vyása instituted the sacrificial rite that is administered by four kinds of priests: in which it was the duty of the Adhwaryu to recite the prayers (Yajusas,—or direct the ceremony); of the Hotři, to repeat the hymns (Richas); of the Udgátři, to chant other hymns (Sámans); and, of the Brahman, to pronounce the formulæ called Atharvans. Then the Muni, having collected together the hymns called Richas, compiled the Rig-veda; with the prayers and directions termed Yajusas he formed the Yajur-veda; with those called Sámans, the Sáma-veda; and with the Atharvans he composed the rules of all the ceremonies suited to kings, and the function of the Brahman agreeably to practice. 1\*

it was first narrated by Vaiśampáyana, and, after him, by Sauti, the son of Lomaharshańa.

यक्तिष्टं च यजुर्वेदे तेन यज्ञमथायुजत् । युज्ञानः स यजुर्वेद इति शास्त्रविनिश्चयः॥

Again:

प्रयुच्चते ह्यश्वमेधस्तेन वा युच्चते तु सः।

The commentator on the text, however, citing the former of these

¹ From this account, which is repeated in the Váyu Puráńa, it appears, that the original Veda was the Yajus, or, in other words, was a miscellaneous body of precepts, formulæ, prayers, and hymns, for sacrificial ceremouies; Yajus being derived, by the grammarians, from Yaj (यज्), 'to worship.' The derivation of the Váyu Puráńa, however, is from Yuj, 'to join,' 'to employ;' the formulæ being those especially applied to sacrificial rite, or set apart, for that purpose, from the general collection:

<sup>\*</sup> Yathá-sthiti, 'according to a fixed rule.'

This vast original tree of the Vedas, having been divided, by him, into four principal stems, soon branched out into an extensive forest. In the first place, Paila divided the Kig-veda, and gave the two Samhitás (or collections of hymns) to Indrapramati\* and to Báshkali.† Báshkali¹; subdivided his Samhitá into four, which he gave to his disciples, § Baudhya,

passages from the Váyu, reads it:

याजनाडि यजुर्वेद इति शास्त्रस्य निश्चयः।

confining the derivation to Yaj, 'to worship.' The concluding passage, relating to the Atharvan, refers, in regard to regal ceremonies, to those of expiation, Santi, &c. The function of the Brahman (ब्रह्मस्वं \* यथाधित) is not explained; but, from the preceding specification of the four orders of priests who repeat, at sacrifices, portions of the several Vedas, it relates to the office of the one that is termed, specifically, the Brahman. So the Váyu has

ब्रह्मलमकारोयचे वेदेनायर्वणेन तु।

'He constituted the function of the Brahman at sacrifices, with the Atharva-veda.

<sup>1</sup> Both in our text, and in that of the Váyu, this name occurs both Báshkala and Báshkali. Mr. Colebrooke writes it Báhkala and Báhkali. Asiatic Researches, Vol. VIII., p. 374.

<sup>\*</sup> Two of my MSS. have, here and below, Indrapramiti, a reading of no value.

<sup>†</sup> My MSS. all here give Bashkala. But see p. 49, note §, infra.

<sup>†</sup> Two MSS. here have Báshkala.

<sup>§</sup> They are called, in the Váyu-puráńa, Bodhya, Agninávara—in three MSS., by corruption, perhaps, of the Agnimáthara of two others,—Párásara, and Yájnavalkya. The Bhágavata-puráńa, XII., VI, 55, has Bodhya, Yájnavalkya, Párásara, and Agnimitra.

<sup>||</sup> Strictly, Bankala and Bankali, as translettering the ungrammatical mislections बा:कल and बा:कलि.

<sup>¶</sup> Or Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 14.

Agnimáthara, \* Yájnavalkya, † and Paráśara; and they taught these secondary shoots from the primitive branch. Indrapramati imparted his Sauhhitá to his son Mándúkeya; † and it thence descended through successive generations, as well as disciples. ¹ § Vedamitra, (called also) Śákalya, || studied the same Sauhhitá; but he divided it into five Sauhhitás, which he distributed amongst as many disciples, named, severally, Mudgala,

¹ The Váyu supplies the detail. Mańdukeya, or, as one copy writes, Márkańdeya, ¶ taught the Sańhitá to his son Satyasravas; he, to his son Satyahita; and he, to his son Satyaśrí. The latter had three pupils: Śákalya, also called Devamitra\*\* (sic in MS.); Rathántara;†† and another Báshkali, called also Bháradwája. The Váyu has a legend of Śákalya's death, in consequence of his being defeated, by Yájnavalkya, in a disputation at a sacrifice celebrated by Janaka.

<sup>\*</sup> Agnimachara, the lection of two MSS., and Agnimatura, that of one, scarcely deserve noting.

<sup>†</sup> Professor Wilson had "Yajnawalka".

<sup>†</sup> The Translator put "Mańdukeya", as the name is written in five of my thirteen MSS. See III., 8, of the *Prátišákhya* of the *Áigveda*, edited by M. Regnier.

तस्य भिष्यप्रभिष्येभ्यः पुत्रभिष्यात्कृमाद्ययौ ।

<sup>||</sup> According to the Bhágavata-puráńa, XII., VI., 57, as explained by Śrídhara, Śakalya was son of Mańdukeya.

<sup>¶</sup> This is the reading of all the copies of the Váyu-puráúa known to me.

<sup>\*\*</sup> And so reads the Bhagavata-purána, XII., VI., 56.

As the Vishhu-puraha gives वेद्सिचस्तु शाकान्यः, so the Vayu-puraha gives देविस्चस्तु शाकान्यः; and we here have, probably, only an epithet of Sakalya, or, at most, his secondary appellation.

<sup>††</sup> See note †† in the next page.

Gokhalu,\* Vátsya,† Śalíya, and Śiśira.¹ Śaka-púrńi: made a different§ division of the original Samhitá into three portions, and added a glossary (Nirukta), constituting a fourth.² The three Samhitás

- ¹ These names, in the Váyu, are Mudgala, Golaka, Kháliya, Mátsya, ¶ Śaiśireya.
- <sup>2</sup> The commentator, who is here followed by Mr. Colebrooke, states, that he was a pupil of Indrapramati; but, from the Váyu, it appears, that Śákapúrńi was another name of Rathántara, the pupil of Satyaśrí, the author of three Samhitás and a Nirukta (or glossary); whence Mr. Colebrooke supposes him the same with Yáska. Asiatic Researches, Vol. VIII., p. 375.\*\* It is highly probable, that the text of the Váyu may be made to correct that of the Vishńu, in this place, which is inaccurate, notwithstanding the copies agree. They read:

## संहिताचितयं चक्रे शाकपूर्णिरथेतरम् ††। निरुक्तमकरोत्तदचतुर्थं मुनिसत्तमः॥

<sup>\*</sup> Probably it was from being misled by a smudged (4), that Professor Wilson deciphered "Goswalu", which I have corrected. Five of my MSS. have the word in the text; two corrupt it into Gohkala; one, into Yokhalu; and four give Gomukha; one, Gálava. The Bhágavata-puráńa, XII., VI., 57, has Gokhalya. See, further, Professor Max Müller's Ancient Sanskrit Literpture, p. 135, note 2, and p. 368, note 6.

<sup>†</sup> A single MS. has Sankha.

<sup>†</sup> Thus in four of my MSS., while the other nine have Śakapuńi.

Professor Max Müller—Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 153, note—asserts, that "there can be no doubt that Śákapúrńi is the same name as Śákapúńi." The former has much the air of being a corruption of the latter, due to popular etymology. The MSS. of the Váyu-puráńa almost everywhere have Śákapúńi; and so has Yáska.

<sup>§</sup> See note ++ in this page.

<sup>||</sup> Two MSS. have Khálíya; others, Kháláya, Khaláya, and Swáloya.

<sup>¶</sup> All my MSS. give Matsya.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Or Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 15.

Professor Roth - Nirukta, p. 222 - points out, that Śakapuńi is quoted by Yaska. The former cannot, therefore, be identical with the latter.

<sup>††</sup> The bulk of MSS. at my disposal read भावपणिर्थेतरः; and the

were given to his three pupils, Krauncha, Vaitálaki,\*

Here, Śakapúrńir atha-itaram is the necessary construction; but quere, if it should not be Śakapúrńi Rathántarah. The parallel passage in the Váyu is:

प्रोवाच संहितासिसः शाकपूर्णिरथानारः †। निरुतं च पुनस्रके चतुर्थं दिजसत्तमाः॥

Now, in describing the pupils of Satyaśri, Rathántara was named clearly enough:

शाकत्यः प्रथमसेषां तस्मादन्यो रथान्तरः । बाष्त्रतिश्च भारदाज इति शाखाप्रवर्तकाः ॥§

In another passage it would seem to be implied, that this Básh-kali was the author of the Saúhlitás; and Rathántara, of the Nirukta only:

वाष्त्र विश्व भारद्वाजिक्तिः प्रोवाच संहिताः। रथानारोः विक्तं च पुनश्चके चतुर्थकम्॥

resulting sense is: "Now, another, Śakapúńi, made a triad of Saúnhitás, and made, likewise, a glossary, for a fourth work." The fact that the forementioned lection, with its awkwardly introduced 'now, another,' is the more ordinary one, lends considerable support to Professor Wilson's suggestion, that the text is here deprayed.

- \* Bad readings are: Vaitálaki, Vaitáliki, Táluki, and Paitálaki; each in one manuscript.
- † All my MSS, here give **॰रधीतर**:. One of Professor Wilson's has **शाकपूर्णर्थन्तर**: (sic).
  - ‡ Such is, here, the prevailing lection: but रथीतर: occurs, also.
  - § In the original, this passage precedes that quoted just above.
- ्रियोतर: is the reading here, in all my five MSS., and so in every one of Professor Wilson's.

Rathántara is, without question, corrupted from Rathítara. As **মাক- पूणिरधीतर:**, the reading of every one of my MSS.,—see note †, above—
is an all but impossible compound name, and as the person intended is called, elsewhere in the Váyu-puráňa, Rathítara simply, we must read **মাক্দ্মা হঘানহ:**, 'Śákapůňi, that is to say, Rathítara.' Śákapůňi thus comes out a patronymic of Śákapůňa,—a fact hitherto unnoticed, I believe.
See Páňini, IV., I., 95. In two of the three passages adduced, above,

## and Baláka;\* and a fourth, (thence named) Nirukta-

However this may be, his being the author of the Nirukta identifies him with Śákapúrńi, and makes it likely, that the two names should come in juxtaposition, in our text, as well as in the Váyu. It must be admitted, however, that there are some rather inexplicable repetitions in the part of the Váyu where this account occurs, although two copies agree in the reading. That a portion of the Vedas goes by the name of Rathantara† we have seen (Vol. I., p. 84); but, as far as is yet known, the name is confined to different prayers or hymns of the Úhya Gána of the Sáma-veda. The text of the Vishúu also admits of a different explanation regarding the work of Śákapúrńi; and, instead of a threefold division of the original, the passage may mean, that he composed a third Saúhhitá.‡ So Mr. Colebrooke says: "the Vishúu puráńa omits them [the Śákhás of Áśwaláyana

from the Váyu-purána, we find, similarly, mention of 'Báshkali, Bháradwája', i. e., sprung from Bharadwája.

We are, then, to understand, that one and the same person is referred to in the *Břihaddevatá*, where it speaks of Śakapúńi and of Rathítara. See *Indische Studien*, Vol. I., p. 105.

Of the exceedingly rare work just named there is a MS. in the Bodleian Library, wittingly misrepresented, in Dr. Aufrecht's Catalogue, as the gift of Mr. William Walker.

\* Instead of this, the *Bhágavata-puráňa*, XII., VI., 58, says, that Játúkaríya, disciple of Śákalya, digested a *Sańhitá* and a *Nirukta*, and gave them to his disciples, Baláka, Paija, Vaitála, and Viraja. The commentator explains that he divided his *Sańhitá* into three.

One Játúkarnya succeeded, as a teacher, Yaska. Brihad-áranyaka Upanishad, II., VI., 3; IV., VI., 3.

- † For the passage so-called, see Vol. II., p. 343; supplementary note on p. 295, l. 9, ibid.
- ै संहिताचितयं, the reading of all my MSS., and also, apparently, of all seen by the Translator, can mean, in good Sanskrit, only 'three Sainhitás', not 'third Sainhitá'. It would be interesting to know whether Colebrooke was acquainted with a different lection; as he very rarely trips in a matter of grammar. See Páúini, V., II., 43.

krit, had the glossary. 1\* In this way branch sprang from branch. † Another: Báshkali § composed three

and Śánkháyana], and intimates, that Śákapúrńi, a pupil of Indrapramati, gave the third varied edition from this teacher." || The Váyu, however, is clear in ascribing three Samhitás, or Śákhás, to Śákapúrńi.

- <sup>1</sup> In the Váyu, the four pupils of Śákapúrńi are called Kenava, ¶ Dálaki, \*\* Śatabaláka, and Naigama.
- <sup>2</sup> This Bashkali may either be, according to the commentator, †† the pupil of Paila,—who, in addition to the four Sanhi-

# कौद्यो वैतालिकलद्दुलाकञ्च महामितः। निक्तक्रचतुर्थोऽभृदेदवेदाङ्गपारगः।

As the commentary observes, some MSS. begin the second line of this stanza with चतुर्था निरुक्त क्रजांस।

## † इत्येताः प्रतिशाखान्योऽ प्यनुशाखा द्विजोत्तम ।

‡ The original, unsupplemented by the commentary, does not discriminate this Báshkali from the one before mentioned:

## बाष्त्राचियापरास्तिसः संहिताः व्रतवान्द्रिज।

See note + in this page.

§ We read, in the Bhágavata-purána, XII., VI., 59:

## बाष्कालः प्रतिशाखाम्यो वालिख्याख्यसंहिताम्। चक्रे बालायनिभेज्यः काशारयैव तां दधः॥

Báshkala had before been mentioned; and the Báshkali here spoken of is said, by Śridhara, to have been his son: बाष्क्राचि:। पूर्वोक्तस्य बाच्क्राच्या पुरा It is stated, in this stanza, that Báshkali compiled a Samhhitá, called Válakhilyá, from all the aforesaid Śákhás; and that Báláyani (sic), Bhajya, and Kására accepted it,—or read it, according to the commentator's gloss on द्यु:, namely, तामाद्यु:। अधीतवना:।

- Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 15.
- ¶ Two MSS. have Kaijava.
- \*\* One MS. has Dwáláki; and two, Vámana. Two, again, seem to intend Uddálaki. Uddálaka, son of Aruúa, is a well-known Vaidik personage.
- †† The commentary remarks: बाष्कालि: पैलिश्रिषः। चतस्रः संहि-तास्त्रक द्वुक्तम् । स एवान्यास्तिस्रः संहिताः कृतवान् । ऋपर एव III. 4

other Samhitás, which he taught to his disciples, Káláyani, Gárgya,\* and Kathájava.¹† These are they by whom the principal divisions of the Kich have been promulgated.²‡

tás previously noticed, compiled three others; or he may be another Báshkali, a fellow-pupil of Śákapúrńi. The Váyu makes him a disciple of Satyaśri, the fellow-pupil of Śákalya and Rathóntara, and adds the name or title Bháradwája. §

- <sup>1</sup> In the Váyu, they are called Nandáyaníya, Pannagári, || and Árjava.
- <sup>2</sup> Both the Vishúu and Váyu Puráňas omit two other principal divisions of the Řich, those of Áśwaláyana and Śankháyana (or the Kaushítaki). Asiatic Researches, Vol. VIII., p. 375. ¶ There is no specification of the aggregate number of Samhitás of the Řich, in our text, or in the Váyu; but they describe eighteen, including the Nirukta; or, as Mr. Colebrooke states,

शाकल्यसतीयों बाष्कालि:। तिक्था: कालायनिप्रमुखास्त्रय:। In other words, Báshkali, disciple of Paila, first redacted four Sainhitás, and then three others. But there was a different Báshkali, Śakalya's fellow-student; and his disciples were Káláyani and the rest.

We are left to ascertain on what authority seven Sámhitás are imposed on a single Bashkali.

- \* Son of Baláka, mentioned a little before. See the Kaushitaki-bráhmańa Upanishad, IV., 1.
- † One of my MSS. exhibits Ájava, which looks like a mere misscript of the Váyu-puráńa's Árjava.

## 🗄 द्खेते बहुचाः प्रोक्ताः संहिता यैः प्रवर्तिताः।

"These, by whom the Samhitás have been promulgated, were denominated Bahwrichas."

Bahwricha is a general name for a teacher of the Rigveda.

- § See the second and third extracts from the Váyu-puráńa at p. 46, note 2, supra.
  - || In two MSS., Pannagáni.
  - ¶ Or Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 15.

sixteen (Asiatic Researches, Vol. VIII., p. 374\*); that is, omitting the two portions of the original as divided by Paila. The Kurma Purana states the number at twenty-one;† but treatises on the study of the Vedas reduce the Śakhas of the Rich to five.;

<sup>†</sup> The Śakalas, Bashkalas, Aswalayanas, Sankhayanas, and Mańduka-yanas. So says the Charańa-vyúha.



<sup>\*</sup> Or Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 14.

<sup>†</sup> But it does not name them.

#### CHAPTER V.

Divisions of the Yajur-veda. Story of Yájnavalkya: forced to give up what he has learned: picked up by others, forming the Taittiríya-yajus. Yájnavalkya worships the sun, who communicates to him the Vájasaneyi-yajus.

PARÁŚARA.—Of the tree of the Yajur-veda there are twenty-seven branches, which Vaiśampáyana, the pupil of Vyása, compiled, and taught to (as many) disciples. Amongst these, Yájnavalkya, the

<sup>1</sup> The Váyu divides these into three classes, containing, each, nine, and discriminated as northern, middle and eastern:

उदीचा मध्येशास प्राचासैव पृथिविधा: | Of these the chiefs were, severally, Śyámáyani, Áruńi, and Ánalavi (or Álambi\*). With some inconsistency, however, the same authority states, that Vaiśampáyana composed, and gave to his disciples, eighty-six Sańhitás.†

वैशम्यायनगोचोऽसौ यजुर्वदं व्यवस्ययत् ।
पडशीतिस्तु येनोक्ता संहिता यजुषां शुभाः ॥
शिष्येश्वः प्रददौ तास्य जगृज्ञसे विधानतः ।
एकस्तच परित्यको याज्ञवल्को महातपाः ॥
पडशीतिस्र तसापि संहितानां विकल्पकाः ।
सर्वेषामेव तेषां वै चिधा भेदाः प्रकीर्तिताः ।
चिधा भेदास्तु ते प्रोक्ता भेदे ऽस्मिन्नवमे शुभे ॥

Then follows the line quoted above, and the names of the disciples, Syamayani, &c.

These verses are thus edited, from Professor Wilson's MSS., in Dr. Aufrecht's Catalogus, &c., p. 55; and my five copies of the Váyu-puráńa are of no help towards mending them into something probable. The passage, as it stands, is, in part, ungrammatical and unintelligible; and it would be venturesome to guess at its full meaning, in its unamended state.

<sup>\*</sup> My MSS. have this reading.

<sup>†</sup> The Váyu-purana declares:

son of Brahmaráta,\* was distinguished for piety and obedience to his preceptor.

It had been formerly agreed, by the Munis, that any one of them who, at a certain time, did not join an assembly held on mount Meru should incur the guilt of killing a Brahman within a period of seven nights.1 Vaiśampáyana alone failed to keep the appointment, and, consequently, killed, by an accidental kick with his foot, the child of his sister. He then addressed his scholars, and desired them to perform the penance expiatory of Brahmanicide, on his behalf. Without any hesitation, † Yájnavalkya refused, and said: "How shall I engage in penance with these miserable and inefficient Brahmans?"; On which, his Guru, being incensed, commanded him to relinquish all that he had learned from him. "You speak contemptuously," he observed, "of these young Brahmans: but of what use is a disciple who disobeys my commands?" "I spoke," replied Yájnavalkya, "in perfect faith: § but,

सद्यमेव जयते

ततस्ते सगणाः सर्वे वैशम्पायनवर्जिताः। प्रययुः सप्तराचेण यव संधिः कृतोऽभवत्॥

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parallel passage in the Váyu rather implies, that the agreement was to meet within seven nights:

<sup>\*</sup> One MS. has Devaráta; and so reads the *Bhágavata-puráña*, XII., VI., 64. Devaráta would be a violent synonym of Brahmaráta. Moreover, Daivaráti-patronymic of Devaráta-is a name of Janaka, Yájnavalkya's patron.

<sup>†</sup> These words should end the preceding sentence. The original runs: चर्ष्यं मत्कृते सर्वे न विचार्यमिदं तथा।

<sup>‡</sup> He says: 'I will perform this sacred office': चरिष्ठेऽहमिदं त्रतम्। § Bhaktau, "out of devotion to thee."

as to what I have read from you, I have had enough: it is no more than this—" (acting as if he would eject it from his stomach); when he brought up the texts of the Yajus, in substance stained with blood. He then departed. The other scholars of Vaisampáyana, transforming themselves to partridges (Tittiri), picked up the texts which he had disgorged, and which, from that circumstance, were called Taittiríya; and the disciples were called the Charaka professors of the Ya-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Also called the Black Yajus. No notice of this legend, as Mr. Colebrooke observes (Asiatic Researches, Vol. VIII., p. 376†), occurs in the Veda itself; and the term Taittiriya is more rationally accounted for in the Auukramani, Index, of the Black Yajus. It is there said, that Vaisampáyana taught it to Yáska, who taught it to Tittiri, who, also, became a teacher; whence the term Taittiriya: for a grammatical rule explains it to mean, 'The Taittiriyas are those who read what was said or repeated by Tittiri:' तिचिरिणा प्रोत्तमधीयते। तैत्तिरीयाः। Páńini, IV., III., 102. The legend, then, appears to be nothing more than a Pauránik invention, suggested by the equivocal sense of Tittiri, a proper name, or a partridge.; Much of the mythos of the Hindus, and, obviously, of that of the Greeks and Romans, originates in this source. It was not confined, at least amongst the former, to the case that Creuzer specifies, — "Telle ou telle expression cessa d'être comprise, et l'on inventa des mythes pour eclaircir ces malentendus,"-but was wilfully perpetrated, even where the word was understood, when it afforded a favourable opportunity for a fable. It may be suspected, in the present instance, that the legend is posterior, not only to the Veda, but to the grammatical rule; or it would have furnished Pánini with a different etymology.

Charakádhwaryu. † Or Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 16. † Compare Professor Max Müller's History of Ancient Sanskrii Literature, p. 174, note.

jus, from Charańa, ('going through' or 'performing' the expiatory rites enjoined by their master).1\*

Yájnavalkya, who was perfect in ascetic practices,† addressed himself strenuously to the sun, being anxious to recover possession of the texts of the Yajus. "Glory to the Sun," he exclaimed, "the gate of liberation, the fountain of bright radiance, the triple source of splendour, as the Rig-, the Yajur-, and the Sámavedas! Glory to him, who, as fire and the moon, is one with the cause of the universe: to the sun, that is charged with radiant heat, and with the Sushumńa; ray, (by which the moon is fed with light): to him who is one with the notion of time, and all its divisions of hours, minutes, and seconds: \$ to him who is to be

¹ This is another specimen of the sort of Paronomasia explained in the preceding note. The Charakas are the students of a Śakha so denominated from its teacher Charaka. (Asiatic Researches, Vol. VIII., p. 377||). So, again, Pańini, IV., III., 107: 'The readers of that which is said by Charaka are Charakas:' ব্রেল মার ব্রো: | Charaka has no necessary connexion with Char, 'to go.' The Vayu states, they were also called Chatakas, from Chat (चट), 'to divide;' because they shared amongst them their master's guilt. 'Those pupils of Vaiśampayana were called Chatakas by whom the crime of Brahmanicide was shared; and Charakas, from its departure:'

<sup>\*</sup> See the Translator's third note on Book IV., Chapter XXI.

<sup>†</sup> Pránáyama. It is correctly rendered "suppression of breath", in Vol. II., p. 89.

<sup>\*</sup> See Vol. II., p. 297, note \*

<sup>§</sup> वाजावाष्टानिमेषाद्वाजज्ञानात्मने नमः।

See Vol. I., p. 47.

<sup>||</sup> Or Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 17.

meditated upon as the (visible) form of Vishnu, as the impersonation of the mystic Om:\* to him who nourishes the troops of the gods, having filled the moon with his rays: who feeds the Pitris with nectar and ambrosia, † and who nourishes mankind with rain; who pours down, or absorbs, the waters, in the time of the rains, of cold, and of heat!: Glory be to Brahmá,§ the sun, in the form of the three seasons: || him who alone is the dispeller of the darkness of this earth, of which he is the sovereign lord! To the god who is clad in the raiment of purity be adoration! Glory to the sun, until whose rising, man is incapable of devout acts, and water does not purify; and, touched by whose rays, the world is fitted for religious rites: to him who is the centre and source of purification! I Glory to Savitři, to Súrya, to Bháskara, to Vivaswat, to Aditya, to the first-born of gods or demons.\*\* I adore the eye

## वैश्रमायनशिष्यासे चरकाः समुदाहताः। ब्रह्महत्या तु यैश्वीणा चरणाचरकाः स्नृताः॥††

In the original, paramákshara, "the supreme syllable." † Sudhámŕita. See Vol. II., p. 300, note \*.

ः हिमाम्बुधर्मवृष्टीनां कर्ता हर्ता च यः प्रभुः।

§ Vedhas, in the original.

|| Kála.

¶ सत्वर्भयोग्यो न जनो नैवापः शौचकारणम् । यिस्रज्ञनुद्ति तस्मै नमो देवाय भाखते ॥ सृष्टो यदंशुभिर्लोकः क्रियायोग्योऽभिजायते । पविचताकारणाय तस्मै शुद्धात्मने नमः॥

<sup>\*\* &</sup>quot;Or demons" represents ádi, 'etc.'

<sup>††</sup> The halves of this stanza are here transposed, if my MSS. are correct.

of the universe, borne in a golden car, whose banners scatter ambrosia."\*

Thus eulogized by Yájnavalkya, the sun, in the form of a horse, (appeared to him, and) said: "Demand what you desire." To which the sage, having prostrated himself before the lord of day, replied: "Give me a knowledge of those texts of the Yajus with which (even) my preceptor is unacquainted." Accordingly, the sun imparted to him the texts of the Yajus called Ayátayáma (unstudied), which were unknown to Vaisámpáyana: and, because these were revealed by the sun, in the form of a horse, the Brahmans who study this portion of the Yajus are called Vájins (horses).† Fifteen branches of this school sprang from Kańwa and other pupils of Yájnavalkya.

¹ The Váyu names the fifteen teachers of these schools, Kańwa, Vaidheya, Śálin, Madhyandina, Śápeyin, ¹ Vidagdha, Uddálin, § Támráyańi, || Vátsya, Gálava, ¶ Śaiśiri, \*\* Átavya, †† Parńa, Vírańa, ‡‡ and Samparáyańa, §§ who were the founders of no fewer than 101 branches of the Vájasaneyi, or White Yajus. Mr. Colebrooke specifies several of these, as the Jábálas, Baudháyanas, Tápaníyas, &c. Asiatic Researches, Vol. VIII., p. 376.

<sup>\*</sup> Yájnavalkya's hymn will be found in the Bhágavata-puráńa, XII., VI., 67-72.

<sup>†</sup> See, for a translation of nearly the whole of this chapter, Yajnavalkya's hymn excepted, Original Sanskrit Texts, Part III., pp. 32, 33.

<sup>†</sup> Two of my MSS. have Sapemin, a reading of no value.

<sup>§</sup> This, as I judge from all my MSS., is an error for Uddala.

<sup>||</sup> Similarly, this seems an oversight for Tamrayana.

<sup>††</sup> Afevin is the most common reading; but Afavin and Áfavin, also, are found.

†‡ Viranin is in all my MSS.

<sup>§§</sup> The lection of four MSS. is सपरायण:, 'and Parayana.'

III Or Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 17.

#### CHAPTER VI.

Divisions of the Sáma-veda: of the Atharva-veda. Four Pauráńik Samhitás. Names of the eighteen Puráńas. Branches of knowledge. Classes of Řishis.

YOU shall now hear, Maitreya, how Jaimini, the pupil of Vyása, divided the branches of the Sáma-veda. The son of Jaimini was Sumantu; and his son was Sukarman; who, both, studied the same Samhitá under Jaimini<sup>1</sup>. The latter \* composed the Sáhasra Samhitá (or compilation of a thousand hymns, &c.), which he taught to two disciples, Hiranyanábha,—also named Kausalya† (or, of Kosala),—and Paushyinji<sup>2</sup>.‡ Fifteen disciples (of the latter) were the authors of as many Samhitás: they were called the northern chanters of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Váyu makes Sukarman the grandson of Sumantu; his son being called Sunwat. §

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Some copies read Paushpinji. The Váyu agrees with our text, but alludes to a legend of Sukarman having first taught a thousand disciples; but they were, all, killed by Indra, for reading on an unlawful day, or one when sacred study is prohibited.

<sup>\*</sup> Sukarman, namely.

<sup>†</sup> One of my MSS. has Kaiśilya. The Váyu-puráńa reads Kauśilya, which looks less likely than Kauśalya to be correct.

<sup>‡</sup> All my MSS. have Paushpinji. Paushyinji, a reading of no account, occurs in some copies of the Vàyu-purána. The Bhàgavata-purána—see p. 59, note \*, infra, — has Paushpanji, a patronymic of Pushpanja. The meaning of Paushpinji is not evident; but it is, probably, the original name.

 $<sup>\</sup>S$  See p. 60, note  $\parallel$ , infra.

the Sáman.\* As many more, also, the disciples of

\* साहस्रं संहिताभेदं सुनर्भा तत्सुतस्ताः।
चनार तं च तच्चिष्यौ जगृहाते महामती॥
हिरखनाभः नौश्रच्यः पौष्यिज्ञिश्च दिजोत्तम।
उदीच्यसामगाः शिष्यास्त्रेभ्यः पञ्चदश स्तृताः॥
हिरखनाभात्तावत्यः संहिता चैदिजोत्तमैः।
गृहीतास्तेऽपि चोच्यन्ते पण्डितैः प्राच्यसामगाः॥

The corresponding passage of the Bhágavata-puráńa,—XII., VI., 76-78,—runs thus:

सुकर्मा चापि तच्छिषः सामवेदतरोर्महान् । साहस्रं संहिताभेदं चक्रे साम्नां ततो दिजः॥ हिरखनाभः कौ ज्ञृद्धः पौष्यज्ञिस सुकर्मणः। शिष्यो जगृहतुस्रान्य श्रावन्त्यो ब्रह्मवित्तमः॥ उदीच्याः सामगाः शिष्या श्रासन्पञ्च श्रतानि वै। पौष्यञ्ज्यावन्त्ययोश्चापि कांसिस्याच्यान्प्रचन्ते॥

Śridhara explains this to mean, that Hiraúyanábha, Paushpanji, and Ávantya had, between them, five hundred disciples, first called northern, and, some of them, in time, eastern.

It seems possible that the name Ávantya grew out of a misreading of távatyah,—which some of my MSS. corrupt into távantyah,—and the suggestion of Kauśalya; both which words stand only two lines apart, in the verses quoted from the Vishúu-puráha. Ávantya does not appear in the Váyu-puráha, an older work than either the Vishúu or the Bhágavata:

तस्य भिष्योऽभवडीमान्यौष्यि जिर्दिजसत्तमा:।
हिर खनाभः कौ भि ख्यो दितीयोऽभू त्राधिषः॥
ऋधापयतु पौष्यज्ञिः भहसाधं तु संहिताः।
ते नास्रोदी खसामान्याः भिष्याः पौष्यि ज्ञिनः भुभाः॥
भागि पञ्च कौ भि खः संहितानां च वीर्यवान्।
भिष्या हिर खनाभस्य स्राताले प्राच्यसामगाः॥

Here, distinctly, Paushpinji is said to have taught half a thousand Sainhitás; and his disciples were called northerners: Hiranyanabha had five hundred Sainhitás; and his disciples were known as easterlings.

Sridhara tries to harmonize with the text he is editing that of the Vishhu-puraha; quoting from it, in place of the fourth verse adduced above:

उदीचा: सामगा: श्रिष्यासास्य पञ्च शत स्मृताः। The reading तस्य is seen, at once, to be incomparably better than Hirańyanábha, were termed the eastern chanters of the Sáman, founding an equal number of schools. Lokákshi,\* Kuthumi,† Kushídin,: and Lángali were the pupils of Paushyinji; and by them and their disciples many other branches were formed: whilst another scholar of Hirańyanábha, named Kŕiti,§ taught twentyfour Saínhitás to (as many) pupils; and by them, again, was the Sáma-veda divided into numerous branches. 1

¹ The Váyu specifies many more names than the Vishńu; but the list is rather confused. Amongst the descendants of those named in the text, Ráyánaníya (or Ránáyaníya), the son of Lokákshi, is the author of a Samhitá still extant; Saumitri, his son, was the author of three Samhitás: Párásara, the son of Kuthumi, compiled and taught six Samhitás: and Śáligotra, a son

तेश्व:; and 'five hundred', as the Váyu-purána shows, has to displace "fifteen". By the ordinary text, only thirty Samhitás are disposed of, out of the thousand. Both these better lections are in a single one of my thirteen copies of the Vishnu-purána; but the commentary adopts, uninquiringly, and without demur, what are now made out to be corruptions.

<sup>\*</sup> Three MSS. have Laugákshi; one has Laukákshi. The former of these readings seems to be the best of all.

<sup>†</sup> Scarcely worthy of mention are the variants Kuthami and Kuśumi.

<sup>†</sup> This is the prevailing lection; while three MSS. have Kusidin; two, Kuśidi; two, Kuchidi; one, Kuśadi. The Vàyu-purana seems to give Kuśiti, in some MSS.; in others, Kuśin.

<sup>§</sup> Two MSS. exhibit Krita; and this, according to the copies of it known to me, is the name, in the Váyu-puráńa.

<sup>||</sup> The history of the transmission of the Sámaveda is briefly told as follows, in the Bhágavata-puráńa, XII., VI., 75—80. Jaimini had a son, Sumantu, whose son was Sunwat; and to each of them he gave a Sańhitá. Sukarman, another disciple of Jaimini, divided the Sámaveda into a thousand parts. His disciples were Hirańyanábha, Paushpanji, and Ávantya; and their disciples were, in all, five hundred.

I will now give you an account of the Samhitás of the Atharva-veda. The illustrious Muni Sumantu taught this Veda to his pupil Kabandha, who made it twofold, and communicated the two portions to Devadarśa\* and to Pathya. The disciples of Devadarśa were Maudga,† Brahmabali, Śaulkáyani,; and Pippalá-

of Lángali, established, also, six schools. § Kriti was of royal descent:

## ततो हिरखनाभस्य इतिः शिष्यो नृपात्मजः।

He and Paushyinji were the two most eminent teachers of the Sama-veda.

Paushpanji's disciples, to-wit, Langákshi, Mángali, Kulya, Kuśída, and Kukshi, received, each, a hundred Saúhhítás; Hiraúyanábha's disciple, Křita, twenty-four; and Ávantya's disciples, the rest.

We are not told who, or how many, these last were. They must have taken four hundred and seventy-six Sainhitás, to make up the thousand into which the Sámaveda was partitioned by Sukarman.

- \* The Váyu-purána has Vedasparsa. Śridhara, commenting on the Bhágavata-purána, XII., VII., 1, quotes a portion of our text, and reads Vedadarsa.
  - † One MS. has Maunda. The name, in the Váyu-purána, is Moda.
  - † One MS. has Saulkyayani.
- § I do not find that Ránáyaníya is called son of Lokákshi: he seems to have been only his disciple. Nor is Saumitri represented as son of Ránáyaníya; and no writings are credited to him. Ránáyaníyi-son of Ránáyaníya-and he are merely stated to have been conversant with the Sámaveda. The Sanskrit runs:

#### राणायनीयिः सीमितिः सामवेदविशारदी॥

Again, instead of "Parasara, the son of Kuthumi," I meet with Parasarya Kauthuma and with Kauthuma Parasarya, which perhaps intend Parasarya, son of Kuthumi. Once more, the kinship of Langali and Salihotra,—a better reading than Saligotra—is left unspecified; and each of them, we are told, published six Sanhitás:

#### लाङ्गलिः शालिहोचलु षह्वद्वीवाच संहिताः।

As to this line, at least, my MSS. of the Váyu-puráńa must differ from those which were consulted by Professor Wilson.

da.\* Pathya had three pupils, Jájali, Kumudádi,† and Śaunaka; and by all these were separate branches instituted. Śaunaka, having divided his Sańhitá into two, gave one to Babhru, and the other to Saindhaváyana; and from them sprang two schools, the Saindhavas and the Munjakeśas.¹‡ The principal subjects of difference§ in the Saińhitás of the Atharva-veda

'According to the commentator, Munjakeśa is another name for Babhru; but the Váyu seems to consider him as the pupil of Saindhava: but the text is corrupt:

## सैन्धवो मुझकेशाय भिन्नां चास्य दिधा पुनः।

\* Pishpalada, though occurring in five of my MSS., and in some copies of the Váyu-purána, can be nothing but a clerical error.

+ Kumudáhi is the reading of two MSS.; Kumudári, of one.

† The account of the Atharvaveda given in the Bhagavata-purána, XII., VII., 1—3, is, in substance, this. Sumantu had two disciples, Pathya and Vedadarsa. The disciples of the latter were Saulkayani, Brahmabali, Modosha, and Paippalayani; and those of the former were Kumuda, Sunaka, and Jájali. Sunaka had two disciples, Babhru—son of Angiras,—and Saindhavayana; and these were succeeded by the Sávarnyas and others.

I have availed myself of Sankara's supplementations, here and in

note || in p. 60, supra.

One MS. has, for Modosha, Modásha; another, Medíya. All my MSS., and likewise the printed editions, have the ungrammatical Pippaláyani, which I have corrected to Paippaláyani. The *Bhágavata-kathá-sangraha* gives, in my incorrect copies of it, Śaunakáyani, Brahmabali, Maudgaládi, and Pippaláyani, as the disciples of Vedadarsa.

§ "Subjects of difference" is the rendering of vikalpakáh, 'divisions.' || Just before this line we read, almost in the words of the Vishnupurána, as follows:

## शीनकसु दिधा कला द्दावेकं तु बसुवे। द्वितीयां संहितां धीमानीन्धवायनसंज्ञिते॥

It is now patent why the Translator pronounced the text corrupt. Saindhaváyana and Saindhava cannot denominate the same teacher. The former must have been a descendant of the latter.

are the five Kalpas (or ceremonials): the Nakshatra Kalpa, (or rules for worshipping the planets); the Vaitána (Kalpa), (or rules for oblations, according to the Vedas generally); the Samhitá (Kalpa), (or rules for sacrifices, according to different schools); the Ángirasa (Kalpa), (incantations and prayers for the destruction of foes and the like); and the Sánti Kalpa, (or prayers for averting evil). 1\*

Accomplished in the purport of the Puránas, Vyása compiled a Pauránik Samhitá, consisting of historical and legendary traditions, prayers and hymns, and sacred chronology. <sup>2</sup>† He had a distinguished disciple,

The Translator consulted, for his intercalative explanations, those of the commentary; and this understands, by the second and third kalpas, the Brákmańas of the Atharvaveda, and its mantras.

## † त्राखानैयाषुपाखानैर्गाषाभिः कल्पग्नुडिभिः। पुराखसंहितां चक्रे पुराखार्थविद्यारदः॥

¹ The Váyu has an enumeration of the verses contained in the different Vedas; but it is very indistinctly given, in many respects, especially as regards the Yajus. The Rich is said to comprise 8,600 Richas: the Yajus, as originally compiled by Vyása, 12,000; of which the Vájasaneyi contains 1,900 Richas and 7,600 Bráhmańas; the Charaka portion contains 6,026 stanzas; and, consequently, the whole exceeds 12,000 verses. The stanzas of the Sáman are said to be 8,014; and those of the Atharvan, 5,980. Mr. Colebrooke; states the verses of the whole Yajus to be 1,987; of the Śatapatha § Bráhmaúa of the same Veda, 7,624; and, of the Atharvan, 6,015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Or, of stories (Ákhyánas) and minor stories or tales (Upá-

<sup>\*</sup> नवनक्लो विदानां संहितानां तथैव च। चतुर्थः स्थादाङ्गिरसः शान्तिकल्पश्च पञ्चमः। त्रेष्टास्त्वथर्वणामेते संहितानां विकल्पकाः॥

<sup>\*</sup> Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., pp. 54, 60, 89.

<sup>§ &</sup>quot;Salapalka" was, of course, an error of the press, in the first edition.

Súta, also termed Romaharshańa; and to him the great Muni communicated the Puráńas. Súta had six scholars, Sumati, Agnivarchas, Mitrayu\* Śáńśapáyana,† Akritabrańa,‡ (who is also called Káśyapa§), and Sávarńi. The three last composed three fundamental

khyánas); of portions dedicated to some particular divinity, as the

## त्रक्षतत्रणो ४ थ सावर्णिः विद्वाधास्त्रस्य चाभवन् ।

What is conclusive,—if the MSS, are not corrupt,—the Váyu-puráńa has the line

### ग्रावेयः सुमतिधीमान्काम्यपो ह्यकतत्रणः।

It is proved hereby, moreover, that Akritabrana sprang from Kasyapa. Sumati is here called descendant of Atri, too.

For the reading Kritavrata, see note || in this page.

§ In the Sanskrit, Káśyapa is not named here, but in the following sentence, which the translation abridges.

|| "Six persons received the Puránas from Vyása, and were his pupils. Their names are Súta, Lomaharsha, Sumati, Maitreya, Simsapáyana, and Suvarni." The Translator thus renders a passage from the Agni-purána, in the Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. I., p. 84. Compare Professor Wilson's Essays, Analytical, Critical, &c., Vol. I., p. 88.

Burnouf—in his edition of the *Bhágavata-puráńa*, Vol. I., Preface, pp. XXXIX., XL.—quotes the original of the passage thus rendered, and translates and annotates it, as follows:

प्राप्य व्यासात्पुराणादि सूतो वै लोमहर्षणः।
सुमितिश्वापिवर्चाश्व मित्रयुः शांश्रपायनः॥
कतत्रतोऽय सावणिः पद्भिष्यासस्य चाभवन्।
शांश्रपायनाद्यश्वतुः पुराणानां तु संहिताः॥
ब्राह्मादीनि पुराणानि हरिर्विद्या दशाष्ट च।
महापुराणे चामेचे विद्याक्ष्णे हरिः स्थितः॥

"Lômaharchańa le Sùta, après avoir reçu de Vyâsa les Purâńas et le reste, ent six disciples, savoir: Sumati, Agnivartchas, Mitrayu,

<sup>\*</sup> One MS. has, here and below, Mitrayu, a reading which is seen in some copies of the Vayu-purána, as well.

<sup>+</sup> In one MS. is Śamśapayani.

<sup>‡</sup> One MS. has Kritabrańa: but the reading is, perhaps, to be rejected. Akritabrańa, however, renders the line which it begins hypermetrical:

## Samhitás; and Romaharshana himself compiled a

Śiva-gítá, Bhagavad-gítá, &c.; and accounts of the periods

Çâmçapâyana, Kritavrata et Sâvarni. Çâmçapâyana et les autres firent des collections des Purânas. Les Purânas, dont le Brâhma est le premier, sont au nombre de dix-huit; c'est la science même qui n'est autre que Hari. En effet, dans le grand Purâna nommé l'Âgnêya, Hari existe sous la forme de la science.'

"M. Wilson \* \* \* \* a cité ce texte qu'il regarde comme remarquable en ce qui touche à la question de l'origine des Purânas. Mais soit qu'il ait eu sous les yeux un texte différent du nôtre, soit que quelque faute d'impression se soit glissée dans son travail, il fait deux personnages de Sûta et de Lômaharchana, et il ne nomme pas Kritavrata. lieu de Câmcapâyana, que donne également le Vâichnava, M. Wilson lit Simsapâyana, comme le Bhâgavata, et Mâitrêya au lieu du Mitrayu ou Mitrâyu du Vâichnava. Ces différences viennent probablement de l'inattention des copistes qui ont compilé les index dont s'est servi M. Wilson pour ses analyses; quelle qu'en soit d'ailleurs la cause, je crois plus sûr de m'en tenir au texte que j'ai sous les yeux, que de faire deux personnages de Sûta et de Lômaharchana. Mais je dois en même temps remarquer le peu d'accord qui se trouve entre les trois autorités originales dont je rapporte le témoignage, le Bhâgavata, le Vâichnava et l'Âgnêva. Les noms de Trayyâruni et de Hârîta, donnés par le Bhâgavata, ne reparaissent plus dans le Vâichúava ni dans l'Agnêya; d'autre part, le Sumati, l'Agnivartchas et le Mitrâyu de ces deux derniers ouvrages ne se trouvent pas dans le Bhâgavata. La liste de ce dernier Purâna contient d'ailleurs un vice radical, qui consiste à faire deux personnages de Kacyapa (qu'il faut lire, comme je vais le dire plus bas, Kâcyapa), et d'Akritavrana. Quand on pourra comparer un plus grand nombre de textes indiens, et surtout de commentaires, peut-être résoudra-t-on ces difficultés, comme on peut le faire en ce qui touche Akritavrana, qu'un commentateur nous apprend avoir été surnommé Kâçyapa, à cause sans doute de la famille à laquelle il appartenait; ainsi, le nom de Trayyâruńi, qui est patronymique, cache probablement le nom propre de Sumati ou d'Agnivartchas. Trayyâruńi rappelle le Trayyaruńa qui figure, selon Colebrooke, parmi les rois auteurs de quelques hymnes du Rigvêda (Miscell. Essays, t. I., p. 23); et Hârîta est le nom d'un sage, auteur d'un Dharmaçâstra qui est quelquefois cité par Kullûka Bhaffa, dans son Commentaire sur Manu", etc.

For Tryaruna, the real Vaidik name, see p. 36, note †, supra; for Atreya, the patronymic of Sumati, p 64, note ‡, supra. According to

fourth, called Romaharshańiká;\* the substance of which four Samhitás is collected into this (Vishnu Purána).†

The first of all the Puránas is entitled the Bráhma. Those who are acquainted with the Puránas enumerate eighteen, or, the Bráhma, Pádma, Vaishnava,: Śaiva,

called Kalpas, as the Bráhma Kalpa, Váráha Kalpa, &c.§

the *Váyu-puráńa*, Bháradwája is Agnivarchas's patronymic; Vásishfha, Mitrayu's; and Saumadatti Sávarúi's.

The originators of the Puránas are thus enumerated in the Bhágavata-purána, XII., VII., 5:

## चव्याक्णिः काश्चपञ्च सावर्णिरक्तत्रणः। वैश्वमायनहारीती षड्डे पौराणिका द्मे॥

So read, all but consentaneously, five MSS. which I have examined. One of them has Akritavrata, for Akritabrańa; and one has—like the Bhágavata-kathá-sangraha-Śińśapáyana, for Vaiśampáyana. Śáńśapáyani is the name, in the Vágu-puráńa.

# काम्सपः संहिताकर्ता सावर्णिः ग्रांग्र्पायनः । रोमहर्षणिका चान्या तिसृणां मूलसंहिता ॥

"Kásyapa was compiler of a Sanhhitá; and so was Sávarni, and so Sámsapáyana: and the Romaharshaniká was another Samhitá, the root of the three just specified."

The Váyu-purána says the same, in effect.

- † The original of this paragraph, the scholia on it, and a translation of both, will be found in Burnouf's edition of the *Bhágavata-purána*, Vol. I., Preface, pp. XXXVII.—XXXIX.
- ‡ From the commentary: विष्णुपुराणं च क्रचिह्मसाहस्रं क्रचिट्ष्टसाहस्रमित्यादिविकच्पेऽध्यच घद्गाहस्रमेव व्याख्यायते। We learn,
  from this, that the Vishńu-puráńa has been variously reputed as consisting of ten thousand stanzas, of eight thousand, and of six thousand.
  The scholiast accepts the most moderate estimate. It is a great reduction
  from twenty-three thousand. See Vol. I., Preface, p. XXXIV., note 2,
  extract from the Matsya-puráńa.
- § Most of this note is taken from the commentary, which remarks as follows: आख्यानादिभिः सह पुराणसंहितां चक्री। व्यास इति ग्रेषः। तच दृष्टीपलब्धकथनं प्राङगाख्यानकं बुधाः। श्रुतस्वार्थस्य कथनमुपाख्यानं प्रचर्ते। गाथा पितृपृथादिगीताः। कल्पशृज्ञिवीराहादिकल्पनिर्णयः।

Bhágavata, Náradíya, Márkańdeya, Agneya, Bhavishyat, Brahma Vaivarta, Lainga, Váráha, Skánda, Vámana, Kaurma, Mátsya, Gáruda, Brahmáńda. The creation of the world, and its successive reproductions, the genealogies (of the patriarchs and kings), the periods of the Manus, and the transactions of the (royal) dynasties, are narrated in all these Puráńas.\* This Puráńa which I have repeated to you, Maitreya, is called the Vaishńava, and is next, in the series, to the Pádma; and in every part of it, in its narratives of primary and subsidiary creation, of families, and of periods, the mighty Vishńu is declared, in this Puráńa¹.

The four Vedas, the (six) Angas (or subsidiary portions of the Vedas), (viz., Šikshá, rules of reciting the prayers, the accents and tones to be observed; Kalpa, ritual; Vyákarańa, grammar; Nirukta, glossarial comment; Chhandas, metre; and Jyotisha, astronomy), with Mímámsá (theology), Nyáya (logic), Dharma (the institutes of law), and the Puráńas, constitute the fourteen (principal) branches of knowledge: or (they are considered as) eighteen, (with the addition of these four), the Áyur-veda, (medical science, as taught by Dhanwantari), Dhanur-veda, (the science of archery or arms, taught by Bhŕigu), Gándharva-(veda), (or the drama, and the arts of music, dancing, &c., of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For remarks upon this enumeration, see Introduction. †

<sup>\*</sup> See Vol. I., Preface, p. VII., note 1; and the supplementary annotation thereon, in p. 199, *ibid*. See, further, the note at the end of the present chapter.

<sup>†</sup> Vol. I., Preface, pp. XXIII. et seq.

which the Muni Bharata was the author), and the Artha Śástra, (or science of government, as laid down first by Brihaspati).\*

There are three kinds of Řishis (or inspired sages): royal Řishis, (or princes who have adopted a life of devotion, as Viśwámitra), divine Řishis, (or sages who are demigods also, as Nárada), and Brahman Řishis, (or sages who are the sons of Brahmá, or Brahmans, as Vasishíha and others). †

¹ A similar enumeration is given in the Váyu, with some additions. Rishi is derived from Rish, 'to go to', or 'approach.' The Brahmarshis, it is said, are descendants of the five patriarchs who were the founders of races or Gotras of Brahmans; or, Kaśyapa, Vasishtha, Bhŕigu, Angiras, and Atri. The Devarshis are Nara and Náráyana, the sons of Dharma; the Válikhilyas, § who sprang from Kratu; Kardama, the son of Pulaha; Kubera, the son of Pulatya T; Achala, the son of Pratyúsha; \*\* Parvata and Nárada, the sons of Kaśyapa. Rájarshis are Ikshwáku and other

<sup>\*</sup> The definitions and other particulars enclosed within parentheses, in this and the following paragraph, are borrowed from the commentary.

<sup>†</sup> On these, and other descriptions of Rishis, see Original Sanskrit Texts, Part I., p. 109, note 11.

<sup>\*</sup> See Vol. I., p. 111, note 1.

<sup>§</sup> Such is the more ordinary spelling, in MSS. known to me. For the Valikhilyas, see the Mahábhárata, Adi-parvan, Chapters XXX., XXXI. I am not aware that these pigmies had anything to do with the portion of Veda called Valakhilya. See p. 49, note §, supra.

<sup>||</sup> See Vol. I., p. 155.

<sup>¶</sup> The original, as will be seen in the next page, has Paulastya; and this does not necessarily signify "son of Pulastya": but it does so, there. Kubera was Pulastya's grandson, and son of Viśravas, according to the Bhágavata-puráńa, IV., I., 36, 37. See Vol. I., p. 154, note 2.

<sup>\*\*</sup> See Vol. II., p. 23.

I have, thus, described to you the branches of the Vedas, and their subdivisions; the persons by whom they were made; and the reason why they were made (or, the limited capacities of mankind). The same branches are instituted in the different Manwantaras.\* The (primitive) Veda,† that of the progenitor of all things, is eternal: these (branches) are but its modifications (or Vikalpas).§

princes. The Brahmarshis dwell in the sphere of Brahmá; the Devarshis, in the region of the gods; and the Rájarshis, in the heaven of Indra.

# सर्वमन्वन्तरेष्वेवं भाषाभेदाः समाः स्नृताः।

- † Śruti, in the Sanskrit.
- ‡ Prájápatyá, 'derived from Prajápati'.
- § See Original Sanskrit Texts, Part III., p. 11.
- || The passage of the Vdyu-purana is as follows:

ज्ञेया ब्रह्मर्षयः पूर्व तेभ्यो देवर्षयः पुनः। राजर्षयः पुनस्तेभ्य ऋषिप्रक्षतयस्त्रयः॥ तेभ्य ऋषिप्रकृतयो सुनिभिः शंसितवतैः। कश्चपेषु वसिष्ठेषु तथा भृजिङ्गरोत्रिषु॥ पञ्च खेतेष जायनी गोनेषु ब्रह्मवादिनः। यसाद्वनि ब्रह्माणं तेन ब्रह्मर्षयः स्नताः॥ धर्मखाय पुलस्यस्य क्रतीस पुलहस्य च। प्रत्यषस्य प्रभासस्य कम्प्रपस्य तथा पुनः॥ देवर्षेयः सुतास्तेषां नामतसान्निबोधत। टेवर्षी धर्मपुत्री तु नरनारायणावुभी ॥ वालिखिल्याः कतोः पुत्राः कर्दमः पुलहस्य तु । कुंबेर्श्वेव पीलस्यः प्रत्यूषस्याचनः स्रुतः॥ पर्वतो नारदश्चेव कथ्यपंखाताजानुभौ। ऋषनि देवान्यसात्ते तसाहेवर्षयः स्रुताः॥ मानवे वैनवे (??) वंशे ऐडे वंशे च ये नृपाः। ऐडा ऐत्वाका नाभागा ज्ञेया राजर्षयस्त ते॥

I have, thus, related to you, Maitreya, the circumstances, relating to the Vedas, which you desired to hear. Of what else do you wish to be informed?

<sup>1</sup> No notice is taken, here, of a curious legend which is given in the Mahábhárata, in the Gadá Parvan. \* It is there said, that, during a great drought, the Brahmans, engrossed by the care of subsistence, neglected the study of the sacred books, and the Vedas were lost. The Kishi Sáraswata, alone, being fed with fish by his mother Saraswati, the personified river so named, kept up his studies, and preserved the Hindu scriptures. At the end of the famine, the Brahmans repaired to him, to be taught; aud sixty thousand disciples again acquired a knowledge of the Vedas from Sáraswata. This legend appears to indicate the revival, or, more probably, the introduction, of the Hindu ritual by the race of Brahmans, or the people, called Sáraswata: for, according to the Hindu geographers, it was the name of a nation, as it still is the appellation of a class of Brahmans who chiefly inhabit the Punjab. (Asiatic Researches, Vol. VII., p. 219; † Vol. VIII., pp. 338, 341.) The Sáraswata Brahmans are met with in many parts of India, and are, usually, fair-complexioned, tall, and handsome men. They are classed, in the Játi málás, or popular lists of castes, amongst the five Gauda Brahmans, and are divi-

# च्छपनि रञ्जनायसात्रजा राजर्षयस्तः। ब्रह्मनोकप्रतिष्ठासु स्नृता ब्रह्मर्षयोऽमनाः॥ देवनोकप्रतिष्ठासु सेया देवर्षयः शुभाः। इन्द्रनोकप्रतिष्ठासु सर्वे राजर्षयो मताः॥

The Translator omitted mention of the sons of Prabhása, here classed among the Devarshis, but not named. Prabhása was father of Viśwakarman. See Vol. II., p. 24.

Further, the Rájarshis are said to be Aidas, Aikshwákas, and Nábhágas, --kings sprung from Manu, Vena (??), and Ida.

<sup>\*</sup> Or Gadayuddha-parvan. It concludes the Salya-parvan, beginning with its thirty-third chapter.

<sup>†</sup> Or Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. II., p. 22.

ded into ten tribes. They are said, also, to be, especially, the Purohitas or family-priests of the Kshattriya or military castes;— (see the Játi málá, printed in Price's Hindee and Hindoostanee Selections, Vol. I., p, 280)—circumstances in harmony with the purport of the legend, and confirmatory of the Sáraswatas of the Punjab having been prominent agents in the establishment of the Hindu religion in India. The holy land of the Hindus, or the primary seat, perhaps, of Brahmanism, has, for one of its boundaries, the Saraswati river. See Vol. II., p. 142, note 4.

#### Note referred to at p. 67, supra.

Burnouf, in his edition of the *Bhágavata-puráňa*, Vol. I., Preface, pp. XLIV.—LI., dwells at length on the definition of the term *Puráňa*. After citing, from the *Sabdakalpadruma*, a passage of the *Brahmavaivarta-puráňa*, where the topics of a Puráńa are said to be ten, he translates an extract from the *Bhágavata-puráňa*,—XII., VII., 8-19,—in which these topics are enumerated almost in the same manner. Subjoined is his translation, with the original prefixed.

पुराणलचणं ब्रह्मन्ब्रह्मर्षिभिनिक्पितम् । शुंगुष्व बुडिमाश्रित्य वेदशास्त्रिानुसारतः॥ संगींऽस्थाथ विसर्गञ्च वृत्ती रचान्तराणि च। वंशो वंशानुचरितं संख्या हेतुर्पाश्रयः ॥ दश्भिर्वचणैर्धृतं पुराणं तदिदो विदुः। केचित्पञ्चविधं ब्रह्मकहदल्पञ्चवस्थया ॥ त्रयाष्ट्रतगुणचीभावाहतस्त्रवतोऽहम । भूतसूचीन्द्रियार्थानां संभवः सर्ग उच्चते॥ पुरुषानुगृहीतानामेतेषां वासनामयः। विसर्गोऽयं समाहारो बीजाद्वीजं चराचरम ॥ वृत्तिर्भृतानि भूतानां चराणामचराणि च। क्रता खेन नुणां तच कामाचोदनयापि वा॥ रचाचातावतारेहा विश्वसानुयुगे युगे। तिर्यद्वार्व्यविदेवेषु हन्यने यैस्त्रयी दिषः॥ मन्वनारं मनुदेवा मनुपुत्राः सुरेश्वराः। ऋषयों । शावतार्य हरेः षड्विधमुच्यते ॥ राज्ञां ब्रह्मप्रस्तानां वंशस्त्रीकालिकोऽन्वयः। वंग्रानुचरितं तैषां वृत्तं वंग्रधरात्रयः॥

निमित्तकः प्राक्तिको नित्य त्रात्यन्तिको लयः।
संखिति किनिभः प्रोक्ता चतुर्धास्य स्वभावतः॥
हेतुर्जीवोऽस्य सर्गादेर्विद्याकर्मकारकः।
यं चानुश्चिनं प्राक्रर्याक्ततमृतापरे॥
व्यतिरेकान्वयो यस्य जाग्रत्स्वप्तसुषुप्तिषु।
मायामयेषु तद्वस्वजीवन्तिष्वपात्रयः॥

"Écoute, ô Brâhmane (dit Sûta à Câunaka), en y appliquant ton intelligence, la définition d'un Purâna, telle que l'ont donnée les Brahmarchis, d'accord avec les diverses écoles des Vêdas. La création de cet univers et la création distincte, l'existence, la conservation, les intervalles [de chaque Manu], la généalogie, l'histoire des familles postérieures, la destruction, la cause, la délivrance: voilà ce que les savants reconnaissent pour un Purâna, ouvrage qui a dix caractères particuliers. D'autres, distinguant les Purânas en grands et en petits, disent qu'un [petit] Purâna a cinq caractères. On entend par Sarga, création, l'origine du principe dit de l'Intelligence, qui vient du mouvement des qualités qui appartiennent à la Nature, celle du principe de la Personnalité qui est triple et qui sort de l'Intelligence, celle des molécules subtiles, celle des sens et des éléments grossiers. On entend par Visarga, création distincte, l'association de tous ces principes fécondés par Purucha association qui leur rappelle leur ancienne activité; il en résulte tout ce qui se meut comme ce qui ne se meut pas, de même qu'un germe sort d'un autre germe. Par Vritti, existence, on entend que les êtres servent à l'existence les uns des autres, ceux qui ne se meuvent pas, à celle de ceux qui se meuvent; mais les moyens qu'a l'homme de soutenir son existence sont, par une suite de sa nature propre, volontaires ou nécessaires. La Rakchâ ou conservation de l'univers, c'est l'action d'Atchyuta (Vichnu) qui descend, à chaque Yuga, dans des formes d'animaux, d'hommes, de Richis, de Dêvas, pour anéantir les ennemis du triple Vêda. Par Manvantara, intervalle de chaque Manu, on entend une époque où se trouvent les six espèces d'êtres suivantes: un Manu, des Dêvas, des fils de Manu, des chefs de Suras, des Richis, des incarnations partielles de Hari (Vichnu). Vamça, généalogie, on entend la succession des rois, nés de Brahmâ, pendant les trois parties de la durée; et par Vamçânutcharita, histoire des familles postérieures, on entend la conduite de ceux qui ont perpétué les familles de ces rois. Les chantres inspirés nomment Sainsthâ, destruction, la dissolution de cet univers qui est de quatre sortes, savoir; Nâimittika, Prâkritika, Nitya et Atyantika, et qui résulte de sa nature propre. Par Hêtu, cause de la création et des autres états de l'univers, on entend l'âme individualisée qui accomplit des actes sous l'influence de l'Avidyâ (l'Ignorance). Cette cause, quelques-uns l'appellent le principe [intelligent] qui s'endort [au temps de la destruction de l'univers

au sein de l'Étre suprême]; d'autres, le principe [matériel] non développé. On entend par Apâçraya, délivrance, Brahma auquel il appartient d'être présent et absent tout à la fois, pendant que s'accomplissent les fonctions de la vie, de la veille, du sommeil et du sommeil profond, fonctions qui sont l'œuvre de Mâyâ."

This passage, and that from the Brahmavaivarta-purána, before adverted to, are of interest, as evincing the comparatively recent date of those compositions; only five constitutive and characteristic topics of a Purána being recognized by so late writers as the commentators on the Amara-kosa. See Vol. I., Preface, p. VII., where the commentators on Amarasimha are inadvertently identified, in respect of their views touching the subject-matters of a Purána, with the vocabularist himself.



#### CHAPTER VII.

By what means men are exempted from the authority of Yama, as narrated, by Bhíshma, to Nakula. Dialogue between Yama and one of his attendants. Worshippers of Vishnu not subject to Yama. How they are to be known.

MAITREYA.-You have, indeed, related to me, most excellent Brahman, all that I asked of you. But I am desirous to hear one thing which you have not touched on. This universe, composed of seven zones, with its seven subterrestrial regions, and seven spheres,—this whole egg of Brahmá,-is everywhere swarming with living creatures, large or small, with smaller and smallest, and larger and largest; so that there is not the eighth part of an inch in which they do not abound. Now, all these are captives in the chains of acts, and, at the end of their existence, become slaves to the power of Yama, by whom they are sentenced to painful punishments. Released from these inflictions, they are again born in the condition of gods, men, or the like; and, thus, living beings, as the Sastras apprise us, perpetually revolve. Now, the question I have to ask, and which you are so well able to answer, is, by what acts men may free themselves from subjection to Yama.

Parasara. — This question, excellent Muni, was once asked, by Nakula, of his grandfather Bhíshma;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nakula is one of the Páńdava princes, and, consequently, grand-nephew, not grandson, of Bhíshma: he is great grandson of Paráśara; and it is rather an anomaly for the latter to cite a conversation in which Nakula formerly bore a part.

and I will repeat to you the reply made by the latter.

Bhíshma said to the prince: "There formerly came, on a visit to me, a friend of mine, a Brahman, from the Kalinga country, who told me that he had once proposed this question to a holy Muni who retained the recollection of his former births, and by whom what was and what will be was accurately told. Being importuned by me, who placed implicit faith in his words, to repeat what that pious personage had imparted to him, he, at last, communicated it to me; and what he related I have never met with elsewhere.

"Having, then, on one occasion, put to him the same question which you have asked, the Kalinga Brahman recalled the story that had been told him by the Muni,—the great mystery that had been revealed to him by the pious sage who remembered his former existence,—a dialogue that occurred between Yama and one of his ministers.

"Yama, beholding one of his servants with his noose in his hand, whispered to him, and said: 'Keep clear of the worshippers of Madhusúdana. I am the lord of all men, the Vaishńavas excepted. I was appointed, by Brahmá,\* who is reverenced by all the immortals, to restrain mankind, and regulate the consequences of good and evil in the universe. But he who obeys Hari, as his spiritual guide, is here independent of me; for Vishńu is of power to govern and control me. As gold is one sub-

<sup>\*</sup> Dhátři, in the Sanskrit.

stance, still, however diversified as bracelets, tiaras,\* or ear-rings, so Hari is one and the same, although modified in the forms of gods, animals, and man. As the drops of water, raised, by wind, from the earth, sink into the earth again, when the wind subsides, so the varieties of gods, men, and animals, which have been detached by the agitation † of the qualities, are reunited, when that disturbance ceases, with the eternal. He who, through holy knowledge, diligently adores the lotos-foot of that Hari, who is reverenced by the gods, is released from all the bonds of sin; and you must avoid him, as you would avoid fire fed with oil.'

"Having heard these injunctions of Yama, the messenger addressed the lord of righteousness, and said: 'Tell me, master, how am I to distinguish the worshipper of Hari, who is the protector of all beings?' Yama replied: 'You are to consider the worshipper of Vishnu him who never deviates from the duties prescribed to his caste; who looks with equal indifference upon friend or enemy; who takes nothing (that is not his own), nor injures any being. Know that person of unblemished mind to be a worshipper of Vishnu. Know him to be a devout worshipper of Hari, who has placed Janárdana in his pure mind, which has been freed from fascination, and whose soul is undefiled by the soil of the Kali age. Know that excellent man to be a worshipper of Vishńu, who, looking upon gold in secret, holds that which is another's wealth

<sup>\*</sup> Mukuta.

<sup>+</sup> Kalusha, 'feculence'.

but as grass, and devotes all his thoughts to the lord. Pure is he as a mountain of clear crystal: for how can Vishnu abide in the hearts of men with malice, and envy, and other evil passions? The glowing heat of fire abides not in a cluster of the cooling rays of the moon. He who lives pure in thought, free from malice, contented, leading a holy life, feeling tenderness for all creatures, speaking wisely and kindly, humble and sincere, has Vásudeva ever present in his heart. As the young Sála-tree, by its beauty, declares the excellence of the juices which it has imbibed from the earth, so, when the eternal has taken up his abode in the bosom of any one, that man is lovely amidst the beings of this world. Depart, my servant, quickly from those men whose sins have been dispersed by moral and religious merit, 1 whose minds are daily dedicated to the imperceptible deity, \* and who are exempt from pride, uncharitableness, and

¹ Or Yama and Niyama. The duties intended by these terms are variously enumerated. The commentator on the text specifies, under the first head, absence of violence or cruelty to other beings (Ahimsá), truth (Satya), honesty (Asteya), chastity (Brahmacharya), and disinterestedness, or non-acceptance of gifts (Aparrigraha). Under Niyama are comprehended purity (Śaucha), contentment (Santosha), devotion† (Tapas), study of the Vedas (Swádhyáya), and adoration of the supreme (Íswara-pranidhána).

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Imperceptible deity" here renders achyuta, on which term see Vol. I., p. 15, note 3.

<sup>†</sup> Rather, 'mortification'.

malice. In the heart in which the divine Hari, who is without beginning or end, abides, armed with a sword, a shell, and a mace, sin cannot remain; for it cannot coexist with that which destroys it: as darkness cannot continue in the world, when the sun is shining. The eternal makes not his abode in the heart of that man who covets another's wealth, who injures living creatures, who speaks harshness and untruth, who is proud of his iniquity, and whose mind is evil. Janárdana occupies not his thoughts who envies another's prosperity, who calumniates the virtuous, who never sacrifices, nor bestows gifts upon the pious, who is blinded by the property of darkness. That vile wretch is no worshipper of Vishńu, who, through avarice, is unkind to his nearest friends and relations, to his wife, children, parents, and dependants. The brute-like man whose thoughts are evil, who is addicted to unrighteous acts, who ever seeks the society of the wicked, and suffers no day to pass without the perpetration of crime, is no worshipper of Vásudeva. Do you proceed afar off from those in whose hearts Ananta is enshrined; from him whose sanctified understanding conceives the supreme male and ruler, Vásudeva, as one with his votary and with all this world. Avoid those holy persons who are constantly invoking the lotos-eyed Vásudeva, Vishnu, the supporter of the earth, the immortal wielder of the discus and the shell, the asylum of the world. Come not into the sight of him in whose heart the imperishable\* soul resides; for he is defended from my power by the

<sup>\*</sup> Avyaya. See Vol. I., p. 17., note \*.

discus of his deity: he is designed for another world, (for the heaven of Vishńu).'

"'Such,' said the Kalinga Brahman, 'were the instructions communicated by the deity of justice, the son of the Sun, to his servants, as they were repeated, to me, by that holy personage, and as I have related them to you, chief of the house of Kuru' (Bhíshma). So, also, Nakula, I have faithfully communicated to you all I heard from my pious friend, when he came, from his country of Kalinga, to visit me. I have, thus, explained to you, as was fitting, that there is no protection, in the ocean of the world, except Vishúu; and that the servants and ministers of Yama, the king of the dead himself, and his tortures, are, all, unavailing against one who places his reliance on that divinity."\*

I have, thus, resumed Paráśara, related to you what you wished to hear, and what was said by the son of Vivaswat. What else do you wish to hear?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Or Vaivaswata. This section is called the Yama gitá.

<sup>\*</sup> Keśava, in the original.

#### CHAPTER VIII.

How Vishúu is to be worshipped, as related, by Aurva, to Sagara. Duties of the four castes, severally and in common: also in time of distress.

MAITREYA.—Inform me, venerable teacher, how the supreme deity, the lord of the universe, Vishńu, is worshipped by those who are desirous of overcoming the world; and what advantages are reaped, by men assiduous in his adoration, from the propitiated Govinda.

Parasara.—The question you have asked was formerly put, by Sagara, to Aurva. I will repeat to you his reply.

Subjoined are the originals of the passages here referred to:

त्रारुषी तु मनोः कत्या तस्य पत्नी मनीषिणः। त्रीवेस्तस्यां समभवदूर् भिन्ना महायशाः॥

Mahábhárata.

त्रीर्वसेवमृत्रीकस्य सत्यवत्यां महायगाः। जमद्यिसपोवीर्याज्जेत्रे ब्रह्मविदां वरः॥

Harivainsa.

The name of the father of Jamadagni should, then, be read Úrva,—not Kuru, nor Úru, nor Kuśa, as at p. 16, note †, &c., supra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sagara, as we shall see,\* was a king of the solar race. Aurva was a sage, the grandson of Bhrigu.† When the sons

<sup>\*</sup> Book IV., Chapter III.

<sup>† &</sup>quot;In the Mahábhárata, Ádi-parvan, verse 2610, \* \* \* we have the parentage of Aurva thus specified: 'Árushi, the daughter of Manu, was the wife of this sage [Chyavana, son of Bhfigu]: the illustrious Aurva was born of her, having separated his mother's thigh.' In the Harivan-śa, verse 1456, he seems to be identified with Richika, father of Jamadagni; Richika being Aurva, or the son of Úrva," &c. Original Sanskrit Texts, Part I., pp. 172, 173.

# Sagara, having bowed down before Aurva, the

of king Kritavirya persecuted and slew the children of Bhrigu, to recover the wealth which their father had lavished upon them, they destroyed even the children in the womb. One of the women of the race of Bhrigu, in order to preserve her embryo, secreted it in her thigh (Úru), whence the child, on his birth, was named Aurva. From his wrath proceeded a flame, that threatened to destroy the world; but, at the persuasion of his ancestors, he cast it into the ocean, where it abode, with the face of a horse. Aurva was, afterwards, religious preceptor to Sagara, and bestowed upon him the Ágneyástra, or fiery weapon, with

<sup>\*</sup> The Translator—in Professor Johnson's Selections from the Mahábhárata, pp. 1, 2,—thus annotates on \$l. 5107 of the Ádi-parvan: "Ágneyástra, 'the weapon of fire',—a kind of fire-arms. Fiery arms or rockets were, possibly, employed by the Hindus in remote antiquity, as well as in recent times; whence came the notion of certain mysterious weapons framed of the elements, and to be wielded only by deities and demigods. These make a great figure in the battle-scenes of the Mahábhárata and Rámáyana, and, to readers who are not Hindus, spoil descriptions which would, else, be not without spirit. For a further account of these weapons, see Translation of the Uttara Ráma Charitra."

The further account here spoken of is found in Professor Wilson's Specimens of the Hindu Theatre, Vol. I., p. 297, second edition. "These weapons are of a very unintelligible character. Some of them are, occasionally, wielded as missiles; but, in general, they appear to be mystical powers exercised by the individual,-such as those of paralysing an enemy, or locking his senses fast in sleep, or bringing down storm and rain and fire from heaven. In the usual strain of the Hindu mythology, they are supposed to assume celestial shapes, endowed with human faculties, and, in this capacity, are alluded to in the text. list of them, one hundred, is given in the first book of the Rámáyańa; and there, also, they are described as embodied, and address Ráma, saying: 'Command us, O Rághava, of mighty arm. Here we are, O chief of men: command us. What shall we do for thee?' The son of Raghu replied: 'Depart, all of you, and, in time of necessity, when called to mind, render me assistance. They then circumambulated Rama, and, having said so be it, received permission to depart, and went whence they came.' The Ramayana calls them, also, the sons of Krisaswa, and the

descendant of Bhrigu, asked him what were the best

which he conquered the tribes of barbarians who had in-

sons of Jayá and Vijayá, the daughters of Prajápati. (Rámáyańa, Book I., Sections 20, 26, and 42.)"

For the armiform progeny of Kŕiśaśwa, see the present work, Vol. II., p. 29, text and note 2.

Mention is made of a similar mysterious weapon, in the Bhágavata-purána, I., VII., 18—32. The text and Burnouf's translation here follow:

तमापतनां स विलोक्य दूरा-त्कुमारहोद्वियमना र्थेन। पराद्ववत्राणपरीपाह्यां यावद्गमं रूद्रभयाचयार्कः॥ यदाग्ररणमात्मानमैचत त्रान्तवाजिनम्। ग्रस्तं ब्रह्मिशिरो मेन ग्रात्मवाएं दिजाताजः॥ त्रयोपसुग्ध सलिलं संदधे तत्समाहितः। ग्रजानमपि संहारं प्राणकच्छ उपस्थिते ॥ ततः प्राद्ष्कतं तेजः प्रचण्डं सर्वतोदिशम्। प्राणापदम्भिप्रेच्य विष्णुं जिष्णुक्वाच ह।। कृष्ण कृष्ण महाबाही भक्तानामभयंकर। लमेको दह्यमानानामपवर्गीऽसि संस्तेः॥ लमादाः पुरुषः साचादीश्वरः प्रकृतेः परः। मायां बदस्य चिक्तता कैवसी स्थित स्नातानि॥ स एव जीवलोकस्य मायामोहितचेतसः। विधती खेन वीर्येण श्रेयो धर्मादिनचणम् ॥ तथायं चावतारसे भवो भारजिहीर्षया। खानां चानन्यभावानामनुष्यानाय चासकत्॥ किमिदं खिल्ततो वैति देवदेव न वेदयहम्। सर्वतोस्वमायाति तेजः परमदारूणम् ॥ श्रीभगवानुवाच ।

त्रामगपानुपाप । वित्येदं द्रोणपुचस्य ब्राह्ममस्त्रं प्रदर्शितम् । नैवासी वेद संसारं प्राणवाध उपस्थिते ॥ न ह्यस्यान्यतमं किंचिदस्त्रं प्रत्यवकर्शनम् । जह्यस्त्रतेज उद्गडमस्त्रज्ञोऽस्यस्त्रतेजसा ॥

सूत उवाच। श्रुत्वा भगवता प्रोक्तं फाल्गुनः परवीर्हा। सृष्ट्रापसं परिक्रम्य ब्राह्मं ब्राह्माय संद्धे॥ means of pleasing Vishnu, and what would be the

vaded his patrimonial possessions. Mahábhárata, Ádi Par-

संहत्यान्यान्यसभयोक्षेत्रसी श्रसंवृते।
श्रावृत्य रोदसी खं च ववृधातेऽर्कविद्वित् ॥
दृष्टास्त्रतेत्रस्तु तयोस्त्रीह्मोकान्त्रदहन्महत्।
दह्ममानाः प्रजाः सर्वाः सांवर्तकममंसत्॥
प्रजोपस्रवमानच्य लोकचितिकरं च तम्।
मतं च वासुदेवस्य संजहारार्जुनो द्वयम्॥

"Mais l'assassin des enfants de Drâupadî, qui avait mis pied à terre, troublé à la vue d'Ardjuna qui accourait de loin sur son char, s'enfuit pour sauver sa vie, de toute la rapidité de sa course, comme le soleil reculant de crainte devant Rudra (Çiva).

"Voyant que ses chevaux fatignés le laissaient sans ressource, le fils du Brâhmane songea, pour sanver sa vie, au javelot nommé Brahmaçiras (Tête de Brahmâ).

"Alors, dirigeant sa pensée sur cet objet, et s'étant plongé dans l'eau, il lança le javelot, quoiqu'il ignorât le moyen de le retenir, s'il venait à mettre en danger les êtres vivants.

"Il en sortit un feu indomptable qui enveloppait tout le ciel; alors prévoyant le danger qui menaçait les créatures, Ardjuna dit à Vichnu:

"Krichna! Krichna au bras puissant! toi qui donnes la sécurité à ceux qui te sont dévoués! tu es le seul qui puisses délivrer du monde les créatures qui y sont consumées.

"Oui, tu es le Seigneur suprême, Purucha, ce premier être, supérieur à la Nature, qui se dégageant de Mâyâ par l'énergie de sa pensée, subsiste absolu en lui-même.

"C'est toi-même qui, par ta puissance, établis sous la forme de la loi et des autres avantages ce qui donne le salut au monde des créatures, dont l'intelligence est troublée par Mâyâ.

"De même, cette incarnation [sous laquelle tu te manifestes à mes yeux], tu l'as revêtue pour te charger du fardeau de la terre, et pour offrir un perpétuel sujet de méditations à ceux qui te connaissent et dont la pensée n'a pas d'autre objet que toi.

"Dieu des Dêvas! j'ignore quelle est cette merveille et quelle en est la cause; de tous côtés s'avance à ma rencontre un feu dont l'ardeur est intolérable.

"Bhagavat dit: Tu le connais; c'est le javelot de Brahmâ que le fils de Drôńa veut t'opposer; mais lui-même ignore le moyen de le retenir, au moment où il va détruire les êtres.

"Certes, aucun autre javelot quel qu'il soit n'est capable de le domp-

consequence of obtaining his favour. Aurva replied: "He who pleases Vishnu obtains all terrestrial enjoyments; heaven, and a place in heaven; and what is best of all, final liberation: "whatever he wishes, and to whatever extent, whether much or little, he receives it, when Achyuta is content with him. In what manner his favour is to be secured, that, also, I will, O king, impart to you, agreeably to your desire. The supreme Vishnu is propitiated by a man who observes

#### van, † Dána Dharma Parvan; Hari Vamsa. ‡

ter; mais puisque tu en connais le secret, auéantis, avec un feu semblable, le feu de ce javelot déchaîné.

"Sûta dit:

"À ces mots, Phâlguna (Ardjuna), redoutable aux guerriers ennemis, portant de l'eau à ses lèvres et tournant antour de Krichúa, opposa le javelot de Brahmâ au javelot de Brahmâ.

"Les feux de ces deux javelots, avec les flèches dont ils étaient entourés, s'étant confondus l'un dans l'autre, comme le soleil et le feu [au temps de la destruction des mondes], augmentèrent de violence, enveloppant la terre, le ciel et l'atmosphère.

"En voyant l'immense éclat de ces javelots des deux guerriers, qui portaient l'incendie dans les trois mondes, toutes les créatures, consumées par le feu, crurent que le jour de l'embrasement de l'univers était arrivé.

"Ardjuna remarquant la détresse des créatures, le danger des trois mondes et l'intention du fils de Vasudêva, retint les deux javelots."

In stanzas 10-16 of the chapter following that just quoted from, the brahmasiras is again introduced, with other fire-tipped darts.

It has been thought worth while to give the preceding passage at length, since it shows, quite as clearly as any other that I have met with, the sort of fiery weapon known to the Hindus of old times.

An interesting and learned disquisition on ancient and oriental firearms will be found in Sir Henry M. Elliot's Bibliographical Index to the Historians of Muhammedan India, Vol. I., Note H,—pp. 340-375.

- \* This expression here translates nirváńa.
- † In śl. 6340, the brahmástra is named.
- † The brahmasiras is spoken of in st. 1344.

the institutions of caste, order, and purificatory practices: no other path is the way to please him. He who offers sacrifices sacrifices to him; he who murmurs prayer prays to him; he who injures living creatures injures him: for Hari is all beings. Janárdana, therefore, is propitiated by him who is attentive to established observances, and follows the duties prescribed for his caste. The Brahman, the Kshattriya, the Vaisya, and the Śúdra, who attends to the rules enjoined his caste, \* best worships Vishńu. Keśava is most pleased with him who does good to others; who never utters abuse, calumny, or untruth; + who never covets another's wife or another's wealth, and who bears ill-will towards none; who neither beats nor slays any animate or inanimate thing; who is ever diligent in the service of the gods, of the Brahmans, and of his spiritual preceptor; who is always desirous of the welfare of all creatures, of his children, and of his own soul; in whose pure heart no pleasure is derived from the imperfections of love and hatred. The man, O monarch, who conforms to the duties enjoined, by scriptural authority, for every caste and condition of life is he who best worships Vishnu: there is no other mode."

Aurva having thus spoken, Sagara said to him: "Tell me, then, venerable Brahman, what are the duties of caste and condition: I am desirous of know-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Most of the Puráńas—especially the Kúrma, Padma, Vámana, Agni, and Garuda,—contain chapters, or sections, more or

<sup>\*</sup> Dharma, in the original.

<sup>†</sup> परापवादपैशुन्यमनृतं च न भाषते। अन्योद्देगकरं चापि तोष्यते तेन केशवः॥

ing them." To which Aurva answered and said: "Attentively listen to the duties which I shall describe, as those, severally, of the Brahman, the Kshattriya, the Vaiśya,\* and the Súdra. The Brahman should make gifts, should worship the gods with sacrifices, should be assiduous in studying the Vedas, should perform ablutions and libations with water, and should preserve the sacred flame. For the sake of subsistence, he may offer sacrifices on behalf of others, and may instruct them in the Sástras; and he may accept presents, of a liberal description, in a becoming manner (or, from respectable persons, and at an appropriate season). He must ever seek to promote the good of others, and do evil unto none; for the best riches of a Brahman are universal benevolence. He should look upon the jewels of another person as if they were pebbles, and should, at proper periods, procreate offspring by his wife. These are the duties of a Brahman.

"The man of the warrior-tribe should cheerfully

less in detail, upon the moral and ceremonial duties of the Hindus; and a considerable portion of the Mahábhárata, especially in the Moksha Dharma Parvan, is devoted to the same subject. No other Pauráńik work, however, contains a series of chapters exactly analogous to those which follow, and which contain a compendious and systematic description of the Ácháras, or personal and social obligations of the Hindus. The tenour of the whole is conformable to the Institutes of Manu; and many passages are the same.

<sup>•</sup> The Sanskrit has the shorter form, Vis.

give presents to Brahmans, perform various sacrifices, and study the scriptures. His especial sources of maintenance are arms and the protection of the earth. The guardianship of the earth is, indeed, his especial province. By the discharge of this duty a king attains his objects, and realizes a share of the merit of all sacrificial rites. By intimidating the bad, and cherishing the good, the monarch who maintains the discipline of the different castes secures whatever region he desires.

"Brahmá, the great parent of creation,\* gave to the Vaiśya the occupations of commerce and agriculture, and the feeding of flocks and herds,† for his means of livelihood: and sacred study, sacrifice, and donation are, also, his duties, as is the observance of fixed and occasional rites.

"Attendance upon the three regenerate castes is the province of the Śúdra; and by that he is to subsist, or by the profits of trade, or the earnings of mechanical labour. He is, also, to make gifts; and he may offer the sacrifices in which food is presented, as well as obsequial offerings.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Pákayajna,<sup>‡</sup> or sacrifice in which food is offered, implies either the worship of the Viśwadevas, the rites of hospitality, or occasional oblations, on building a house, the birth of a child, or any occasion of rejoicing. It is to be understood, however, that this injunction intends his performing these ceremonies through the agency of a Brahman; as a Śúdra cannot repeat the

Loka-pitámaha.

<sup>†</sup> Pásupálya.

Vide infra, p. 110, note \$; and p. 112, note \$.

"Besides these, their respective obligations, there are duties equally incumbent upon all the four castes." These are: the acquisition of property, for the support of their families; cohabitation with their wives, for the sake of progeny; tenderness towards all creatures, patience, humility, truth, purity, contentment, decency of deco-

Mantras, or prayers, that accompany them: and it might be a question how far he might be present; for he ought not even to hear such prayers repeated. The performance of funeral rites involves some personal share; and the Śúdra must present the cakes: but it must be done without Mantras; as the Mitákshará:† 'This rite (the presentation of cakes) must be performed by the Śúdras, without formulæ, on the twelfth day:' भूद्राणामध्त- कार्त्यममन्त्रकं दाद्शीहा The Váyu Purána directs the performance of the five great sacrifices by Śúdras, only omitting the Mantras:

# शूदेणापि प्रकर्तव्याः पत्रीते मन्त्रवर्जिताः।

It may be suspected, that the Puránas relaxed, in some degree, from the original rigour; for it may be inferred, that the great ceremonies were altogether withheld from Śúdras in the time of Manu, who declares, that none have any right or part (Adhikára) in his code, except those who perform rites with Mantras,—or the three regenerate castes (II., 16‡),—and denounces, as heinous sins, teaching the Vedas to Śúdras, performing sacrifices for them, or

# \* त्रात्रमाणां च सर्वेषामेते सामान्यलच्णाः।

This comes, in the original, immediately after the stanza quoted in note \*, p. 90.

# ः निषेकादिश्मशानानो मन्त्रैर्यस्रोदितो विधिः। तस्य शास्त्रिधिकारोऽस्मिञ्ज्ञेयो नायस्य कस्यचित्॥

"For him whose rites, from fecundation to the cemetary, are enjoined to be performed with mantras, a title to read this śástra is to be recognized,—not for any one besides."

<sup>†</sup> On the Yájnavalkya-smŕiti, I., 255.

ration, gentleness of speech, friendliness; and freedom from envy and repining, from avarice, and from de-

taking gifts from them: X., 109, 110, 111.\* Yájnavalkya,† however, allows them to perform five great rites with the Namaskára, or the simple salutation:

#### नमस्तारेण मन्त्रेण पञ्च यज्ञात हापयेत्।

which Gotama confirms.‡ Some restrict the sense of Mantra, also, to the prayers of the Vedas, and allow the Śudras to use those of the Puráńas; as Śulapáńi: न वेदेष्ट्रधिकारो गूद्रख विद्यत पुराखेष्ट्रधिकारः। And the Tithi Tattwa is cited, in the Śudra Kamalákara, § as allowing them any Mantras except those of the Vedas: वैदिकेतरमन्त्रपाट गुद्रसाधिकारः।

\* प्रतिग्रहाबाजनाद्वा तथैवाध्यापनाद्पि।
प्रतिग्रहः प्रत्यवरः प्रत्य विप्रस्य गहितः॥
याजनाध्यापने नित्यं क्रियते संस्कृतात्मनाम्।
प्रतिग्रहसु क्रियते शूद्र स्थाप्यन्यजात्मनः॥
जपहोमेरपैक्षेनो याजनाध्यापनैः क्रतम्।
प्रतिग्रहनिमित्तं तु त्यागैन तपसैव च॥

"Among these three acts, done against rule, namely, accepting gifts, assisting to sacrifice, and teaching the Veda, the accepting of gifts is especially base in this world, and, in the world to come, is, to a Brahman, matter of condemnation:

"For that the acts of assisting to sacrifice and teaching the Veda always have reference to the initiated; whereas the act of accepting gifts has reference even to the Śudra, most low of birth.

"The sin committed in wrongfully assisting to sacrifice or in wrongfully teaching the Veda is expiated by oblations in the form of silent prayer; but that consequent on illegally accepting gifts, by relinquishment of what is given and by mortification."

Medhatithi, at variance with Kulluka, but more concinnously, reads the second stanza as above. On the beginning of the third he says: जपेन होमेन च पापमंपित विनम्राति। In this interpretation of japahoma I have not followed him.

- † I., 121.
- 🗓 अनुमतोऽस्य नमस्तारो मन्तः । Gotama is thus cited by Śulapáńi.
- § This is the popular title of the Śúdra-dharma-tattwa, by Kama-

traction. These, also, are the duties of every condition of life.\*

"In times of distress, the peculiar functions of the castes may be modified, as you shall hear. † A Brahman may follow the occupations of a Kshattriya, or a Vaiśya; the Kshattriya; may adopt those of the Vaiśya; and the Vaiśya, those of the Kshattriya. § But these two last should never descend to the functions of the Śúdra, if it be possible to avoid them¹; and, if

läkara Bhatta. The passages which the Translator refers to Śulapáńi and to the Tithi-tattwa occur there in these words, according to two manuscripts, with which agrees the Bombay edition of Śaka 1783, fol. 7 b: अब केचिदिमो मन्त्रेण गृह्यते द्वाच वैदिको मन्त्रो विप्रख। पौराण्ण गृह्वै: पटनीय:।

न वेदेष्वधिकारो हि कञ्चिक्ट्रद्रस्य विवते।
पुराणेष्वधिकारो मे दर्भितो ब्राह्मणैरिह॥
इति शूलपाणौ पाद्मोक्तेः। श्रीदन्तोऽष्येवम्। तिथितन्त्रे स्नार्तस्तु वैदिकेतरमन्त्रपाठे शुद्धसाषधिकारः।

Śulapáńi's own words, in his Dipakaliká, a commentary on the Yájnavalkya-smí'iti, are: नमस्तार्ण मन्त्रेण न वैद्विन पौराणिकन । Kamalákara considers them as based on the enunciation of the Padmapuráńa which he adduces. It is a broken fragment of the Pauráńik stanza which the Translator gives as the words of Śulapáńi.

# \* मैत्र्यसृहा तथा तद्ददकार्पखं नरेश्वर। त्रुनसूया च सामान्या वर्णानां कथिता गुणाः॥

† गुणास्त्रथापद्यभाञ्च विप्रादीनामिमाञ्कृणु ।

† Rájanya, in the original. § My MSS. contain nothing corresponding to the words "and the Vaisya, those of the Kshattriya." Witness the original:

> चाचं कर्म दिजस्थोक्तं वैश्वकर्म तथापदि। राजन्यस्य च वैश्वोक्तं शुद्धकर्म न चैतयोः॥

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This last clause reconciles what would, else, appear to be an incompatibility with Manu, who permits the Vaisya, in time

that be not possible, they must, at least, shun the functions of the mixed castes. I will now, Rájá, relate to you the duties of the several Ásramas, or conditions of life."

of distress, to descend to the servile acts of a Súdra. X., 98.\*

# \* वैश्वोऽजीवन्खधर्मेण श्रृद्भवृत्त्वापि वर्तयेत्। त्रनाचरत्नकार्याणि निवर्तते च श्रुतिमान्॥

"A Vaisya who does not derive subsistence from his proper duties may occupy himself with the functions even of a Súdra; he not engaging in what ought not to be done: and, when possessed of a competency, let him desist."

सत्यमेव जयत

#### CHAPTER IX.

Duties of the religious student, householder, hermit, and mendicant.

AURVA continued.—"When the youth has been invested with the thread (of his caste), let him diligently prosecute the study of the Vedas, in the house of his preceptor, with an attentive spirit, and leading a life of continence. He is to wait upon his Guru, assiduously observant of purificatory practices; and the Veda is to be acquired by him, whilst he is regular in the performance of religious rites. In the morning Sandhyá, he is first to salute the sun; in the evening, fire; \* and, then, to address his preceptor with respect. He must stand, when his master is standing; move, when he is walking; and sit beneath him, when he is seated: he must never sit, nor walk, nor stand, when his teacher does the reverse. When desired by him, let him read the Veda attentively, placed before his preceptor; and let him eat the food he has collected as alms, when permitted by his teacher. Let him bathe in water which has first been used for his preceptor's ablutions; and, every morning, bring fuel, and water, and whatsoever else may be required.

"When the scriptural studies appropriate to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These directions are the same as those prescribed by Manu, though not precisely in the same words: II., 175, et seq.

<sup>\*</sup> उभे संध्ये रविं भूप तथैवापिं समाहितः। This seems to imply, that, alike morning and evening, he is to address the sun and fire. The commentary is here silent.

student have been completed, and he has received dismissal from his Guru, let the regenerate man enter into the order of the householder, and, taking unto himself, with lawful ceremonies, house, wife, and wealth, discharge, to the best of his ability, the duties of his station; satisfying the manes with funeral cakes; the gods, with oblations; guests, with hospitality; the sages, with holy study; the progenitors of mankind, with progeny; the spirits, with the residue of oblations; and all the world, with words of truth. A householder secures heaven by the faithful discharge of these obligations. There are those who subsist upon alms, and lead an erratic life of self-denial, at the end of the term during which they have kept house. They wander over the world, to see the earth,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So Manu, III., 4, &c.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The great obligations, or, as Sir William Jones terms them, sacraments,—the Maháyajnas, or great sacrifices,—are, according to Manu, but five: Brahmayajna, sacred study; Pitriyajna, libations to the manes; Devayajna, burnt-offerings to the gods; Baliyajna, offerings to all creatures; and Nriyajna, hospitality: III., 70, 71. The Prajápatiyajna, or propagation of offspring, and Satyayajna, observance of truth, are, apparently, later additions.

<sup>\*</sup> Nivápa.

<sup>†</sup> My MSS. have Prajápati, namely, Brahmá.

<sup>\*</sup> Bali-karman, 'an offering of food'.

<sup>§</sup> प्राप्तीति लोकान्पुरुषो निजकर्मसमर्जितान् ।

अध्यापनं ब्रह्मयज्ञः पितृयज्ञस्तु तर्पणम् । होमो दैवो बिलभौतो नृयज्ञोऽतिथिपूजनम् ॥ पश्चेतान्यो महायज्ञान्न हापयित ग्रातितः। स गृहेऽपि वसन्नित्यं सुनादोषेनं लिप्यते ॥

See p. 40, note §, supra; and p. 112, note §, infra.

and perform their ablutions, with rites enjoined by the Vedas, at sacred shrines, - houseless, and without food, and resting, for the night, at the dwelling at which they arrive in the evening. The householder is, to them, a constant refuge and parent:\* it is his duty to give them a welcome, and to address them with kindness, and to provide them, whenever they come to his house, with a bed, a seat, and food. A guest disappointed by a householder, who turns away from his door, transfers to the latter all his own misdeeds, and bears away his religious merit. 17 In the house of a good man, contumely, arrogance, hypocrisy, repining, contradiction, and violence are annihilated: and the householder who fully performs this, his chief duty of hospitality, is released from every kind of bondage, and obtains the highest of stations, (after death).

"When the householder, after performing the acts incumbent on his condition, arrives at the decline of life, let him consign his wife to the care of his sons, and go, himself, to the forests.<sup>2</sup> Let him there subsist

This stanza is quoted in the *Hitopadeśa*: Book I., śl. 64. See Professor Johnson's second edition (1864), pp. 12, 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is, also, the doctrine of Manu: III., 100.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Manu, VI., 3, &c.

<sup>\*</sup> To translate yoni.

<sup>†</sup> त्रतिथिर्यस भयाशो गृहात्प्रतिनिवर्तते । स तसी दुष्कृतं दला पुरसमदाय गच्छति॥

<sup>‡</sup> Paritápa,

<sup>§</sup> Upagháta.

<sup>।</sup> भि्रानायुञ्कतो नित्यं पञ्चायीनपि जुद्धतः । सर्वे सुक्रतमाद्त्ते ब्राह्मणीऽनर्चितो वसन् ॥

upon leaves, roots, and fruit; and suffer his hair and beard to grow, and braid the former upon his brows; and sleep upon the ground. His dress\* must be made of skin, or of Káśa or Kuśa grasses; and he must bathe thrice a day; and he must offer oblations to the gods and to fire, and treat all that come to him with hospitality. He must beg alms, and present food to all creatures; he must anoint himself with such unguents as the woods afford; and, in his devotional exercises,† he must be endurant of heat and cold. The sage who diligently follows these rules and leads the life of the hermit (or Vánaprastha), consumes, like fire, all imperfections, and conquers, for himself, the mansions of eternity.

"The fourth order of men is called that of the mendicant; the circumstances; of which it is fit, O king, that you should hear from me. Let the unimpassioned man, relinquishing all affection for wife, children, and possessions, enter the fourth order. Let him forego the three objects of human existence (pleasure, wealth, and virtue), whether secular or religious, and, indifferent to friends, be the friend of all living beings. Let him, occupied with devotion, abstain from wrong—in act, word, or thought,—to all creatures, human or brute; and equally avoid attachment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Manu, VI., 33, &c.

<sup>\*</sup> The original specifies his lower garment and his upper, paridhána and uttariyaka.

<sup>†</sup> This expression is to render tapas.

<sup>:</sup> Swarupa.

<sup>§</sup> Nirdhútamatsara.

to any. Let him reside but for one night in a village, and not more than five nights, at a time, in a city; and let him so abide, that good-will, and not animosity, may be engendered.\* Let him, for the support of existence, apply, for alms, at the houses of the three first+ castes, at the time when the fires have been extinguished, and people have eaten. Let the wandering mendicant; call nothing his own, and suppress desire, anger, covetousness, pride, and folly. The sage who gives no cause for alarm to living beings need never apprehend any danger from them. Having deposited the sacrificial fire in his own person, the Brahman feeds the vital flame, with the butter that is collected as alms, through the altar of his mouth; and, by means of his spiritual fire, he proceeds to his own proper abode. But the twice-born man who seeks

गतिसुर्यात्रमे नास्ति बाङ्गजोर्जयोः क्वचित्।
तुर्यात्रमे गतिः प्रोक्ता मुख्जानां खयंभुवः॥

इति दत्तावियोत्तेः। ब्राह्मणः प्रविजेहहादिति यमसंवर्तवीधायनवच-नाच। "Entrance into the fourth order is never for the Kshattriya and Vaiśya. Entrance into the fourth order is for Brahmans, according to Swayambhú:' so says Dattátreya. 'Let the Brahman proceed from his dwelling' is, also, the expression of Yama, Samvarta, and Baudháyana.'" But this is not the general understand-

# \* तथा तिष्ठेवया प्रीतिर्देधी वास्य न जायते।

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The text uses the term Dwijáti, which designates a man of the three first castes. The commentator cites various authorities, to prove that its sense should be Brahman only, who, alone, is permitted to enter the fourth order.—

<sup>†</sup> Explanatory of the original, praśasta.

<sup>†</sup> Parivráj.

for liberation, and is pure of heart, and whose mind is perfected by self-investigation, secures the sphere of Brahmá, which is tranquil, and is as a bright flame that emits not smoke."\*

ing of the law; nor was it, originally, so restricted, apparently. Manu does not so limit it.

मीचाश्रमं यस्ते यथोतं शुचिः खसंकल्पितबुद्धियुक्तः। ऋनिन्धनं ज्योतिरिव प्रशान्तं स ब्रह्मलोकं जयति द्विजातिः॥

सन्धमेव जयत

#### CHAPTER X.

Ceremonies to be observed at the birth and naming of a child.

Of marrying, or leading a religious life. Choice of a wife.

Different modes of marrying.

SAGARA then (addressed Aurva, and) said: "You have described to me, venerable Brahman, the duties of the four orders and of the four castes. I am now desirous to hear from you the religious institutes which men should individually observe, whether they be invariable, occasional, or voluntary. Describe these to me; for all things are known, chief of Bhrigu's race, unto you." To this Aurva replied: "I will communicate to you, O king, that which you have asked,—the invariable and occasional rites which men should perform. Do you attend.

"When a son is born, let his father perform, for him, the ceremonies proper on the birth of a child, and all other initiatory rites, as well as a Śráddha, which is a source of prosperity." Let him feed a couple of Brahmans, seated with their faces to the east; and, according to his means, offer sacrifices to the gods and progenitors. Let him present to the manes balls

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To the Nándímukhas. The Pitris, or progenitors, † are so termed, here, from words occurring in the prayer used on the occasion of a festive Śráddha. Asiatic Researches, Vol. VII., p. 270.; †

<sup>\*</sup> Abhyudayátmaka.

<sup>†</sup> The Nandimukhas are a special class of manes.

<sup>1</sup> Or Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 187.

of meat mixed with curds, barley, and jujubes, with the part of his hand sacred to the gods, or with that sacred to Prajápati.<sup>1</sup> Let a Brahman perform such a Śráddha, with all its offerings and circumambulations, on every occasion of good fortune.<sup>2</sup>

"Next, upon the tenth day (after birth), let the father give a name to his child,—the first term of which shall be the appellation of a god; the second, of a man; as Sarman or Varman: the former being the appropriate designation of a Brahman; the latter, of a warrior; whilst Gupta and Dása are best fitted for the

And we read, in the Yájnavalkya-smŕiti, I. 18, 19:

त्रम्तर्जानुः शुची देश उपविष्ट उद्झुखः। प्राम्वा ब्राह्मेण तीर्थेन द्विजी नित्यमुपस्पृशेत्॥ कनिष्ठादेशिन्यङ्गुष्टमूलान्ययं करस्य च। प्रजापतिपितृब्रह्मदेवतीर्थान्यनुक्रमात्॥

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> With the Daiva tírtha, the tips of the fingers; or with the Prájápatya tírtha, the part of the hand at the root of the little finger. Manu, II., 58, 59. \* The second is called, by Manu, the Káya tírtha, from Ka, a synonym of Prajápati.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Śráddha is, commonly, an obsequial or funeral sacrifice; but it implies offerings to the progenitors of an individual and of mankind, and always forms part of a religious ceremony, on an occasion of rejoicing, or an accession of prosperity; this being termed the Abhyudaya or Vriddhi Śráddha. Asiatic Researches, Vol. VII., p. 270.†

<sup>\*</sup> ब्राह्मेण विप्रसीर्थेन नित्यकासमुपस्पृप्तेत् । कायनैद्शिकाभ्यां वा न पित्र्येण कदाचन॥ श्रङ्गुष्ठमूलस्य तले ब्राह्मं तीर्थं प्रचचते । कायमङ्गलमूलिऽगे दैवं पित्र्यं तयोर्धः॥

<sup>†</sup> Or Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 187.

names of Vaiśyas and Śudras.¹ A name should not be void of meaning; it should not be indecent, nor absurd, nor ill-omened, nor fearful; it should consist of an even number of syllables; it should not be too long, nor too short, nor too full of long vowels, but contain a due proportion of short vowels, and be easily articulated.\* After this and the succeeding initiatory rites,² the purified youth is to acquire religious knowledge, in the mode that has been described, in the dwelling of his spiritual guide.

Kullúka gives, as typical designations of persons of the four castes, Subhaśarman, Balavarman, Vasubhúti, and Dinadása. The endings śarman and deva for names of Bráhmans, varman and trátři for those of Kshattriyas, bhúti and datta for those of Vaisyas, and dása for those of Súdras, are expressly sanctioned by the stanza which he cites from the lawgiver Yama:

श्मी देवस विप्रस वमी वाता च भूभुजः। भूतिर्दत्तस वैश्वस दासः शुद्रस कारयेत्॥

¹ So Manu, II., 30, 31, 32.† The examples given, in the comment, are Somaśarman, Indravarman, Chandragupta, and Śivadása,—respectively, appropriate appellations of men of the four castes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Or Samskáras; initiatory ceremonies, purificatory of the individual at various stages.

<sup>\*</sup> नार्घहीनं न चाग्रसं नापग्रव्दयुतं तथा। नामज्ज्ञस्यं जुगुप्यं वा नाम कुर्यात्समाचरम्॥ नातिदीर्घं न हस्वं वा नातिगुर्वचरान्वितम्। सुखोद्यार्थं तु तन्नाम कुर्यायत्मवणाचरम्॥

<sup>†</sup> नामधेयं दशस्यां तु दादश्यां वास्य कार्येत्।
पुर्णे तिथा मुहतें वा नचने वा गुणान्विते ॥
मङ्गल्यं त्राह्मणस्य स्थात्वित्तयस्य बलान्वितम्।
वैश्वस्य धनसंयुक्तं शूद्रस्य तु जुगुप्पितम्॥
श्मवद्वाह्मणस्य स्थाद्वाच्चो रचासमन्वितम्।
वैश्वस्य पृष्टिसंयुक्तं शुद्धस्य पृष्टसंयुतम्॥

"When he has finished his studies, and given the parting donation to his preceptor, the man who wishes to lead the life of a householder must take a wife. If he does not propose to enter into the married state, he may remain, as a student, with his teacher,—first making a vow to that effect,—and employ himself in the service of his preceptor and of that preceptor's descendants; or he may, at once, become a hermit,\* or adopt the order of the religious mendicant, according to his original determination.

"If he marry, he must select a maiden who is of a third of his age; 2 one who has not too much hair, but

¹ Or the vow or pledge he has taken, that he will follow, for life, the observances of the student, or ascetic; both of which are enumerated, in the Nirńaya Sindhu, as acts prohibited in the Kali age. A man is not to continue a student or Brahmachárin, i. e., a cænobite, for life; nor is he to become a mendicant, without previously passing through the order of householder. In practice, however, the prohibition is, not unfrequently, disregarded.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> By this is to be understood, according to the commentator, merely a young girl, but, at the same time, one not immature; for, otherwise, he observes, a man of thirty—by which age he completes his sacred studies,—would espouse a girl of but ten years of age.† According to Manu, thowever, the period of religious study does not terminate until thirty-six; and, in the East, a girl of twelve would be marriageable. The text of Yajnaval-

<sup>•</sup> Vaikhánasa. This term is synonymous with vánaprastha. Vide p. 95, supra.

<sup>†</sup> On the contrary, the commentator disallows, absolutely, even where the bridegroom is eight and forty, the marriage of a girl above ten years of age; on the ground that, after that period, she has her catamenia, &c. &c.

<sup>†</sup> III., 1.

is not without any; one who is not very black, or

kya\* has merely the word Yaviyasi, 'a very young woman.' It

\* I., 52:

# ऋविञ्जतत्रह्मचर्यो जचल्यां स्त्रियमुद्देहेत्। ऋनन्यपूर्विकां कान्तामसपिण्डां यवीयसीम्॥

Vijnáneśwara, Aparáditya, and Śúlapańi, commentators on Yajnavalkya, leave his term yaviyasi unexplained.

The following dicta on the time when a female should marry are cited, by Jimútaváhana, in the Dáyabhága; pp. 272, 273, Calcutta edition of 1829. The first extract is from the Vasishtha-smíti, Chapter XVII.:

# यावनु बन्यामृतवः सृश्वनि तुःखैः सकामामपि याच्यमानाम् । तावन्ति भूतानि इतानि ताभ्यां मातापितृभ्यामिति धर्मवादः॥

"So many seasons of menstruation as overtake a maiden feeling the passion of love and sought in marriage by persons of suitable rank, even so many are the beings destroyed by both her father and her mother: this is a maxim of the law."

Paifhinasi is alleged as declaring: यावज्ञीज्ञिबेते खनौ तावदेव देया। त्रथ ऋतुमती भवति तदा दाता प्रतिग्रहीता च नरकमाभ्रोति पितृपितामहप्रपितामहास विष्ठायां जायने। तसाज्ञिका दातव्या।

"A damsel should be given in marriage, before her breasts swell. But, if she have menstruated [before marriage], both the giver and the taker fall to the abyss of hell; and her father, grandfather, and great-grandfather are born [insects] in ordure. Therefore she should be given in marriage while she is yet a girl."

The preceding translations are taken from the Two Treatises on the Hindu Law of Inheritance, by Colebrooke, p. 186. His earlier renderings of the passages will be found in his Digest of Hindu Law, &c., London edition, Vol. II., p. 387.

In the Panchatantra,—III., &l. 213; p. 189, ed. Kosegarten,—we find the ensuing stanza:

# त्रसंप्राप्तरजा गौरी प्राप्ते रजसि रोहिणी। त्रयञ्जना भवेत्वन्या कुचहीना च निप्तका॥

Nagniká is here vaguely said to signify a girl without breasts. This word, which Colebrooke renders by "yet a girl", is understood, by Vallabhagańi, to intend a maiden of eight years. If he be right, it is a synonym of gauri. No ordinary lexicographical authority seems to make the nagniká more than ten: see Colebrooke's Amarakośa, sub voce. But,

# yellow-complexioned, and who is not, from birth, a

is worthy of remark, here, that neither that text, nor the text of Manu, nor the interpretation of our text, authorizes the pre-

to judge from my next extract, the term appears to be, in some instances, as comprehensive as kanyá, 'virgin.'

In the Nirhayasindhu of Kamalakara,—Bombay edition of 1857, III. A, fol. 31 v and 32 r,—occurs the following extract, credited to the Mahabharata:

# विंग्रद्धं षोडग्राब्दां भाषीं विन्देत निप्नकाम् । द्रावर्षो ऽष्टवर्षां वा धर्मे सीदित सलरः। स्रतो प्रवत्ते रजसि कन्यां द्यात्पिता सक्तत्॥

Whence came these lines? The first two look like a mixing up, from misrecollection, of the stanza cited in p. 104, note †, infra, with the Anusáana-parvan, XLIV., 14, (Bombay edition), which runs thus:

# विंग्रद्वर्षो दश्वर्षा भार्या विन्देत निप्तकाम्। एकविंग्रतिवर्षो वा सप्तवर्षामवानुयात्॥

"Let a man of thirty years marry, for wife, a damsel of ten years; or let a man of twenty-one years secure a damsel of seven years."

The next quotation, also, is referred to the Mahábhárata:

# सप्तसंवत्सरादू ध्वं विवाहः सार्ववर्षिकः। कन्यायाः ग्रस्यते राजन्नन्यया धर्मगहितः॥

"The marriage, for all the castes, of a girl after her seventh year is commended, O king. Her marriage otherwise is reprobated by the law."

Of the first of the last three passages the first line is quoted,—immetrically, incorrectly, and, probably, from memory,—and without mention of its source, in Jagannátha Tarkapanchánana's Vivádabhangárňava. See Colebrooke's Digest of Hindu Law, &c., London edition, Vol. III., p. 328.

Mádhava says, in his commentary on the Paráśara-smŕiti:

# जन्मतो गभीधानाद्वा पञ्चमाब्दात्परं शुभम्। नुमारीवर्णं दानं मेखलाबन्धनं तथा॥

This approves the selection of a girl, for matrimony, at an interval of five years from the time she was born or conceived.

An extract from the *Jyotir-nibandha* may be added, for its superstitious oddity:

# षडब्दमध्ये नोदाह्या कन्या वर्षद्वयं यतः। सोमो भुद्धे ततसद्वत्नन्धर्वश्च तथानतः॥

"A maiden should not be married within her sixth year: because Soma

cripple or deformed.\* He must not marry a girl who is vicious, or unhealthy, of low origin, or labouring

sent practice of the nuptials of children.† The obligation imposed upon a man, of a life of perfect continence, until he is more than thirty, is singularly Malthusian.

[the Moon?] enjoys her for two years; then, in like manner, a gandharva and, similarly, Fire."

She has, thus, three unhuman husbands, before she is wived by a man. In the Yajnavalkya-smriti, I., 71, we read:

# सोमः शौचं ददौ स्त्रीणां गन्धर्वश्व शुभां गिरम्। पावकः सर्वमेधालं मेधा वै योषितो ह्यतः॥

"On women Soma bestowed brilliancy; a gandharva, a pleasant voice; Fire, universal purity. Therefore are women truly pure."

The author of the Mitákshará, in commenting on this stanza, expresses himself much to the effect of the Jyotir-nibandha. His words are: परिणयनात्पूर्व सोमगन्धवेवहूयः स्त्रियो भुत्का यथाक्रमं तासां शी-चमध्रवचनसर्वमध्यवानि दत्तवनः।

For similar ideas, see the *Panchatantra*, ed. Kosegarten, pp. 188, 189. An anonymous stanza is there given, authorizing the nuptials of a damsel of eight years of age.

The original has adhikangi, "possessing superfluous limbs." † Professor Wilson must have overlooked "Manu", IX., 94:

# चिंग्रद्वर्षो वहेत्वन्यां ह्यां द्वाद्यवार्षिकीम्। त्र्यष्टवर्षोऽष्टवर्षां वा धर्मे सीदित सलरः॥

"Let a man of thirty years wed a lovely maid of twelve; or a man of twenty-four, a maid of eight. If his virtue is being impaired, let him be expeditious."

The meaning seems to be, according to Kulluka, that, in case a young man is disposed to usurp on the rights of the married state, he should lose no time in taking a wife; provided, always, that his proficiency in scriptural knowledge is satisfactory.

In the Nirńayasindhu's citation of the preceding stanza, দ্লুছবর্ছ:, 'of sixteen years', is read for হাছবর্ছ:, 'of twenty-four years.' But Medhátithi and Kullúka know nothing of this lection.

It has just been evinced, that, as to the marriage of an immature girl, venerable warrant is adducible for it, beyond the pages of the Vishhu-puráha. The point, whether this work does not constructively furnish justification of such a practice, is discussed in a note near the end of Chapter XVI. of the present Book.

under disease;\* one who has been ill brought up; one who talks improperly; one who inherits some malady from father or mother; one who has a beard, or who is of a masculine appearance; one who speaks thick, or thin, or croaks like a raven; one who keeps her eyes shut, or has the eyes very prominent; one who has hairy legs, or thick ancles; or one who has dimples in her cheeks, when she laughs.1 Let not a wise and prudent man marry a girl of such a description: nor let a considerate man wed a girl of a harsh skin; or one with white nails; or one with red eyes, or with very fat hands and feet; or one who is a dwarf, or who is very tall; or one whose eyebrows meet, or whose teeth are far apart and resemble tusks. Let a householder marry a maiden + who is, in kin, at least five degrees remote from his mother, and seven from his father, with the ceremonies enjoined by law.2

"The forms of marriage are eight,—the Bráhma, Daiva, Ársha, Prájápatya, Ásura, Gándharva, Rákshasa, and Paisácha; which last is the worst: but

¹ For the credit of Hindu taste, it is to be noticed, that the commentator observes, the hemistich in which this last clause occurs is not found in all copies of the text.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Manu, III., 5, &c.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> These different modes of marriage are described by Manu, III., 27, &c.

If only as doing away with something like tautology, the reading atiroshinim, 'very irascible,' is to be preferred to atiroginim. Just before we have arogám, rendered "unhealthy".

<sup>†</sup> The term used hereabouts is kanyá.

the caste to which either form has been enjoined, as lawful, by inspired sages, should avoid any other mode of taking a wife. The householder who espouses a female connected with him by similarity of religious and civil obligations, and along with her discharges the duties of his condition, derives, from such a wife, great benefits."



#### CHAPTER XI.

Of the Sadácháras or perpetual obligations of a householder. Daily purifications, ablutions, libations, and oblations: hospitality: obsequial rites: ceremonies to be observed at meals, at morning and evening worship, and on going to rest.

SAGARA (again) said (to Aurva): "Relate to me, Muni, the fixed observances" of the householder, by attending to which he will never be rejected from this world or the next."

Aurva replied to him thus: "Listen, prince, to an account of those perpetual observances by adhering to which both worlds are subdued. Those who are called Sádhus (saints) are they who are free from (all) defects; and the term Sat means the same, or Sádhu. Those practices or observances (Ácháras†) which they follow are, therefore, called Sadácháras, 'the institutions or observances of the pious.' The seven Éishis,

¹ Sir William Jones renders Áchára (आवार), 'the immemorial customs of good men'; (Manu, II., 6); following the explanation of Kullúka Bhatta, which is much the same as that of our text: आचार: काव्यव्यक्तवादावरण्ड्यः। साधूनां धार्मिकाणाम्। 'Áchára means the use of blankets, or bark, &c., (for dress). Sádhus are pious (or just) men.' Ácháras are, in fact, all ceremonial and

Sadáchára.

<sup>†</sup> My MSS. have ácharana.

th is **आचार: • साधूनां** that Sir William Jones thus renders. Colebrooke expresses áchára by "law", "conduct", "received usage", "established usage", "immemorial good customs", &c. &c. Medhátithi explains it by vyavahára, 'practice'.

the Manus, the patriarchs, are they who have enjoined and who have practised these observances. Let the wise man awake in the Muhúrta of Brahmá (or, in the third Muhúrta,—about two hours before sunrise \*), and, with a composed mind, meditate on two of the objects of life (virtue and wealth), and on topics not incompatible with them. Let him, also, think upon desire, as not conflicting with the other two, and, thus, contemplate, with equal indifference, the three ends of life, for the purpose of counteracting the unseen consequences of good or evil acts. Let him avoid wealth and desire, if they give uneasiness to virtue; and abstain from virtuous or religious acts, if they involve misery, or are censured by the world. † Having risen,

purificatory observances, or practices, not expiatory, which are enjoined either by the Vedas or the codes of law.

<sup>1</sup> That is, he may omit prescribed rites, if they are attended with difficulty or danger: he may forego ablutions, if they dis-

# राविश्व पश्चिमे यामे मुहतीं ब्राह्म उच्चते। † परित्यजेदर्थकामी धर्मपीडाकरी नृप। धर्ममण्यसुखोदकी लोकविक्षष्टमेव च॥

We read, to the same effect, and almost in the same words, in the Laws of the Manavas, IV., 176:

# परित्यजेदर्थकामी यी खातां धर्मवर्जिती। धर्म चाष्यसुखोदर्के लोकविकुष्टमेव च॥

This stanza Sir William Jones thus translates: "Wealth and pleasures, repugnant to law, let him shun, and even lawful acts which may cause future pain or be offensive to mankind."

Kulluka instances, as illustrative of the "lawful acts," etc., the giving away, in charity, of his entire possessions by a man on whom a large

<sup>\*</sup> Part of this is the commentator's explanation: ब्राह्म मुहते। सूर्यो-द्यात्पूर्व तृतीय मुहते। Pitamaha is cited, in the Sabdakalpadruma, as ruling:

he must offer adoration to the sun,\* and then, in the south-west† quarter, at the distance of a bow-shot or more, or any where remote from the village,: void the impurities of nature. The water that remains after washing his feet he must not \sqrt{ throw away into the court-yard of the house. A wise man will never void

agree with his health; and he may omit pilgrimage to holy shrines, if the way to them is infested by robbers. Again, it is enjoined, in certain ceremonies, to eat meat, or drink wine: but these practices are generally reprehended by pious persons; and a man may, therefore, disregard the injunction.

family depends for subsistence, and the immolation of a cow on the  $madhyam\acute{a}sht\acute{a}k\acute{a}$  and other holydays. The  $madhyam\acute{a}sht\acute{a}k\acute{a}-sometimes$  called  $m\acute{a}ns\acute{a}sht\acute{a}k\acute{a}-fa^1$ ls, according to one authority, on the eighth day after the full moon in Magha.

One occasion on which it seems that a cow was formerly slain, and that for purposes of hospitality, was a marriage. See Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., pp. 203, 208.

\* "Rising at break of day, let him go to stool", says the Sanskrit:

### ततः कद्धं समुत्याय कुर्याचीनं नरेखर्।

The Translator mistock, for mitra, 'the sun', maitra, n., 'alvine exoneration', which is said to come from maitra, m., 'the anus', of which the Sun is the guardian deity. Ratnagarbha etymologizes maitra. Also see the Laws of the Mánavas, IV., 152, and Kullúka's gloss thereon.

- † I have corrected "south-east"; the original being nairfityám. This is a compromise between the directions to be observed by day and by night, or north and south, respectively. See the Laws of the Mánavas, IV., 50, and our text, just below.
- ‡ Thus, or by  $gr\acute{a}ma$ , the commentator explains  $bh\acute{u}$ , the word here used. The Sanskrit adds  $\mathbf{\xi}$   $\mathbf{\xi}$   $\mathbf{i}$   $\mathbf{e}$   $\mathbf{e}$
- § I have inserted this word, translating na. Compare the Laws of the Manavas, IV., 151.
- || Or by tigers. The commentary has: व्याघ्रचोरादिसमाक्रान्ततीर्घ-गमनादि।
  - Trinking spirits at the sautrámani, &c., says the commentator.

urine on his own shadow, nor on the shadow of a tree, nor on a cow, nor against the sun, nor on fire, nor against the wind, \* nor on his Guru, nor on men of the three first castes; † nor will he pass either excrement in a ploughed field, or pasturage, or in the company of men, or on a high road, or in rivers and the like which are holy,; or on the bank of a stream, or in a place where bodies are burnt, or anywhere quickly. § By day, let him void them with his face to the north, and, by night, with his face to the south, when he is not in trouble. Let him perform these actions in silence, and without delay; covering his head with a cloth, and the ground with grass. Let him not take, for the purposes of cleanliness, earth from an ant-hill, or a rat-hole, or from water, or from the residue of what has been so used, nor soil that has been employed to plaster a cottage, nor such as has been thrown up by insects, I or turned over by the plough. All such kinds of earth let him avoid as means of purification. One handful is sufficient, after voiding urine; three, after passing ordure: then, ten handfuls are to be rubbed over the left hand, and seven over both hands.\*\* Let him, then, rinse his mouth with water

<sup>\*</sup> See p. 140, infra, text and note \*\*. † Dwijáti.

<sup>† &</sup>quot;Places of pilgrimage", tirtha. Insert "or in water", napsu.

<sup>§</sup> My MSS. give nothing corresponding to "or anywhere quickly." Probably the Translator read násu for nápsu. See the last note.

<sup>||</sup> Literally, "dug up by a rat", mishakotkháta.

<sup>¶</sup> म्रना:प्राख्यवपत्त. Antáhpráńin signifies kiťa, "a worm", alleges the commentator. But he mentions a variant yielding anupranin, "a minute animal."

<sup>\*\*</sup> एका लिङ्गे गृदे तिस्रो दश वामकरे तथा। हस्तद्ये च सप्तान्या मृदः शीचोपपादिकाः॥ Compare, herabouts, the Vasishtha-smitti, Chapter VI.

that is pure, neither fetid, nor frothy, nor full of bubbles, and again use earth to cleanse his feet, washing them well with water. He is to drink water, then, three times, and twice wash his face with it; and, next, touch, with it, his head, the cavities of the eyes, ears, and nostrils, \* the forehead, † the navel, and the : heart. 1 §

- † Read "head" múrdhan; and insert "arms", báhú.
- ! I should render hridaya by "breast".

§ चिः पिबेत्सि चिं तेन तथा दिः परिमार्जयेत्। शीर्षेष्यानि ततः खानि मूर्धानं च समालभेत्। बाह्र नाभिं च तोयेन हृदयं चापि संस्पृशेत्॥

Of all the ancient Hindu legislators, Daksha is most minutiose on the subject of daily ablution. His injunctions, as incorrectly cited by Ratnagarbha, I have rectified by reference to two manuscripts and the Calcutta edition of the Daksha-smriti; and I have compared them as quoted in various ceremonial treatises, more particularly in an ancient copy of Aśaditya's Karmapradipabháshya. The passage, as I would read it, is as follows:

प्रचाख हसी पादी च चिः पिवेदम्ब वीचितम्।
संवृत्याङ्ग्रमुलेन द्विः प्रमृज्यात्ततो मुखम् ॥
संहत्य तिमृभिः पूर्वमास्यमेवमुपसृशित्।
ततः पादी समस्यस्य ग्रङ्गानि समुपसृशित्॥
ग्रङ्गुष्ठेन प्रदेशिन्या घाणं पश्चादनन्तरम्।
ग्रङ्गुष्ठेन प्रदेशिन्या चाणं पश्चादनन्तरम्।
ग्रङ्गुष्ठेन प्रदेशिन्या चाणं पश्चादनन्तरम्।
ग्रङ्गुष्ठेन प्रदेशिन्या चाणं पश्चादं पुनः॥
कानष्ठाङ्गुष्ठयोनीभिं हृद्यं तु तलेन वै।
सर्वाभिस्तु शिरः पश्चाद्वाङ्ग चायेण संस्थित्॥

"After bathing his hands and feet, let him thrice sip water in sight, i. e., any at hand. Then let him compress his mouth, and rub it twice with the root of his thumb. Let him, likewise, touch his face with three fingers joined, and, next, wet his feet again, and touch his limbs. Im-

<sup>1</sup> Many of these directions are given by Manu, IV.,

<sup>\*</sup> Instead of "his head, the cavities of the eyes, ears and nostrils," read "the orifices of the head." The original,—see note §, below,—sirshanyani khani, is thus glossed by the commentator: भीषेखानि।
भिरास्थानि। खानि। इन्द्रियच्छिद्वाणि।

Having, finally, washed his mouth, a man is to clean and dress his hair, and to decorate his person, before a glass, with unguents, garlands, and perfumes.\* He is, then, according to the custom † of his caste, to acquire wealth, for the sake of subsistence, and, with a lively faith, worship the gods.: Sacrifices with the acid juice, those with clarified butter, and those with offerings of food, § are comprehended in wealth: wherefore, let men exert themselves to acquire wealth for these purposes.¹

45, &c.

<sup>1</sup> That is, wealth is essential to the performance of religious rites; and it is, also, the consequence of performing them. A

mediately afterwards, let him touch his nose with his thumb and forefinger; and his eyes and ears, again and again, with his thumb and ring-finger; and his navel and breast, with the root of his little finger and thumb. Let him, afterwards, touch the crown of his head with all his fingers, and, finally, his arms."

The genuineness of the fourth line is open to suspicion. It is wanting in several places where these stanzas are adduced.

# श्राचान्तस्य ततः कुर्यात्युमान्केशप्रसाधनम् । श्रादशीक्षनमङ्गस्यदूर्वाद्यालस्थनानि च ॥

Professor Wilson must have thought that he saw - নাভা, instead of - নাভাৰ, in the original. For "garlands, and perfumes," read "sandal, dúrvá, &c." Durvá ordinarily means a certain sweet-scented grass. The oil expressed from it seems to be here denoted.

+ Dharma, "duty".

The original is simply japet, "let him pray inaudibly."

§ These sacrifices are called, in the original, somasamsthá, havihsamsthá, and pákasamsthá. On the meaning of páka, — 'little', or 'good'— in pákasamsthá, see Professor Max Müller's Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 203; or Dr. Stenzler's edition of Áswaláyana's Grihyasútra, Part II., p. 2, § 2, 2.

|| On the topic of ablution, see, particularly, *ibid.*, II., 60, 61; also, the Yajnavalkya-smiti, I., 20, etc.

"As preparatory to all established rites of devotion, the householder should bathe in the water of a river, a pond, a natural channel,\* or a mountain torrent; or he may bathe upon dry ground, with water drawn from

householder should, therefore, diligently celebrate them, that he may acquire property, and, thus, be enabled to continue to sacrifice. According to Gautama, † there are seven kinds of each of the three sorts of sacrificial rites particularized in the text,—or those in which the Soma juice, oiled butter, or food, are presented. Of the latter, according to Manu, there are four varieties,—the offering of food to the Viśwadevas, to spirits, to deceased

<sup>†</sup> He is quoted, by the commentator, for his enumeration of sacrifices. These, as I find, on reference to the *Gautama-smriti*, are named as follows:

	Pákasamsthás.	Haviksamsthás.	Somasamsthás.
1.	Ashťaká.	Agnyádheya,	Agnishťoma.
2.	Párvaña.	Agnihotra.	Atyagnisht'oma.
3.	$\acute{S}r\acute{a}ddha$ .	Daršapúrňamása.	Ukthya.
4.	Śravańi.	Cháturmásyas.	Shodaśi.
5.	Ágraháyańi.	Agrayańeshti,	Vájapeya.
6.	Chaitri.	Nirúdhapasubandha.	Atirátra.
7.	Áśwayuji.	Sautrámańi.	$Aptory\'ama.$
٠.	mı i ı	11. 11. 1	

They have almost identical names, throughout, but are somewhat otherwise arranged, in Náráyaúa's commentary on Śánkháyana's Grihyasútra, as adduced by Dr. Stenzler, in the Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Vol. VII., p. 527, note 2. See, further, ibid., Vol. IX., p. LXXIV. According to Ápastamba—see the Taittiriyasamhitá and Commentary, in the Bibliotheca Indica, Vol. I., p. 957,—several of the sacrifices of the first class bear appellations widely different from those given above. Baudháyana, quoted in the same place, gives them all still different names.

In note § to p. 40, supra, a passage is cited from Ratnagarbha, comparable to which, as concerns its groupment of sacrifices,—an understanding of which demands further inquiry,—I here quote another from Sankara's Commentary on the Brihad-aranyaka Upunishad, I., III., 1: न चामिहोत्रदर्भपूर्णमासचातुमीखपशुबन्धसोमानां कर्मणां खतः का-स्यनिखल्विकोऽस्ति।

<sup>\*</sup> Devakhátajala.

a well, or taken from a river, or other source, where there is any objection to bathing on the spot. \*\* When bathed, and clad in clean clothes, † let him devoutly

ancestors, and to guests. II., 86.‡ The seven of Gautama § are: offerings to progenitors on certain eighth days of the fortnight, at the full and change of the moon, at Śráddhas generally, and to the manes on the full moon of four different months, or Śrávańa, Agraháyańa, Chaitra, and Áświna.

<sup>1</sup> A person may perform his ablutions in his own house, if the weather, or occupation, prevents his going to the water. If he be sick, he may use warm water; and, if bathing be altogether injurious, he may perform the Mantra snána, or repeat the prayers used at ablution, without the actual bath.

# क्पिषूबृततोयेन सानं कुर्वीत वा भृवि। स्वायीतोद्रृततोयेन ऋथवा भृवसंभवे॥

The commentator adds,—somewhat as in note 1 in this page,—that he is permitted to take water to his house, for bathing, if he must; that he may substitute warm water, when necessary, for cold; and that, in exigency, it is lawful for him to make shift with a mantra-snana,—in other words, to commute ablution for a prayer. Compare Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., pp. 124, 136.

- † The commentator observes, that the bathing and worship here described belong to noon-day.
- ‡ Four pákayajnas are there spoken of; but their names are not specified in the text. Kullúka says they are the vaiśwadevahoma, balikarman, nityaśráddha, and atithibhojana. These are four out of five pákayajnas which belong to a classification different from, and later than, that which Gautama recognizes. See p. 40, note §; and p. 93, notes 2 and ‡, supra: also, Kullúka on the Laws of the Mánavas, III., 67; Dr. Stenzler's edition of Áśwaláyana's Gŕihyasútra, Part II., p. 6, § 1; and Professor Max Müller's Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 93.
- § The third and fourth pákayajnas or pákasaínsthás, in Ápastamba, correspond with the first and second of Gautama. The remaining five are called aupásanahoma, vaiśwadeva, mási śráddham, sarpabali, and iśánabali.

offer libations to the gods, sages,\* and progenitors, with the parts of the hand severally sacred to each. He must scatter water thrice, to gratify the gods; as many times, to please the Rishis; and once, to propitiate Prajápati: he must, also, make three libations, to satisfy the progenitors. He must then present, with the part of the hand sacred to the manes, water to his paternal grandfather and great-grandfather, to his maternal grandfather, great-grandfather, and his father: and, at pleasure, to his own mother, and his mother's mother† and grandmother, to the wife of his preceptor, to his preceptor, his maternal uncle, and other relations, to a dear friend, and to the king. Let him, also, after libations have been made to the gods and the rest, present others, at pleasure, for the benefit of all beings, reciting inaudibly this prayer: 'May the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The whole series is thus given by Mr. Colebrooke: Asiatic Researches, Vol. V., p. 367.‡ Triple libations of tila (sesamum seeds) and water are to be given to the father, paternal grandfather, and great-grandfather; to the mother, maternal grandfather, great-grandfather, and great great-grandfather: and single libations are to be offered to the paternal and maternal grandmother and great-grandmother, to the paternal uncle, brother, son, grandson, daughter's son, son-in-law, maternal uncle, sister's son, father's sister's son, mother's sister, and other relatives. With exception of those, however, offered to his own immediate ancestors, which are obligatory, these libations are optional, and are rarely made.

<sup>\*</sup> Ŕishis.

<sup>+</sup> Pramátři. This word has escaped the lexicographers.

<sup>†</sup> Or Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 145.

gods, demons, \* Yakshas, serpents, Gandharvas, Rákshasas, Piśáchas, Guhyakas, † Siddhas, Kúshmáńdas,: trees, birds, fish, & all that people the waters, or the earth, or the air, be propitiated by the water I have presented to them! This water is given, by me, for the alleviation of the pains of all those who are suffering in the realms of hell. May all those who are my kindred, and not my kindred, and who were my relations in a former life, all who desire libations from me, receive satisfaction from this water! May this water and sesamum, presented by me, relieve the hunger and thirst of all who are suffering from those inflictions, wheresoever they may be!" Presentations of water, given in the manner, O king, which I have described, yield gratification to all the world; and the sinless man who, in the sincerity of faith, pours out these voluntary libations obtains the merit that results from affording nutriment to all creatures.

"Having, then, rinsed his mouth, he is to offer water to the sun, touching his forehead with his hands joined, and with this prayer: 'Salutation to Vivaswat, the radiant, the glory of Vishńu; to the pure illu-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The first part of this prayer is from the Sáma-veda, and is given by Mr. Colebrooke. Asiatic Researches, Vol. V., p. 367.¶

<sup>\*</sup> Asura.

<sup>†</sup> Servants of Kubera. Colebrooke calls them "unmelodious guardians of the celestial treasure." Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 146.

<sup>;</sup> See Vol. I., p. 166.

<sup>§</sup> There is no word for this, in the original.

<sup>||</sup> Several of my MSS. omit the stanza which this sentence translates.

<sup>¶</sup> Or Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 146.

minator of the world; to Savitři, the granter of the fruit of acts!'\* He is, then, to perform the worship of the house, presenting to his tutelary deity† water, flowers, and incense. He is, next, to offer oblations with fire, not preceded by any other rite, to Brahmá.¹; Having invoked Prajápatr, let him pour oblations, § reverently, to his household gods, to Káśyapa, and to Anumati,² in succession. The residue of the obla-

# \* नमो विवस्तते ब्रह्मभास्तते विष्णुतेजसे। जगत्सविचे शुचये सविचे कर्मदायिने॥

The commentator prefers the reading ब्रह्मन्भास्त्रते, but notes ब्रह्म-भास्त्रते

Apúrva the commentator elucidates by ananyaprakritika: vide supra, p. 40, notes 1 and ‡. A variant, he says, is appúrva, 'previously sprinkled.' For the agnihotra, here spoken of, see p. 40, note 1; and p. 113, note †, supra.

¹ The rite is not addressed to Brahmá, specially; but he is to be invoked to preside over the oblations offered to the gods and sages, subsequently particularized.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Káśyapa, the son of Kaśyapa, is Áditya, ¶ or the Sun. Anumati\*\* is the personified moon, wanting a digit of full. The objects and order of the ceremony here succinctly described differ from those of which Mr. Colebrooke gives an account (Asiatic Researches, Vol. VII., p. 236††), and from the form of oblations given by Ward (Account of the Hindus, Vol. II., p. 477); but, as

<sup>+</sup> Abhishťa-sura.

<sup>🗓</sup> अपूर्वमिपिहोत्रं च कुर्यात्प्राग्त्रह्मणे ततः।

<sup>§</sup> Ahuti.

<sup>||</sup> Grihya.

<sup>¶</sup> For the twelve Ádityas, see Vol. II., p. 27, and pp. 284 et seq.

<sup>\*\*</sup> See Vol. I., p. 153; and Vol. II., p. 261: also, Goldstücker's Sanskrit Dictionary, sub voce.

<sup>††</sup> Or Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 152.

tion let him offer to the earth, to water, and to rain,\* in a pitcher at hand; and to Dhátři and Vidhátři, at the doors of his house; and, in the middle of it, to Brahmá. Let the wise man also offer the Bali, consisting of the residue of the oblations, to Indra, Yama,† Varuńa, and Soma,‡ at the four cardinal points of his dwelling, \$ the east and the rest; and, in the north-east quarter, he will present it to Dhanwantari.¹ After having thus worshipped the domestic deities, he will, next, offer part of the residue to all the gods (the Viśwadevas); then, in the north-west quarter, to Váyu

observed by Mr. Colebrooke, oblations are made "with such ceremonies, and in such form as are adapted to the religious rite which is intended to be subsequently performed." Asiatic Researches, Vol. VII., p. 237.

<sup>1</sup> See, also, Manu, III., 84, &c., and the Asiatic Researches, Vol. VII., p. 275. ¶

# तच्छेषं मणिकेऽ झो ऽथ पर्जन्याय चिपेत्ततः।

But the commentator notes a variant, पृथ्वीपर्जन्याद्धा:, which Professor Wilson seems to have preferred. And he explains मणिके— above rendered "in a pitcher at hand",—by जलाधारसिन्नधी, 'near a pond.'

Personifications are, of course, intended here. The commentator calls these offerings the *bhútayajna*. This is the same as the *baliyajna*. See p. 93, notes 2 and ||, supra.

- † Dharmarája, in the original. ‡ Substituted for Indu.
- § The Sanskrit of this sentence begins:

### गृहस्य पुरुषव्याघ्र दिग्देवानपि मे शृगु।

"Hear from me, man-tiger, the house's gods of the quarters, also."
The Translator rarely renders the vocatival epithets with which this work abounds; and these constitute one of its quaintest features.

- || Or Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 153.
- ¶ Or Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 191.

<sup>\*</sup> The ordinary reading is:

(wind); then, in all directions, to the points of the horizon,\* to Brahmá, to the atmosphere,† and to the sun; to all the gods, to all beings, to the lords of beings, to the Pitris, to twilight. § Then, taking other rice, 1 let the householder, at pleasure, cast it upon a clean spot of ground, as an offering to all beings; repeating, with collected mind, this prayer: 'May gods, men, animals, birds, saints, TYakshas, serpents, demons, ghosts, goblins,\*\* trees, all that desire food given by me; may ants, †† worms, moths, # and other insects, hungered, and bound in the bonds of acts; may all obtain satisfaction from the food left them by me, and enjoy happiness! May they who have neither mother, nor father, nor relations, nor food, nor the means of preparing it, be satisfied and pleased with the food presented for their contentment!2 Inasmuch

# " निर्विपेद्वैश्वदेवं च कर्म कुर्यादतः परम् । वायवे वायवे दिच् समसासु ततो दिशाम् ॥

यद्माणं च समृहिश्च बलिं दवानरेश्वर।

There is nothing, here, of "twilight". Yakshman, in this passage, may be Consumption, personified as a divinity. The commentary is silent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Or this ceremony may be practised instead of the preceding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This prayer is said, by Mr. Colebrooke, to be taken from the Puránas (Asiatic Researches, Vol. VII., p. 275).§§ He translates

<sup>†</sup> Antariksha.

<sup>†</sup> Viśwe devák.

<sup>§</sup> My MSS. concur in reading:

<sup>|</sup> Anna, 'food', as just below; not, necessarily, 'rice'.

<sup>¶</sup> Siddha.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Daitya, preta, and piśacha.

<sup>††</sup> Pipilika, 'termites'.

<sup>‡‡</sup> Patangaka.

<sup>§§</sup> Or Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 192.

as all beings, and this food, and I, and Vishnu, are not different, I, therefore, give, for their sustenance, the food that is one with the body of all creatures. May all beings that are comprehended in the fourteen orders of existent things¹ be satisfied with the food bestowed, by me, for their gratification, and be delighted!' Having uttered this prayer, let the devout believer cast the food upon the ground, for the nourishment\* of all kinds of beings: for the householder is, thence, the supporter of them all. Let him scatter food upon the ground, for dogs, outcasts, † birds, and all fallen and degraded; persons.

"The householder is then to remain, at eventide, in his court-yard, as long as it takes to milk a cow,"—or

the last clause: "May they, who have neither \* \* \* \* \* food, nor means of obtaining it." In our text, the phrase is

येषां \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* नैवान्नसिंडिनं तथान्नमस्ति ।

which the commentator explains by येषामन्नं नास्ति येषां च सत्य-ष्यन्ने नाच सिन्धिः पाकसाधनं नास्तीत्यर्थः; understanding Anna siddhi to mean 'means of dressing food,' Páka sádhana. The following passages of the prayer are, evidently, peculiar to the Vishúu Puráńa.

- <sup>1</sup> Either fourteen classes of Bhútas (or spirits); or the same number of living beings,—or eight species of divine, one of human, and five of animal, creatures.
- <sup>2</sup> This, according to the commentator, is equal to the fourth part of a Ghatiká, which, considering the latter synonymous with

<sup>\*</sup> Upakára. † Chańdála.

<sup>‡</sup> Apátra. Some MSS. have aputra, 'sonless'. The former term imports 'undeserving of exequial offerings', — śráddháyogya, — says commentary B.

longer, if he pleases,—to await the arrival of a guest. Should such a one arrive, he is to be received with a hospitable welcome; a seat is to be offered to him, and his feet are to be washed, and food is to be given him with liberality,\* and he is to be civilly and kindly spoken to; and, when he departs, to be sent away, by his host, with friendly wishes. † A householder should ever pay attention to a guest who is not an inhabitant of the same village, but who comes from another place, and whose name and lineage are unknown. He who feeds himself, and neglects the poor and friendless stranger in want of hospitality, goes to hell. Let a householder who has a knowledge of Brahmá: reverence a guest, without inquiring his studies, his school, his practices, or his race. 1§

Muhúrta, or one thirtieth of the day and night, would be twelve minutes.  $\parallel$ 

<sup>1</sup> These precepts, and those which follow, are of the same tenour as those given by Manu, on the subject of hospitality (III., 99, &c.), but more detailed.

† गच्छतञ्चानुयानेन प्रीतिमुत्पादयेद्गृही।

It is, thus, directed, that, when the guest departs, his host should bear him company,—for a short distance, only, of course. The less common reading anuyatena must yield the same sense. The unique lection anupanena might move an imaginative speculator to attribute the idea of the stirrup-cup to the ancient Hindus.

<sup>\*</sup> Śraddhá. Elsewhere the Translator renders this word by "faith".

<sup>†</sup> The Sanskrit directs the householder to reverence his guest 'by regarding him as if he were Hirańyagarbha,'—hirańyagarbha-buddhyá.

<sup>§ &</sup>quot;School," "practices," and "race" here render gotra, charaña, and kula, 'stock,' 'school', and 'family'. The commentator gives charaña two meanings,—vedávántaraśákhá and áchára.

<sup>|</sup> See Vol. I., p. 47, note 2.

"A householder should, also, at the perpetual Śráddha," entertain another Brahman who is of his own country, whose family and observances are known, and who performs the five sacramental rites.† He is, likewise, to present, to a Brahman learned in the Vedas, four handfuls of food, set apart with the exclamation Hanta; and he is to give, to a mendi-

# अज्ञायं च समुद्धृत्य हन्तकारोपकिच्यितम् । निर्वापभूतं भूपाल श्रोविद्यायोपपादयेत् ॥

"Let him, O king, also set apart the choicest of food, and present it, by way of appropriation, hallowed by the utterance hanta, to a Bráhman versed in holy writ."

The commentator says: अज्ञागं भोजनावनविश्वष्टम् । अय चोत्रम् ।

# यासमाचा भवेद्भिचा त्रयं यासचतुष्टयम् । त्रयांखेव तु चत्वारि हन्तकारं प्रचचते॥

मनुष्येभ्यो हन्तेति मन्त्रेणोपकित्यतमद्गं हन्तकारोपकित्यतम् । निर्वा-पभूतं पृथक्कत्य स्थापितम् ।

A stanza, the same in meaning as the one here quoted, is adduced, from Hemachandra's scholiast, in Messrs. Boehtlingk and Rieu's edition of the Abhidhánachintámaní, p. 366:

#### यासप्रमाणं भिचा खाद्यं यासचतुष्टयम् । ऋयं चतुर्गणं प्राक्तर्हन्तकारं दिजोत्तमाः॥

Annágra and hantakára, rendered by 'the choicest of food' and 'the utterance hanta', have, thus, denoted specific quantities,—four mouthfuls and sixteen. But neither of these acceptations—which are, probably, of late date,—seems to be intended in the passage under annotation. One or other of them is there impossible.

The following is taken from the Brithad-aranyaka Upanishad,—V., VIII.: वाचं धेनुमुपासीत। तस्यासलारः सनाः खाहाकारो वषद्वारो हन्त-कारः खधाकारः। तस्यो दी सनी देवा उपजीवन्ति खाहाकारं च वषद्वारं च हन्तकारं मनुष्याः खधाकारं पितरः। तस्याः प्राण ऋष-भो मनो वत्सः। "One should reverence speech as a cow. Hers are

<sup>\*</sup> Pitrartham, 'for the sake of the manes'. It is explained by nitya-śráddhártham, in the commentary.

<sup>†</sup> Vide supra, p. 93, note 2.

cant\* religious student, three handfuls† of rice, or according to his pleasure, when he has ample means.: These, with the addition of the mendicant before described, are to be considered as guests; and he who treats these four descriptions of persons with hospitality acquits himself of the debt due to his fellowmen. The guest who departs, disappointed, from any house, and proceeds elsewhere, transfers his sins to the owner of that mansion, and takes away with him such a householder's merits. Brahmá. Prajápati, Indra,\*\* fire, the Vasus, the Sun, are present in the person of

four dugs: the utterance  $sw\dot{a}h\dot{a}$ , the utterance vashat, the utterance hanta, the utterance  $swadh\dot{a}$ . By two of her dugs, the utterance  $sw\dot{a}h\dot{a}$  and the utterance vashat, the gods are sustained; by the utterance hanta, men; by the utterance  $swadh\dot{a}$ , the manes. Life is her bull; the organ of imagination, her calf."

Of swahá and vashat Śridhara says: ऋाभ्यां हिनिदीयते देनेभ्यः; of hanta, हन्त इति मनुष्यिभोऽद्गं प्रयक्कन्ति; of swadhá, स्वधाकारेण हि पितृभ्यः स्वधां प्रयक्कन्ति।

For Swaha and Swadha, see Vol. I., p. 109; for Vashat, Vol. II., p. 29, notes 3 and §. \* Parioraj.

† Grása, 'mouthfuls'.

- इं इच्च्या च नरो दवाद्विभवे सत्यवारितम्।
- § इत्येतेऽतिथयः प्रोक्ताः प्रागुक्ता भिच्वय ये। चतुरः पूजयनेतान्यज्ञर्णात्मसुच्यते॥

"These are called guests: and one showing honour to the four abovementioned eleemosynaries acquits," &c.

The commentator says that these persons are: the unknown Bráhman, the known Bráhman, the Bráhman versed in holy writ, and the mendicant religious student.

|| This is almost a verbal repetition of what we have had before. Vide supra, p. 94, text and note †. The Sanskrit here runs thus:

# त्रितिथियस भपाशो गृहाबात्यस्तोमुखः। सदला दुष्कृतं तसी पुर्णमादाय गच्छति॥

¶ Dhátři, in the original.

\*\* Substituted for Sakra.

a guest, and partake of the food (that is given to him). Let a man, therefore, be assiduous in discharging the duties of hospitality: for he who eats his food, without (bestowing any upon) a guest, feeds only upon iniquity.

"In the next place, the householder must provide food for a married damsel remaining in her father's dwelling;\* for any one who is ill; for a pregnant woman; for the aged, and the infants (of his house); and then he may eat, himself. He who eats, whilst these are yet unfed, is guilty of sin (in this life), and, when he dies, is condemned, in hell, to feed upon phlegm. So, he who eats without performing ablutions is fed, (in hell), with filth; and he who repeats not his prayers, † with matter and blood; he who eats unconsecrated food, with urine; and (he who eats) before the children and the rest (are fed, is stuffed, in Tartarus), with ordure. Hear, therefore, O king of kings, how a householder should feed, so that, in eating, no sin may be incurred, that invariable health and increased vigour may be secured, and all evils and hostile machinations may be averted.: Let the householder, having bathed, and offered libations to the gods § and manes, and decorated his hand with jewels, proceed to take his meal, after having repeated the

<sup>\*</sup> Suvásini.

<sup>†</sup> The gáyatri and so forth, the commentator says.

<sup>ः</sup> भवत्यरिष्टगान्तिञ्च वैरिपचाभिचारिका।
The gloss on this line is as follows: ऋरिष्टमशुभादृष्टं तस्य ग्रान्तिः।
वैरिपचाणामुत्पन्नरीगाणामभिचारिका विनाग्रग्रीलाः।

<sup>§</sup> Insert 'Rishis'.

(introductory) prayers, and offered oblations with fire, and having given food to guests, to Brahmans, to his elders, and to his family. He must not eat with a single garment on, nor with wet hands and feet, but dressed in clean clothes, perfumed, and wearing garlands of flowers: he must not eat with his face to any intermediate point of the horizon, but fronting the east or the north;\* and thus, with a smiling countenance, happy and attentive, let him partake of food, of good quality, wholesome, boiled with clean water,† procured from no vile person, nor by improper means, nor improperly cooked.: Having given a portion to his hungry companions, let him take his food, without reproach, § out of a clean handsome vessel, which must not be placed upon a low stool (or bed). He must not eat in an unfit place, or out of season, T or in an incommodious attitude;\*\* nor must he first cast any of his meal into the fire. Let his food be made holy with suitable texts; let it be good of its kind: and

<sup>\*</sup> The directions he must face when bathing. See the Laws of the Mánavas, II., 61: and compare VIII., 87. Also vide infra, p. 127.

<sup>† &</sup>quot;Boiled with clean water" translates प्रोचितं प्रोचणोदक: "sprinkled with water for sprinkling,"—with a view to ceremonial partification.

<sup>‡</sup> नैव जुगुषावदसंस्कृतं, "not disgusting, nor unhallowed." This is to be substituted for "nor by improper means, nor improperly cooked." I find but one reading.

<sup>§</sup> Akupita, 'undisturbed by wrath.'

<sup>||</sup> Ásandi; 'wooden, three-legged, &c.' says the commentary: दार्मय

<sup>¶</sup> Nákále: as at noon, or either of the twilights, it is said. The commentator adds a variant, nákáše, 'not in the open air.'

<sup>\*\*</sup> Atisankirne, "in a place much littered over", I should think. The commentator says nothing.

it must not be stale, except in the case of fruit or meat; nor must it be of dry vegetable substances, other than jujubes or preparations of molasses; but never must a man eat of that of which the juices have

### न तादृशं भवत्येनो मृगहनुर्धनार्थिनः। यादृशं भवति प्रेत्य वृथामांसानि खादतः॥

¹ By stale, \* as applied to meat, is intended, in this place, probably, meat which has been previously dressed, as part of an offering to the gods or manes; meat which is dressed in the first instance for an individual being prohibited; as by Yájnavalkya:† वृधामांसं वर्जयेत्। Let him avoid flesh killed in vain; or, 'that which is not the residue of an offering to the gods, &c.': देवा-यर्चनाविश्षष्टं यस भवति। So, also, Manu, V., 7.§

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> By dried vegetables, &c., (शुष्त्रशाकादिकं), is to be understood unboiled vegetables, or pot-herbs dressed without being sprinkled with water: जलोपसेकं विना पञ्चम् । Instead of बद्-रिकेश:, ¶ 'jujubes,' the reading is, sometimes, हरितकेश:,\*\* myro-

<sup>\*</sup> Paryushita means 'kept over night'. See the Mitákshará on the Yújnavalkya-smŕiti, I., 167; and Śridhara on the Bhagavad-gitá, XVII., 10.

<sup>†</sup> I., 167, 168.

<sup>†</sup> This is the Mitákshará's elucidation of the words of Yajnavalkya.

<sup>§</sup> Add IV., 213. But V., 34, is most explicit on the enormity of the offence here denounced:

<sup>&</sup>quot;The sin of him who kills deer for gain is not so heinous, with respect to the punishment in another life, as that of him who eats fleshment in vain, or, not previously offered as a sacrifice." Sir William Jones's Translation.

<sup>|</sup> The commentary further says, that the bare mention of 'pot-herbs, &c.' implies, that they are unaccompanied by fried grain and the like: भाकादिकं स्कादिकं विनेति भेषः।

<sup>¶</sup> I nowhere find this reading, but बाद्दिकोश:, 'preparations of jujubes,' according to the gloss, बद्दिकारिश:.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The reading preferred by the commentator, and explained by आप-क्रांसिय:, "things to be licked, and the like, uncooked."

been extracted. 1 Nor must a man eat so as to leave no residue (of his meal), except in the case of flourcakes,\* honey, water, curds, and butter. † Let him, with an attentive mind, first taste that which has a sweet flavour: he may take salt and sour things, in the middle course, and finish with those which are pungent and bitter. The man who commences his meal with fluids, then partakes of solid food, and finishes with fluids again, will ever be strong and healthy. In this manner, let him feed without fault, silent, and contented with his food; taking, without uttering a word, to the extent of five handfuls, for the nutriment of the vital principle.: Having eaten sufficiently, the householder is, then, to rinse his mouth, with his face turned towards the east or the north; § and, having again sipped water, he is to wash his hands, from the wrist downwards. With a pleased and tranquil spirit, he is, then, to take a seat, I and call to memory his tutelary deity; \*\* and then he is thus to pray: 'May fire, excited by air, convert this food into the earthly ele-

balans.' The other term, गुडपक्केश:, is explained 'sweetmeats.'††
The construction here, however, is somewhat obscure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As oil-cake, or the sediment of anything after expression.

<sup>\*</sup> The first edition exhibits "flour, cakes"; a typographical oversight.

The Sanskrit word is saktu. † Sarpis, clarified butter.

<sup>\*</sup> Pránádi. § Vide supra, p. 125, note \*.

"From the wrist downwards" is to render múlatas, which the com-

<sup>&</sup>quot;From the wrist downwards" is to render mulatas, which the commentary explains by kaphońi-paryantam, 'as far as the elbow.'

<sup>¶</sup> Kritásana-parigrahah, 'his wife being seated.'

<sup>\*\*</sup> Abhishta-devatá, in the plural.

<sup>††</sup> लड्डुकादिभ्यः। गुडभचेभ्य इति पाठे स एवार्थः। Commentary.

ments of this frame, and, in the space afforded by the ethereal atmosphere, cause it to digest, and yield me satisfaction!\* May this food, in its assimilation, contribute to the vigour of the earth, water, fire, and air of my body, and afford unmixed † gratification!! May Agasti, Agni, and submarine fire effect the digestion of the food of which I have eaten! May they grant me the happiness which its conversion into nutriment engenders; and may health (ever) animate my form! May Vishnu, who is the chief principle of all invested with bodily structure and the organs of sense, be propitiated by my faith in him, and influence the assimilation of the invigorating food which I have eaten! For, verily, Vishnu is the eater, and the food, and the nutriment: § and, through this belief, may that which

अपिराष्याययत्वद्गं पार्थिवं पवनिरितः ।
 दत्तावकाग्रं नभसा जरयत्वस्तु मे सुखम् ॥

The comment on this runs thus: पवनिर्ता वहिनेसा दत्तावनाशं मया भुक्तमन्नं जरयत्। ततशान्तरसन पार्थिवं देहधातुमाप्यायय- विति। According to this, the stanza signifies: "May fire, excited by air, effect the digestion of any food under heaven, eaten by me; and then may it, viz., fire, by the juices of food, prosper the earthy elements of my body: may there be happiness to me!" Independently of the scholiast, however, one would feel inclined to translate as follows: "May fire, excited by air, prosper all earthy food under heaven, and cause it to be digested: may there be happiness to me!"

† Avyáhata, 'uninterrupted.'

\* Nearly all my MSS. here interpolate, if they do not substitute for what just precedes, as follows:

# प्राणापानसमानानामुद्दानव्यानयीस्वया। त्रज्ञं पृष्टिकरं चास्तु ममास्त्ववाहतं सुत्वम् ॥

The copies containing the commentary give this unimportant stanza, but in such a way, in some, that it is doubtful as to its genuineness. It is left unexplained. For **AUTT**, &c., see Goldstücker's Sanskrit Dictionary, sub voce. § Read "digestion", parinama.

I have eaten be digested!'\*

"Having repeated this prayer, the householder should rub his stomach with his hand, and, without indolence, perform such rites as confer repose; passing the day in such amusements as are authorized by holy writings and are not incompatible with the practices of the righteous, until the Sandhyá, when he must engage in pious meditation. † At the Sandhyá at the close of the day, he must perform the usual rites before the sun has quite set; and, in the morning, he must perform them before the stars have disappeared. The morning and evening rites must never

\* विष्णुः समसेन्द्रियदेहदेहिप्रधानभूतो भगवान्यथैकः।
सखेन तेनान्नमभेषमेतदारोग्यदं मे परिणाममेतु॥
विष्णुरत्ता तथैवान्नं परिणामस वै यथा।
सखेन तेन वै भक्तं जीर्यस्त्रम्हं तथा॥

The first stanza should, rather, be rendered: "Just as the holy Vishnu, the ultimate source of all the organs of sense, bodies, and embodied souls, is one, so, by this truth, may all this food, health-giving, be digestible to me." For pradhána, see Vol. I., p. 20, note \*.

† ततः संध्यामुपतिष्ठेत्समाहितः।

दिनान्तसंध्यां सूर्येण पूर्वामृत्तिर्युतां बुधः। उपतिष्ठेवथान्यायं सम्यगाचम्य पार्थिव॥

As bearing on this, the commentator adduces a couplet from some Smriti:

प्रातः संधां सनचत्रामुपासीत यथाविधि। सादित्यां पश्चिमां संधामधीसमितभास्तराम्॥

- पूर्वी संध्यां जपंत्तिष्ठित्साविचीमार्कदर्शनात् ।
   पश्चिमां तु समासीनः सम्यगृचविभावनात् ॥
- ॥ जत्थायावश्यकं क्षत्वा क्रतशीचः समाहितः।
  पूर्वा संध्यां जपंस्तिष्टेत्स्वकाले चापरां चिरम्॥
  ॥

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So Manu, II., 101, § and IV., 93.

be neglected, except at seasons of impurity, \* anxiety, sickness, or alarm. He who is preceded by the sun, in rising, or sleeps when the sun is setting, unless it proceed from illness and the like,-incurs guilt which requires atonement; + and, therefore, let a man rise before the sun, in the morning, and sleep not until after he has set.: They who sinfully omit both the morning § and the evening service go, after death, to the hell of darkness. In the evening, then, having again dressed food, let the wife (of the householder), in order to (obtain the fruit of) the Vaiśwadeva rite, give food, without prayers, T to outcasts and unclean spirits.\*\* Let the householder himself, according to his means, again show hospitality to any guest who may arrive; welcoming him with the salutation of evening, ++ water for his feet, a seat, a supper, and a bed. The sin of want of hospitality to a guest who comes after sunset is eight times greater than that of turning away one

† Compare the Laws of the Manavas, II., 221:

सूर्येण ह्यभिनिर्मृकः श्रयानोऽभ्युद्तिय यः। प्रायसित्तमकुर्वाणो युक्तः खान्महतेनसा॥

ः तस्रादनुदिते सूर्ये समुत्याय महीपते। उपतिष्ठेत्ररः संध्यामखपंश्च दिनान्तजाम्॥

<sup>\*</sup> Sútakáśaucha. Sútaka is ceremonial uncleanness resulting from the birth of a child; aśaucha, that resulting from death. Commentary.

<sup>§</sup> See, on the sinfulness of this omission, Vol. II., p. 252.

<sup>||</sup> Támisra. See Vol. II., p. 215, notes || and ¶.

<sup>¶</sup> Instead of पत्यमन्त्रं, "wife", "without prayers", some MSS. read पत्या साधं, 'with his wife.' The commentator notices this variant.

<sup>\*\*</sup> In the original, श्वपचादिस्यः. Ádi, 'etc.', is here rendered by "unclean spirits."

<sup>††</sup> Prahwa-swágatokti means 'a salutation and a welcome'; prahwa being explained by prahwatwa, i. e., praháma. But, in several MSS., the reading is prahwah, 'complaisant.'

who arrives by day. A man should, therefore, most especially show respect to one who comes to him in the evening, for shelter; as the attentions that gratify him will give pleasure to all the gods. Let the householder, then, according to his ability, afford a guest food, pot-herbs, water, a bed, a mat, or, if he can do no more, ground on which to lie.\*

"After eating his evening meal, and having washed his feet, the householder is to go to rest. His bed is

### \* भ्रायनसस्तरमहीप्रदानैर्थवापि तम्।

So read most of my MSS. The commentary says: श्यनं कानवादि। ससरः कटतृणादिः। मही खलमात्रमपि। Several MSS. of the text, and one of the commentary, have prastara, for srastara; one MS. has srastara altered into samstara, 'a couch'; and one has swastara. "Bed" denotes, then, 'a blanket, &c.'; and the srastara, which the Translator calls "mat", consists of 'a mat, straw, etc.' In the Laws of the Mánavas, II., 204, the reading, according to Kullúka, is srastara; but he does not explain it. Sir William Jones gives "a pavement of stones." Medhatithi has the lection prastara, which he defines to be: दर्भादित-णाकीर्ण त्रास्तर:, 'a rug strewed with darbha or other grass.' In Gulzár Pandit's Hindí version of the Mánavadharmasástra, srastara is rendered chatái, 'mat'. In the unfinished Calcutta translation of the same Code, - made, I am told, by Táráchandra Chakravartin, - this term is interpreted "a seat made of sprouts and leaves", with the following comment: "Srastara is a word which is not much in use. It is not found in the Sanskrita dictionaries commonly consulted, nor even in the voluminous compilation of Dr. Wilson; and yet, unaccountable as the thing must appear, the word is left unexplained by the commentator [Kullúka]. We have found it, however, with the meaning given above, in a dictionary which was compiled and published some years ago, and is entitled Práňakŕishňa-šabdámbudhi. These circumstances considered, it will not appear improbable, that Sir William Jones took it to be a mistake, in the manuscript, for prastara, 'stone'; or that he found the word to be prastara, in his manuscript, and gave the rendering accordingly." The Sabdámbudhi, ed of 1856, defines srastara 'seat', 'support for sitting.' Finally, srastara, on the faith of the Sabdakalpadruma. is found in the Suddhitattwa, and is equivalent to asana, 'seat.' The word prastara recurs in p. 150, infra.

to be entire, and made of wood; \* it is not to be scanty, nor cracked, nor uneven, nor dirty, nor infested by insects, nor without a bedding: and he is to sleep with his head either to the east or to the south: any other position is unhealthy. In due season, a man should approach his wife, when a fortunate asterism † prevails, in an auspicious moment, and on even nights,: if she is not unbathed, \$ sick, unwell, || averse, angry, pregnant, \*\* hungry, or over-fed. He should be, also, free from similar imperfections, should be neatly attired and adorned, and animated by tenderness and affection. †† There are certain days on which unguents, flesh, and women are unlawful; as the eighth and four-teenth lunar days, new moon and full moon, 1 and the

नाद्त्रिणां नान्यकामां नाकामां नान्ययोषितम् । जुत्जामामतिभुक्तां वा खयं चैभिर्गृणैर्युतः॥

- †† स्तातः स्रगन्धधृकस्पीतो नाध्मातः चुधितोऽपि वा। सकामः सानुरागञ्च व्यवायं पुरुषो ब्रजेत्॥
  - अमावास्त्रामष्टमीं च पौर्णमासों चतुर्दशोम् । ब्रह्मचारो भवेतित्यमण्यृतौ स्नातको दिजः ॥

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So Manu, IV., 128. ++

<sup>\*</sup> This will do, in default of one of ivory, observes the commentator. A variant is ekadárumáya, 'made of a single pine', apparently. Oriental cots are light and easily portable.

<sup>†</sup> Punnámnyříkshe. The asterisms referred to are, according to the commentator, ten in number: Aświni, Krittiká, Rohini, Punarvasu, Pushya, Hasta, Anurádhá, Śravańa, Púrvabhádrapadá, Uttarabhádrapadá.

<sup>ः</sup> ज्येष्ठयुग्मासु राचिषु. Commentary: ऋतुकालमारभ्य षष्टाष्टम्या-दिषु राचिषु। तचापि ज्येष्ठासु वृद्धासु उत्तरोत्तरं शुभास्त्रित्यर्थः।.

<sup>§</sup> After her courses. Commentary. || Rajaswalám, 'menstruous.'

There is an omission, here, of "not an object of evil report," náprašastám; aprašasta meaning, the scholiast says, परिवादादिद्वित.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The original adds other epithets, besides the two that follow in the translation:

entrance of the sun into a new sign. On these occasions, the wise will restrain their appetites, and occupy themselves in the worship of the gods, as enjoined by holy writ, in meditation, and in prayer: and he who behaves differently will fall into a hell where ordure will be his food.\* Let not a man stimulate his desires by medicines, nor gratify them with unnatural objects,† or in public or holy places. Let him not think incontinently of another's wife, much less address her to that end; for such a man will be born, in future life, as a creeping insect.: He who commits adultery is punished both here and hereafter: for his days, in this world, are cut short; and, when dead, he falls into hell. Thus considering, let a man approach his own wife in the proper season, or even at other times." §

चतुर्वश्वष्टमी चैव अमावाखाय पूर्णिमा।
पर्वाखितानि राजिन्द रिवसंक्रान्तिरेव च॥
तैलस्त्रोमांससंभोगी पर्वखेतेषु वै पुमान्।
विरमूत्रभोजनं नाम प्रयाति नरकं मृतः॥
अश्रेषपर्वखेतेषु तस्नात्संयमिभिर्नुधैः।
भाव्यं सच्छास्त्रदेविज्याध्यानजष्परिर्निरेः॥
नान्ययोनावयोनी वा नोपयुक्तौषधस्त्रथा।
द्विजदेवगुरूणां च व्यवायी नाश्रमे भवेत्॥
वैत्यचलरतिरेषु गोष्ठे नैव चतुष्पथे।
नैव रमश्रानोपवनस्तिलेषु महीपते॥

<sup>\*</sup> This clause about punishment is not found in my MSS.

<sup>†</sup> Two terms used in the original—for which see note § in the present page,—are thus expounded by the commentator: अन्ययोगी। अधादि-योगी। अयोगी। मुखादी। See, further, Goldstücker's Sanskrit Dictionary, article अयोगि.

<sup>.</sup> This explanation of the text is taken from the commentary.

<sup>§</sup> The conclusion of this chapter is much abridged, as here translated. The original is as follows:

प्रोक्तपर्वस्विष्वेषु नैव भूपाल संध्ययोः।
गच्छेद्ववायं मितिमान मूचोचारपीडितः॥
पर्वस्वभिगमोऽधन्यो दिवा पापप्रदो नृप।
भृवि रोगावहो नृणामप्रश्लो जलाश्ये॥
परदारान गच्छेच मनसापि कदाचन।
किमु वाचास्थिबन्धोऽपि नास्ति तेषु व्यवायिनाम्॥
मृतो नरकमभीति हीयतेऽचापि चायुषः।
परदारगितः पंसामुभयचापि सीदति॥
इति मला खदारेषु चृतुमत्सु बुधो व्रजेत्।
यथोक्तदोषहीनेषु सकामध्यनृताविषि॥



#### CHAPTER XII.

Miscellaneous obligations, purificatory, ceremonial, and moral.

AURVA continued.—"Let a respectable householder ever venerate the gods, kine, Brahmans, saints,\* aged persons, and holy teachers. Let him observe the two daily Sandhyás, and offer oblations to fire. Let him dress in untorn garments, use delicate herbs and flowers, wear emeralds and other precious stones, keep his hair smooth and neat, scent his person with agreeable perfumes, and always go handsomely attired, decorated with garlands of white flowers. † Let him never appropriate another's property, nor address him with the least unkindness. Let him always speak amiably, and with truth, and never make public another's faults. Let him not desire another's prosperity, nor seek his enmity. Let him not mount upon a crazy vehicle, nor take shelter under the bank of a river, (which may fall upon him). A wise man will not form a friendship, nor walk in the same path, with one who is disesteemed, who is a sinner, or a drunkard, t who has many enemies, or who is lousy, § with a harlot, or her gallant, with a pauper, | or a liar, with a prodi-

<sup>·</sup> Siddha.

<sup>†</sup> सदानुपहते वस्त्रे प्रश्नसाश्च तथौषधीः।
गार्डानि च रत्नानि विभृयात्प्रयतो नरः॥
प्रस्तिग्घामस्रवेशश्च सुगन्धिश्चार्वेशधृक्।
सिताः सुमनसो हृदा विमृयाच नरः सदा॥

<sup>.</sup> Patita and unmatta.

<sup>§</sup> Atikiťaka, 'very verminous.'

<sup>||</sup> Kshudra. It is glossed ऋज्यनाभोत्सिक्त, 'elated by petty gain,'

gal, a slanderer, or a knave. Let not a man bathe against the strength of a rapid stream, nor enter a house on fire, nor climb\* to the top of a tree, nor (in company) clean his teeth or blow† his nose, nor gape without covering his mouth, nor clear his throat,; nor

<sup>\*</sup> As will be seen below, to climb a tree to any height, apparently, is considered to be objectionable. The heterogeneous collection of maxims dictated by prudence, propriety, and superstition, of which this chapter is, in good part, made up, may be fitly accompanied by the subjoined extract from Varadaraja's Girvánapadamanjari, or, as the work is called, in one copy, Dhuhdhirája's Girváhavágmanjari or Girváhasabdapadamanjari: सर्वच दुराचाराः सन्त्येव। तथा हि। दिचिणदेशे कली मातुलकन्यापरिएयनं दुराचारः। \* \* \* अन्ध्रदेशे हला-चर्णं दुराचारः । कणाटकदेशे स्नानं विना भोजनं दुराचारः । ताम्रपाचे गवादिनिचेपणं दुराचारः। महाराष्ट्र उच्चिष्टभचणं दुरा-चारः। ज्येष्ठं परित्यज्य कनिष्ठस्य विवाहकरणं दुराचारः। \* \* \* \* द्रविडकेरलयोः सर्वासां कुचप्रदर्भनं दुराचारः । पथि पर्युषितान्न-भचणं दुराचारः । केरलदेश उपरिसुरतं दुराचारः । को द्वाणदेश वृत्तारीहणं दुराचारः । गुर्जरदेशे चर्मीदकपानं तृतोयदिने रज-खलासानं दुराचारः। उत्तरदेशे कली मांसभन्त हुराचारः। पर्व-तदेशे क्वचित्वली देवरेण सुतोत्यत्तिर्दुराचारः । क्वचिच्छुप्वमांसभ-चणं दुराचारः। \* \* \* व सिधिलगी उदेग्रे सदा तैललेपनं दूरा-चारः। गौडदेशे वेदलागो दुराचारः। कान्यकुञ्जदेशे पर्णस्यघृतप-क्षभन्न एं दुराचारः । विवाहादौ भोजनसमये परस्परसर्भनं दुरा-चारः। उत्कलदेशे मुखसुरतं दुराचारः। गौडद्रविडकेरलोत्कलमै-धिलेषु पञ्जकलमतएडुं लोदनभन्त एं दुराचारः । सर्वेषां पथि ताम्ब्रल-भवर्षं दराचारः । मैगधे वर्णसंकर्णं दुराचारः । चर्मवत्पादपाग्री-गमनं दुराचारः । काम्मीरदेशीया दिजा केवलं यवनप्रायाः। खलु तेषां द्राचाराणां गणनैव नास्ति। Thus read, in combination, my two MSS., so far as they are legible. The book from which this passage is taken emanated, at least in one of its two forms, from Maháráshtra; and it imparts instructive hints as to the opinions, on the dark side, entertained, by certain Hindus, of certain others.

i The verb here used is kush, 'to scratch.' The commentary says न कृष्णीयात । नोत्किरेत्।

<sup>‡</sup> Read 'nor sigh'. The substantive here used is śwasa.

cough, nor laugh loudly, nor emit wind with noise, nor bite \* his nails, nor cut grass, nor scratch the ground, 1 nor put his beard into his mouth, nor crumble a clod of clay; nor look upon the chief planetary bodies, † when he is unclean. Let him not express disgust at a corpse; for the odour of a dead body is the produce of the moon. Let (a decent man) ever avoid, by night, the place where four roads meet, the village-tree, \$ the grove adjacent to the place where bodies are burnt, and a loose woman. Let him not pass across the shadow of a venerable person, of an image of a deity, of a flag, | of a heavenly lumi-

But compare the Manavadharmasastra, IV., 142:

# न चापि पश्चेदशुचिः सुखो ज्योतिर्गणान्दिनि ।

. Here follows the line:

### नयां परस्त्रयं चैव सूर्यं चास्तमनोदये।

Other objects forbidden to be looked at are, thus, 'another's naked wife, and the sun at its setting or rising.'

According to the Laws of the Mánavas, IV., 53, a man may not see even his own wife in a state of nudity.

§ Chaitya-taru. I find a variant, chaitya-tanu, 'the interior of a temple', perhaps.

|| The original words, occurring in the midst of a compound, are devadhwaja, which may mean 'the banner of a god'.

In the former edition there was an unintentional comma after "image".

# श लोष्टमर्दी नृणक्केरी नखखादी च यो नरः। स विनागं त्रजलागु सूचकोऽ शुचिरेव च॥

¹ Manu, IV., 71. ¶ "He who breaks clay, or cuts grass, or bites his nails, will speedily fall to ruin."

Khadayet. But a common reading is vadayet. He is, then, not to snap his nails, apparently.

<sup>†</sup> ज्योतींष्यमेध्यः श्रुसानि। But the commentator notes a variant, ज्योतींष्यमेध्याश्रसानि, which he takes to mean ज्योतींषि चत्तुःप्रतिकू-सानि अमेध्यानि पुरीषादीनि अश्रसानि अमङ्गलानि; that is to say, "things injurious to the eyes, filthy, and inauspicious."

nary.¹ Let him not travel alone through a forest, nor sleep\* by himself in an empty house.² Let him keep remote from hair, bones, thorns, filth, remnants of offerings, ashes,† chaff, and earth³ wet with water in which another has bathed. Let him not receive the protection of the unworthy, nor attach himself to the dishonest. Let him not approach a beast of prey;; and let him not tarry long, when he has risen from sleep. Let him not lie in bed, when he is awake; nor encounter fatigue, when it is time to rest. § A prudent

# श्रुतीव जागरखन्ने तद्वत्खानासने बुधः। न सेवेत तथा ग्रुखां वायासं च नरेश्वर॥

"Let the wise man shun excess as to watching and sleeping; likewise, as to standing and sitting; and so, as to his bed and as to labour, O King."

One MS. has jágaraswapnau, which we should rather expect. Perhaps the writer preferred jingle to good grammar. Further, several MSS. read snána, 'bathing', for sthána, 'standing'; and one gives chiram, 'for a long time', instead of tathá, 'so.' The scholiast says: ऋतीव जागरा-दीझ च सेवेत नाम्यसेत्। स्थानं गतिनिवृत्तिम्। स्थासनमुपवेश्वनम्। श्रस्थामिति श्यनव्यवाययोक्पलक्षणम्। व्यायामं स्रमम्।

# देवतानां गुरो राज्ञः स्नातकाचार्ययोख्या। नाक्रामेत्कामतप्रकायां बसुणो दीचितस्य च॥

According to Kulluka and Medhatithi, idols are intended by the first word of this stanza.

नैकः खणाच्छृन्यगेहे भ्रयानं न प्रबोधयेत्।

\*\* अधितिष्ठेत्र केशांसु न भस्रास्थिकपालिकाः। न कार्पासास्थि न तुषान्दीर्धमायुर्जिजीविषः॥

<sup>1</sup> Manu, IV., 130.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ib., id., 57.¶

³ Ib., id., 78.\*\*

<sup>\*</sup> I find vaset, 'dwell.'

<sup>†</sup> Balibhasma.

<sup>†</sup> Preferably, 'a vicious beast'; vyála being interpreted dushťa-mŕiga.

man will avoid, even at a distance, animals with tusks and horns; and he will shun exposure to frost, to wind,\* and to sunshine. A man must neither bathe, nor sleep, nor rinse his mouth, † whilst he is naked; 1 he must not wash his mouth, nor perform any sacred rite, with his waistband unfastened; and he must not offer oblations to fire, nor sacrifice to the gods, nor wash his mouth, nor salute a Brahman, nor utter a prayer,: with only one garment on. § Let him never associate with | immoral persons: half an instant is the limit for the intercourse of the righteous with them. A wise man will never engage in a dispute with either his superiors or inferiors: controversy and marriage are to be permitted only between equals. Let not a prudent man enter into contention: let him avoid unprofitable enmity. A small loss may be endured; but he should shun the wealth that is acquired by hostility.

"When a man has bathed, he must not wipe his limbs with a towel or with \*\* his hands; nor shake his hair, nor rinse his mouth before he has risen. †† Let

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Manu, IV., 45. ‡‡

<sup>\*</sup> Read 'the east wind', puro-váta.

<sup>†</sup> Upaspriset is so explained by the commentator.

As the original of "nor salute a Brahman, nor utter a prayer", I find, with न म प्रवर्तन in the context, द्विज्ञाचनको जपे, "nor engage in prayer which he has procured to be said by the twice-born." The commentator explains द्विज्ञाचनको by पृष्णाह्वाचने. The prayer referred to is, thus, understood to be that at a ceremony for which the Brahmans have pronounced a certain day to be lucky.

<sup>§</sup> Compare p. 125, supra. || Sahásita. ¶ Samnikarsha. \*\* Snánasátí. †† The Sanskrit has only utthitah, implying 'after he has stood up'.

<sup>#</sup> नात्रमयादेववासा न नयः स्नानमाचरेत्।

him not (when sitting,) put one foot over another, nor stretch forth \* his foot, in the presence of a superior, but sit, with modesty, in the posture called Vírásana (or, on his knees). He must never pass round a temple upon his left hand, † nor perform the ceremony of circumambulating any venerable object in the reverse direction. A decent man will not spit, nor eject any impurity, in front of the moon, fire, the sun, water, wind, or any respectable person; nor will he void urine standing, nor upon the highway. He will never step over phlegm, ordure, urine, or blood: nor is the expectoration of the mucus of the throat: allowable at the time of eating, offering sacrifices or oblations, or repeating prayers, § or in the presence of a respectable person.

"Let not a man treat women with disrespect; nor let him put entire || faith in them. Let him not deal impatiently with them, I nor set them over matters of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Manu, IV., 52.\*\*

<sup>\*</sup> This-prasarayet-is the commentator's explanation of nayet.

<sup>🕆</sup> त्रपसयं न गच्छेच देवागारचतुष्पथान्।

<sup>:</sup> Add 'or nose'. The original is श्लेष्मसिंहानकोत्सर्गः Commentary A adds: सिंहानकं जुतम् । सिंहानो नासिकोञ्जवः । सिंहानकं कठिन: श्लेष्मा। जुतमिति केचित् ।

<sup>§</sup> बलिसङ्गलजप्यादी न होमे।

This all-important qualification is not in the original.

<sup>ी</sup> न चैवेषुभेवेत्। The commentary gives irshu = asahishiu.

<sup>&</sup>quot; प्रत्यियं प्रति सूर्यं च प्रति सोमोदकद्विजान्। प्रति गां प्रति वातं च प्रज्ञा नम्मति मेहतः॥ Compare p. 110, supra.

importance.\* A man who is attentive to the duties of his station will not go forth from his house without

कुलीना रूपवत्यस नायवत्यस योषितः। मर्यादासु न तिष्ठन्ति स दोषः स्त्रीषु नारद॥ अनर्थिलायनथाणां भयात्परिजनस्य च। मर्यादायाममर्यादाः स्त्रियस्तिष्ठन्ति भर्तृषु ॥ न भयात्राप्यनुकोशात्रार्थहेतोः कथंचन । न ज्ञातिकुलसंबन्धात्स्त्रयस्तिष्ठन्ति भर्तृषु ॥ यौवने वर्तमानानां मुष्टाभर्णवाससाम्। नारीणां खैरवृत्तीनां सुहयन्ति कुलस्त्रियः॥ पङ्कष्वय च देवेषे ये चान्ये वृतिसता नराः। स्त्रीणामगम्यो लोकेऽस्मिन्नास्ति कश्चित्रहाम्ने॥ यदि पुंसां गतिर्बह्मन्कशंचित्रोपपदाते। अपन्योन्यं प्रवर्तन्ते न हि तिष्ठन्ति भर्तेषु ॥ श्रनाभात्पुर्वाणां हि भयात्परिजनस्य च। वधबन्धभयाचापि खयंगुप्ता भवनित ताः॥ नामिस्तृष्यति काष्टानां नापगानां महोद्धिः। नान्तकः सर्वभूतानां न पुंसां वामलोचनाः॥ इदमन्यञ्च देवर्षे रहस्यं सर्वयोषिताम । दृष्टैव पुरुषं हृवं योनिः प्रक्षिवते स्त्रियाः॥ कामानामपि दातारं कर्तारं मनसां प्रियम्। रिचतारं न मधन्ति खभतीरमलं स्त्रियः॥ न कामभोगान्विपुलाझालंकाराझ संत्रयान्। तथैव बज्ज मन्यन्ते यथा रत्यामनुग्रहम्॥ श्रनाकः पवनो मृत्युः पातालं वडवामुखम्। चुरधारा विषं सर्पी वहिरित्येकतः स्त्रियः॥

"Women, though born in noble families, themselves beauteous, and married to worthy husbands, remain not within the bounds of duty: this, Nárada, is the fault of women.

<sup>\*</sup> The Hindus, in their literature, here and there manifest a due esteem for womankind. In the subjoined extract from the Mahábhárata it is to be hoped that their misogyny found its utmost limit. These verses—selected from a slanderous effusion of a member of the celestial demimonde, the apsaras Panchachúdá,—are to be met with in the Anusásanaparvan, sl. 2212—2230 (Chapter XXXVIII., sl. 11—29, in the Bombay edition of Śaka 1784—5.).

<sup>&</sup>quot;From the want of a motive for deviation, or through fear of the

saluting the chaplets,\* flowers, gems, clarified butter, and venerable persons in it. At proper seasons, he will salute, respectfully, the places where four roads meet, when engaged† in offering oblations with fire. Let him liberally relieve the virtuous who are poor, and reverence those who are learned in the Vedas.:

people or of their kindred, unbridled women may remain within the bounds of duty, faithful to their husbands.

"But neither through fear of moral law, nor through severe reprehension, nor from any motive of regard for wealth, nor on account of their connexion with kindred and family, are women constant to their husbands.

"Matrons envy women who live by prostitution the bloom of youth they possess, and the food and apparel they receive.

"Though men be lame, divine Sage! or otherwise contemptible, there is not any man, in this world, great Sage! insufferable to women.

"If they have no possible access to men, O thou inspired by Brahmá! they seduce each other: truly, they are not constant to their husbands.

"From not finding men, or through fear of their kindred, or apprehension of stripes or confinement, they guard themselves.

"But fire is not satiated with wood, nor the ocean with rivers, nor death with all beings, nor woman with man.

"This, divine Sage! is another hidden quality of all women: at the very sight of a handsome man, the heart of a woman melts with desire.

"Women bear not much affection to their husbands, though giving them what they desire, doing what they wish, and protecting them from danger.

"They do not so much value the gratification of their wishes, abundance of ornaments, or hoards of wealth, as they do sensual pleasures.

"Final destiny, wind, death, the infernal regions, the fire of the ocean, the edge of a razor, poison, venomous serpents, and devouring fire, all united, are no worse than women."

This translation is by Colebrooke, and may be found in his version of Jagannátha Tarkapanchánana's *Digest of Hindu Law*, Vol. II., pp. 393, 394, London edition.

\* Mangalya.

† Read "and will engage"; the Sanskrit being होमपरो भवेत.

## दे दीनानभुडरिताधूनुपासीत बङ्गश्रुतान्।

"Let him aid the needy; and let him reverence the virtuous, if very learned."

This is in accord with the commentary, which says: साधूनेव नाज्य-तानुपासीत नेतरान्। He who is a worshipper of the gods and sages, who gives cakes and water to the manes, and who exercises hospitality, obtains the highest regions, (after death). He who\* speaks wisely, moderately, and kindly, goes to those worlds which are the inexhaustible sources of happiness. He who is intelligent, modest, devout, † and who reverences wisdom, his superiors, ‡ and the aged, goes to § heaven.

"On the days called Parvans, on periods of impurity, upon unseasonable thunder, and the occurrence of eclipses or atmospheric portents, a wise man must desist from the study of the Vedas. The pious man who suppresses anger and envy, who is benevolent to all, and allays the fears of others, secures, as the least of his rewards, enjoyment in Swarga. A man should carry an umbrella, as a defence against sun and rain; he should bear a staff, when he goes by night, or through a wood; and he should walk in shoes, if he desires to keep his body from harm. As he goes along, he should not look up, or about him, \*\*\* or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Manu, IV., 101, &c. The legislator is much more copious, on this subject, than the author of the Purána.

In the Sanskrit, काले वश्चात्मा य:, "the person of subdued affections who, at the proper time."

<sup>†</sup> Kshamayukta, 'forbearing.' Here follow two untranslated epithets, astika and vinayanvita, 'pious' and 'meek'.

<sup>‡</sup> Abhijana; his 'family', perhaps.

<sup>§</sup> Insert 'the highest', anuttama.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Or atmospheric portents" is to render adika, 'etc.'

श्रमं नयति यः कुद्वान्सर्वबन्धरमत्सरी।
 भीताश्वासनक्रत्साधः खर्गस्यात्यकं फलम्॥

<sup>\*\*</sup> Tiryach.

afar off, but keep his eyes upon the ground, to the extent of a couple of yards, \*

"The householder who expels all sources of imperfection is, in a great degree, acquitted of the three ordinary objects of existence,—desire, wealth, and virtue;† sinless amongst the sinful; speaking amicably to all men; his whole soul melting with benevolence; final felicity is in his grasp.: The earth is upheld by the veracity of those who have subdued their passions, and, following righteous practices, are never contaminated by desire, coveteousness, and wrath.§ Let, therefore, a wise man (ever) speak the truth, when it is agreeable; and, when the truth would inflict pain, let him hold his peace. Let him not utter that which, though acceptable, would be detrimental; for it were better to speak that which would be salutary, although it should give exceeding offence. | A considerate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So Manu, IV., 138.¶ "Let him say what is true; but let him say what is pleasing. Let him speak no disagreeable truth; nor let him speak agreeable falsehood. This is a primeval rule."\*\*

<sup>\*</sup> Yuga-mátra, defined by hasta-chatushťaya.

<sup>†</sup> दोषहेतूनग्रेषांसु वस्राता यो निरस्रति। तस्र धर्मार्थकामानां हानिनात्यापि जायते॥

<sup>ः</sup> पापेऽष्यपापः पर्वेऽष्यभिधत्ते प्रियाणि यः। मैत्रोद्धवानः करणसस्य मुक्तिः करे स्थिता॥

<sup>§</sup> ये कामक्रोधलोभानां वीतरागा न गोचरे।
सदाचारस्थितास्तेषामनुभावधृता मही॥

प्रियं युक्तं हितं नैतिदिति मला न तद्देत्।
 श्रेयस्त हितं वाचं यदायत्वनमिष्यम्॥

<sup>¶</sup> सत्यं त्रूयात्मियं त्रूयात त्रूयात्सत्यमियम् । प्रियं च नानृतं त्रूयादेष धर्मः सनातनः ॥

<sup>\*\*</sup> This is Sir William Jones's rendering.

man will always cultivate, in act, thought, and speech, that which is good for living beings, both in this world and in the next."<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> That the preceding chapter agrees, in many respects, very closely with the contents of the fourth book of the Institutes of Manu, on economics and private morals, will be evident from the instances cited of some of the parallel passages. Several others might have been adduced.



#### CHAPTER XIII.

Of Śráddhas or rites in honour of ancestors, to be performed on occasions of rejoicing. Obsequial ceremonies. Of the Ekoddishia or mouthly Śráddha, and the Sapińdana or annual one. By whom to be performed.

AURVA continued.—"The bathing of a father, without disrobing, is enjoined, when a son is born; and he is to celebrate the ceremony proper for the event,\* which is the Śráddha offered upon joyous occasions.

<sup>1</sup> The offerings of the Hindus to the Pitris partake of the character of those of the Romans to the lares and manes, but bear a more conspicuous part in their ritual. They are said, indeed, by Manu (III., 203†), in words repeated in the Váyu and Matsya Puráńas, and Hari Vauńśa, to be of more moment than the worship of the gods:

देवकार्यादिप सदा पितुकार्यं विशिष्यते।

These ceremonies are not to be regarded as merely obsequial; for, independently of the rites addressed to a recently deceased relative,—and, in connexion with him, to remote ancestors, and to the progenitors of all beings,—which are of a strictly obsequial or funeral description, offerings to deceased ancestors, and the Pitris in general, form an essential ceremony, on a great variety of festive and domestic occasions. The Nirúaya Sindhu, in a passage referred to by Mr. Colebrooke (Asiatic Researches, Vol. VII.§), specifies the following Śráddhas: 1. The Nitya, or perpetual; daily offerings to ancestors in general: 2. The Naimittika, or occasional; as the Ekoddishía, or obsequial offerings

<sup>\*</sup> Expressed, in the original, by júta-karman, for which see Colebrooke's Digest, &c., Vol. III., p. 104, note †.

<sup>†</sup> देवकार्याद्विजातीनां पितृकार्यं विशिष्यते ।

<sup>‡</sup> Harivaínsa, śl. 1005. § Or Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 180, note.

With composed mind, and thinking on nothing else, the Brahman should offer worship to both the gods and progenitors, and should respectfully circumambulate, keeping Brahmans on his left hand, and give them food.\* Standing with his face to the east,† he should present, with the parts of the hand sacred to the gods

on account of a kinsman recently deceased: 3. The Kámya, voluntary; performed for the accomplishment of a special design (ग्रभिप्रतसिंहचे): 4. The Vriddhi; performed on occasions of rejoicing or prosperity: 5. The Sapiúdana; offerings to all individual and to general ancestors: 6. The Párvana Śráddha; offerings to the manes, on certain lunar days called Parvans, or day of full moon and new moon, and the eighth and fourteenth days of the lunar fortnight: 7. The Goshthi; for the advantage of a number of learned persons, or of an assembly of Brahmans, invited for the purpose: 8. The Suddhi; one performed to purify a person from some defilement,—an expiatory Śráddha: 9. The Karmánga; one forming part of the initiatory ceremonies, or Samskaras, observed at conception, birth, tonsure, &c.: 10. The Daivika; to which the gods are invited: 11. The Yátrá Śráddha; held by a person going a journey: and, 12. The Pushti Śráddha; one performed to promote health and wealth. Of these, the four which are considered the most solemn are the rite performed for a parent, or near relative, lately deceased; that which is performed for kindred, collectively; that observed on certain lunar days; and that celebrated on occasions of rejoicing: अव पार्वणिकोहिष्ट-विद्यसिपण्डीकरणात्मकं चतुर्विधमेव मुख्यम्। Nirnaya Sindhu, p. 271.

<sup>ं</sup> युग्मान्देवांश्व पित्र्यांश्व सम्यक्सव्यक्रमाद्विजान् । पूजयेद्गोजयेचैव तत्मना नान्यमानसः॥

<sup>&</sup>quot;With mind intent thereon, i.e., on the son born, and on nothing else, let him duly circumambulate—keeping them on his left—Bráhmaus, in couples, those set apart for the service of the gods and those set apart for the service of the manes; and let him worship and feed those same Bráhmaus."

<sup>†</sup> Add "or to the north"; for the original has प्राक्तुबोद्शुखोऽपि वा।

and\* to Prajápati, balls of food,¹ with curds, unbruised grain, and jujubes; and should perform, on every accession of good fortune, the rite by which the class of progenitors termed Nándímukha is propitiated.² A

<sup>2</sup> We have, here, the authority of the text for classing the Nándímukhas amongst the Pitris (see p. 98, supra). The verse is:

नान्दीमुखः पितृगणस्तेन त्राडिन पाधिर्व। प्रीयते तत्तु कर्तव्यं पुरुषैः सर्ववृड्डिषु॥

<sup>1</sup> Manu directs the balls to be made from the remainder of the clarified butter constituting the previous oblation to the gods. III., 215.† Kullúka Bhatta explains, however, the oblation to consist partly of Anna (अत),-food, or boiled rice. The latter is the article of which the balls chiefly consist. Yájnavalkya; directs them to be made of rice and sesamum-seeds. The Váyu Purána adds, to these, two ingredients, honey and butter: but various kinds of fruit, of pulse, and of grain, and water, frankincense, sugar, and milk, are, also, mixed up in the Pińdas. Their size, also, differs; and, according to Angiras, as quoted by Hemádri, in the Śráddha Mayúkha, § they may be of the dimension of the fruit of the jujube, or of the hog-plum, of the fruit of the Bel, or of the wood-apple, or of a fowl's egg. Some authorities direct Pindas of a different size for different Śráddhas; prescribing them no larger than the wood-apple, at the first or pure funereal ceremony, and as big as a cocoa-nut, at the monthly and annual Śráddha. In practice, the Pińda is, usually, of such a magnitude, that it may be conveniently held by the hand.

<sup>\*</sup> The original has  $v\acute{a}$ , 'or'; the injunction allowing an option. The expressions here connected are daivatirtha and kaya, on which vide supra, p. 99, notes 1 and \*. The daivatirtha is sometimes called the tirtha of the Kishis,—arsha.

<sup>†</sup> वीं सु तसाद्वविः प्रेवात्पिण्डान्क्वता समाहितः। त्रीदनेनेव विधिना निर्वपेद्द विणामुखः॥

<sup>‡ ?</sup> 

<sup>§</sup> This work has Nílakaútha for its author. Hemádri wrote the Śráddha-kalpa.

householder should diligently worship the Pitris so named, at the marriage of a son or daughter, on entering a new dwelling, on giving a name to a child, on performing his tonsure and other purificatory ceremonies,\* at the binding of the mother's hair during gestation, or on (first) seeing the face of a son, or the like. The Śráddha on such occasions, however, has been briefly alluded to. Hear now, O king, the rules for the performance of obsequial rites.

"Having washed the corpse with holy water, decorated it with garlands, and burnt it without the village, the kinsmen, having bathed with their clothes on, are to stand with their faces to the south, and offer libations (to the deceased), addressing him by name, and adding, 'wherever thou mayest be.' They then re-

And the same Gana or class is presently again named:

नान्दीमुखं पितृगणं पूजयेत्रयतो गृही।
The Mantra of the Vriddhi or festival Sráddha is, also, said, in the Nirnaya Sindhu, to be नान्दीमुखेशः पितृश्वः खाहा। According to the authorities, however, which are cited in that work, there seems to be some uncertainty about the character of the Nándímukhas; and they are addressed both as Pitris and gods; being, in the former case, either the ancestors prior to the greatgrandfather,—ancestors collectively, or a certain class of them; and, in the latter, being identified with the Viśwadevas, or a class of them called also Úrdhwavaktra. The term Nándímukha is, also, applied to the rite itself, or to the Vriddhi Śráddha, and to one addressed to maternal ancestors. Nirńaya Sindhu, pp. 268, &c.

<sup>1</sup> "An oblation of water must be next presented from the joined palms of the hand, naming the deceased and the family

Chúdákarmádika.

turn, along with the cattle (coming from pasture), to the village, and, upon the appearance of the stars, retire to rest, sleeping on mats spread upon the earth.\* Every day (whilst the mourning lasts), a cake (or ball) of food¹ is to be placed on the ground, (as an offering) to the deceased; and rice,† without flesh, is to be daily! eaten. Brahmans are to be fed for as many days as the mourner pleases; for the soul of the defunct derives satisfaction accordingly as his relatives are content with their entertainment.§ On the first day, or the third, or seventh, or ninth (after the death

from which he sprung, and saying, 'May this oblation reach thee.'" Asiatic Researches, Vol. VII., p. 244.|| The text has:

यन तन स्थितायैतदमुकायेति वादिनः।

¹ The proper period of mourning is ten days, on each of which, offerings of cakes and libations of water are to be made to the deceased, augmenting the number of cakes each day, so that, on the last day, ten cakes are presented. When the period is shorter, the same number of ten cakes must be distributed amongst the several days; or they may be, all, presented on one day. Nirńaya Sindhu, p. 429.

\* कटधर्मास्ततः कुर्युर्भूमी सस्तर्शायिनः।

Here—compare p. 131, note, supra,—we encounter the unusual vocable srastara, with the variants prastara, samstara, and swastara. Commentary A has srastara; commentary B, prastara: but, as both give the same definition, auntal, it is presumable that one or other of them is sophisticated as to the term defined.

On the expression कटधर्मान the commentator remarks प्रतक्तिसानि

<sup>†</sup> So the commentator explains bhakta.

<sup>‡</sup> Divá, 'by day.'

<sup>§</sup> प्रेतस्तृप्तिं तथा याति बन्धुवर्गेण भुज्जता।

Or Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., pp. 159, 160.

of a person), his kinsmen should change their raiment,\* and bathe out of doors, and offer a libation of water, with (tila) sesamum-seeds. On the fourth day,¹ the ashes and bones should be collected; after which the body of one connected with the deceased by offerings of funeral cakes may be touched (by an indifferent person, without thereby incurring impurity); and those who are related only by presentation of water† are qualified for any occupation.² The former class of

्रततोऽनु बन्धुवर्गसु भुवि दवात्तिलोदकम्।

वस्त्रत्यागं वहिः स्नानं कृत्वा द्यात्तिलोदकम्। there is no bathing prescribed for this latter occasion.

सिपण्डता तु पुरुषे सप्तमे विनिवर्तते । समानोदकभावसु निवर्तेताचतुर्दशात । जन्मनासोः स्मेतेरेके तत्परं गोत्रमुच्यते ॥

"The relation of the sapindas (or, kindred connected by the funeral oblation,) ceases with the seventh person: and that of samánodakas (or,

¹ It should be, more correctly, on that day on which the mourning ceases, or, as previously mentioned, the first, third, seventh, or ninth: but the authorities vary; and, besides these, the second and fourth days, and certain days of the fortnight or month, are specified. Niráaya Sindhu, p. 432.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> They are no longer unclean. The Sapińdas, or those connected by offerings of cakes to common ancestors, extend to seven degrees, ascending or descending; the Samánodakas, or those similarly connected by presentations of water, to fourteen degrees.<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>\*</sup> The words वस्त्रहागं \* ब्रह्म imply that the clothes should be laid aside for the second funereal ablution. As we have seen just above, they are to be retained during the first. According to some MSS., however, which have

<sup>†</sup> Samánasalila. It means the same as samánodaka.

In the Mitákshará, where it expatiates on the Yajnavalkya-smíiti, II., 136, the following lines are quoted from Brihan-Manu:

relatives may use beds;\* but they must still refrain from unguents and flowers, and must observe continence, after the ashes and bones have been collected, (until the mourning is over). When the deceased is a child, + or one who is abroad, t or who has been degraded, or a spiritual preceptor, § the period of uncleanness is but brief, | and the ceremonies with fire and water are discretional. The food of a family in which a kinsman is deceased is not to be partaken of for ten days; and, during, that period, gifts, acceptance, sacrifice, and sacred study are suspended. The

Compare the Laws of the Manavas, V., 60.

\* Add 'and seats', ásana.

+ That has not yet cut its teeth, says the commentator.

And has been so for upwards of a year, the commentary adds.

As explanatory of what is meant by "abroad", the following stanza is cited:

## महानवन्तरं यच गिरिवी व्यवधायकः। वाची यच विभिवन्ते तहेशान्तर्मिष्यते ॥

§ Guru. A common variant, and preferred by commentary A, is muni. | Sadyas. It is stated, by the scholiast, that it lasts only three nights, for one who dies abroad.

## श्वाले देशान्तरखे च पतिते च गुरौ मृते।

सद्यः ग्रीचं तथेक्शतो जलाग्यद्वन्धनादिषु ॥ After "brief" read: "likewise, optionally, as regards persons who die from water, fire, or hanging."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> That is, a mere guest, or stranger, is not to partake of it. The food directed to be given to Brahmans is given, in general, only to the relatives of the deceased who are already unclean. In this respect, our text and the modern practice seem to differ

those connected by a common libation of water,) extends to the fourteenth degree; or, as some affirm, it reaches as far as the memory of birth and name extends. This is signified by gotra (or, the relation of family-name)." Colebrooke's Two Treatises on the Hindu Law of Inheritance, pp. 351, 352.

term of impurity for a Brahman is ten days;\* for a Kshattriya,† twelve; for a Vaiśya, half a month; and a whole month, for a Śúdra.¹ On the first day (after uncleanness ceases), the nearest relation of the deceased should feed Brahmans at his pleasure, but in uneven numbers, and offer to the deceased a ball of rice upon holy grass; placed near the residue of the food that has been eaten. After the guests have been fed, the mourner, according to his caste, is to touch water, a weapon, a goad, or a staff; as he is purified by such contact. He may then resume the duties prescribed for his caste, and follow the avocation ordinarily pursued by its members.

"The Śráddha enjoined for an individual § is to be repeated on the day of his death, (in each month, for a year), but without the prayers and rites performed

from the primitive system, as described by Manu, III., 187. The eleventh or twelfth day is the term on which the Śráddha which crowns the whole of the funeral rites is to be performed, and when Brahmans are to be invited. Nirnaya Sindhu, p. 437.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The number of Pińdas, however, is, for each case, the same, or ten. Nirńaya Sindhu, p. 429.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So Manu, III., 251. ¶ It may be doubted if the monthly

<sup>\*</sup> The ceremonial uncleanness of the Brahman lasts as long as that of the Kshattriya, according to my MSS, which here read:

विप्रसीतद्वादशाहं राजन्यसायशीचकम्।

But the Translator has the support of the Minavadharmaśástra, V., 83. † Rájanya, in the original. † Darbha.

<sup>§</sup> This phrase is to render ekoddishta.

<sup>।</sup> पूर्वेद्यरपरेद्युर्वात्राज्ञकर्मखुपस्थिते । निमन्त्रयेतः च्यवरान्सम्यग्विप्रान्ययोदितान् ॥

on the first occasion, and without offerings to the Viśwadevas.\* A single ball of food is to be offered to the deceased, as the purification of one person; and Brahmans are to be fed. † The Brahmans are to be asked, by the sacrificer, if they are satisfied; and, upon their assent, the prayer 'May this ever satisfy such a one' (the deceased,) is to be recited.;

"This is the Śráddha called Ekoddishta, which is to be performed (monthly,) to the end of a twelvemonth (from the death of a person); at the expiration of which, the ceremony called Sapińdana is to be observed. The practices of this rite are the same as those of the monthly obsequies; but a lustration is to be made with four vessels of water, perfumes, and sesamum. One of these vessels is considered as dedicated to the deceased; the other three, to the progenitors in general; and the contents of the former are

Śráddha was part of the ancient system, although Kullúka Bhatta supposes it to be referred to (v. 248), and supplies the fancied omission of the text.

# \* मृताहनि च कर्तव्यमेकोहिष्टमतः परम्।

श्राह्मानादिकियादैवनियोगरहितं हि तत्॥
The commentator says that the Viswe devas are here intended; and the Translator has substituted his explanation.

- † एकोऽर्घस्तव दातव्यस्यवैवेकं पविवक्तम्। प्रेताय पिण्डो दातव्यी भुक्तवत्सु दिजातिषु॥
- 🗓 प्रश्रश्च तत्राभिरतिर्यजमानैर्द्विजयनाम् । ग्रच्यममुक्सेति वक्तवं विरती तथा॥

<sup>§</sup> The term here used, in the original, is sapińdikarańa, as a little below.

to be transferred to the other three,\* by which the deceased becomes included in the class of ancestors, to whom worship is to be addressed, with all the ceremonies of the Śráddha. The persons who are competent to perform the obsequies (of relations connected by the offering of the cake) are the son, grandson, great-grandson, a kinsman of the deceased, the descendants of a brother, or the posterity of one allied by funeral offerings. In absence of all these, (the ceremony may be instituted) by those related by presentations of water only, or those connected, by offerings of cakes or water, to maternal ancestors. Should both families (in the male line) be extinct, the last obsequies may be performed by women, or by the associates of the deceased in religious or social institutions, tor by any one who becomes possessed of the property of a deceased kinsman. §

"Obsequial rites are of three descriptions,—initiative, intermediate, and subsequent.<sup>1</sup> The first are those which are observed after the burning (of the corpse),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Púrva, 'first'; Madhyama, 'middle'; and Uttara, 'last'.

<sup>\*</sup> पात्रं प्रेतस्य तत्रैकं पात्रत्रययुतं तथा। सेचयेत्पितृपात्रेषु प्रेतपात्रं नृप तिषु॥

<sup>†</sup> Bandhu. "Bandhu, cognate or distant kin, corresponding nearly to the Cognati of the Roman law." Colebrooke's Two Treatises on the Hindu Law of Inheritance, p. 352, note.

<sup>‡</sup> The phrase "associates of the deceased," &c. is to represent sanghatiantargata, on which the commentator observes: संघातः सार्थो याचिनसमुदायः। तत्र मृतस्य तद्नगंतैः। प्रेतिक्रयार्थेत्वर्थः। समानप्रव-रसमानग्रास्त्रादिक्षः संघात इति केचित्।

<sup>§</sup> Utsanna-bandhu.

until the touching of water, weapons, &c., (or, until the cessation of uncleanness); the intermediate ceremonies are the Śráddhas called Ekoddishta, which are offered every month; and the subsequent rites are those which follow the Sapińdíkarańa, when the deceased is admitted amongst the ancestors of his race: and the ceremonies are, thenceforth, (general or) ancestral. The first set of rites (as essential) are to be performed by the kindred of the father or mother,whether connected by the offering of the cake or of water,—by the associates of the deceased,\* or by the prince who inherits his property. The first and the last rites are, both, to be performed by sons and other relations, and by daughter's sons, and their sons; and so are the sacrifices on the day of the person's death. The last class, or ancestral rites, are to be performed annually, with the same ceremonies as are enjoined for the monthly obsequies; and they may be, also, performed by females. As the ancestral rights are, therefore, most universal, I will describe to you, O king, at what seasons, and in what manner, they should be celebrated."+

<sup>\*</sup> Sanghátántargata.

<sup>†</sup> तसादुत्तरसंज्ञा या क्रिया तां गृणु पार्थिव। यदा यदा च कर्तवा विधिना वेन चानघ॥

### CHAPTER XIV.

Of occasional Śráddhas or obsequial ceremonies: when most efficacious, and at what places.

AURVA proceeded.—"Let the devout performer of an ancestral oblation propitiate Brahmá, Indra, Rudra,

<sup>1</sup> We may here take the opportunity of inquiring who are meant by the Pitris: and, generally speaking, they may be called a race of divine beings, inhabiting celestial regions of their own, and receiving into their society the spirits of those mortals for whom the rite of fellowship in obsequial cakes with them, the Sapińdikarańa, has been duly performed. The Pitris collectively, therefore, include a man's ancestors; but the principal members of this order of beings are of a different origin. The Váyu, Matsya, and Padma Puránas, and Hari Vamsa, profess to give an account of the original Pitris. The account is much the same, and, for the most part, in the same words, in all. They agree\* in distinguishing the Pitris into seven classes; three of which are without form (अमृत्यः), or composed of intellectual, not elementary, substance, and assuming what forms they please; and four are corporeal (समर्त्यः). When they come to the enumeration of the particular classes, they somewhat differ; and the accounts, in all the works, are singularly imperfect. According to a legend given by the Váyu and the Hari Vamsa, the first Pitris were the sons of the gods. The gods, having offended Brahmá, by neglecting to worship him, were cursed, by him, to become fools; but, upon their repentance, he directed them to apply to their sons, for instruction. Being taught, accordingly, the rites of ex-

सप्तेते जयतां श्रेष्ठ सर्वे पितृगणाः स्नृताः। चलारो मूर्तिमनो वै चय एषाममूर्तयः॥

<sup>\*</sup> From the Harivaínsa, śl. 932:

the Aświns,\* the sun, fire, the Vasus, the winds,† the Viśwadevas, the sages, birds, men, animals,‡ reptiles,§

piation and penance, by their sons, they addressed them as fathers; whence the sons of the gods were the first Pitris.

ते पुत्रानत्रुवन्त्रीता लब्धसंज्ञा दिवीवसः। यूयं वै पितरोऽस्माकं यैवयं प्रतिबोधिताः॥

So the Matsya has:

## मन्वन्तरेषु जायने पितरो देवसुनवः।

'The Pitris are born, in the Manwantaras, as the sons of the gods.' The Hari Vamsa¶ makes the sons assume the character of fathers; addressing them, 'Depart, children:'

## गम्यतां पुचका एवं पुचेकताञ्च ते तदा।

Again, the Váyu Purána declares the seven orders of Pitris to have been, originally, the first gods, the Vairajas, whom Brahma, with the eye of Yoga, beheld in the eternal spheres, and who are the gods of the gods:

लोकाः सान्तानिका नाम यत्र तिष्ठन्ति भाखराः।
ते वैराजा इति खाता देवानां दिवि देवताः॥
\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

त्रादिदेवा रति खाता महासत्त्वा महीजसः। \*\*

Again, in the same work, we have the incorporeal Pitris called Vairajas, †† from being the sons of the Prajapati Viraja: ‡‡

<sup>\*</sup> Nasatyas, their synonym, in the original.

<sup>†</sup> Máruta is the Sanskrit expression. For the Maruts, or Márutas, deities so called, see Vol. II., p. 79. † Paśu. § Sarisripa.

<sup>||</sup> This is from the Váyu-purána. Compare the Harivamsa, śl. 917, 918.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Here I have filled out a line which Professor Wilson, it should seem, was not enabled, from the illegibleness of his MSS., to decipher in its entirety. †† See Vol. II., p. 227, text and note 1.

<sup>‡‡</sup> For "the patriarch Vairaja", see Vol. I., p. 177; and Vol. II., p. 86, and p. 262, note †. From Vol. I., p. 104, note 2, and p. 108, note 1, it

progenitors, and all existent things, by offering adoration to them monthly, on the fifteenth day of the

> त्रमूर्तयः पितृगणाः पुत्रास्ते वै प्रजापतेः। विराजस्य द्विजाः श्रेष्ठा वैराजा इति विश्वताः॥

The Matsya agrees with this latter statement, and adds, that the gods worship them:

त्रमूर्तयः पितृगणा विराजस्य प्रजापतेः। यजन्ति यान्देवगणा वैराजा इति विश्वताः॥

The Hari Vaniśa\* has the same statement, but more precisely distinguishes the Vairájas as one class only of the incorporeal Pitris. The commentator† states the same, calling the three incorporeal Pitris, Vairájas, Agnishwáttas, and Barhishads;‡ and the four corporeal orders, Sukálas, Ángirasas, Suswadhas, § and Somapas. The Vairájas are described as the fathers of Mená, I the mother of Umá. Their abode is variously termed the Sántánika, Sanátana, and Soma loka. ¶ As the posterity of Virája, they are the Somasads of Manu.\*\* The other classes of Pitris

seems that Vairaja is one with Manu. Who, then, is the prajapati Vairaja? And was Vairaja corrupted into Viraja? Nilakańtha says that Viraja's sons were mánasa, which term he explains by manomátraśarira. Arjuna Miśra, another scholiast on the Harivańśa, identifies Viraja with Brahmá.

\* Śl. 935, 936:

लोकाः सनातना नाम यव तिष्ठन्ति भास्वराः। त्रमूर्तयः पितृगणास्ते वै पुत्राः प्रजापतेः॥ विराजस्य द्विजयेष्ठ वैराजा इति त्रिश्रुताः। यजन्ति तान्देवगणा विधिदृष्टेन कर्मणा॥

- † Nílakańtha. § Vide infra, p. 164, note \*\*.
- <sup>+</sup> See Vol. I., p. 156, note 2.
- || A different paternity is assigned to Mená in Vol. I., pp. 156, 157. Also vide infra, p. 162, note ||.
- ¶ For their residence in the Tapoloka, &c., see Vol. II., p. 227, text and note 1.
  - \*\* In III., 195, we read that the Somasads were sons of Viráj:

विराह्नताः सोमसदः साध्यानां पितरः स्नृताः। अपिष्यात्तास देवानां मारीच्या लोकविश्रताः॥

See note ‡‡ in the preceding page.

moon's wane (or dark fortnight),\* or on the eighth day of the same period in certain months,† or at particular; seasons, as I will explain.

the three Puránas agree with Manu in representing as the sons of the patriarchs, and, in general, assign to them the same offices and posterity. § They are the following:—

Agnishwáttas—sons of Maríchi, and Pitris of the gods (Manu, || Matsya, Padma): living in Soma-loka, and parents of Achchhodá (Matsya, Padma, Hari Vamśa¶). The Váyu\*\* makes them residents of Viraja-loka, sons of Pulastya,†† Pitris of the demigods and demons, and parents of Pívarí; omitting‡‡ the next order of

नोकाः सोमपदा नाम मरीचेर्यत्र वै सुताः। पितरो दिवि वर्तने देवासान्यावयन्युत॥ ऋपिष्वात्ता इति खाताः सर्व एवामितीजसः। एतेषां मानसी कन्या ऋचोदा नाम निम्नगा॥

" विरजा नाम ते लोका दिवि रोचिन ते गणाः।
ग्रिप्वात्ताः स्नृतास्त्रच पितरो भाखरप्रभाः॥
तच ते दानवगणा रजोगर्न्धर्विकंनराः।
भूतसपेपिशाचाश्च भावयन्ति फलार्थिनः॥
एते पुचाः समाख्याताः पुलहस्य प्रजापतेः।
चय एते गणाः प्रोक्ता धर्ममूर्तिधराः शुभाः॥
एतेषां मानसी कन्या पीवरी नाम विश्रुता।
योगिनी योगिपत्नी च योगिमाता तथैव व ॥

<sup>\*</sup> This, the commentator observes, is the darśa-śráddha.

<sup>†</sup> For these months,—three, or four, according to different authorities,—vide infra, p. 168, text and note ‡.

<sup>\*</sup> Kámya.

<sup>§</sup> In the Márkańdeya-puráńa, XCVI., 40-42, the Agnishwáttas, Barhishads, Ájyapas, and Somapas are attached to the east, south, west, and north, respectively.

<sup>||</sup> See the quotation in note || in the preceding page.

<sup>¶</sup> Śl. 953, 954:

<sup>††</sup> My MSS. have Pulaha. See the last note.

<sup>‡‡</sup> Without doubt, there is a hiatus in my MSS. of the Váyu-puráña. These contain, nearly word for word, the three lines—all but their

"When a householder finds that any circumstance has occurred, or a distinguished guest has arrived, on

Pitris, to whom these circumstances more accurately refer. The commentator on the Hari Vańśa\* derives the name from Agnishu (याप्र), 'in or by oblations to fire,' and Atta (याताः), 'obtained,' 'invoked.'

Barhishads—sons of Atri, and Pitris of the demons (Manu†): sons of Pulastya, Pitris of the demons, residents in Vaibhrája,‡ fathers of Pívarí (Matsya, Padma, Hari Vamsa§).

opening, निर्ता दिव-ending the extract in note § in p. 165, infra; and there was, probably, mention, in what preceded, of the Barhishads. These personages were, then, it seems, regarded as sons of Vasishtha, as dwellers in Jyotirbhásin, and as parents of a mind-born daughter by name Go. It should be added, that my MSS. of the Váyu-puráňa elsewhere mention the Barhishads again and again; as in the line:

त्रिमिष्वात्ता बर्हिषदः पितरी दिविधाः स्मृताः। Also see Vol. II., p. 303, notes 1 and ¶.

• त्रियमु त्रियसाध्येषु कर्मसु त्रात्ताः गृहीताः पित्र्यादिष्विष्ठिषु । Nilakantha.

+ III., 196:

दैत्यदानवयचाणां गन्धवीरगरचसाम् । सुपर्णिकंनराणां च स्मृता बर्हिषदोऽनिजाः॥

‡ Nilakańtha, commentator on the Harivainsa, glosses this word thus: वैभाजा इति। विभाजः सूर्यक्षेमे वैभाजाः।

§ Śl. 974—977:

वैभाजा नाम लोकासे दिवि भान्ति सुद्र्णनाः।
यव वर्हिषदो नाम पितरो दिवि विश्वताः॥
तान्दानवगणाः सर्वे यचगन्धर्वराचसाः।
नागाः सर्पाः सुपणाञ्च भावयन्यमितौजसः॥
एते पुवा महालानः पुलस्त्वस्य प्रजापतेः।
महालानो महाभागास्त्रजोयुकास्तपस्तिनः॥
एतेषां मानसी कन्या पीवरी नाम विश्वता।
योगा च योगिपत्नी च योगिमाता तथैव च॥

Also see note ‡‡ in the preceding page.

which account ancestral ceremonies are appropriate, he should celebrate them.\* He should offer a volun-

These three are the formless or incorporeal Pitris.

Somapas,—descendants of Bhrigu, or sons of Kavi by Swadhá, the daughter of Agni;† and Pitris of the Brahmans (Manu; and Váyu Purána§). The Padma calls them Úshmapas. The Hari Vamsa || calls the Somapas—to whom it ascribes the same de-

## \* त्राडाईमागतं द्र्यं विज्ञिष्टमयवा द्विजम् । त्राडं कुर्वीत विज्ञाय \* \* \* \* ॥

† Swadhá appears, in Vol. I., p. 109, as daughter of Daksha and Prasúti. For her husbands, vide ibid., pp. 156, 157. Also vide supra, p. 122, note ‡, ad finem.

Nilakańtha, commentator on the *Harivainsa*, says that Hirańyagarbha, mentioned in the passage in note ||, below, intends Agni: कवर्दुहिन्तिर खधायाम्। हिर्ण्यभेखादेः सुता:।

‡ III., 197, 198:

सोमपा नाम विपाणां चित्रयाणां हिवर्भुजः। वैश्वानामाज्यपा नाम शुद्धाणां तु सुकालिनः। सोमपासु कवेः पुचा हिवधम्नोऽङ्गिरःसुताः। पुनस्त्रस्वाज्यपाः पुचा वसिष्ठस्य सुकालिनः॥

§ My five MSS, of the Váyu-puráńa do not here tally with those on which Professor Wilson depended.

|| Śl. 997—999:

उत्पन्ना ये खधायां ते सोमपा वै कवेः सुताः। हिर त्यार्भस्य सुताः भूद्रास्तान्भावयन्युत॥ मानसा नाम ते लोका यत्र तिष्ठन्ति ते दिवि। तेषां वै मानसी कन्या नर्मदा सरितां वरा॥ यां भावयन्ति भूतानि द्विणापथगामिनीम्। जनित्री तसदस्योः सा पृष्कुत्सपरिग्रहः॥

The Somapas are here—as in the *Matsya-puráña*,—said to dwell in Mánasa, and to have the river Narmadá for mind-born daughter. In śl. 941, Mená, too, is their mind-born daughter. But vide supra, p. 159, note ||. Compare, also, note ‡ in p. 165, infra.

tary sacrifice, upon any atmospheric portent,\* at the equinoctial and solstitial periods, at eclipses of the sun

scent as the Váyu,—the Pitris of the Śúdras; and the Sukálas, the Pitris of the Brahmans. †

Havishmats;—in the solar sphere, sons of Angiras, and Pitris of the Kshattriyas (Manu, § Váyu, || Matsya, Padma, Hari Vamsa¶).

† In the Nirńayasindhu, III., B, fol. 11 r, Bombay edition, the Nandipuráńa—not called an Upapuráńa—is quoted as follows:

### स्रिप्यात्ता ब्राह्मणानां पितरः परिकीर्तिताः। राज्ञां वर्हिषदो नाम विश्वां काव्याः प्रकीर्तिताः। सकालिनस् शृद्धाणां व्यामा स्वेच्छान्त्रजातिषु॥

Here the Agnishwattas are declared to be the pitris of the first caste; the Barhishads, of the second; the Kávyas, of the third; the Sukalins, of the fourth; and the Vyámas,—'Fumes', mere smoke,—of mlechchhas and the lowest castemen.

Of the Vyámas I know of no mention except in passages from the Nandi-puráńa, for which see Dr. Aufrecht's Catalogus, &c., p. 81; also, note || in the next page, and p. 166, unfra, note ‡.

† Here I have corrected "Havishmantas". § See the next note. || As is seen in note † in the preceding page, a Havishmat is synonymously denominated a Havirbhuj. A third designation is Upahúta, which occurs in the following passage and elsewhere:

मरीचिगभींसे लोकान्समात्रित्य दिवि स्थिताः।
एते ह्यक्किरसः पुत्राः साध्यैः सह विवर्धिताः॥
उपह्रताः स्रुतासे तु पितरो भास्त्ररा दिवि।
तान्चित्त्यगणा दृष्टा भावयन्ति फलार्षिनः॥
एतेषां मानसी कन्या यशोदा नाम विश्वता।
पत्नी सा विश्वमहतः सुषा वै विश्वश्रमणः॥

These beings are here said to inhabit Marichigarbha, and to have a mind-born daughter Yasodá.

Nilakantha thus comments on the first term in this passage: मरीचि-गर्भान्। सूर्यरक्षिमप्रकाञ्चान्।

In \$1.988-990, we have almost the very words of the extract in the

<sup>\*</sup> Vyatipáta. On the malignant aspect so called, an astrological yoga, see Professor Whitney's comment at p. 236 of the American translation of the Súrya-siddhánta: or Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 187; Vol. II., p. 363.

and moon, on the sun's entrance into a zodiacal sign, upon unpropitious aspects \* of the planets and as-

Ájyapas—sons of Kardama, Pitris of the Vaisyas, in the Kámaduha-loka† (Manu, ‡ &c.); but the lawgiver calls them the sons of Pulastya.§ The Pitris of the Vaisyas are called Kávyas, in the Nandi Upapuráńa; || and, in the Hari Vamsa¶ and its comment, they are termed Suswadhas, \*\*\* sons of Kardama, descended from Pulaha.

preceding note; except that the line is omitted which names the pitris under description, and that Yaśodá is said to be daughter-in-law of Vriddhaśarman.

- \* "Unpropitious aspects" is to represent  $pid\acute{a}$ , which signifies 'occultation.'
- † This seems to be a mistake. The Matsya-puráńa has Kamadugha; with which compare the Mahábhárata, Vana-parvan, śl. 15460. In the Harivainsa, the name is Kamaga. See note ¶ in this page.
  - ; Vide supra, p. 162, note ;.

§ We read, in the Vayu-purana:

त्राज्यपा नाम पितरः कर्दमस्य प्रजापतेः।
समुत्पन्नस्य पुनहादुत्पन्नासस्य व पुनः॥
लोकेष्वेतेषु वर्तन्ते कामगेषु विहंगमाः।
एतान्वैश्यगणाः त्राडि भावयन्ति फलार्षिनः॥
एतेषां मानसी कन्या विर्जा नाम विश्वता।
ययातेर्जननी साध्वी पत्नी सा नज्जषस्य तु॥

It is stated here,—compare note ¶, below,—that the Ájyapas live in Kámaga, and that they have a mind-born daughter Virajá.

[] See note † in the last page, and p. 166, note ‡, infra; also, Vol. I., Preface, p. LXXXVII.

¶ Śl. 993—996:

सुस्वधा नाम पितरः कर्दमस्य प्रजापतेः।
समुत्पन्नासु पुलहान्महात्मानी दिजर्षभाः॥
लोकेषु दिवि वर्तनी कामगेषु विहंगमाः।
तांस्र वैश्वगणास्तात भावयन्ति फलार्थिनः॥
तेषां वै मानसी कन्या विरजा नाम विश्रुता।
ययातेर्जननी ब्रह्मनाहिषी नज्जषस्य च॥

\*\* My best MSS. yield Sumedhas, which reading seems to be preferred by Arjuna Miśra. The Matsya-puráńa appears to exhibit Swaswadhas.

terisms, on dreaming unlucky dreams, and on eating the grain of the year's harvest.\* The Pitris derive sa-

Sukálins—sons of Vasishíha, and Pitris of the Śudras (Manutand Váyu Puránat). They are not mentioned in the Padma. The Matsya inserts the name and descent, but specifies them as amongst the incorporeal Pitris:

त्रमूर्तिमन्तः पितरी वसिष्ठस्य मुकालिनः। नामा तु मानसाः सर्वे सर्वे ते धर्ममूर्तयः॥

It may be suspected that the passage is corrupt. The Hari Vamsas makes the Sukalas sons of Vasishiha, the Pitris of the Brahmans; and gives the title of Somapas to the Pitris of the Súdras. In general, this work follows the Váyu, but with omissions and transpositions, as if it had carelessly mutilated its original.

सुकाला नाम पितरो निसष्टस प्रजापतेः। हिरण्यगर्भस्य सुताः गूद्धास्तान्धावयन्तुत॥ मानसा नाम ते लोका वर्तने यत्र ते दिवि। एतेषां मानसी कन्या नर्मदा सरितां वरा। सा भावयति भूतानि द्विणापयगामिनी॥ जननी त्रसदस्थोहि पुरुकुत्सपरिग्रहः।

The personages here spoken of are said to have their home in Manasa; and a mind-born daughter is affiliated on them, in the form of the river Narmadá. Compare note || in p. 162, supra.

For discrepancies between this extract and that in the next note, additional to those pointed out by the Translator, vide supra, p. 160, note ...

The Matsya-puráńa, by evident corruption, gives the Sukálins—as it calls them—the synonym Mánasas.

§ Sl. 985, 986:

सुकाला नाम पितरो वसिष्ठस्य प्रजापतेः। निरता दिवि लोकेषु ज्योतिभीसिषु भागेव॥ सर्वकामसमृद्वेषु दिजास्तान्यावयन्त्यत। तेषां वै मानसी कन्या गीनीम्ना दिवि विस्रुता॥

Navasasyágama.

<sup>†</sup> See note ; in page 162, supra.

<sup>‡</sup> They are there called Sukalas:

tisfaction, for eight years, from ancestral offerings upon the day of new moon when the star of the conjunc-

Besides these Pitris or progenitors, other heavenly beings\* are, sometimes, made to adopt a similar character. Thus, Manut says: "The wise call our fathers Vasus; our paternal grandfathers, Rudras; our paternal great-grandfathers, Ádityas; agreeably to a text of the Vedas:" that is, these divine beings are to be meditated upon, along with, and as not distinct from, progenitors. Hemádri quotes the Nandi Upapurána; for a different practice, and directs Vishnu to be identified with the father; Brahmá, with the grandfather; and Siva, with the great-grandfather. This, however, is Śaiva innovation. The Vaishnavas direct Aniruddha to be regarded as one's-self; and Pradyumna, Sankarshana, and Vásudeva, as the three ancestors. Again, they are identified with Varuna, Prájápatya, and Agni; or, again, with months, seasons, and years. Nirńaya Sindhu, p. 284. It may be doubted how far any of these correctly represent the original notions inculcated by the texts of the Vedas, from which, & in the most essential particulars, they are derived.

त्रपिद्ग्धानपिद्ग्धान्कात्रान्बर्हिषद्ख्या। त्रपिष्वात्तां सम्यां स्वामागमेव निर्दिशेत्॥

In Goldstücker's Sanskrit Dictionary, the Agnidagdhas are said to be certain pitris "who, when alive, kept up the household flame, and presented oblations with fire"; the Anagnidagdhas, pitris, "apparently, who, when alive, did not observe religious rites."

† III., 284:

## वसून्वदन्ति वै पितृमुद्रांश्चेव पितामहान् । प्रपितामहांस्वादित्वाञ्त्रुतिरेषा सनातनी ॥

\* Some extracts from the Nandi-purána,—as Hemádri, like the Nirna-yasindhu, calls the work here named,—and further particulars touching the pitris, will be found in a note at the end of the volume.

For the Nandi-purána, vide supra, p. 163, note †.

<sup>\*</sup> Also vide supra, p. 98, note †; p. 163, note †. The Saumyas and Kavyas are mentioned in Vol. II., p. 303, text and note 1; also, with the Agnidagdhas and Anagnidagdhas, in the Manavadharmasástra, III., 199:

<sup>§</sup> Where?

tion¹ is Anurádhá,\* Višákhá, or Swáti; and, for twelve years, when it is Pushya, Árdrá,† or Punarvasu. It is not easy: for a man to effect his object, who is desirous of worshipping the Pitris, or the gods, on a day of new moon when the stars are those of Dhanishthá, Púrvabhádrapadá, or Śatabhishaj.§ Hear, also, an account of another class of Śráddhas, which afford especial contentment to progenitors, | as ex-

# वासवाजैकपादृचे पितृणां तृप्तिमिच्छताम् । वाद्यां चाष्यमावास्या देवानामपि दुर्वभा ॥

"For those who wish to propitiate the progenitors, or the gods, a day of new moon under the asterism Vásava, or Ajaikapad, or Varuúa, is hard to find."

Compare what is said of Dhanishthá in p. 169, infra.

§ Substituted for "Śatábhishá". The three names in the Sanskrit are as in the last note. The commentator wrongly interprets Vásava by Jyeshthá.

## ∥ नवसृत्तेष्वमावास्या यदैतेष्ववनीपते । तदा तृप्तिप्रदं त्राज्ञं पितृणां ग्रुणु चापरम् ॥

"When, O king, the day of new moon falls under any of these nine asterisms, then exequial rites are propitiatory of the progenitors. And listen further."

This stanza comes immediately after that in note ‡, above. The Translator passed by the first line of it, and connected the second with what follows; namely:

## गीतं सनत्नुमारेण यदैनाय महाताने। पुच्छते पितृभक्ताय श्रद्धयावनताय च॥

¶ Or Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. II., table opposite p. 322.

¹ When the Yogatara, or principal star seen, is the chief star or stars of these asterisms or lunar mansions, respectively. See the table given by Mr. Colebrooke: Asiatic Researches, Vol. IX., p. 346. The first three named in the text are stars in Scorpio, Libra, and Arcturus; the second three are stars in Cancer, Orion, and Gemini; and the third are stars in the Dolphin, Pegasus, and Aquarius.

The Sanskrit has its synonym, Maitra.

<sup>†</sup> Raudra, its synonym, is the word used in the original.

plained, by Sanatkumára, (the son of Brahmá), to the magnanimous Purúravas,\* when, full of faith and devotion to the Pitris, he inquired (how he might please them). The third lunar day of the month Vaisákha (April, May), and the ninth of Kárttika (October, November), in the light fortnight; the thirteenth of Nabha (July, August), and the fifteenth of Mágha (January, February), in the dark fortnight; are called, by ancient teachers, the anniversaries of the first day of a Yuga or age (Yugádya), and are esteemed most sacred. On these days, water mixed with sesamum-seeds should be regularly presented to the progenitors of mankind; as well as on every solar and tunar eclipse; on the eighth lunations of the dark fortnights of Agraháyana, Pausha, and Mágha† (November—February); on the two days commencing the solstices, when the nights

मार्गशीर्षे तथा पृष्टे माघमासे तथैव च। तिस्रोऽष्टकाः समाख्याताः क्रष्णपर्चे च सूरिभिः॥

The months here named are Márgasírsha, Pushya,—a rare substitute for Pausha,—and Mágha. I have, accordingly, corrected the Translator's "Ágraháyana, Mágha, and Phálguna (December—February)."

According to Áswaláyana's Grihya-sútra, II., IV., 1, the great ashta-kás are four in number, coming in the frosty and the dewy seasons, that is to say, in Márgasírsha, Pausha, Mágha, and Phálguna. Śánkhá-yana, in his Grihya-sútra, recognizes but three. See Dr. Stenzler's Áswaláyana, Part II., p. 71; also, Kullúka and Medhátithi on the Mána-vadharmasástra, IV., 150.

<sup>\*</sup> Called, in the Sanskrit, by his metronym, Aila, i. e., son of Ilá.

<sup>†</sup> For an account of the máńsáshťaká festival, see Professor Wilson's Essays and Lectures, &c., Vol. II., pp. 181, 182. Also vide supra, p. 106, note †.

<sup>†</sup> The text has only "on the three eighth days after the full moon", [चिट्रकाम,; the months not being specified: but their names are stated in recent copies of commentary. A,—though not in old ones, nor in commentary B,—in the following couplet, professedly taken from the Kúrma-purciáa:

and days alternately begin to diminish; on those days which are the anniversaries of the beginning of the Manwantaras; when the sun is in the path of the goat: and on all occurrences of meteoric phenomena.\* A Śráddha at these seasons contents the Pitris for a thousand years: such is the secret which they have imparted. The fifteenth day of the dark half of the month Mágha, when united with the conjunction of the asterism over which Varuna presides (Satabhishait), is a season of no little sanctity, when offerings are especially grateful to the progenitors. Food and water presented by men who are of respectable families, when the asterism Dhanishthá is combined with the day of new moon, content the Pitris for ten thousand years; whilst they repose for a whole; age, when satisfied by offerings made on the day of new moon when Árdrá is the lunar mansion. §

## \* चन्द्रचयो माधनमासि यव दिनचयसाण्ययनद्वयं च। मन्वनारावासिण्यसस्यैव च्हाया गजस्य व्यतिपातकासः॥

These verses occur in only a few of my MSS. Commentary B recognizes them, but in silence; while commentary A omits them. Professor Wilson's translation supposes readings materially different from those here given.

On Chhayá gajasya, "the shadow of the elephant", see Vol. II., p. 264, note \*; and pp. 276, 277; also, the Laws of the Manavas, III., 274. For vyatipáta,—the same as vyatipáta,—see p. 163, note \*, supra.

Compare, respecting the seasons most appropriate for exequial offerings, the Laws of the Manavas, III., 122, 276; IV., 150; also, the Yajnavalkya-smriti, I., 217, 218. † Altered from "Satabhisha."

Samagra. There is a variant, sahasra, 'a thousand.'

§ तत्रैव चेङ्गाद्रपदासु पूर्वाः काले तदा यात्क्रियते पितृभ्यः।

"He who, after having offered food and libations to the Pitris, bathes in the Ganges, Satlaj, Vipásá (Beas), Saraswatí,† or the Gomatí at Naimisha, texpiates all his sins. The Pitris also say: 'After having received satisfaction for a twelvemonth, we shall further derive gratification by libations offered, by our descendants, at some place of pilgrimage, at the end of the dark fortnight of Mágha.' § The songs of the Pitris confer purity of heart, integrity of wealth, prosperous seasons, perfect rites, and devout faith, - all that men can desire. Hear the verses that constitute those songs, by listening to which all those advantages will be secured, O prince, by you: I 'That enlightened

श्राइं परां तृष्तिमुपेत्व तेन

युगं समयं पितरः स्वपन्ति ॥ This is the only reading that I find; and the asterism mentioned is Púrvabhádrapadá, - not "Árdrá", which, on a rapid glance, might be surmised in the first line.

\* Satadru, in the original.

† See, for the Śatadru, Vipaśa, and Saraswati rivers, Vol. II., pp. 130, सत्यमव जयत 131, and 142-144.

<sup>†</sup> In the Sanskrit, Naimisha-gomati, the Gomati of the country - apparently - in which lies the Naimisha forest, as distinguished from the better-known Gomati in Oude; for which see Vol. II., p. 146, text and note 3. A third Gomati, a feeder of the Sindhu, is spoken of in the Rigveda, X., LXXV., 6. For the locality of Naimisha, see Professor Wilson's Essays, Analytical, &c., Vol. I., p. 137.

> 🛊 गायन्ति चैतत्पितरः सदैव वर्षामघातृश्चिमवाष्य भूयः। माघासितानी शुभतीर्थतीयै-

र्यास्थाम तृप्तिं तनयाद्दितैः ॥ No other reading appears in my MSS.; and I would substitute, in lieu of "satisfaction for a twelvemonth", "satisfaction under the asterism Maghá during the rainy season."

Compare the Laws of the Manavas, III., 273.

What follows is known as the Pitri-gitá.

individual who begrudges not his wealth, but presents us with cakes, shall be born in a distinguished family. Prosperous and affluent shall that man ever be, who, in honour of us, gives to the Brahmans, if he is wealthy, jewels, clothes, land, conveyances, wealth, or any valuable presents; or who, with faith and humility, entertains them with food, according to his means, at proper seasons. If he cannot afford to give them dressed food, he must, in proportion to his ability, present them with unboiled grain,\* or such gifts, however trifling, as he can bestow. Should he be utterly unable even to do this, he must give to some eminent Brahman, bowing at the same time before him, sesamumseeds adhering to the tips of his fingers, and sprinkle water to us, from the palms of his hands, upon the ground; † or he must gather, as he may, t fodder for a day, and give it to a cow; by which he will, if firm in faith, yield us satisfaction. If nothing of this kind is practicable, he must go to a forest, and lift up his arms to the sun and other regents of the spheres, §

† तिलैः सप्ताष्टभिवीपि समवेताञ्जलाञ्जलीन् ।

भितानमः समृहिश्च भुवस्थाकं प्रदाखित। Instead of "and sprinkle", &c., read: "or, bowing in devotion, he will offer to us, by name, on the ground, handfuls of water, with seven or eight grains of sesamum."

<sup>•</sup> Dhánya-mána, i. e., as much as a man can eat, says the commentator.

<sup>: &</sup>quot;Whencesoever he can", yatah kutaschit.

<sup>§</sup> Lokapála. This word, it should seem, more properly designates the four superintendents named in Vol. II., pp. 86 and 261-263. But it is also used, as here, for dikpála. The dikpálas – see Vol. II., pp. 112, 118; also, p. 118, supra, - are, at least in the later Hindu writings, eight in number. I owe to Dr. Muir the indication of a passage in the Taittiriya-bráhmańa,-III., XI., 5,-where seven devatás or deities are

and say, aloud: I have no money, nor property, nor grain, \* nor anything whatever fit for an ancestral offering. Bowing, therefore, to my ancestors, I hope the progenitors will be satisfied with these arms tossed up in the air in devotion.' These are the words of the Pitris themselves; and he who endeavours, with such means as he may possess, to fulfil their wishes, performs the ancestral rite called a Śráddha."

assigned as follows: Agni, to the east; Indra, to the south; Soma, to the west; Mitra and Varuúa, to the north; Břihaspati, to the region above; and Aditi, to the region here below.

For a long list of lokapálas, see Professor Wilson's Select Specimens of the Theatre of the Hindus, Vol. I., p. 219, note ‡.

\* My MSS., and likewise the commentator, have dhana only; reading:

### न मेऽस्ति वित्तं न धनं न चान्यत्।

It may be conjectured that the Translator, supposing the lection to be न धान्यं, wrote "nor grain", and forgot to strike it out, when he came to prefer "nor property."

सन्यामेव जयन

#### CHAPTER XV.

What Brahmans are to be entertained at Śráddhas. Different prayers to be recited. Offerings of food to be presented to deceased ancestors.

AURVA proceeded:—"Hear, next, O prince, what description of Brahman should be fed at ancestral ceremonies." He should be one studied in various triplets of the Rig- and Yajur Vedas; one who is

Of the passage referred to the  $K\dot{a}thaka$  I am unable to say anything at present. The three versicles opening with the words madhu  $v\dot{a}t\dot{a}h$  appear first in the  $\dot{R}igveda$ , as I., XC., 6-8; and they reappear in the White Yajurveda, as XIII., 27-29. The position of the Vaidik passage alleged to be connected with the  $trisupar\acute{n}a$  has not been traced out.

Aparaditya, commenting on the Yájnavalkya-smíiti, I., 200, gives this definition of trimadhu, denoting a person: चिमध्याखं व्रतं क्रला-पसदाख्यमेव वेदभागमधीते स चिमधः।

¹ The Brahmans here particularized are termed Trińáchiketa, Trimadhu, and Trisuparía, and are so denominated, according to the commentator, from particular parts of the Vedas. The first is so called from studying or reciting three Anuvákas of the Káthaka branch of the Yajur-veda, commencing with the term Trińáchiketa; the second, from three Anuvákas of the same Veda, beginning Madhuvátá, &c.; and the third, from a similar portion, commencing Brahmavan namámi. † The first and third terms

<sup>\*</sup> The párvańa-śráddha is here described, says the scholiast.

<sup>†</sup> The commentator's words are: द्वितीयकाठकस्थास्त्रयो ऽनुवाका-स्त्रिणाचिकेतः। तद्ध्यायो तद्गुष्ठाता च विणाचिकेतः। मधु वाता इति नुवाध्यायो तद्गुष्ठाता च विणाचिकेतः। मधु वाता इति नुवाध्यायो तद्गुष्ठाता च विणाचिकेतः। मधु वाता ध्यायो तद्गुष्ठाता विभाग्ने । प्रकार्णकेति । प्रकार्यकेति । प्रकार्

acquainted with the six supplementary sciences of the Vedas; \*\* one who understands the Vedas; one who practises the duties they enjoin; \*\* one who exercises

occur in Manu, III., 185; and Kullúka Bhatía explains Trináchi-keta to mean a portion of the Yajur-veda, and the Brahman who studies it; and Trisuparna, a part of the Řich, and the Brahman who is acquainted with it. The Nirnaya Sindhu explains the terms in a like manner, but calls the Trisuparna, as well as the Trináchiketa, prayers, portions of the Yajus. The Trimadhu it assigns to the Řich. Other explanations are also given to the terms Trináchiketa and Trisuparna; the first being explained a Brahman who thrice performs the ceremony called Chayana; and the last, one who, after the seven ascending generations, worships the Pitris termed Somapas. These explanations are, however, considered less correct than the preceding, and which are thus given in the authority cited: चिणाचिकतस्त्रिसुपणी यज्ञान्यस्त्री। तहतन तद्धायायनी। \* \* \* \* चिम्रं स्वविद्यायना प्राचिकतस्त्रिसुपणी यज्ञान्यस्त्री।

- <sup>1</sup> For the six Angas, see p. 67, supra.
- <sup>2</sup> So the commentator § distinguishes the Vedavit,—the Brah-

सन्धर्मात जयन

<sup>\*</sup> Shadangavit.

<sup>†</sup> केचिन्नाचिकेतं चयनं चिः क्षतवानित्वर्थमाद्धः। And herewith agrees Sankara, where commenting on the Katha Upanishad, I., 17: चिणाचिकेतः। चिःक्षत्वो नाचिकेतोऽसिश्चितो येन स चिणाचिकेतसिङ्गानसदनुष्ठानवान्वा।

<sup>‡</sup> यस सप्त पूर्वे सोमपाः स चिसुपर्ण इति बोपदेवः। "He of whom seven forefathers have drunk the juice of the moon-plant is a trisuparńa: so says Bopadeva." These words occupy the blank, indicated by asterisks, in the passage quoted at the end of the note here supplemented; and the definitions preceding them are referred to the same author.

<sup>§</sup> His words are: वेद्वित्। वेदार्थविचार्कः। श्रोचियः। तद्र्यानुष्ठाता। In the Mitákshará, I., 219, we read: श्रोचियः। श्रुताध्ययनसंपद्मः। Kullúka, on the Mánavadharmasástra, III., 128, says:
क्न्दोमाचाध्यायी श्रोचियः। Medhátithi has: श्रोचियः। क्लान्द्सः
क्रात्समन्त्रब्राह्मण्कां शाखामधीते यः।

penance; \* a chanter of the principal Sáma-veda, 1 an officiating priest, † a sister's son, a daughter's son, a son-in-law, a father-in-law, a maternal uncle, an ascetic, † a Brahman who maintains the five fires, § a pupil, a kinsman; | one who reverences his parents. ¶ A man should first employ the Brahmans first specified in the (principal) obsequial rite; and the others, (commencing with the ministering priest), in the subsidiary ceremonies \*\* instituted to gratify his ancestors.

man who understands the meaning of the text of the Vedas,—from the Śrotriya, who practises the rites he studies.

¹ Portions of the Sáman contained in the Áranyaka are called the Jyeshtha, 'elder' or 'principal', Sáman. ††

<sup>\*</sup> Yogin.

<sup>†</sup> Kitwig.

<sup>†</sup> Tapo-nishtha.

<sup>§</sup> According to Ánanda, the five fires, spoken of in the Katha Upanishad, III., 1, are called gárhapatya, dakshińágni, áhavaniya, sabhya, and ávasathya. Also see the Mitákshará, I., 221. The more technical name of the dakshińágni or dakshińa is anwáháryapachána; as we learn from the Chhándogya Upanishad, IV., XII., 1. The three first-named fires, out of the five, are the principal. See, regarding them, the Translator's last note on Chapter VI. of Book IV.

<sup>|</sup> Sambandhin, 'a relation by marriage.'

<sup>¶</sup> Compare the Laws of the Manavas, III., 148.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Anukalpa.

<sup>††</sup> The commentator says that the jyeshtha-sáman opens with the rich beginning with the words múrdhánam divah: मूधानं दिव द्यावृध्वि- भूषगितं चेष्ठसाम। तद्गाता चेष्ठसामगः। Professor Wilson should seem to have preferred the authority of Kullúka, who thus explains the term jyeshtha-sámaga, occurring in the Mánavadharmasástra, III., 185: चेष्ठसामानि चार्खके गीयन्त। तेषां गाता। The stanza in question is found in the Rigveda, VI., VII., 1; and it is met with twice in the Sámaveda,—as I., 67, and as II., 490.

"A false friend, a man with ugly nails" or black teeth, † a ravisher, a Brahman who neglects the service of fire and sacred study, a vender of the Somaplant, a man accused of any crime, a thief, a calumniator, a Brahman who conducts religious ceremonies for the vulgar; one who instructs his servant in holy writ, or is instructed in it by his servant; the husband of a woman who has been formerly betrothed to another; a man who is undutiful to his parents;; the protector of the husband of a woman of the servile caste, & or the husband of a woman of the servile caste: and a Brahman who ministers to idols -are not proper persons to be invited to an ancestral offering. I On the first day, let a judicious man invite eminent teachers of the Vedas,\*\* and other Brahmans, and, according to their directions, determine what is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Manu, III., 150, &c.

<sup>\*</sup> Kunakhin. The commentator explains this term to mean 'with nails naturally bad.' Neither Kullúka nor Medhátithi justifies Sir William Jones's rendering, "a man with whitlows on his nails." See his Translation of "Menu", III., 153.

<sup>† &#</sup>x27;A eunuch', kliba, is omitted.

<sup>‡</sup> Rather, an 'abandoner' of them, ujjhaka.

<sup>§</sup> Vrishali-súti-poshtri, "the supporter of the offspring of a vrishali", who seems to be a woman sprung from a man that has lost caste.

Professor Wilson read, for súti, pati, which I find in some MSS. But the gloss, शूद्रापत्यपोधक:, shows that pati is a clerical error.

<sup>|</sup> Vrishali.

Poevalaka. He must have been so for three years, says the commentator, to incur disqualification. And yet an idol was reputed so holy that it was sacrilege to pass over its shadow. Vide supra, p. 137, text, and p. 138, note ||.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Śrotriya. Vide supra, p. 174, note §.

to be dedicated to the gods, and what to the Pitris.\* Associated with the Brahmans, let the institutor of an obsequial rite abstain from anger and incontinence.+ He who, having eaten, himself, in a Sráddha, and fed Brahmans, and appointed them to their sacred offices, is guilty of incontinence, thereby sentences his progenitors to shameful suffering.: In the first place, the Brahmans before described are to be invited: but those holy men & who come to the house without an invitation are, also, to be entertained. The guests are to be reverently received with water for their feet, and the like; and the entertainer, holding holy grass in his hand, is to place them, after they have rinsed their mouths, upon seats. An uneven number of Brahmans is to be invited in sacrifices to the manes; an even, or uneven, number, in those presented to the gods; or one only, on each occasion. 1 ¶

"Then let the householder, inspired by religious faith, offer oblations\*\* to the maternal grandfather,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As two, or five, at a ceremony dedicated to the gods; three, at the worship of the Pitris. Nirńaya Sindhu, p. 311.

<sup>\*</sup> कथयेच तदैवैषां नियोगात्पैनदैविकान्।

<sup>†</sup> Add 'fatigue', áyása; also, "this is a great offence on that occasion", दोषस्त महानयम्।

<sup>ं</sup> त्रांचे नियुक्तो भुत्का तु भोजयित्वा नियुज्य च। व्यवायी रेतसो गर्ते मज्जयत्यात्मनः पितृन्॥

<sup>§</sup> Yati; these being Brahmans, as the original conditions.

<sup>||</sup> Pavitra-páńi; literally, 'pure-handed'.

<sup>¶</sup> It is directed, in the Yajnavalkya-smriti, I., 227: युगमान्द्रेवे यथाश्रुति पिच्येऽयुगमांस्तरीव च।

<sup>\*\*</sup> Śráddha.

along with the worship of the Viśwadevas, or the ceremony called Vaiśwadeva,\* (which comprehends offerings to both paternal and maternal ancestors, and to ancestors in general).† Let him feed the Brahmans who are appropriated to the gods and to maternal ancestors, with their faces to the east; and those set apart for the paternal ancestors and ancestors in general, with their faces to the north.: Some say, that

#### \* तथा मातामहत्राह्वं वैश्वदेवसमन्वितम्। क्वीत भक्तिसंपद्मसन्त्रं वा वैश्वदैविकम्॥

† "It consists in oblations to the gods, to the manes, and to the spirits." Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 188.

† The original passage, in correct MSS., runs thus:

प्राद्मावाकोजयेदिपान्दे वानामुभयार्थकान् ।

पितृमातामहानां च भो जयेचाण्यदङ्गुखान् ॥
"For both sets of his ancestors let him feed, with their faces to the east, Brahmans retained for the gods called Viśwedevas; and let him also feed, with their faces to the north, Bráhmans retained for his paternal and maternal manes."

The Translator, corrected above, transposed "east" and "north".

Comment: उभयार्थकान्। पितृमातामहीयवैश्वदेवार्थकान्। पितृमा-तामहवर्गत्राद्वीयानुदङ्गुखानिति वान्वयः।

Compare the Yájnavalkya-smŕiti, I., 228:

### दी देवे प्राक्षयः पित्र्य उदगेकैकमेव वा।

§ See note at the end of this chapter.

|| So the term signifies, literally: but I have never found it expressed by the compound "Viśwadevas", as it is by Professor Wilson.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The worship of the Viśwadevas (see p. 158, supra) forms a part of the general Śráddhas, and of the daily sacrifices of the householder. According to the Váyu, this was a privilege conferred upon them, by Brahmá and the Pitris, as a reward for religious austerities practised, by them, upon Himálaya. Their introduction as a specific class seems to have originated in the custom of sacrificing to the gods collectively, or to all the gods, as the name Viśwadevas implies. They appear, however, as a

the viands of the Śráddha should be kept distinct for these two sets of ancestors; but others maintain, that they are to be fed with the same food, at the same time.\* Having spread Kuśa grass for seats,† and offered libations; according to rule, let the sensible

distinct class, in the Vedas; § and their assumption of this character is, therefore, of ancient date. The daily offering to them is noticed by Manu, III., 90, 121; ¶ and offerings to 'the gods' are, also, enjoined at the beginning and end of a Śráddha. Kullúka Bhatta understands, here, the Viśwadevas; and it probably is so: but, in another verse, different divinities are specified: "First, as it is ordained, having satisfied Agni, Soma, and Yama, with clarified butter, let him proceed to satisfy the manes of his progenitors." \*\* Verse 211.† Manu also directs them to be worshipped first and last in order. See Asiatic Researches, Vol. VII., pp. 265, 271, &c.;;

#### \* पृथक्तयोः केचिदाङः श्राद्यस्य करणं नृप। एकवैकेन पाकेन वदन्त्यन्ये सहर्षयः॥

† Vishtara.

‡ Argha.

§ Professor Wilson has elsewhere observed, that "The Viśwadevas are, sometimes, vaguely applied to divinities in general; but they also form a class, whose station and character are imperfectly noticed, but who are entitled, at most religious rites, to share in the solemnity." Translation of the *Rigveda*, Vol. I., p. 9, note b.

Bhaga, Mitra, Aditi, Daksha, Asridh, Aryaman, Varuna, Soma, and the Aswins, named together in the Rigveda, LXXXIX., 3, are said to be considered as Viswe devas.

Of all these Daksha alone is included in any of the various lists of post-vaidik Viśwe devas collected in the last note in p. 189, infra.

# विश्वेभ्यश्चेव देवेभ्यो बिलमाकाश उत्चिपत्। दिवाचरेभ्यो भूतेभ्यो नक्तंचारिभ्य एव च॥

¶ See Vol. II., p. 22, note §. \*\* Sir William Jones's translation.

स्विम्यमायां च क्रलाप्यायनमादितः ।
 इतिर्दानेन विधिवत्यश्चात्संतर्पयेत्यितृन् ॥

tt Or Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., pp. 181, 188, &c.

man invoke the deities, with the concurrence of the Brahmans who are present. Let the man who is acquainted with the ritual offer a libation to the gods, with water and barley; having presented to them flowers, perfumes, and incense. Let him offer the same to the Pitris, placed upon his left; and, with the consent (of the Brahmans), having first provided seats of Kuśa: grass doubled, let him invoke, with (the usual) prayers, the manes (to the ceremony), offering a libation, on his left hand, of water and sesamum. He will then, with the permission of the Brahmans, give food to any guest who arrives at the time, or who is desirous of victuals, or who is passing along the road: for holy saints and ascetics, benefactors

ततः सयं करं न्यस्य विप्रदिचणजानुनि । देवानावाहियाचेऽहमिति वाचमुदीरयेत् ॥ स्रावाहयेदनुष्ठातो विश्वे देवाः स स्रागतः । विश्वे देवाः सृणुतेममिति मन्त्रद्यं पठेत् ॥ ¶

¹ The text is तद्वारा, 'with their assent;' but no noun occurs, in the sentence, with which the relative is connected. It must mean the Brahmans, however; as in this passage of Vriddha Paráśara: "Let the sacrificer place his left hand on the Brahman's right knee, and say, 'Shall I invoke the Viśwadevas?' And, being desired to invoke them, let him address them with the two Mantras, 'Viśwadevas, he is come!' 'Viśwadevas, hear him!'"

<sup>\*</sup> Srag, 'garlands.'

<sup>†</sup> Add 'lights', dipa.

<sup>†</sup> Darbha, in the original.

<sup>§</sup> काले तचातिथिं प्राप्तमत्तकामं नृपाध्वगम्।

<sup>||</sup> The original has only one word, yogin, for "holy saints and ascetics."

<sup>¶</sup> Brihat-Parasara's Dharmasastra, V., 184, 185.

of mankind, are traversing this earth, disguised in various shapes.<sup>1</sup> On this account, let a prudent man welcome a person who arrives at such a season: for inattention to a guest frustrates the consequences of an ancestral offering.

"The sacrificer is then to offer food, without salt or seasoning,\* to fire,² three several times, with the consent of the assistant Brahmans; exclaiming, first: 'To fire, the vehicle of the oblations;† to the manes! Swáhá!' Next, addressing the oblation: to Soma, the lord of the progenitors, § and giving the third to Vaivaswata. He is then to place a very little of the residue of the oblation T in the dishes of the Brahmans; and, next, presenting them with choice viands, well-dressed and seasoned, and abundant, he is to request them, civilly, to partake of it at their pleasure.\*\* The

सिडा हि विप्रकृपेण चर्नि पृथिवीमिमाम् । तस्रादितिथिमायान्तमभिगक्केत्वताञ्जलिः॥

<sup>2</sup> This places the initiatory oblations noticed by Manu (see p. 178, note 1, *supra*) subsequent to the offerings to the Viśwadevas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This notion occurs, more than once, in the Váyu, in nearly the same words:

<sup>\*</sup> Vyanjana; explained, by the scholiast, to denote pot-herbs and the like.

<sup>†</sup> Kavya.

Ahuti.

<sup>§</sup> Pitrimat, 'attended by the manes.'

<sup>||</sup> The commentator observes: वैवस्त्रताय यमायेति पृथगाहृति: भासिभेदव्यवस्थिता।

<sup>¶</sup> Huta.

<sup>\*\*</sup> ततीऽन्नमिष्टमत्वर्थमभीष्टमपि सत्कृतम् । द्ला जुषध्वमिच्हाती वाच्यमेतद्निष्ठुरम्॥

Brahmans are to eat of such food attentively, in silence, with cheerful countenances, and at their ease. The sacrificer is to give it to them, not churlishly, nor hurriedly, \* but with devout faith.

"Having, next, recited the prayer for the discomfiture of malignant spirits,1 and scattered sesamumseeds upon the ground, the Brahmans (who have been fed) are to be addressed, † in common with the ancestors (of the sacrificer), in this manner: 'May my father, grandfather, and great-grandfather, in the persons of these Brahmans, receive satisfaction! May my father, grandfather, and great-grandfather derive nutriment from these oblations to fire!: May my father, grandfather, and great-grandfather derive satisfaction from the balls of food placed, by me, upon the ground! May my father, grandfather, and greatgrandfather be pleased with what I have, this day, offered & them in faith! May my maternal grandfather, his father, and his father, also enjoy contentment from my offerings! May all the gods || experience gratifi-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Rakshoghna Mantra,—the extinguishing of a lamp lighted to keep off evil spirits, which is accompanied by a Mantra, or prayer. Asiatic Researches, Vol. VII., p. 274. ¶

<sup>\*</sup> ऋतुध्यता चालरता।

<sup>†</sup> Dhyeya, 'to be meditated on.'

<sup>ः</sup> पिता पितामहश्चैव तथैव प्रपितामहः। मम तृप्तिं प्रयान्त्विमहोमाप्याचितमूर्तयः॥

<sup>§</sup> For iháhřita, 'here offered,' there is a variant, udáhřita, 'spoken.' || Višwe deváh.

<sup>¶</sup> Or Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 191.

cation, and all evil beings\* perish! May the lord of sacrifice,† the imperishable: deity Hari, be the accepter of all oblations made to the manes or the gods! § And may all malignant spirits, and enemies of the deities, depart from the rite!

"When the Brahmans have eaten sufficiently, the worshipper must scatter some of the food upon the ground, and present them, individually, with water, to rinse their mouths. Then, with their assent, he may place upon the ground balls made up of boiled rice and condiments, along with sesamum-seeds. With the part of his hand sacred to the manes he must offer sesamum-seeds, and water from his joined palms; and, with the same part of his hand, he must present cakes to his maternal ancestors.\*\* He should, in lonely places, naturally beautiful, and by the side of sacred streams, diligently make presents (to the manes and

<sup>\*</sup> Yátudhána. See Vol. II., p. 292, note, near the foot of the page.

<sup>†</sup> Yajneśwara. See Vol. II., p. 124, note ‡.

<sup>†</sup> Avyayátman, 'immutable.' See Vol. I., p. 17, note \*.

<sup>§</sup> This is to translate हव्यसमस्तवव्यभोता।

<sup>||</sup> Rakshas.

<sup>¶ &</sup>quot;Enemies of the gods" renders asura.

<sup>\*\*</sup> तृप्तेषु तेषु विकिरेद्र विप्रेषु भूतले।
द्याचाचमनार्थाय तेभ्यो वार्रि सक्तसक्तत्॥
सुतृष्तिस्तुचातः सर्वेणान्नेन भूतले।
सतिलेन ततः पिण्डान्सम्यग्द्यात्समाहितः॥
पितृतीर्थेन सतिलान्द्याद्य जलाञ्जलीन्।
मातामहिभ्यसेनैव पिण्डांसीर्थेन निर्वेपेत॥

<sup>&</sup>quot;Instead of "Then, with their assent," &c., read: "Authorized by them, they being fully satisfied, let him, collectedly, then duly offer, on the ground, funeral cakes made of all sorts of food and of sesamum."

the Brahmans). 1\* Upon Kuśa† grass, the tips of which are pointed to the south, and lying near the fragments (of the meat), let the householder present the first ball of food, consecrated: with flowers and incense, to his father; the second, to his grandfather; and the third, to his great-grandfather: and let him satisfy those who are contented with the wipings of his hand, by wiping it with the roots of Kuśa grass. 2 After presenting balls of food to his maternal ancestors, in the same manner, accompanied by perfumes and incense, he is to give to the principal Brahmans water, to rinse their mouths; and then, with attention and piety, he is to give the Brahmans gifts, according to his power, soliciting their benedictions, accompanied

It is omitted in the MSS. in the Bengali character.

<sup>2</sup> Manu, III., 216. ¶

#### ' दिचिणाप्रवर्णं चैव प्रयतिनोपपादयेत्। ऋवकाग्रेषु चोचेषु जलतीरेषु चैव हि॥

"And he should carefully select a southerly slope, in a pure place, and also by the side of water."

Compare the Yajnavalkya-smriti, I., 227:

### परिसृते शुचौ देशे दिचलाप्रवर्णे तथा।

† Darbha, its synonym, in the original; and so just below, and frequently.

‡ Pújita.

### § दर्भमूनि नेपभुजः प्रीणयेत्नेपघर्षणैः।

|| Pitrebhyah, 'to the manes,' whom the Brahmans represent. Vide supra, p. 182.

#### ¶ न्युष्य पिण्डांसतसांस्तु प्रयतो विधिपूर्वकम् । तेषु दर्भेषु त हस्त निमुज्याद्वेपभागिनाम् ॥

¹ Part of this passage is in the words of Manu, III., 207: अवकाशिषु चोचेषु नदीतीरेषु चैन हि।

with the exclamation 'Swadhá!'1\* Having made presents to the Brahmans,† he is to address himself to the gods, saying: 'May they who are the Viśwadevas be pleased with this (oblation)!' Having thus said, and the blessings to be solicited having been granted by the Brahmans, he is to dismiss first the paternal ancestors, and then the gods. The order is the same with the maternal ancestors and the gods, in respect to food, donation, and dismissal. Commencing with the washing of the feet, until the dismissing of the gods and Brahmans, the ceremonies are to be performed first for paternal ancestors, and then for ancestors on the mother's side. § Let him dismiss the

\* मुल्धेत्याशिषा युक्तां दवाक्त्रत्या च दिचणाम्।

We are to read, then: "and let him give, according to his ability, a present, accompanied with the benediction suswadhá."

† 'To them', agreeably to the Sanskrit. See note || in the preceding page.

1 Vaiśwadevika.

§ तथिति चोक्ते तैर्विप्रैः प्रार्थनीयासयाण्णिषः।
पञ्चादिसर्जयेदैवान्पूर्वं पित्र्यात्महामते॥
मातामहानामप्येवं सह देवैः क्रमः स्नृतः।
भोजने च खणक्त्या च दाने तद्वद्विसर्जने॥
श्वापादणीचनात्पूर्वं कुर्यादेवद्विजवासु।
विसर्जनं तु प्रथमं पैचमातामहेषु वै॥

"And, 'so be it' having been uttered by those Brahmans, blessings are, likewise, to be solicited: and then let him dismiss, first, the Brahmans entertained in the service of the manes, and, afterwards, those entertained in the service of the gods, O great-souled. For the maternal ancestors, too, along with the gods,—all these being represented by Brahmans,—the order is laid down the same, as to food, donation according

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Then let the Bráhmens address him, saying swadhá; for,

Brahmans with kindly speeches and profound respect, and attend upon them at the end of the Śráddha, until permitted, by them, to return. The wise man will then perform the invariable worship\* of the Viśwadevas, and take his own meal, along with his friends,† his kinsmen, and his dependants.

"In this manner, an enlightened householder will celebrate the obsequial worship of his paternal and maternal ancestors, who, satisfied by his offerings, will grant him all his desires. Three things are held pure at obsequies,—a daughter's son,; a Nepal blanket,

in all ceremonies relating to deceased ancestors, the word swadhá is the highest benison." § Manu, III., 252.

to ability, and, in like manner, as to dismissal. In the Vaiśwadeva ceremony, let him first do everything, beginning with the purification of the feet, for the Bráhmans entertained in the service of the gods; but let him first grant dismissal to the personated paternal ancestors and maternal ancestors."

On this passage the commentator remarks as follows: किंच पशादिसर्जयदिति। अनेन विसर्जनमि पितृपूर्वकमिति। अतोऽन्यत्सर्वे देव-पूर्वमेवित्यशादुत्तम् । इसमेवाधं वैश्वदेवतन्त्रपचेऽप्याह । आपादिति। पादप्रचालनात्प्रभृति देवाधानां ब्राह्मणानां सर्वं प्रथमं कुर्यात्ततः पितृवर्गाणां ततो मातामहवर्गाणामिति। विसर्जनं लिति। द्चि-णाया उपलचणं पूर्वमेवोक्तलात्।

- Nitya-kriyá.
- † Pijya = manya. Commentary.
- † The MSS. have both dauhitral and dauhitram. And see note † in the next page.
  - § This is Sir William Jones's rendering.
    - स्वधास्त्विव तं ब्र्युक्रीह्मणास्तदनन्तरम् ।
       स्वधाकारः परा द्याभीः सर्वेषु पितृकर्मसु ॥

and sesamum-seeds; and the gift, or naming, or sight,

We have, here, the words of Manu:

#### चीणि त्रांडे पविचाणि दौहियः कृतपस्तिलाः।

III., 235. 'Three things are held pure, at such obsequies,—the daughter's son, the Nepal blanket, and sesamum-seed.' Sir William Jones's translation of these terms rests upon the explanation of Kullúka Bhatta of this and the verse preceding:

#### त्रतस्यमिप दौहितं त्रांडे यतिन भोजयेत्। कुतपं चासने दयात्तिलैश्च विकिरेन्महीम्॥\*

'Let him give his daughter's son, though a religious student, food at a Śráddha, and the blanket for a seat,' &c. The commentator on our text says,† that some understand, by Dauhitra, clarified butter made from the milk of a cow fed with grass gathered on the day of new moon; and some explain it a plate, or dish, of buffalo-horn. ‡ Kutapa he interprets by Ashíama Muhúrta, the eighth hour of the day, or a little after noon; although he admits, that some render it a blanket made of goat's wool. §

श्रमावास्त्रागते सोमें या च खादति गौजूणम् । दौहिची सा मता तस्त्रा घृतं दौहिचमुच्यते ॥ इति। दौहिचं खड्गपाचमिति केचित्। केचित्तु कुतपमपि च्हागलोमजं

कम्बलमाजः। My oldest and best MSS. have अष्टमा घटिका, instead of अष्टमो मुह्तर्तः. See Vol. I., p. 47, note 2; also, p. 120, note 2, supra.

The Nirhayasindhu quotes, as follows, from the Brahmahda-puraha:

#### त्रमावास्वागते सोमे या खादयति गौसृणम्। तस्या गोर्यञ्जवेत्चीरं तदौहित्रमुदाहृतम्॥

- ‡ Read 'rhinoceros-horn.' So explains the Kalpataru, says the Nirnayasindhu: दीहिंच खुर्पाचिमिति कल्पतरः।
- § Thus Vijnaneśwara understands it, in his comment on the Yajnavalkya-smŕiti, I., 186: कुतप: । पार्वतीयक्शगरीमनिर्मितः क्रावलः । And similarly Aparaditya. Also see the Śabdakalpadruma, sub voce.

<sup>\*</sup> III., 234.

<sup>†</sup> His words are: दौहिचो दुहितुः सुतः। कुतपोऽष्टमो मुह्नर्तः। दौहिचमिति पाँठे दौहिचं घृतिषशिषः।

of silver (is, also, propitious). The person offering a Śraddha should avoid anger, walking about, and hurry: these three things are very objectionable. The Viśwadevas, and paternal and maternal ancestors, and (the living members of) a man's family, are, all, nourished by the offerer of ancestral oblations.

"The class of Pitris derives support from the moon; and the moon is sustained by acts of austere devotion.† Hence, the appointment of one who practises austerities: is most desirable. A Yogin set before a

These explanations are also noticed in the Nirńaya Sindhu, p. 302; and, upon the authority of the Matsya Puráńa, Kutapa is said to mean eight things which equally consume (Tap) all sin (Ku), or,—noon, a vessel of rhinoceros-horn, a Nepal blanket, silver, holy grass, sesamum, kine, and a daughter's son:

मध्याद्गः खद्गपाचं च तथा नेपालकावलः।
रीयं दभीखिला गावी दीहिचश्चाष्टमः स्नृतः॥ १
पापं कुत्सितमित्याङखस्य संतापकारिणः।
अष्टविते यतसस्मात्कृतपा इति विश्रुताः॥

<sup>1</sup> So the Matsya Purána has 'the gift, sight, and name, of silver are desired:'

रजतस्य तथा दानं दर्भनं नाम चेष्यते। ¶ The notion originates with Manu, III., 202.\*\*

## " भोतुरप्यच राजेन्द्र चयमेतत्र शास्त्रते।

† सोमाधारः पितृगणो योगाधार्य चन्द्रमाः। See Vol. II., pp. 298—303; and compare Vol. I., p. 90.

<sup>‡</sup> Yogin.

<sup>§</sup> I find दीहित्रं चाष्टमं स्नुतम्, also.

<sup>||</sup> Quoted in the Nirhayasindhu.

This line is cited by the commentator.

<sup>&</sup>quot; राजतैभाजनैरेषामथो वा राजतान्वितैः। वार्यपि श्रद्धया दत्तमचयायोपकर्तते॥

thousand Brahmans enables the institutor of obsequial rites to enjoy all his desires."1\*

' The same doctrine is inculcated by the Váyu Purána:† but it appears to be a Pauránik innovation; for Manu places the Brahman intent on scriptural knowledge and on austere devotion on a level, and makes no mention of the Yogin. III., 134. ‡

#### \* सहस्रस्थापि विप्राणां योगी चेत्पुरतः स्थितः। सवीकोकुंसार्यति यजमानं तथा नृप॥

Instead of "enables," &c., read "saves all the eaters, and, likewise, the sacrificer."

† Cited thus, in the commentary:

#### श्रांचे प्रीताः पुनः सोमं पितरो योगमाश्रिताः। माप्याययनि योगेन नेलोकां तेन जीवति॥

Just before, the scholiast quotes the ensuing stanza, -81. 939-from the Harivamsa:

## एतेऽस्रात्पतरस्तात योगिनां योगवर्धनाः।

आप्याययन्ति ये पूर्व सीमं योगवलिन वै॥ In these passages, the manes are represented as nourishing the moon by their devotion of yoga.

#### ः ज्ञाननिष्ठा दिजाः वेचित्तपोनिष्ठासयापरे। तपः खाध्यायनिष्ठाश्च कर्मनिष्ठास्त्रथापरे॥

Note referred to at pp. 178, 179, supra.

The names and functions of the post-vaidik Viswe devas are set forth, in the Likhita-smriti, as follows:

कतुर्दची वसुः सत्यः कालः कामी धुरिरोचनी। पुर्देश माद्रवास विश्व देवाः प्रकीर्तिताः॥

दृष्टिश्राचे ऋतुर्दची वसुः सत्यस् दैविके। कालः कामोऽपिकार्येषु काम्येषु धरिरोचनौ। पुरुरवा माद्रवास पार्वणेषु नियोजयेत ॥

Here the Viswe devas are said to be Kratu, Daksha, Vasu, Satya, Kála, Káma, Dhuri, Rochana, Purúravas, and Mádravas; ten, in all.

In Hemádri's Śráddhakalpa, the Brihaspati-smriti is named as the source of these lines:

कर्तुर्द्चो वसुः सत्यः कामः कालस्त्रेषेव च। ध्वनिश्व रोचनश्चेव तथा चैव पुरूरवाः। स्रार्द्रवश्च दशैते तु विश्वे देवाः प्रकीर्तिताः॥

As well as of these:

इष्टिश्राद्धे क्रतुर्द्धः सत्यो नान्दीमुखे वसुः। नैमित्तिके कालकामी काम्ये च ध्वनिरोचनी। पुरुर्वा आर्द्रवर्षेव पार्वणे समुदाहती॥

This last extract, slightly varied, is, in the Śráddhatattwa, likewise credited to Brihaspati.

And the following verses are professedly taken, by Hemádri, from the Śankha-swiriti:

#### र्ष्टित्राहे कर्तुर्दचः संकीर्त्वी वैश्वदेविके। नान्दीमुखे सत्यवसु काम्ये च धुरिरोचनी॥

But I can find nothing of the sort in Brihaspati and Sankha.

In the Agni-purana, we read, according to Colebrooke's best MS., copied in the time of Akbar:

कर्तुद्ची वसुः सत्यः कालः कामस्त्रणा ध्वनिः। रोचकसाद्रवासेव तथा चान्यः पुरूरवाः। विसे देवा भवन्येते द्या सर्वत्र पूजिताः॥ विसेदेवौ कतुद्ची सर्वास्त्रिष्ठिषु विस्नुतौ। नित्यं गान्दीमुख्यादे वसुसत्यो च पैकृते॥ नवाद्रासमने देवौ कामकानौ सदैव हि। स्रिपं कन्यागते सूर्ये श्राद्वे वा ध्वनिरोचकौ। पुरूरवासाद्ववास विसेदेवौ च पर्वणि॥

The last half of this extract, slightly varied, is quoted in the Nirna-yasindhu, in Ramakiishna's Śráddhaganapati, and in other works, as from the Áditya-purana.

The ensuing enumeration is referred, in the Rájyábhishekapaddhati, to the Agni-puráńa; but I have not been able to find it there:

#### कतुर्दची वसुः सत्यः कामः काली धुरिर्जयः। पुरुरवा माद्रवाश्च विश्वे देवाश्च रोचनः॥

Here the Viswe devas number eleven; the additional one being Jaya. In the Nitimayúkha and Púrtakamalákara, we meet with the subjoined verses, taken, perhaps, from the Vasishtha-saúnhitá:

क्रतुर्दची वसुः सत्यः कालः कामो मुनिस्तथा। धृतिमात्मनुजञ्जैव रोचमानस्तथैव च। एते लामभिषिञ्चतु विश्वे देवास्तथा दश्र॥

The name Muni, in the first line, looks exceedingly like a mere clerical error.

We read, further, in the Sarasangraha:

पुरूरवा माद्रवाश्व ऋतुद्वी पर्वज्ञयाः। नान्द्वि वसुसर्खो च काम्ये तु धुरिरोचनी॥ कालकामी तु विज्ञेयौ वह्निकार्ये दिजोत्तम। विश्वे देवाः समाख्याताः श्राडकर्मसु सर्वदा॥

We have, thus, to choose between Dhuri, Dhwani, and Muni; between Rochana, Rochaka, Rochamána, and the Lochana of some MSS.; between Purúravas and Dhřitimat; and between Mádravas, Ádravas, Árdrava, and Manuja. I incline to think that the HI-, in the reading year HI
gary, may have been corrupted out of AI-, and this out of AI.

The Nirňayasindhu, like other treatises, in quoting the second passage which Hemádri refers to Břihaspati, and the extract from the Agni-puráňa, gives, instead of the immetrical year AI AI extract from the Agni-puráňa, gives, instead of the immetrical year AI AI extract from year AI from year

The Váyu-puráńa, in my MSS., declares:

क्रतुर्द्रचः श्रवः सत्यः कालः कामो धृनिस्तथा। कुरुवानमवांसैव रोचमानस्र ते द्रशः। धर्मपुचाः स्रृता ह्येते विश्वायां जित्तरे शुभाः॥

Here the Viśwe devas, called sons of Dharma and Viśwa,—see Vol. II., pp. 21, 22,—are said to be ten in number, namely: Kratu, Daksha, Śrava, Satya, Kala, Kama, Dhuni, Kuruvat, Amayat, and Rochamana.

Śrava, possibly, here grew out of Vasu, with its consonants transposed. Dhuni, again, could easily be corrupted from Dhuri; or vice versa. See Vol. II., p. 23, note §. For Kuruvat it is obvious to propose Puruvat,—the original, perhaps, of the readings Pururavas and Dhritimat; as Amavat may have been of Adravas, &c.

It is a suggestive fact, that none of the dozen or more law-books which I have examined for the Viśwe devas refers to these verses,—the oldest, not impossibly, of all that are cited in this note. Is this omission to be accounted for by the desuetude of the Váyu-puráňa? And can that work be more ancient than the Likhita-smŕiti, in the form of it which has been derived to us?

Perhaps it was even some older work than the Váyu-puráńa that led Śankara—in his commentary on the B/iĥad-árańyaka Upanishad, I., IV., 12,—to count Viśwá's sons, the Viśwe devas, at thirteen.

In the Harivaínsa, śl. 11541-4, I make out, as the result of collating several MSS.:

विश्वे देवाश विश्वायां धर्मोद्भूता इति श्रुतिः। दच्चिव महाबा इवंसुश्च सुत एव च ॥ सुधर्मा च महाबा इः ग्रङ्क्षपाञ्च महाबनः। पृष्ठुश्चैव महाबा इवंपुष्मांश्च तथैव च ॥ चा चुषस्य मनोरिते तथानन्तमहारणी। विश्वावसुपुपर्वाणी निष्कुसश्च महायशाः॥ रू श्च ऋषिपुनो व भास्तरप्रमितवृतिः। विश्वदेवान्देवमाता विश्वेशा जनयस्तान्॥

Here, subject to correction, I read the names of thirteen Viśwe devas, to-wit: Daksha, Vasu, Suta, Sudharman, Sankhapád, Pfithu, Vapushmat, Ananta, Mahárańa, Viśwavasu, Suparvan, Nishkumbha, and Ruru. The first seven are said to be connected with the Manu Chákshusha. Vide supra, p. 11.

It is alleged, by the Translator,—Vol. II., p. 22, note 1,—that there are twelve Viśwe devas, according to the *Matsya-puráńa*. The passage, in that work, which names these supernals,—but without numbering them,—is much too corrupt, in my MSS., to invite conjectural mending. For the most part, if not throughout, it has, without question, a close genetic relationship to the last extract transcribed.



#### CHAPTER XVI.

Things proper to be offered, as food, to deceased ancestors: prohibited things. Circumstances vitiating a Śráddha: how to be avoided. Song of the Pitris or progenitors, heard by Ikshwáku.

AURVA continued.—"Ancestors are satisfied, for a month, with offerings of rice or other grain,\* with clarified butter,¹ with fish, or the flesh of the hare, of birds, of the hog, the goat, the antelope,† the deer,: the Gayal,§ or the sheep, or with the milk of the cow, and its products.² They are for ever satisfied with

<sup>&#</sup>x27; See Manu, III., 266, &c. The articles are much the same; the periods of satisfaction somewhat vary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The expression Gavya (ग्रञ) implies all that is derived from a cow: but, in the text, it is associated with 'flesh'; and, as the commentator observes, some consider the flesh of the cow to be here intended: मासमध्याराजांसमेनेत्वचे। || But this, he adds, relates to other ages. ¶ In the Kali or present age, it implies milk and preparations of milk.\*\* The sacrifice of a cow or calf formed part of the ancient Śráddha. It then became typical; or, a bull was turned loose, instead of being slaughtered: and

<sup>\*</sup> There is nothing, in the original, corresponding to "offerings of rice or other grain." The scholiast, however, suggests such an addition.

<sup>†</sup> Aińa, adjective of eńa.

<sup>†</sup> Ruru; explained, in the commentary, by prishata. In Vol. I., p. 72, it is translated "antelope".

<sup>§</sup> Gavaya.

The commentator here refers, in terms, to a variant of the text.

तत्तु युगान्तरीणिमित्यवधेयम् ।

<sup>\*\*</sup> The five pure products of the cow are milk, curds, butter, her urine, and her dung.

flesh (in general), and with that of the long-eared white goat, \* in particular. The flesh of the rhinoceros, the Kálasáka (pot-herb), and honey are, also, especial sources of satisfaction to those worshipped at ancestral ceremonies. The birth of that man is the occasion of satisfaction to his progenitors, who performs, at the due time, their obsequial rites at Gayá. Grains that spring up spontaneously, † rice growing wild,: panic § of both species (white or black ||), vegetables that grow in forests, ¶ are fit for ancestral oblations; as are barley, wheat, rice, sesamum, various kinds of pulse,\*\* and mustard.†† On the other hand, a

this is still practised, on some occasions. ‡‡ In Manu, the term Gavya is coupled with others, which limit its application:

<sup>\*</sup> Várddhrínasa. Some, according to the commentator, understand this word to denote a bird with a dark throat, a red head, and white wings.

<sup>†</sup> Prasátiká. The scholiast says: प्रसातिका देवधान्यानि । आर-खत्रीहिसदृशाः । श्वेतशूका दति केचित् । Commentary A.

<sup>‡</sup> नीवारा र्त्तशुका: | Commentary A. In the comment on the text of Vol. I., p. 95, nivára is defined आरखा बीहर:

<sup>§</sup> Śyámáka.

<sup>||</sup> So adds the commentator. | ¶ Vanaushadhi.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The original specifies priyanyu, mudga, nishpáva, and kovidára. Nishpáva is said, in one commentary, to be the same as valla; in the other, the same as śibya: and a gloss gives yugapatra as the synonym of kovidára. For these and other vegetable products named in this chapter, see the list in Vol. I., p. 95.

<sup>††</sup> Unlike the list referred to in the preceding note, this does not profess to be exhaustive. In the former, we find the names of fourteen articles; in the latter, the names of twelve. This mentions five species of grains,—prasátiká, mudga, nishpáva, kovidára, and sarshapa,—omitted in the other; while the remaining seven species are common between both.

tt See Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 177.

householder must not offer any kind of grain that is not consecrated, by religious ceremonies, on its first coming into season; nor (the pulse called) Rájamásha, nor millet, nor lentils, nor gourds, nor garlic, nor onions, nor nightshade, nor camels thorn, nor salt, nor the efflorescence of salt deserts, nor red vegetable extracts, nor anything that looks like salt, nor anything that is not commendable: nor is water fit to be offered at a Śráddha, that has been brought by night, or has been abandoned, or is so little as not to satisfy a cow, or smells badly, or is covered with froth. The milk of animals with undi-

संवत्सरं तु गवीन पयसा पायसेन च।

"A whole year with the milk of cows, and food made of that milk." || || III., 271.

# \* त्रक्षताग्रयणं यच्च धान्यजातं नरेश्वर। \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* विवर्जयेत्॥

- † I. e., másha not black, according to the commentator.
- ‡ Ańu. Commentary A gives china as its synonym; B defines it by súkshma-śáli. In the comments on the text of Vol. I., pp. 94, 95, it is said, equivalently, to be kshudra-śáli, 'small rice'; while chinaka is explained to be ańu-tulya.
  - § Alábú.
- || Insert 'carrots'(?), pindamúlaka. The commentary explains the word by पिएडाकारमूलकं, 'a bulbous root.'
  - ¶ Gåndhåraka. शाकभेदः काञ्चिकं वा। Commentary.
- " Karambha. वर्मानि अविवसिता लाजाः। शाकभेट् द्खेके। Commentary.
  - †† Aushara.
  - 🗓 त्रार्क्षांश्चेव निर्यासान्
- §§ प्रत्यज्ञलवणानि, which the commentator defines to be चनुर्दृश्चल-वणानि.

<sup>| | |</sup> Sir William Jones.

vided hoofs, of a camel, a ewe, a deer,\* or a buffalo, is unfit for ancestral oblations. If an obsequial rite is looked at by a eunuch, a man ejected from society,† an outcast, a heretic, a drunken man, or one diseased, by a cock,‡ a naked ascetic,¹ a monkey, a village-hog,§ by a woman in her courses or pregnant, by an unclean person, or by a carrier of corpses,¶ neither gods nor progenitors will partake of the food. The ceremony should, therefore, be performed in a spot carefully enclosed.\*\* Let the performer cast sesamum on the ground, and drive away malignant spirits.†† Let him not give food that is fetid, or vitiated by hairs or insects, or mixed with acid gruel,‡ or stale.§§ Whatever suitable food is presented with pure faith, and with the enunciation of name and race, |||| to ancestors,

### \*\* तसात्परित्रिते कुर्याच्छा इं श्रद्धांसमन्वितः।

¹ Nagna is, literally, 'naked', but, as explained in the following chapter, means a Jaina mendicant. No such person is included, by Manu (III., 239, &c.), amongst those who defile a Śráddha by looking upon it. The Váyu contains the same prohibition: नमादयो न पश्चेषु:।

<sup>&#</sup>x27;The original word is marga. But there is a variant, chhaga, 'of a goat.'

<sup>†</sup> Apaviddha; defined महाजनपरित्यतः. : Krikaváku.

<sup>§</sup> I have corrected the printer's blunder "a village-hag"; the original being gráma-súkara.

<sup>||</sup> Sútiká. This, according to the commentator, is a woman ceremonially unclean by reason of recent childbirth.

<sup>¶</sup> Mitahara; explained by भ्वनिहर्णवृत्ति:, in the commentary.

<sup>††</sup> Yatudhana. See Vol. II., p. 292, near the foot.

<sup>†</sup> Abhishava; synonymized by kánjika, in the commentary.

<sup>§§</sup> Paryushita. The scholiast says it means पक्कं रात्र्यनारितम्। Also vide supra, p. 126, note

at an obsequial oblation, becomes food to them (or, gives them nourishment).\* In former times, O king of the earth, this song† of the Pitris was heard by Ikshwáku,: the son of Manu, in the groves of Kalápa, (on the skirts of the Himálaya mountains§): 'Those of our descendants shall follow a righteous path, who shall reverently present us with cakes at Gayá. May he be born in our race, who shall give us, on the thirteenth of Bhádrapada and Mágha, || milk, honey, and clarified butter; or when he marries a maiden, ¶ or

## \* श्रज्जासमन्वितेर्द्त्तं पितृभ्यो नामगोत्रतः। यदाहारासु ते जातासदाहारत्वमिति तत्॥

§ It is a village there, says the scholiast, from whom this parenthesis is borrowed. His words are: कलापो हिमवत्पार्श्ववर्ती ग्रामविशेष: I The village of Kalapa is mentioned in Book IV., Chapter IV., ad finem.

|| The words "of Bhádrapada and Mágha" correspond to वर्षासु च मघासु च, which means, "during the rainy season, and under the asterism Maghá." Only one period, however, is intended; and that is during the month of Bhádrapada, according to the scholiast: वर्षासु। भाद्रपदे मघानचने चयोद्शीं प्राथ। Compare note § to p. 170, supra.

¶ Gauri. In definition of this term, the commentator adduces, from some unnamed Smriti, the ensuing stanza:

#### त्रष्टवर्षा भवेद्गीरी नववर्षा तु रोहिणी। दश्वर्षा भवेत्कन्या त्रत कर्ध्वं रजस्वला॥

It appears, herefrom, that gauri signifies a girl of eight years; rohińi, one of nine; and kanyá, one of ten; after which age, a female is to be considered as a woman.

With this the stanza which I have quoted from the Panchatantra, in p. 102, note \*, supra, is unaccordant, as regards the rohińi.

For what seems to be intended for the above, cited in a corrupt and curtailed form by Vallabhagańi, see Goldstücker's Sanskrit Dictionary, sub voce अरजस.

By its acceptance of the strains of the pitris, our text sanctions the marriage of a gauri. We have seen that this technicality is held to denote a maiden of eight; I nowhere find that it means a damsel more

liberates a black bull, or performs any domestic ceremony agreeable to rule, accompanied by donations to the Brahmans!"<sup>2\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Níla vŕisha. But this animal is not altogether, or always, black. In the Brahma Puráńa, as quoted in the Nirńaya Sindhu,† it is said to be of a red colour, with light face and tail, and white hoofs and horns; or, a white bull, with black face, &c.; or, a black bull, with white face, tail, and feet.;
  - <sup>2</sup> Very full descriptions of the Śráddha occur in almost all

advanced; and it may be doubted whether the compiler of the Vishńu-puráńa took a different notion of its import.

Kanyá-vide supra, pp. 102-105,—is often used in the vagues ense of 'virgin'. Such may, then, be a gauri.

The commentator quotes, as follows, from the lawgiver Samvarta:

#### गौरीं ददनाकष्टष्ठं वैकुछं याति रोहिणीम् । कन्यां ददद्वस्रालोकं रौरवं तु रजखलाम् ॥

"He who gives away a gauri goes to Nakapiishtha; he who gives away a rohińi, to Vaikuntha; he who gives away a kanya, to Brahmaloka; but he who gives away a damsel whose courses have commenced, to Raurava."

Nákapíishtha is the highest heaven of the three specified. Raurava is a hell: see Vol. II., p. 216.

Ratnagarbha would have proved himself inconsistent indeed, if, while citing the two preceding stanzas with tacit approval, he had expressed himself as represented in note 2 to p. 101, supra.

\* I find only this reading:

#### यजेत वास्त्रमधेन विधिवह चिणावता।

This is to be rendered: "or offers a hippocaust accompanied by remuneration agreeably to rule,"

† The Brahma-puráńa is there quoted much to this effect. The Brahmáńda-puráńa—with many other authorities,—is adduced, by Hemádri, as follows:

लोहितो यसु वर्णेन मुखे पुच्छे च पाण्डुरः। श्वेतः खुरविषाणाभ्यां स नीलो वृष उच्चते॥ चरणाश्व मुखं पुच्छं यस्य श्वेतानि गोपतेः। लाचारससवर्णस्य तं नीलमिति निर्दिशेत॥

‡ In the Acharachandrika, bulls of different colours are appropriated to different castes.

the Puránas,—especially in the Váyu, Kúrma, Márkańdeya, Vámana, and Garuda. The Matsya and Padma (Srishti Khańda) contain descriptions which are much the same as that of the Váyu. The accounts of the Brahma, Agni, and Varáha are less full and regular than in some of the others; and in none of them is the subject so fully and perspicuously treated as in our text. For satisfactory information, however, the Śráddha Mayúkha and the Niráaya Sindhu should be consulted.\*

<sup>•</sup> The prime authority on the subject of obsequies is, beyond all question, the voluminous Śráddhakalpa of Hemádri,—a work which the Śráddhamayúkha and Nirńayasindhu perpetually lay under contribution.



#### CHAPTER XVII.

Of heretics, or those who reject the authority of the Vedas: their origin, as described by Vasishtha to Bhíshma: the gods, defeated by the Daityas, praise Vishnu: an illusory being, or Buddha, produced from his body.

PARÁŚARA.—Thus, in former days, spake the holy Aurva to the illustrious monarch Sagara, when he inquired concerning the usages proper to be practised (by mankind): and thus I have explained to you the whole of those observances against which no one ought to transgress.\*

MAITREYA.—You have told me, venerable sir, that an ancestral rite is not to be looked upon by certain persons, amongst whom you mentioned such as were apostates. I am desirous to learn whom you intended by that appellation;† what practices bestow such a title upon a man; and what is the character of the individual to whom you alluded.

Parasara.—The Rig-, Yajur-, and Sama-Vedas constitute the triple covering of the several castes; and the sinner who throws this off is said to be naked (or apostate).: The three Vedas are the raiment of all the orders § (of men); and, when that is discarded,

<sup>\*</sup> मयाप्येतद्ग्रेषेण कथितं भवतो द्विज। समुद्धञ्च सदाचारं कश्चित्राप्नोति ग्रोभनम्॥

<sup>†</sup> षर्खापविद्यप्रमुखा विदिता भगवन्मम । उद्व्यादास ये सर्वे नप्रमिच्छामि वेदितुम्॥

<sup>ः</sup> ऋग्यजुःसामसंज्ञेयं त्रयी वर्णावृतिर्द्धिज। एतामुज्झिति यो मोहात्स नयः पातकी स्पृतः॥ § Varna.

they are left bare.¹ On this subject hear what I heard my grandfather, the pious Vasishtha, relate to the magnanimous Bhíshma:

There was, formerly, a battle between the gods and demons,\* for the period of a divine year, in which the gods were defeated by the demons† under the command of Hráda.<sup>2</sup> The discomfited deities fled to the northern shore of the milky ocean,‡ where, engaging in religious penance, they thus prayed to Vishńu: "May

#### सर्वेषामेव भूतानां त्रयी संवरणं स्थृता। परित्यजन्ति ये मोहात्ते वै नमादयो जनाः॥

"The three Vedas are the covering of all beings; and they who throw it off, through delusion, are called Nagnas, naked." The notion is, probably, original with neither of the Puránas; and the metaphorical sense of the term is not that in which it was first employed: ascetics, whether of the Bauddha or of the Digambara order of Jainas, being, literally, Nagnas,—or, going naked. The qualified application of it, however, was rendered necessary by the same practice being familiar to ascetics of the orthodox faith. To go naked was not necessarily a sign of a heretic; and, therefore, his nudity was understood to be, rejecting the raiment of holy writ. Thus, the Váyu Purána extends the word to all ascetics—including naked Brahmans,—who practise austerities fruitlessly, that is, heretically or hypocritically:

#### वृथादण्डी वृथामुण्डी वृथानमञ्ज यो दिजः। वृथावती वृथाजापी ते वै नमादयो जनाः॥

"The Brahman who unprofitably bears a staff, shaves his head, goes naked, makes a vow, or mutters prayers,—all such persons are called Nagnas and the like."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This idea is expressed in nearly the same terms, in the Váyu Puráńa:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A son of Hirańyakaśipu (Vol. II., p. 30).

<sup>·</sup> Asura.

<sup>‡</sup> See Vol. II., p. 200.

the first of beings, the divine Vishau, be pleased with the words that we are about to address to him, in order to propitiate the lord of (all) worlds; from which mighty cause all (created things) have originated, and into whom they shall again dissolve! Who is able to declare his praise? We, who have been put to shame by the triumph of our foes, will glorify thee, although thy true power and might be not within the reach of words.\* Thou art earth, water, fire, air, ether, mind, \* crude matter, ; and (primeval) soul. § All this elementary creation, with or without visible form, is thy body; all, from Brahmá to a stock, diversified by place and time. Glory to thee, who art Brahmá, thy first form, evolved from the lotos springing from thy navel, for the purpose of creation! Glory to thee, T who art Indra,\*\* the sun, Rudra, the Vasus, ++ fire, # the winds, §§ and even, also, ourselves! Glory to thee, Go-

#### \* तथाष्यरातिविध्वंसध्वस्तवीर्या भवार्थिनः। त्वां स्तोष्यामस्तवोक्तीनां याषात्रयं नैव गोचरे॥

"Albeit thy real selfhood is not within the scope of words, yet we, whose might has been destroyed by discomfiture at the hands of our enemies, being solicitous of renewed welfare, will, according to our understanding, laud thee."

The commentator explains this stanza in detail.

- † Antakkarańa. † Pradhána. See Vol. I., p. 20, note ..
- § Puins. It is qualified by tatpara, 'superior thereto', viz., to pradhána.
- || ब्राजहास्तस्वपर्यन्तम् । We have, here, the very words with which the Sánkhya-pravachana, III., 47, begins.
  - ¶ Supply 'identical with the gods', devátman.
  - \*\* Sakra, in the original.
  - tt See, for the Vasus, Vol. II., pp. 22, 23.
  - I find 'the Aswins'; for whom vide supra, p. 21.
  - §§ 'The Maruts.' See Vol. II., p. 79.

vinda, who art all demons, whose essence is arrogance and want of discrimination, unchecked by patience or self-control!\* Glory to thee, who art the Yakshas, whose nature is charmed with sounds, and whose frivolous hearts perfect knowledge cannot pervade!+ Glory to thee, who art all fiends that walk by night, sprung from the quality of darkness, fierce, fraudulent, and cruel!: Glory to thee, Janárdana, who art that piety which is the instrument of recompensing the virtues of those who abide in heaven! § Glory to thee, who art one with the saints, whose perfect nature is ever blessed, and traverses, unobstructed, all permeable elements! Glory to thee, who art one with the serpent-race, double-tongued, impetuous, cruel, insatiate of enjoyment, and abounding with wealth! Glory to thee, who art one with the Rishis, whose nature is free from sin or defect, and is identified with wisdom and tranquillity! Glory to thee, O lotos-eyed, who art one with time, the form that devours, without remorse, all created things, at the ter-

<sup>\*</sup> दश्वप्रायमसंबोधि तितिचादमवर्जितम् । यद्रुपं तव गोविन्द् तसी दैत्याताने नमः॥

<sup>†</sup> नातिज्ञानवहा यसिद्धाड्यसिमिततेजसि । भव्दादिनोभि यत्तसी तुभ्यं यचात्राने नमः॥

<sup>ं</sup> क्रीर्यमायामयं घोरं यच रूपं तवासितम्। निग्राचरात्मने तसी नमसे पुरुषोत्तम॥

स्वर्गस्थधिम सद्धर्मफलोपकरणं तव।
 धर्मास्यं च तथा रूपं नमस्तसी जनार्दन॥
 र्रें
 र्रें
 र्रें
 र्रें
 र्रें
 रें
 रिक्रिक्ट स्वर्गे नमस्तसी जनार्दन॥
 रें
 रिक्रिक्ट स्वर्गे स्वरं स्वर्गे स्वरं स्वरं

<sup>।</sup> हर्षप्रायमसंसर्गि गतिमद्गमनादिषु। सिद्धातंस्वव यदूपं तसी सिद्धाताने नमः॥

mination of the Kalpa!\* Glory to thee, who art Rudra, the being that dances (with delight), after he has swallowed up all things, - the gods and the rest, without distinction! Glory to thee, Janárdana, who art man, the agent in developing the results of that activity which proceeds from the quality of foulness! Glory to thee, who art brute animals, the universal spirit that tends to perversity, which proceeds from the quality of darkness, and is encumbered with the twenty-eight kinds of obstructions!1+ Glory to thee, who art that chief spirit which is diversified in the vegetable world, and which, as the essence: of sacrifice, is the instrument of accomplishing the perfection of the universe! Glory to thee, who art everything, and whose primeval form is the objects of perception, and heaven, and animals, and men, and gods! § Glory to thee, who art the cause of causes, the supreme spirit; who art distinct from us and all beings composed of intelligence and matter and the like, and with whose

† ऋष्टाविंशद्वधोपेतं यद्रूपं तामसं तव । उत्मार्गगामि सर्वातांससी पञ्चाताने नमः॥

Some MSS. have the reading Augifaulai, to which the commentator, followed by the Translator, gives the preference.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Vol. I., p. 71, note 2.

<sup>\*</sup> भचयत्यथ कल्पाने भूतानि यदवारितम्। लद्रूपं पुण्डरीकाच तसी कालात्मने नमः॥ For Puńdarikáksha, see Vol. I., p. 2, note 1.

<sup>‡</sup> Anga.

<sup>§</sup> तिर्यङ्मनुष्यदेवादि व्योमग्रब्दादिनं च यत्। रूपं तवादेः सर्वस्य तसी सर्वाताने नमः॥

primeval nature there is nothing that can be compared! We bow to thee, O lord, who hast neither colour, nor extension, nor bulk,\* nor any predicable qualities; and whose essence,† purest of the pure, is appreciable only by holy sages.: We bow to thee, in the nature of Brahma, uncreated, undecaying; who art in our bodies, and in all other bodies, and in all living creatures; and besides whom there is nothing else. We glorify that Vásudeva, the (sovereign) lord (of all), who is without soil, the seed of all things, exempt from dissolution, unborn, eternal; being, in essence, the supreme condition of spirit, || and, in substance, ¶ the whole of this (universe)."

Upon the conclusion of their prayers,\*\* the gods beheld the sovereign deity Hari, armed with the shell, the discus, and the mace, riding on Garuda. Prostrating themselves before him, they addressed him, and said: "Have compassion upon us, O lord, and protect us, who have come to thee for succour from the Daityas! They have seized upon the three worlds, and appropriated the offerings which are our portion, taking care not to transgress the precepts of the Veda. Although we, as well as they, are parts of thee, of whom all beings consist, yet we behold the world impressed by the ignorance of unity, with the belief of

<sup>\*</sup> Ghana.

<sup>†</sup> Rúpa.

<sup>‡</sup> Paramarshi.

<sup>§</sup> Avyaya. See Vol. I., p. 17, note \*.

<sup>||</sup> Paramapadátmavat.

Rupa is here rendered by both "essence" and "substance".

<sup>\*\*</sup> Stotra.

its separate existence. Engaged in the duties of their respective orders,\* and following the paths prescribed by holy writ, practising, also, religious penance, it is impossible for us to destroy them. Do thou, whose wisdom is immeasurable,† instruct us in some device by which we may be able to exterminate the enemies of the gods:!"

When the mighty Vishńu heard their request, he emitted from his body an illusory form, \$\\$ which he gave to the gods, and thus spake: "This deceptive vision | shall wholly beguile the Daityas; so that, being led astray from the path of the Vedas, they may be put to death: for all gods, demons, or others, who shall be opposed to the authority of the Veda, shall perish by my might, whilst exercised for the preservation of the world. Go, then, and fear not. Let this delusive vision precede you: it shall, this day, be of great service unto you, O gods!"

पराग्रर् उवाच। इत्युक्ताः प्रिणिवीनं ययुर्देवा यथागतम्। मायामोहोऽपि तैः साधं ययौ यव महासुराः॥

<sup>\*</sup> Varna.

<sup>†</sup> Ameyátman.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Asura.

<sup>§</sup> Máyámoha, "the deluder by illusion."

<sup>||</sup> Máyámoha

 $<sup>\</sup>P$  Most of my MSS., including all those accompanied by the commentary, here  $\operatorname{add}\colon$ 

#### CHAPTER XVIII.

Buddha goes to the earth, and teaches the Daityas to contemn the Vedas: his sceptical doctrines: his prohibition of animal sacrifices. Meaning of the term Bauddha. Jainas and Bauddhas: their tenets. The Daityas lose their power, and are overcome by the gods. Meaning of the term Nagna. Consequences of neglect of duty. Story of Satadhanu and his wife Saibyá. Communion with heretics to be shunned.

PARÁŚARA.—After this, the great delusion,\* having proceeded (to earth), beheld the Daityas, engaged in ascetic penances, upon the banks of the Narmadá river; and, approaching them, in the semblance of a naked mendicant, with his head shaven, and carrying a bunch of peacock's feathers, he thus addressed them, in gentle accents: "Ho, lords of the Daitya race, wherefore is it that you practise these acts of penance?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The situation chosen for the first appearance of the heresy agrees well enough with the great prevalence of the Jaina faith in the west of India, in the eleventh and twelfth centuries (Asiatic Researches, Vol. XVI., p. 318), or, perhaps, a century earlier, and is a circumstance of some weight, in investigating the date of the Vishńu Puráńa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A bunch of peacock's feathers is still an ordinary accompaniment of a Jaina mendicant. According to the Hindí poem, the Prithu Rai Charitra, it was borne by the Buddhist Amara Simha. But that work is not, perhaps, very good authority for Bauddha observances,—at least, of an ancient date.

Máyámoha.

Is it with a view to recompense in this world? or in another?" "Sage," replied the Daityas, "we pursue these devotions, to obtain a reward hereafter. Why should you make such an inquiry?"\* "If you are desirous of final emancipation," answered the seeming ascetic,† "attend to my words; for you are worthy of a revelation; which is the door to ultimate felicity. The duties that I will teach you are the secret path to liberation: there are none beyond, or superior to, them. § By following them you shall obtain either heaven or exemption from future existence. You, mighty beings, are deserving of such (lofty) doctrine." By such persuasions, and by many specious arguments, | did this delusive being mislead the Daityas from the tenets of the Vedas; teaching, that the same thing might be for the sake of virtue and of vice; might be, and might not be; might, or might not, contribute to liberation; might be the supreme object, ¶ and not the supreme object; might be effect, and not be effect; might be manifest, or not be manifest; might be the duty of those who go naked, or who go clothed in much raiment. And so the Daityas were seduced from their proper duties by the repeated lessons of their illusory preceptor, maintaining the equal truth

<sup>&</sup>quot; पार्त्र्यफललाभाय तपश्चर्या महामते। त्रुसाभिरियमार्ट्या किंवा तेऽत्र विविचतम्॥

<sup>†</sup> Máyámoha.

<sup>†</sup> Dharma.

<sup>§</sup> धर्मो विमुक्तेरहीं ऽयं नैतस्रादपरः परः।
॥ एवंप्रकारैर्बङ्गिभियुक्तिदर्शनवर्धितैः।

<sup>¶</sup> Paramártha.

of contradictory tenets; \*\* and they were called Arhatas, \*\* from the phrase he had employed, of "Ye are worthy (Arhatha) of this great doctrine,"—that is, of the false doctrines which he persuaded them to embrace. \*†

The foes of the gods: being, thus, induced to apostatize from the religion of the Vedas, by the delusive person (sent by Vishńu), became, in their turn, teachers of the same heresies, and perverted others; and these, again, communicating their principles to others, by whom they were still further disseminated, the Ve-

¹ In this and the preceding contradictions it is probable that the writer refers, although not with much precision, to the sceptical tenets of the Jainas, whence they are called, commonly, Syádvádins, assertors of probabilities, or of what may be. These usually form seven categories, or: 1. a thing is; 2. it is not; 3. it is, and it is not; 4. it is not definable; 5. it is, but is not definable; 6. it is not, neither is it definable; 7. it is, and it is not, and is not definable. Hence the Jainas are also termed Saptavádins and Saptabhangins, assertors and oppugners of seven propositions. Asiatic Researches, Vol. XVII., p. 271; § and Transactions of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. I., p. 555. ||

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Here is further confirmation of the Jainas being intended by our text; as the term Arhat is, more particularly, applied to them, although it is also used by the Buddhists.

<sup>\*</sup> इत्यनेकान्तवादं च मायामोहेन नैकधा। तेन दर्भयता दैत्याः स्वधर्मास्त्याजिता द्विज॥

<sup>†</sup> ऋहें थेमं महाधमं मायामोहेन ते यतः। प्रोक्तास्त्रमाश्रिता धर्ममाईतास्त्रेन तेऽभवन्॥

<sup>:</sup> Asura.

<sup>§</sup> Or Professor Wilson's collected essays, Vol. I., pp. 315, 316.

Or Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., pp. 386, 387.

das were, in a short time, deserted by most of the Daitya race. Then the same deluder, putting on garments of a red colour, assuming a benevolent aspect, and speaking in soft and agreeable tones, addressed others of the same family, and said to them: "If, (mighty) demons, you cherish a desire either for heaven or for final repose,\* desist from the iniquitous massacre of animals (for sacrifice), and hear (from me what you should do). Know that all (that exists) is composed of discriminative knowledge.† Understand my words; for they have been uttered by the wise. This world subsists without support, and, engaged in the pursuit of error, which it mistakes for knowledge, as well as vitiated by passion and the rest, revolves in the straits of existence." In this manner, exclaiming to them, "Know!" (Budhyadhwam), and they replying, "It is known" (Budhyate), these Daityas were induced, by the arch-deceiver, to deviate from their religious duties § (and become Bauddhas), by his repeated argu-

aerida ava

<sup>\*</sup> Nirváńa.

<sup>†</sup> Vijnána. The commentator explains this term by buddhi. He says that the doctrine of the Yogácháras—a sect of Bauddhas,—is here set forth. According to Colebrooke,—Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 391,—the Yogácháras "except internal sensation or intelligence (vijnána), and acknowledge all else to be void. They maintain the eternal existence of conscious sense alone."

<sup>†</sup> This is the faith of the Madhyamikas, agreeably to the scholiast. These "maintain that all is void (sarva śúnya); following, as it seems, a literal interpretation of Buddha's sútras." Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 391. See, further, on the Yogácháras and Madhyamikas, Burnouf's Introduction à l'Histoire du Buddhisme Indien, Vol. I., pp. 449 et seq.

<sup>§</sup> I find no reading but this:

एवं बुध्यतं बुध्यसं बुध्यतैविमतीरयन् । मायामोहः स दैतेयान्धर्ममत्याजयितजम् ॥

ments and variously urged persuasions. When they had abandoned their own faith, they persuaded others to do the same: and the heresy spread; and many deserted the practices enjoined by the Vedas and the laws.

The delusions of the false teacher paused not with the conversion of the Daityas to the Jaina and Bauddha\* heresies; but, with various erroneous tenets,† he prevailed upon others to apostatize, until the whole were led astray, and deserted the doctrines and observances inculcated by the three Vedas. Some then spake evil of the sacred books; some blasphemed the gods; some treated sacrifices and other devotional ceremonies with scorn; and others calumniated the Brahmans. "The precepts," they cried, that lead to the injury of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We have, therefore, the Bauddhas noticed as a distinct sect. If the author wrote from a personal knowledge of Buddhists in India, he could not have written much later than the tenth or eleventh century.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Saying 'thus understand, understand, understand thus,' he, the deluder by illusion, caused the Daiteyas—i. e., sons of Diti—to forsake their own religion."

The commentary recognizes budhyata, not budhyate; as it says: एवं कुधतित्व पुनक्तिवीं अपदनिकत्त्वणा।

Burnouf, evidently without looking at the original of the passage here rerendered, departs still further from its literal sense than Professor Wilson, for whose "arch-deceiver" (máyámoha) he boldly substitutes Buddha: "Connaissez (budhyadhwam), s'écriait le Buddha aux Démons qu'il voulait séduire. Cela est connu (budhyatê), répondirent ses auditeurs." Introduction à l'Histoire du Buddhisme Indien, Vol. I., note 1 in pp. 70, 71.

<sup>\*</sup> The translation adds the words "Jaina" and "Bauddha" to the original.

<sup>†</sup> The commentator explains: लोकायतिकमतभेदै:, "with varieties of the secularist belief."

animal life (as in sacrifices,) are highly reprehensible. To say, that casting butter into flame is productive of reward, is mere childishness. If Indra, after having obtained godhead by multiplied rites, is fed upon the wood used as fuel in holy fire, he is lower than a brute, which feeds, at least, upon leaves. If an animal slaughtered in religious worship is, thereby, raised to heaven, would it not be expedient for a man who institutes a sacrifice to kill his own father for a victim? If that which is eaten by one, at a Śráddha, gives satisfaction to another, it must be unnecessary for one who resides at a distance to bring food for presentation in person."1\* "First, then, let it be determined what may be (rationally) believed by mankind; and then," said their preceptor, "you will find, that felicity may be expected from my instructions. The words of authority do not, mighty Asuras, fall from heaven: the text that has reason is, alone, to be acknowledged by me, and by such as you are."2 By such and similar lessons the

सद्यभव जयन

¹ That is, according to the commentator, a Śráddha may be performed, for a man who is abroad, by any of his kinsmen who are tarrying at home: it will be of equal benefit to him as if he offered it himself; he will equally eat of the consecrated food. †

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We have, in these passages, no doubt, allusion to the Bár-

<sup>\*</sup> तृप्तये जायते पुंसो भुक्तमन्येन चेत्ततः। दवाच्छादं श्रद्धयाद्मं न वहेयुः प्रवासिनः॥

<sup>†</sup> श्राचन श्राबे ६ मं भुक्तमन्यस्य तृत्रये चेज्जायते तर्हि प्रवासिनो ६ मं न वहेयुः । किंतु स्वयामस्थितः पुचादिसमुद्दिश्च श्राबं द्वात् । Commentary.

For the real meaning of the verse thus explicated, which the Translator misunderstood, see note • in p. 214, infra.

Daityas were perverted, so that not one of them admitted the authority of the Vedas.

haspatyas, or followers of Brihaspati, who seem to have been numerous and bold at some period anterior to the fourteenth century. Asiatic Researches, Vol. XVI., p. 5.\*

<sup>\*</sup> Or Professor Wilson's collected essays, Vol. I. pp. 5-7.

I subjoin Dr. Muir's translation of a metrical passage, quoted in the Sarvadarśanasangraha, purporting to represent the views of Brihaspati:

<sup>&</sup>quot;There is no heaven, no final liberation, no soul [which continues to exist] in another world, nor any ceremonies of castes or orders which are productive of future reward.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The Agnihotra sacrifice, the three Vedas, the mendicant's triple staff (tridaúda), and the practice of smearing with ashes, are the means of livelihood ordained, by the Creator, for men who have neither understanding nor energy.

<sup>&</sup>quot;If [it be true, that] an animal slaughtered at the Jyotishtoma sacrifice is [in consequence,] exalted to heaven, why does not the worshipper immolate his own father?

<sup>&</sup>quot;If a śráddha (offering of food to the manes) satiates even defunct creatures, it is quite superfluous to furnish people who are setting out upon a journey with any provisions; [as their friends who remain behind can offer food to them].

<sup>&</sup>quot;Since [as you say,] persons in heaven are filled by oblations presented upon earth, why is not food similarly offered [by those below,] to people on the roof of the house?

<sup>&</sup>quot;While a man does live, let him live merrily, let him borrow money, and swallow clarified butter. How can a body return to Earth, after it has once been reduced to ashes?

<sup>&</sup>quot;If a man goes to another world, when he quits his body, why does not affection for his kindred impel him to come back?

<sup>&</sup>quot;Hence, ceremonies for the dead are a mere means of livelihood devised by the Brahmans, and nothing else.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The three composers of the Veda were buffoons, rogues, and goblins. Every one has heard of *jarbhari*, *turphari*, and such other [nonsensical] exclamations of the Pandits.

<sup>&</sup>quot;It is well known, that, in an aśwamedha (horse-sacrifice), the embraces of the horse must be received by the queen; and it is, in like manner, well known what other sorts of things, also, are to be grasped by those

When the Daityas had thus declined from the path of the holy writings, the deities took courage, and gathered together for battle. Hostilities, accordingly, were renewed; but the demons were now defeated and slain by the gods, who had adhered to the righteous path. The armour of religion, which had formerly protected the Daityas, had been discarded by them; and upon its abandonment followed their destruction. 1\*

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We may have, in this conflict of the orthodox divinities and heretical Daityas, some covert allusion to political troubles,

buffoons. In the same way, the eating of flesh is prescribed by those goblins." Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. XIX., pp. 299-301.

Dr. Muir's learned and instructive notes must, for want of space, be omitted.

<sup>\*</sup> The original of these two paragraphs has been more accurately rendered, by Dr. Muir, as follows: "The great Deceiver, practising illusion, next beguiled other Daityas by means of many other sorts of heresy. In a very short time, these Asuras (= Daityas), deluded by the Deceiver, abandoned the entire system founded on the ordinances of the triple Veda. Some reviled the Vedas; others, the gods; others, the ceremonial of sacrifice; and others, the Brahmans. This [they exclaimed,] is a doctrine which will not bear discussion: the slaughter [of animals, in sacrifice,] is not conducive to religious merit. [To say, that] oblations of butter consumed in the fire produce any future reward, is the assertion of a child. If Indra, after having attained to godhead by numerous sacrifices, feeds upon sami and other woods, then an animal which eats leaves is superior to him. If it be a fact, that a beast slain in sacrifice is exalted to heaven, why does not the worshipper slaughter his own father? If a man is really satiated by food which another person eats, then śráddhas should be offered to people who are travelling abroad; and they, trusting to this, should have no need to carry any food along with them. After it has been settled, that this doctrine is entitled to credence, let the opinions which I express be pondered, and received as conducive to happiness. Infallible utterances do not, great Asuras, fall from the skies: it is only assertions founded on reasoning that are ac-

Thus, Maitreya, (you are to understand, that) those who have seceded from their original belief are said to be naked, because they have thrown off the garment of the Vedas. According to the law, there are four conditions (or orders of men of the three first castes),the religious student, the householder, the hermit, and the mendicant.\* There is no fifth state; and the unrighteous man who relinquishes the order of the householder, and does not become either an anchoret or a mendicant, is (also,) a naked (seceder). The man who neglects his permanent observances for one day and night, being able to perform them, incurs, thereby, sin for one day; and, should he omit them, not being in trouble, for a fortnight, he can be purified only by arduous expiation. The virtuous must (stop to) gaze upon the sun, after looking upon a person who has allowed a year to elapse without the observance of the

growing out of religious differences, and the final predominance of Brahmanism. Such occurrences seem to have preceded the invasion of India by the Mohammedans, and prepared the way for their victories.

cepted by me, and by other [intelligent] persons like yourselves. Thus, by numerous methods, the Daityas were unsettled by the great Deceiver; so that none of them any longer regarded the triple Veda with favour. When the Daityas had entered on this path of error, the deities mustered all their energies, and approached to battle. Then followed a combat between the gods and the Asuras; and the latter, who had abandoned the right road, were smitten by the former. In previous times, they had been defended by the armour of righteousness which they bore; but, when that had been destroyed, they, also, perished." Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. XIX., p. 302.

For the remainder of this note, see the end of the volume.

<sup>\*</sup> Parivráj.

perpetual ceremonies; and they must bathe, with their clothes on, should they have touched him: but, for the individual himself, no expiation has been declared. There is no sinner, upon earth, more culpable than one in whose dwelling the gods,\* progenitors, and spirits + are left to sigh, unworshipped. Let not a man associate, in residence, sitting, or society,; with him whose person, or whose house, has been blasted by the sighs of the gods, progenitors, and spirits. Conversation, interchange of civilities, § or association with a man who, for a twelvemonth, has not discharged his religious duties, is productive of equality of guilt; and the person who eats in the house of such a man, or sits down with him, or sleeps on the same couch with him, becomes like him, instantaneously. Again; he who takes his food without showing reverence to the gods, progenitors, spirits, and guests, commits sin. How great is his sin! The Brahmans, and men of the other castes, who turn their faces away from their proper duties, become heretics, and are classed with those who relinquish pious works. Remaining in a place where there is too great an intermixture of the four castes is detrimental to the character of the righteous.

The commentator says: संवत्सरं कुर्वतः । तेन । नमेन ।

<sup>\*</sup> Insert 'Ŕishis'.

<sup>+</sup> Bhúta.

<sup>‡</sup> Parichchhada.

<sup>§</sup> Anupraśna.

<sup>||</sup> Here insert, by transfer, the words "for a twelvemonth." The original runs:

संभाषणानुप्रशादि सहाखां चैव कुर्वतः। जायते तुत्त्वता पुंसत्तिनैव द्विज वत्सरम्॥

Men fall into hell, who converse with one who takes his food without offering a portion to the gods, the sages,\* the manes, spirits, and guests. Let, therefore, a prudent person carefully avoid the conversation, or the contact, and the like, of those heretics who are rendered impure by their desertion of the three Vedas. The ancestral rite, although performed with zeal and faith, pleases neither gods nor progenitors, if it be looked upon by apostates.†

It is related, that there was, formerly, a king named Śatadhanu, whose wife, Śaibyá, was (a woman) of great virtue. She was devoted to her husband, benevolent, sincere, pure, adorned with every female excellence, with humility, and discretion.: The Raja and his wife daily worshipped the god of gods, Janárdana, with pious meditations, oblations to fire, prayers, gifts, fasting, and every other mark of entire faith, and exclusive devotion. On one occasion, when they had fasted on the full moon of Kárttika, and had bathed in the Bhágírathí, they beheld, as they came up from the water, a heretic approach them, who was the friend of the Raja's military preceptor. § The Raja, out of respect to the latter, entered into conversation with the heretic; but not so did the princess. Reflecting that she was observing a fast, she turned from him, and cast

<sup>\*</sup> Rishi.

<sup>†</sup> श्रद्धाविद्धः क्वतं यत्नाहेवान्यितृपितामहान् न प्रीणयति तच्छाद्यं यदेभिरवलोकितम्॥

<sup>ः</sup> पतित्रता महाभागा सत्यशीचद्यान्विता। सर्वजचणसंपन्ना विनयेन नयेन च॥

<sup>§</sup> Chápáchárya; literally, 'archery-master .'

her eyes up to the sun. On their arrival at home, the husband and wife, as usual, performed the worship of Vishńu, agreeably to the ritual. After a time, the Raja, triumphant over his enemies, died; and the princess ascended the funeral-pile of her husband.

In consequence of the fault committed by Satadhanu, by speaking to an infidel, when he was engaged in a solemn fast, he was born again, as a dog. His wife was born as the daughter of the Raja of Kásí, with a knowledge of the events of her preexistence, accomplished in every science,\* and endowed with every virtue. Her father was anxious to give her, in marriage, to some suitable husband: but she constantly opposed his design; and the king was prevented, by her, from accomplishing her nuptials. † With the eye of divine intelligence, she knew that her own husband had been regenerate as a dog; and, going, once, to the city of Vaidiśá, she saw the dog, and recognized her former lord in him. Knowing that the animal was her husband, she placed upon his neck the bridal garland, accompanying it with the marriage-rites and prayers:: but he, eating the delicate food presented to him, expressed his delight, after the fashion of his species. At which she was much ashamed, and, bowing reverently

Vijnána.

#### † तां पिता दातुकामोऽभूद्वराय विनिवारितः। तयैव तन्या विरतो विवाहारस्रतो नुपः॥

<sup>†</sup> The original has:

ददी तसी वराहारं सत्कारप्रवर्ण शुभम्।
"She bestowed on him excellent cates and kind treatment."

This is instead of "she placed • • prayers." The cates are referred to just below.

to him, thus spake to her degraded\* spouse: "Recall to memory, illustrious prince, the ill-timed politeness on account of which you have been born as a dog, and are now fawning upon me. In consequence of speaking to a heretic, after bathing in a sacred river, you have been condemned to this abject birth. Do you not remember it?" Thus reminded, the Raja recollected his former condition, and was lost in thought, and felt deep humiliation. With a broken spirit, he went forth from the city, and, falling dead in the desert, was born anew, as a jackal. In the course of the following year, the princess knew what had happened, and went to the mountain Koláhala, to seek for her husband. Finding him there, the lovely daughter of the king of the earth said to her lord, thus disguised as a jackal: "Dost thou not remember, O king, the circumstance of conversing with a heretic, which I called to thy recollection, when thou wast a dog?" The Raja, thus addressed, knew that what the princess had spoken was true, and, thereupon, desisted from food, and died. He then became a wolf; but his blameless wife knew it, and came to him in the lonely forest, and awakened his remembrance of his original state. "No wolf art thou," she said, "but the illustrious sovereign Satadhanu. Thou wast then a dog, then a jackal, and art now a wolf." Upon this, recollecting himself, the prince abandoned his life, and became a vulture; in which form his lovely queen still found him, and aroused him to a knowledge of the past. "Prince," she exclaimed, "recollect yourself: away with this uncouth form, to which the sin of

Kuyonija.

conversing with a heretic has condemned you!" The Raja was next born as a crow; when the princess, who, through her mystical powers, was aware of it, said to him: "Thou art now, thyself, the eater of tributary grain, to whom, in a prior existence, all the kings of the earth paid tribute." Having abandoned his body, in consequence of the recollections excited by these words, the king next became a peacock, which the princess took to herself, and petted, and fed, constantly, with such food as is agreeable to birds of its class. The king of Kásí\* instituted, at that time, the solemn sacrifice of a horse. In the ablutions with which it terminated, the princess caused her peacock to be bathed; bathing, also, herself: and she then reminded Satadhanu how he had been successively born as various animals. On recollecting this, he resigned his life. He was, then, born as the son of a person of distinction;; and, the princess now assenting to the wishes of her father to see her wedded, the king of Kásí caused it to be made known, that she would elect a bridegroom from those who should present themselves as suitors

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There is a play upon the word Bali, which means 'tribute', or 'fragments of a meal, scattered abroad to the birds', &c.

<sup>\*</sup> The original has **জননা ্জো**, 'King Janaka'; thus revealing the monarch's name. This Janaka is nowhere else mentioned in the *Vishnu-purána*.

<sup>†</sup> Avabhritha. See the Laws of the Mánavas, XI., 83.

<sup>\*</sup> We read, in the Sanskrit:

जज्ञे च जनकस्थैव पुत्रोऽसी सुमहात्मनः।

<sup>&</sup>quot;And he was born as son of the very magnanimous Janaka."

Here, then, emerges still another Janaka; unless we suppose the princess to have married her own brother or half-brother.

for her hand.\* When the election took place, the princess made choice of her former lord, who appeared amongst the candidates, and again invested him with the character of her husband. They lived happily together;† and, upon her father's decease, Satadhanu ruled over the country of Videha.: He offered many sacrifices, and gave away (many) gifts, and begot sons, and subdued his enemies in war; and, having duly exercised the sovereign power, and cherished (benignantly,) the earth, he died, as became his warrior-birth,§ in battle. His queen again followed him in death, and, conformably to sacred precepts, once more mounted, cheerfully, his funeral pile. The king, then, along with his princess, ascended beyond the sphere of Indra, to the regions where all desires are for ever gratified, || ob-

- \* ततः सा पितरं तन्वी विवाहार्थमचोदयत्। स चापि कारयामास पिता तस्याः खयंवरम्॥
- † बुभुजे च तया साधं सभीगावृपनन्दनः।

"And the prince, with her, governed Sabhoga."

Of this country I know of no other notice. There are obvious objections to reading स भोगात; and I find no lection संभोगात.

† The text seems to point to some close connexion between Kaśi, Vaidiśa, and Videha. For Kaśi, see Vol. II., p. 163, notes 12 and ¶; and, for Videha, *ibid.*, p. 165, notes 9 and ¶.

Vidisá—perhaps intended by Vaidisá,—is mentioned in Vol. II., p. 150, note 6. The word Vaidisá may be due to the confounding, by ignorant or heedless copyists, of the *i* with the *ai* of old MSS. transcribed by them. Every one who has used such MSS., or has had to do with medieval inscriptions, must have encountered, repeatedly, the particle so written as to be all but, if not quite, undistinguishable from and vice versa. Perhaps the unjustifiable Triyáruńa may now be accounted for: vide supra, foot of p. 37. Also see p 158, note ‡; and Burnouf's Introduction, &c., Vol. I., p. 86, note 2, on Visala and Vaisáli.

§ This phrase is an expansion of dharmatas.

|| This translates lokán kámaduhah. For the region called by the equivalent name Kámaduha, vide supra, p. 164, Translator's note.

taining ever-during and unequalled happiness in heaven, the perfect felicity that is the rarely realized reward of conjugal fidelity. 1\*

Such, Maitreya, is the sin of conversing with a heretic, and such are the expiatory effects of bathing after the solemn sacrifice of a horse, + as I have narrated them to you. Let, therefore, a man carefully avoid the discourse or contact of an unbeliever, especially at seasons of devotion, and when engaged in the performance of religious rites preparatory to a sacrifice.: If it be necessary that a wise man should look at the sun, after beholding one who has neglected his domestic ceremonies for a month, how much greater need must there be of expiation, after encountering one who has wholly abandoned the Vedas, one who is supported by infidels, or who disputes the doctrines of holy writ? Let not a person treat with even the civility of speech, heretics, those who do forbidden acts, & pretended saints, | scoundrels, sceptics, <sup>2</sup> ¶ and hypocrites. Intercourse with such

¹ The legend is peculiar to the Vishńu Puráńa, although the doctrine it inculcates is to be found elsewhere.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Haitukas, 'causalists;' either the followers of the Nyáya or

<sup>\*</sup> ख्रगाचयलमतुलं दाम्पत्यमतिदुर्लभम् । प्राप्तं पुष्यपत्नं प्राप्य संसिद्धिं तां दिजोत्तम ॥

<sup>†</sup> तथाश्रमेधावभृथस्तानमाहात्रयमेव च।

<sup>ः</sup> विशेषतः क्रियांकाले यज्ञादौ चापि दीचितः।

<sup>§</sup> Vikarmastha.

Baidálavratika. The original of "hypocrites" is bakavritti.

The patrons of the Veda, like their analogues of all times and climes, have a just dread of the exercise of right reason; and haituka, or 'rationalist', is, of course, a designation of evil omen to orthodox Hindus. The annexed extract from the Girvánapadamanjari of Varadarája, or

iniquitous wretches, even at a distance,-all association

'logical' philosophy, or Bauddhas; those who take nothing upon authority, and admit nothing that cannot be proved: or it is ex-

Varada Bhaffa,—vide supra, p. 136, note \*,—may remind the reader of Sir William Hamilton's demolition, quotationwise, of the mathematics. One hapless logician, we here read, was cursed to become a jackal; while another was transformed into a ghoul. A person who addicts himself to the Nyáya is to be reckoned a dog; and Śankara Áchárya is said to stigmatize such a one as a bull sans tail and horns. The extract here follows:

किंच तर्कवैशेषिकादीनि वानिचिद्दर्शनानि निषिदानि वर्तनी। तथा हि। ब्रादिपर्वणि [ 4 4192 - 6] भीष्मोत्पत्तिकथनाननारं पाण्डुः कुन्या ब्राह।

> स वै दीर्घतमा नाम शापाट्ट घिरजायत। स पुत्राञ्जनयामास गोतमादीकहायशाः। सोभमोहाभिभूतासु पुत्रासे गोतमादयः॥ इति।

ऋत एव हयशीर्षपञ्चराचे।

किपलयाचपादय नासिको नप्र एव च। ऋषयसामसा एते शास्त्रमेषां विमोहनम्॥ इति।

मोचधर्मे [Read Anusasana-parvan, धी. 2195-6]।

त्रान्वीचिकीं तर्वविद्यामन्दत्ती निर्धिकाम् । हेतृवादान्त्रुवन्सत्सु विजेता ब्राह्मणादिषु ॥ यखेयं फलनिष्यत्तिः शृगालस्त्वं भव दिज । न तर्कशास्त्रदरधाय न वाच्यं पिशुनाय च॥ दति।

दानधर्मे ।

श्वान्वीचिक्यां सदासकं नरं श्वानं च तं विदुः। श्वादित्यपुराणे।

बीडा वैशेषिका जैना भविष्यन्ति कली युगे।

पद्मप्राणे।

कणादं गोतमं शाक्तमुपमन्युं च जैमिनिम् । ऋषयसामसा एते शास्त्रमेषां विमोहनम् । मच्छक्त्याविश्विरेतिरभेदो मम गोपितः॥ इति ।

रामाचणेऽयोध्याकाण्डे।

शास्त्रेष्वन्येषु मुख्येषु विवमानेषु दुर्नुधाः। बुद्धिमान्वीविकीं प्राप्य निर्यं प्रतियन्ति ते॥ with schismatics,-defiles. Let a man, therefore, care-

plained, those who, by argument, cast a doubt upon the efficacy

भवित्यण्डितमानी यो ब्राह्मणो वेदनिन्दकः।
ब्रान्वीचिकीं तर्कविद्यामनुरक्तो निर्धिकाम्॥
हेतुवादान्त्रवन्सत्स विजेता हेतुवादिकः।
क्रोष्टा चामितवका च ब्राह्मणानां सदैव हि॥
सर्वापशङ्की मूढ्य बाल्य कटुवागि।
बोड्यसामसस्तात नर्यानं च तं विदुः॥
यथा खाभिमतं दुष्टं हन्तुं चैवावसृज्यते।
एवं संभाषणार्थाय स हि शास्त्रवधाय च॥ इति।

मिताचरायाम्।

हैतुकान्वकवृत्तीं स वाङ्माचेणापि नार्चयेत्। तर्काप्रतिष्ठानादिति व्याससूत्रम् [H., I., 11]। नैषा तर्केण मतिरापनेया इति स्रुति: [Katha Upanishad, II., 9]। पाने पार्वतीं प्रतीसर स्नाह।

मच्छ्त्याविभितिर्विषैः संप्रोक्तानि ततः परम् । कणादेन तु संप्रोक्तं भास्तं वैभिषिकं महत् ॥ गोतमेन तथा न्यायं साह्यं तु कपिलेन वै । दिजनाना जैमिनिना पूर्व वेदमयार्थतः ॥ निरीश्वरेण वादेन कृतं भास्तं महत्तमम् ॥ इति ।

पराभरोपपुराणे।

अचपादप्रणीते च काणादे साह्ययोगयोः। त्याच्यः अतिविष्डोंऽशः अत्येकशर्णीर्गृभः। जैमिनीयं च वैयासे विष्डांशो न कश्चन॥ इति।

काशीमाहात्ये। तर्कशास्त्रं पठिलात्यनं परिभाय महातार्किको जातः। तदनन्तर्मगय्यागमनादिकं कला मातुलानां भचणं कला स्थितवान्। कद्यंदेशे कलिवृचोपरि महापिशाचो भूला बङ्गकालपर्यनं स्थितः। विज्ञेता नाम कसन ब्राह्मण इत्यादि सर्वेत्र श्रूयते। त्रत एव बृहदा-रत्यक्रभाषे त्राचार्यचरणे इत्तम् । पुच्छविषाणरिहतासार्किकवली-वदीः प्रत्यवतिष्ठना इति । तसाद्वैशिषिके तर्के चात्यनं न पठनीय-मिल्लेव प्रतीयते।

Varadarája's citations and references are careless in the extreme. To the source of the extract which he professes to take from the Rámáyańa a clue is indicated by the verses wrongly attributed to the Mokshadharma; and the line which he assigns to the Mitákshará is the very passage of the Vishńu-puráńa to which this note is appended.

fully avoid them.\*

These, Maitreya, are the persons called naked, the meaning of which term you desired to have explained. Their very looks vitiate the performance of an ancestral oblation: speaking to them destroys religious merit for a whole day. These are the unrighteous heretics to whom a man must not give shelter, † and speaking to whom effaces whatever merit he may, that day, have obtained. Men, indeed, fall into hell, as the consequence of only conversing with those who unprofitably; assume the twisted hair and shaven crown; with those who feed without offering food to gods, spirits, and guests; § and those who are excluded from the presentation of cakes and libations of water to the manes.

of acts of devotion.

## \* दूरादपासः संपर्कः सहास्यापि च पापिभिः। पाषिडिभिर्दराचारैसासात्तान्यरिवर्जयेत ॥

† एते पाषण्डिनः पापा न होतानालपेदुधः। This is the only reading that I find. Professor Wilson may have read áśrayet, for álapet; mistaking its meaning.

#### : Vrithá.

#### § मोघाशिनामिखलशीचिनराक्तानाम्।

|| This last explanation is the only one given by the commentator,in a line in the midst of an anonymous metrical quotation:

संदेहकडेत्भिय सत्वर्मस् स हैत्वः। But see the Manavadharmasastra, XII., 111, and Kulluka's gloss thereon.

In his Essays, Analytical, &c., Vol. I., pp. 5-7, Professor Wilson has given an account of the measures which he took, in India, towards preparing the materials that served as the basis of his Analyses of the Puranas, a series of papers ultimately abandoned unfinished. Under his oversight, we read, "indices were drawn up in Sanskrit. To convert

them into English, I employed several native young men, educated in the Hindu College, and well conversant with our language; and to them the Pandits explained the summary which they had compiled. The original and translation were examined by myself, and corrected wherever necessary. When any particular article appeared to promise interest or information, I had that translated in detail, or translated it myself; in the former case, revising the translation with the original."

From among all the works thus dealt with, none was the object of greater care than the *Vishhu-puráha*. Of this a very large part was thought worthy of unabridged reproduction in English. Out of the scattered portions left untranslated, the longest occurs in the Book here completed, embracing Chapters VIII.—XVIII.

This being an appropriate place for a general note, and it being of interest to know the relation of the present version to that made in India, I shall here add a few specimens of the latter,—now the property of the India Office Library,—indicating the locality of the corresponding passages in the former.

#### Vol. I., p. 87, I. 6 ab infra.

"As the characteristics of seasons are seen (to be the same and identical in all their returnings), so in that manner they are the same in every beginning of the Yuga, &c. Thus, he creates, again and again, on the commencement of the Kalpas. This (Brahmá) is desirous of creating, has the power of so doing, and is joined with the power of making creations."

"He who thinks on Vásudeva during his prayers, sacrifices, and worship, despises even the state of Mahendra."

"In the same manner, O Maitreya, as the sun shines here in the midday, so does he shine in the other Dwipas in the midnight. He is always seen opposite, in the time of his setting and rising, (whether seen) from the cardinal points or the corners. Whoever observes the sun from any place, he is rising there; and wherever he disappears, he is setting there. The sun is constantly present, and is neither setting nor rising (in any place, in reality). The ideas of his setting and rising are obtained merely from his being either visible or invisible (in any particular place)."

"The rays of the sun and fire, identical with light and heat, pervade, during both day and night, being mingled with each other."

"Both these waters are productive of virtue and destructive of sin. These waters, O Maitreya, are of the Mandákiní; and it is the bathing in them that is called Divyasnána."

Vol. II., p. 309, l. 4 ab infra.

"When the world, being freed from works, is rendered void of defects, pure in its real form, and identical with knowledge, then the tree of desire produces no fruits, and all distinctions of matter are lost."

Vol. II., p. 320, l. 8.

"The earth, feet, legs, buttocks, thighs, belly, &c., are, thus, depending upon one another. In the same manner, therefore, as this palanquin is upon my shoulder, so you do bear a load, also."

Vol. III., p. 17, l. 7.

"In the Raivata Manwantara, he, the Vishnu, who is the superior of all the Devatas, was born in the womb of Sambhuti, with the Rajasagana, under the title of Manasa."

Vol. III., p. 65, l. 1.

"A fourth Samhitá was written by Romaharshana, called Romaharshanniká. The essence of these four Samhitás, O Muni, or Maitreya, I have given in this Vishnu Purana, which I shall communicate to you."

Further, we have, in Vol. III., "Bhagadheya" for "Nábhanidishťa", p. 13, last line; "twenty-one", p. 23, l. 5; "Medhátithi", p. 25, l. 3; "Savarga", p. 27, l. 2; an omission of "Táras", p. 27, l. 6 and note ||; &c. &c. &c.





सद्यमेव जयते

# VISHŃU PURÁŃA.

# BOOK IV.

#### CHAPTER I.

Dynasties of kings. Origin of the solar dynasty from Brahmá. Sons of the Manu Vaivaswata. Transformations of Ilá or Sudyumna. Descendants of the sons of Vivaswat: those of Nedishtha. Greatness of Marutta. Kings of Vaisálí. Descendants of Saryáti. Legend of Raivata: his daughter Revatí married to Balaráma.

MAITREYA.—Venerable preceptor, you have explained to me the perpetual and occasional ceremonies which are to be performed by those righteous individuals who are diligent in their devotions; and you have, also, described to me the duties which devolve upon the several castes, and on the different orders of the human race. I have now to request you will relate to me the dynasties (of the kings who have ruled over the earth).<sup>1</sup>

¹ The complete series of the different dynasties is found elsewhere only in the Váyu, the Brahmánda (which is the same), the Matsya, and the Bhágavata Puránas. The Brahma Purána and the Hari Vamsa, the Agni, Linga, Kúrma, and Garuda Puránas have lists of various extent, but none beyond the families of Pándu and Krishna. The Márkandeya contains an account

Parasara.—I will repeat to you, Maitreya, an account of the family of Manu, commencing with Brahma, and graced by a number of religious, magnanimous,\* and heroic princes. Of which it is said: "The lineage of him shall never be extinct, who daily calls to mind the race of Manu, originating with Brahma." Listen, therefore, Maitreya, to the (entire) series † of the princes of this family, by which all sin shall be effaced.

Before (the evolution of) the mundane egg, existed Brahmá, who was Hirańyagarbha, the form of (that supreme) Brahma which consists of Vishńu as identical with the Rig-, Yajur-, and Sáma-‡(Vedas); the primeval, uncreated cause § of all worlds. From the right thumb of Brahmá was born the patriarch Daksha:² his daughter was Aditi, who was the mother || of

of a few of the kings of the solar dynasty alone; and the Padma, of a part of the solar and lunar princes only, besides accounts of individuals. In the Rámáyańa, Mahábhárata, and in the other Puráńas, occasional short genealogies and notices of individual princes occur. In general, there is a tolerable conformity: but this is not invariably the case; as we shall have occasion to observe.

¹ In the historical passages of all the Puráńas in which such occur, and, especially, in the Vishńu and Váyu, verses, apparently the fragments of a more ancient narrative, are frequently cited. It may, also, be noticed, as a peculiarity of this part of the Puráńa, that the narration is in prose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Daksha is elsewhere said to have been one of the mindborn sons of Brahmá, or to have been the son of the Prachetasas. See Vol. II., p. 9, note 1.

<sup>\*</sup> Vira, which the commentator defines by utsáhavat, 'energetic'.

<sup>†</sup> Ânupúrví, 'succession.' ‡ The original adds 'etc.' § Âdibhúta. || The father being Kaśyapa, according to the Bhágavata-puráńa, IX., I., 10.

the Sun.\* The Manu (Vaivaswata) was the son of the celestial luminary; and his sons 1 were Ikshwáku,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> According to the nomenclature sometimes followed, and, as we shall have reason to conclude, intended in this place, there are ten sons of Manu. The commentator regards them, however, as but nine; considering Nábhága-nedishtha but one name, or, Nedishtha the father of Nábhága. † The number is generally stated to be nine; although there is some variety in the names, particularly in this name, which occurs Nabhágadishta, Nábhágárishta; † and also separated, as Nábhága, Nabhaga, or Nabhága; Nedishtha, Dishta, and Arishta: the latter, as in the Kúrma, distinctly stated, नाभागी हारिष्ट: | Again, नेदिष्ठ: सप्तम: स्नत: । Brahma Purána. The commentator on the Hari Vamsa quotes the Vedas for Nábhágadishta: नाभागिट हं नै मानविमिति यते: 18 But the name occurs as Nábhánedishtha in the Aitareya Bráhmańa of the Rigveda, where a story is told of his being excluded from all share of his inheritance, on the plea of his being wholly devoted to a religious life: नाभानेदिष्ठं वै मानवं ब्रह्मचर्यं वसनं भातरो निरभजन । See, also, Asiatic Researches, Vol. VIII., p. 384. The name, as ordinarily written, Na-bhága, 'no-share,' has, nevertheless, an obvious connexion with the legend. The name of Nriga is found only in our text, the Padma, and the

<sup>•</sup> Vivaswat; and so in the next sentence.

For Vivaswat's wives, vide supra, p. 20, text and note 1.

<sup>†</sup> नाभागस्य पिता निदिष्ठ इत्यर्थः। क्रिचिन्नेदिष्ठस्थैन दिष्ट इत्यपि संज्ञा। Dishta is here recognized as a substitute, "somewhere", for Nedishtha. Vide infra, p. 240, notes 2, †, ††, and ‡‡.

Corrected from "Nabhagarishtha".

<sup>§</sup> This quotation is from Nilakańtha on the Harivamśa, śl. 614. Only I find, invariably, the reading নামানিহৈষ্ঠ, yielding Nabhanedishtha.

<sup>||</sup> V., 14. On the Nabanazdista of the ancient Parsis, see Professor R. Roth, Zeitschrift der Deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Vol. VI., pp. 243—247.

<sup>¶</sup> Or Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 25.

Nriga,\* Dhrishta, Śaryáti,† Narishyanta, Prámsu, Nábhága, Nedishtha,: Karúsha,§ and Prishadhra.

Bhágavata: the Váyu has Najava. Prámsu is, also, the reading of the Váyu and Agni, but not of the rest, which have Vena, Vanya, Dańda, Kuśanábha, or Kavi, in its place. The Mahábhárata, Ádi Parvan, bu p. 113, has: Vena, the Dhíishíu, Narishyanta, Nábhága, Ikshwáku, Karúsha, Śaryáti, Ilá, Přishadhra, and Nábhágárishía. The Padma Purána, in the Pátála Khanda, says there were 'ten,'; and names them Ikshwáku, Nřiga, Dishía, Dhřishía, Karúsha, Šaryáti, Narishyanta, Přishadhra, Nábhága, and Kavi.

<sup>•</sup> Vide supra, p. 13, note ††; et infra, p. 256, notes \* and §.

<sup>†</sup> Several MSS. have Saryáti.

<sup>‡</sup> Substituted, here and in numerous instances below, for the unmeaning "Nedishfa". § In three MSS. I find Karusha.

<sup>||</sup> This seems to have been mistaken for Nahava, into which one of Professor Wilson's MSS. corrupts Nahusha, itself an error for Nabhaga.

<sup>¶</sup> But see note ‡‡, below.

<sup>\*\* \$</sup>l. 3140—3142. The last person of this group is called, in the text, the tenth; and Nilakańtha, the commentator, says, of him: चतुर्थानाभागाद्योऽयं नाभागार्थो दश्मः। We are to understand, then, by नाभागेद्वाकुं, not one name, but an irregular combination of two.

<sup>††</sup> The commentator Arjuna Miśra here reads Veńu.

<sup>‡‡</sup> And so says the Bhágavata-puráña,—IX., I., 11, 12,—which gives the same names, save Nabhaga for Nábhága. At VIII., XIII., 2, 3, it has, among ten names, both Nabhaga and Nábhága, omitting Níiga; and it puts Vasumat for Kavi. Vide supra, p. 14, note •.

Like our text at pp. 13, 14, supra, the Márkańdeya-purána, LXXIX., 11, 12, says that the Manu had nine sons: Ikshwaku, Nabhaga, Dhŕishťa, Śaryáti, Narishyanta, Nábhaga, Dishťa, Karúsha, and Přishadhra. The Calcutta edition of the Márkańdeya-purána has, here, such bad readings as Śarmáti, Kurúsha, and Prushadhru. See, likewise, the same Purána, CXI., 4, 5.

In the Harivańsa, 613, 614, the names, in the best MSS., are, substantially, as in the Markańdeya-puráńa; except that, instead of Nabhaga and Dishfa, we find Pramsu and Nabhagarishfa, with the variant Dańda and Nedishfha. Further, Dhrishóu is a common substitute for its synonym Dhrishfa.

Before their birth, the Manu, being desirous of sons, offered a sacrifice\* (for that purpose,) to Mitra and Varuna; but, the rite being deranged, through an irregularity of the ministering priest, a daughter, Ilá,† was produced.¹ Through the favour of the two divinities,

¹ तनापज्ञते होत्रपचारादिला नाम कन्या बभूव। "That sacrifice being wrongly offered, through the improper invocations of the Hotři," &c.‡ It is also read अपहते, 'frustrated.' This is rather a brief and obscure allusion to what appears to be an ancient legend, and one that has undergone various modifications. §

The Váyu-purána has two several lists of the sons of the Manu. The first names Ikshwaku, Nabhaga, Dhíishnu, Śaryati, Narishyanta, Nabhaga Arishta, Karusha, Príshadhra, and Prámsu, the second, Ikshwaku, Nabhaga, Dhrishta, Śaryati, Narishyanta, Prámsu, Nabhaga Arishta, Karusha, and Príshadhra.

As each of these lists distinctly states that it reckons up only ten persons, we are to find but one in **THINGS**; which stands, here, in lieu of the more ordinary **THINGS**:.

See the preceding page, note \*\*; also, p. 240, note \*\*, and p. 256, note \*, infra.

· Ishti.

† See the references in note \* to p. 236, infra; also, Professor Wilson's Translation of the Rigueda, Vol. I., p. 82, note a.

‡ It is to this effect that the passage is to be understood, if we read अपहते, which lection the commentator prefers: तस्मिन्तर्मणि मनुपत्या कन्यार्थं प्रार्थितस्य होतुर्पचारात्वान्यासंकल्परूपात्। अपहते विकले जाते सित कन्यामूत्। He adds: अपहतेरित पाठेऽपक्षष्टाद्वीमाद्विती-रिसर्थः। I nowhere find Professor Wilson's reading अपहते

§ Premising the names of the Manu's ten sons, the Bhágavata-puráńa, IX., I., 13—16, says, as translated by Burnouf:

"Avant leur naissance, quand le Manu n'avait pas encore d'enfants, le bienheureux Vasichtha, ce puissant sage, avait célébré le sacrifice de Mitra et de Varuna, pour lui donner des fils.

"Alors Çraddhâ, la femme du Manu, qui s'était soumise au vœu du lait, s'étant rendue auprès de l'officiant, se jeta à ses pieds, et le supplia de lui donner une fille.

"Mais à l'instant où le Brâhmane faisant les fonctions d'officiant,

however, (her sex was changed, and) she became a man, named Sudyumna. At a subsequent period, in con-

According to the Matsya, no change of sex took place, in the first instance. The eldest son of Manu was Ida or Ila (इड or इल), whom his father appointed sovereign of the seven Dwipas. In his progress round his dominions, Ila came to the forest of Sambhu or Siva; entering into which, he was changed to a female, Ilá, agreeably to a promise made, formerly, by Śiva to Párvatí, who had been, once, unseasonably broken in upon by some sages,\*that such a transformation should be inflicted on every male who trespassed upon the sacred grove. After a season, the brothers of Ila sought for him, and, finding him thus metamorphosed, applied to Vasishtha, their father's priest, to know the cause. He explained it to them, and directed them to worship Siva and his bride. They did so, accordingly; and it was announced, by the deities, that, upon the performance of an Aswamedha by Ikshwaku, Ila should become a Kimpurusha, named Sudyumna, and that he should be a male one month, and a female another month, alternately. The Váyu, which is followed by most of the other authorities, states, that, upon Manu's offering their share of the sacrifice to Mitra and Varuna, instead of a boy, a girl was born; according to the Vedas: इंडा जज्ञे इति श्रुति: | Manu desired her to follow him:

### तामिलेत्यथ होवाच मनुर्दण्डधरः सृतेः। अनुगच्छामि भद्धं ते तमिला प्रत्यवाच ह॥

Whence her name Ilá (from ila or ida, 'come'). There, however, Manu propitiates Mitra and Varuna; and the girl Ilá is

venait de recevoir ses instructions de celui qui récite le Yadjus, et tenait entre ses mains l'offrande, l'attention qu'il donnait à la prière de Çraddhâ, lui fit commettre une erreur dans la manière dont il prononça le mot Vachat.

<sup>&</sup>quot;La méprise de l'officiant donna lieu à la naissance d'une fille qui fut nommée Ilâ."

<sup>\*</sup> In Ilavrita, the scholiast says.

sequence of (becoming subject to the effects of) a malediction once pronounced by Siva,\* Sudyumna was

changed into the boy Ila, or Sudyumna, by their favour: as the Markandeya: †

#### तथिति ताभ्यामुक्तं तु देवाभ्यां सैव कन्यका। इला इलः समभवत्सुबुम्न इति विश्वतः॥

Sudyumna's subsequent change to a female again is told much as in the Matsya; but his being alternately male and female is not mentioned in the Váyu, any more than it is in our text. The Bhágavata agrees, in that respect, with the Matsya; but it has, evidently, embellished the earlier part of the legend, by the introduction of another character, Śraddhá, the wife of the Manu. It is said, that it was by her instigation,—as she was desirous of having a girl,—that the ministering Brahmans altered the purpose of the rite; in consequence of which a girl, instead of a boy, was born. The similarity of the name has induced the learned author of the Origin of Pagan Idolatry to conceive that he has found the Ila of the Hindus in the Il or Ilus of the Phonicians. "The Phenician It is the masculine Ila of the Hindoos and Indo-Scythæ; and Ila was a title of Menu or Buddha, who was preserved in the ark, at the time of the deluge." Vol. I., p. 156. And he thence concludes, that Ila must be Noah; whilst other circumstances in his Phœnician history identify him with Abraham. Vol. I., p. 159. Again: "Ilus or Il \* \* is a regular Cuthic name of Buddha, which the Phenicians, I have no doubt, brought with them from their settlements on the Erythrèan sea: for Buddha or Menu, in the character of Ila, is said to have married his own daughter, Ila, who is described as the offspring of an ancient personage that was preserved in an ark, at the time of the general deluge." Vol. I., p. 223. Now, whatever connexion there may be between the names of Ila, Il, Ilus, Ilium, Ilá 'the earth,' and Ilos 'slime,' there is no very obvious

<sup>•</sup> Iśwara, in the original.

<sup>†</sup> CXI., 11, 12.

again transformed to a woman, in the vicinity of the hermitage of Budha, the son of (the deity of) the Moon. Budha saw and espoused her, and had, by her, a son named Purúravas.\* After his birth, the illustrious † Rishis, desirous of restoring Sudyumna to his sex, prayed to the mighty Vishńu, who is the essence of the four Vedas, of mind, of everything, and of nothing; and who is in the form of the sacrificial

resemblance between the Pauráńik legends of Ilá and the Mosaic record; nor do the former authorize the particulars of Ila stated by Mr. Faber, on the authority, probably, of Colonel Wilford. The Manu Satyavrata, who was preserved in the ark, is never called Ila; nor is he the father of Ilá. Buddha was not so preserved; nor is Ila ever a title of Buddha. Budha (not Buddha), the husband of Ilá, never appears as her father; nor is he a Manu; nor is she the daughter of any ancient personage preserved in an ark. There is not, therefore, as far as I am aware, any circumstance in the history of Ila or Ilá which can identify him either with Abraham or Noah.

### \* सानुरागञ्च तस्यां बुधः पुरूरवसमात्मजमुत्पादयामास।

Thus it is indicated, observes the commentator, that the Solarian race sprang from the Lunarian.

For Ila and Purúravas, see Chapter VI. of this Book. Ila is dwelt on, in great detail, by Burnouf, in his *Bhágavata-purána*, Vol. III., Preface, pp. LXX.—XCII.

See, for another version of the original, Dr. Muir's Original Sanskrit Texts, Part I., p. 44.

† Amitatejas.

- ‡ In all my MSS., Vishńu is qualified, first of all, as 'identical with sacrifice,' ishtimaya.
- $\S$  The epithet 'one with the law', dharmamaya, here follows, in nearly all my MSS.
  - [] Here all my best MSS. insert 'identical with intelligence', jnánamaya. This is not in all MSS.

male;\* and, through his favour, Ilá once more became Sudyumna; (in which character) he had three sons, Utkala, Gaya, and Vinata.<sup>1</sup>

In consequence of his having been, formerly, a female, Sudyumna was excluded from any share in his paternal dominions: but his father, at the suggestion of Vasishtha, bestowed upon him the city Pratishthána; and he gave it to Purúravas. †

#### उत्त्रज्ञस्योत्वनं राष्ट्रं विनताश्वस्य पश्चिमम्। दिक्पूर्वा तस्य राजर्षेर्गयस्य तु गया पुरी॥

We are, thus, told, that the kingdom of Vinatáswa lay to the west of Utkala.

With this compare the Harivaínsa, śl. 632; and the Linga-purána, Prior Section, LXV., 27.

# ¶ द्चिणापथराजानी वभूवुर्धर्मवत्सलाः।

¹ The Matsya calls the name of the third, Haritáśwa; the Váyu, &c., Vinatáśwa; the Márkańdeya,‡ Vinaya; and the Bhágavata,§ Vimala. All but the last agree in stating that Utkala (Orissa) and Gayá (in Behar) are named after the two first. The Matsya calls the third the sovereign of the east, along with the Kauravas; the Váyu makes him king of the west. The Bhágavata calls them, all three, rulers of the south.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The authorities agree in this location of Sudyumna. Pratishthána\*\* was situated on the eastern side of the confluence of the Ganges and Jumna, the country between which rivers was the territory of the direct male descendants of Vaivaswata. In the

<sup>\*</sup> Yajnapurusha. See Vol. I., p. 61, note 1; p. 163, note \*; and pp. 180, 181: also, Vol. II., p. 136.

<sup>†</sup> One MS. has Purushavara.

CXI., 15.

<sup>§</sup> IX., I. 41.

<sup>||</sup> The Váyu-purána says:

Vide infra, p. 240, note ||.

<sup>\*\*</sup> See Burnouf's Bhágavata-purána, Vol. III., Preface, pp. XCVII., XCVIII.

Of the other sons of the Manu, Prishadhra, in consequence of (the crime of) killing a cow,\* was degraded

Hari Vamsa, † it is said that he reigned in Pratishthána, having killed Dhrishtaka, Ambarísha, and Danda:

#### सुद्यसः कार्यामास प्रतिष्ठाने नृपित्रयाम् । भृष्टकसाम्बरीषस दण्डसित हतत्रयः॥

M. Langlois had, to doubt, स्तस्य: in his copy; as he renders it: § Il donna \* \* \* naissance à trois enfants; though, as he observes, Hamilton had called these the sons of Ikshwáku. The Brahma Purána has not this passage; nor does the commentator on the Hari Vamsa give any explanation: neither does anything of the kind occur elsewhere. We have, however, subsequently, in the text, Danda named as a son of Ikshwáku; and, in the Padma Purána, Srishli Khanda, and in the Uttara Kánda of the Rámáyána, we have a detailed narrative of Danda, the son of Ikshwáku, whose country was laid waste by an imprecation of Bhárgava, whose daughter \*\* that prince had violated. His kingdom became, in consequence, the Dandaka forest. The Mahábhárata, Dána Dharma, †† alludes to the same story. If, therefore, the preferable reading of the Hari Vamsa be Suta, 'son,' it is at variance with all other authorities. At the same time, it

<sup>\*</sup> The Sanskrit has 'his teacher's cow'. † \$1. 637.

<sup>‡</sup> Since he puts "Dandaca", a word of three syllables, it is more than probable that he followed some such reading as दण्डकसीत ते चयः, which I find to be the most ordinary. In some MSS., दण्डसीत सुतास्त्रयः occurs. The lection हतचयः is of no account. It seems like a corruption of the last syllables of दण्डसीत ते चयः, which is read in one of Professor Wilson's MSS.

<sup>§</sup> Vol. I., p. 54.

<sup>||</sup> The fact as to Mr. Hamilton's statement is more correctly expressed in these words: "Fr. Hamilton dit que ce roi, et par conséquent ses frères, étaient fils l'Ikchwâcou." See Genealogies of the Hindus, &c., p. 64.

<sup>¶</sup> Vide infra, p. 259.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Abjá, by name.

<sup>††</sup> A part of the Santi-parvan.

to the condition of a Śúdra. From Karúsha descended the mighty warriors termed Kárúshas, (the

must be admitted, that the same work is singular in asserting any collision between Dańda and his brothers and Sudyumna; and the passage seems to have grown out of that careless and ignorant compilation which the Hari Vamsa so perpetually presents. It is, not improbably, a gratuitous perversion of this passage in the Matsya:

नाभागखाम्बरीषसु धृष्टस्य च सुतचयम्।

'Ambarísha was the son of Nabhága;† and Dhrishta had three sons.' ‡

<sup>1</sup> This story has been modified, apparently, at different periods, according to a progressive horror of the crime. Our text simply states the fact. The Váyu says he was hungry, and not only killed, but ate, the cow of his spiritual preceptor, Chyavana. In the Márkańdeya, & he is described as being out a hunting, and killing the cow of the father of Bábhravya, mistaking it for a Gavaya or Gayal. The Bhágavata, as usual, improves upon the story, and says that Prishadhra was appointed, by his Guru, Vasishtha, to protect his cattle. In the night, a tiger made his way into the fold; and the prince, in his haste, and in the dark, killed the cow upon which he had fastened, instead of the tiger. In all the authorities, the effect is the same; and the imprecation of the offended sage degraded Prishadhra to the caste of a Śúdra. According to the Bhágavata, the prince led a life of devotion, and, perishing in the flame of a forest, obtained final liberation. The obvious purport of this legend, and of some that follow, is, to account for the origin of the different castes from one common ancestor.

Kshattriya.

<sup>+</sup> Vide infra, p. 257.

For their names, vide infra, p. 255, note 2.

<sup>§</sup> Ch. CXII.

<sup>||</sup> IX., II., 3-14.

sovereigns of the north.) \*\* The son of Nedishtha, † named Nábhága, became a Vaisya: \*‡ his son was Bhalan-

¹ The Bhágavata § also places the Kárúshas in the north: || उत्तरापथगोप्तारो ब्रह्मखा धर्मवत्सलाः ।

But the country of the Kárúshas is, usually, placed upon the Páripátra or Vindhya mountains. See Vol. II., p. 158, note 2. ¶

² The Váyu has 'Nábhága, the son of Arishía:' नाभा-गोऽरिष्टपुत्रस्तु। \*\* The Márkaúdeya†† has 'the son of Dishía:' दिष्टपुत्रस्तु नाभागः। The Bhágavata ‡ also calls him the son of

† One MS. has Dishfa. Vide supra, p. 231, note †.

‡ See Original Sanskrit Texts, Part I., pp. 45, 46. § IX., II., 16.

¶ But also see Vol. II., p. 123, text and note 1; p. 133, text and note †.

\*\* The verse, as I find it, runs:

#### नाभागोऽरिष्टपुत्रस्त विद्वानासीझसन्दनः।

That is to say, Bhalandana is declared to be son of 'Nabhaga Arishta.' The purport of the verse is, manifestly, to set forth the paternity of Bhalandana. Besides this, not to increase the Vayu-purana's tale of the Manu's sons from nine to ten, Nabhaga Arishta must be taken together, as denoting one person. The sense would not be changed, while the grammar would be amended, by reading Alliantes,—yielding Nabhagarishta,—which, as we have seen, occurs elsewhere. Vide supra, p. 232, notes \*\* and ‡; also, p. 256, note \*, infra.

†† CXIII., 2. And so the Linga-purána, Prior Section, LXVI., 53.

\*\* IX., II., 23:

### नाभागो दिष्टपुत्रोऽन्यः कर्मणा वैश्वतां गतः।

Śridhara remarks, on this: दिष्टस्य पुत्री नाभागी वच्यमाणनाभा-गादन्य इति भानित्युदासार्थमनुवादमात्रम् ।

The Nabhaga from whom this one is thus discriminated is named in IX., IV., 1, 9, 13; also, in VIII., XIII., 2. Vide supra, p. 14, note \*, and p. 232, note ‡‡.

The commentator on the Vishnu-purana says: नेदिष्ठख पुत्री नाभागी वैस्थतां गत:।

<sup>\*</sup> I do not find this parenthesis in the original; nor is it taken from the commentary.

<sup>||</sup> By the term uttarápatha, used in the original, the regions to the north of the Vindhya mountains are intended. The regions to the south of those mountains are, similarly, denominated dakshińápatha. Vide supra, p. 237, note ¶.

#### dana; \*\* whose son was the celebrated Vatsa-

Dishía. According to that authority, he became a Vaiśya by his actions. The other Puráńas generally agree, that the descendants of this person became Vaiśyas; but the Matsya and Váyu do not notice it. The Márkańdeya details a story of Nábhága's carrying off and marrying the daughter of a Vaiśya; in consequence of which he was degraded, it is said, to the same caste, and deprived of his share of the patrimonial sovereignty, which his son and successor recovered. The Brahma Puráńa and Hari Vamśa† assert, that two sons of Nábhágárishía again became Brahmans: but the duties of royalty imply the Kshattriya caste of his posterity; and the commentator on our text observes,‡ that the son of Nábhága was born before his father's degradation, and, consequently, the race continued Kshattriya;—an assertion unsupported by any authority: and it must, therefore, appear, that a race of Vaiśya princes was recognized by early traditions.

<sup>1</sup> Bhanandana: § Bhágavata.

नाभागो दिष्टपुत्रोऽन्यः कर्मणा वैश्वतां गतः। इति गुकोतिः। तस्य च पुत्रोत्पत्तिक्तरकालमेव वैश्वत्वप्राप्त्या तत्पुत्रस्य भजन्दनस्य चित्रयत्मविष्ठ्यमेव। त्रत एव तद्न्वयस्य मक्तस्य चक्र-वर्तित्वं संगच्छते। This imports, that it was not till after the birth of Bhalandana, that Nabhága was turned into a Vaisya; and hence it is that his descendants were, like himself in his original dignity of birth, Kshattriyas.

We meet with other Nábhágas, at pp. 256 and 303, infra.

• In two MSS. I find Bhanandana, for which see note §, below.

† नाभागारिष्टपुत्री दी वैग्री ब्राह्मणतां गती।

So read my best MSS.; the Calcutta edition being defective here. Some MSS. have Nabhagadishta for the name; and, in one, I find Nabhaga:

नाभागस्य तु पुत्री दी वैद्यी ब्राह्मणतां गती।

- M. Langlois must have had before him a still different lection, one much like that in the Bombay edition; for he translates: "Les fils de Nabhagarishta, Kchatriyas d'origine, devinrent Vêśyas."
  - \* See note \*\* in the preceding page.
- § This looks like a Bengal corruption of Bhalandana, the reading in all my best MSS. of the Bhágavata. See note \* in the next page.

prí: \*\* his son was Prámśu; † whose son was Prajáni; \*\* whose son was Khanitra; \*\* whose son was the very valiant Kshupa; \*\* † whose son was Vimśa; \*\*

- ¹ Vatsapríti: Bhágavata. Vatsaśri: Márkańdeya. § The latter has a story of the destruction of the Daitya Kujámbha by Vidúratha, the father of Sunandá, the wife of Vatsaśri. The Váyu has Sahasrári.
  - <sup>2</sup> Pramati: Bhágavata. ¶
- <sup>3</sup> According to the Markandeya, the priests of the royal family conspired against this prince, and were put to death by his ministers.
  - 4 Chákshusha: Bhágavata. \*\*
  - Víra: Márkańdeya. ††
- \* All my best MSS. give Vatsapríti once, or twice, several having both Vatsapríti and Vatsaprí; for the name is repeated, in the original, in connexion with Prámsu. Vatsaprí, and as son of Bhalandana, is mentioned several times in the Anukramańiká to the Kigveda.
- † Where (for a single instance out of several,) Prámśu—p. 232, supra,—is called one of the "sons" of Manu, are we to understand, by "sons", "descendants"? Or is the Prámśu here spoken of a second person of that name? He was one of twelve sons of Vatsapri, according to the Márkańdeya-puráńa, CXVIII., 1, 2.

According to the Váyu-purána, Pramsu was son of Bhalandana:

#### भंबन्दनस्य पुनोऽभूत्रांशुनीम महाबबः।

‡ My MSS. read, without exception: तत्य खिनियः। तसाच जुपः। जुपाचातिबलपराक्रमी विंग्रोऽभवत्। Professor Wilson, mistaking the particle cha, 'and', for part of the name, printed "Chakshupa", which I have altered as above.

See note § in the next page. That the name is Kshupa is, further, evident from several passages of the Markandeya-purana; as CXX., 1:

#### चुपः खनिचपुचसु प्राप्य राज्यं यथा पिता।

- § My best MSS. of the Markandeya-purana have, like the Calcutta edition, Vatsapri.
- $\parallel$  In the  $V\acute{a}yu$ -pur $\acute{a}\acute{a}\acute{a}$ , as known to me, there is no name at all here. See the line quoted in note  $\dagger$ , above.
  - The Váyu-purána and the Márkandeya-purána have Prajáti.
- \*\* Pretty certainly, there is, here, a gross mistake in the Bhágavata-puráńa. See note ‡, above. †† CXX., 13.

whose son was Vivimsati, \*\* whose son was Khaninetra; † whose son was the powerful, wealthy, and valiant Karandhama; \*\* whose son was Avikshi (or Avikshit); \*\* those son was the mighty Marutta, \*\* of whom this well-known verse || is recited:

¹ Rambha precedes Vivimsati: Bhagavata. ¶

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Baláśwa, \*\* or Balakáśwa, or Subaláśwa, according to the Márkańdeya, which explains his name Karandhama to denote his creation of an army,—when besieged by his revolted tributaries,—by breathing on his hands (南文 + 以和:).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Both forms occur, as the commentator observes: ऋचिर्व ऋचिद्विदिखपि नाम। The Márkańdeya has a long story of this prince's carrying off the daughter of Visála, king of Vaidiśa ††. Being attacked and captured by his confederated rivals, he was rescued by his father, but was so much mortified by his disgrace, that he vowed never to marry nor reign. The princess, also be-

<sup>\*</sup> I find no reading but Vivinsa, if Vinsa—which is, of course, a mere clerical inadvertence,—is left out of account. Vivinsa is, also, the lection of the Márkańdeya-puráńa, CXX., 14, 15: and see note §, below. For this word, as denoting a caste, see Vol. II., p. 193.

<sup>†</sup> Nearly all my MSS., including every one of the best, here interpose Ativibhúti, or else Vibhúti, as son of Khaninetra and sire of Karandhama.

<sup>‡</sup> In the Váyu-puráña I find Avikshita; and he is named immediately after Khanitra, as if his son. But, almost certainly, there is, here, a hiatus in my MSS.

Avikshita is, also, the reading of the Markandeya-purana, Ch. CXXIII.

<sup>§</sup> In the *Mahábhárata*, *Áśwamedhika-parvan*, Chap. IV., we find the following genealogy, referred to the *Krita-yuga*: Manu, Prasandhi, Kshupa, Ikshwáku, Vimsa (one of a hundred sons, all kings), Vivimsa, Khaninetra (one of fifteen sons), Karandhama, Avikshit, Marutta.

<sup>||</sup> I find "two stanzas": यखेमावदापि झोकौ गीचेते। And two stanzas are immediately afterwards quoted.

<sup>¶</sup> In my MSS., Rambha follows Vivimsati; and Vimsa is omitted.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This appears to be the true reading of the Markandeya-purana.

<sup>††</sup> From the original, विद्याधिका, it is safest, pending the production of explicit proof that there is such a name as "Vaidiśa," to infer, in preference, Vaidiśa. Vide supra, p. 221, note ‡.

"There never was beheld, on earth, a sacrifice equal to the sacrifice of Marutta. All the implements and utensils "were made of gold. Indra was intoxicated; with the libations of Soma-juice; and the Brahmans were enraptured with the magnificent donations they received. The winds of heaven encompassed the rite, as guards; and the assembled gods attended, to behold it." Marutta was a Chakravartin (or universal

coming an ascetic, met with him in the woods; and they were, finally, espoused: but Avikshit kept his other vow, and relinquished his succession in favour of his son, who succeeded to the kingdoms of both Karandhama and Visala.

¹ Most of our authorities quote the same words, with, or without, addition.§ The Váyu || adds, that the sacrifice was conducted by Samvarta, whom the Bhágavata terms a Yogin, the

त्रमाद्यदिन्द्रः सोमेन दिच्छाभिर्दिजातयः।
 मक्तः परिवेष्टारः सदस्यास दिवीकसः॥

According to the scholiast, the Maruts purveyed food, &c., on the occasion: महतो देवा:। परिवेष्टार अज्ञादिपरिवेश्वताः।

§ Whence the first of these stanzas was derived I am unable to say; but we probably have the prototype of part of the second in the following quotation in the Aitareya-bráhmańa, VIII., 21:

मक्तः परिवेष्टारो मक्त्तस्थावसवृहे । स्त्राविक्तिस्य कामप्रेविश्वे देवाः सभासदः॥

See, also, the Śatapatha-bráhmańa, XIII., V., IV., 6; and compare the Bhágavata-puráńa, IX., II., 27, 28.

Its words are:

संवर्तेन दिवं नीतः ससुहत्सह बान्धवैः। विवादोऽत्र महानासीत्संवर्तस्य बृहस्पतेः॥ ऋडिं दृष्टा तु यज्ञस्य कुडसास्य बृहस्पतिः। संवर्तेन हुते यज्ञे चुकोप सुभृग्रं तदा। जोकानां स हि नाग्राय दैवतिहिं प्रसादितः॥

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Implements and utensils" is to render vastu.

<sup>†</sup> The commentary explains अमादात् by अतितृत्या हृष्टी बभूव।

monarch): he had a son named Narishyanta; his son was Dama; his son was Rájyavardhana; his son was Sudhŕiti; his son was Nara; his son was Kevala; his son was Bandhumat; his son was Vegavat; his son was Budha; his son was Tŕinabindu, who had a daughter

son of Angiras; and that Brihaspati was so jealous of the splendour of the rite, that a great quarrel ensued between him and Samvarta. How it involved the king is not told; but, apparently, in consequence, Marutta, with his kindred and friends, was taken, by Samvarta, to heaven. According to the Markandeya, † Marutta was so named from the paternal benediction, 'May the winds be thine,' or 'be propitious to thee' (मन्तव भिवासन्ते). He reigned, agreeably to that record, 85000 years.

- <sup>1</sup> Omitted in the Bhágavata.
- <sup>3</sup> A rather chivalric and curious story is told of Dama, in the Márkańdeya. His bride, Sumaná, daughter of the king Daśárha, was rescued, by him, from his rivals. One of them, Vapushmat, afterwards killed Marutta, who had retired into the woods, after relinquishing his crown to his son. Dama, in retaliation, killed Vapushmat, and made the Pińda (or obsequial offering) to his father, of his flesh: with the remainder he fed the Brahmans of Rákshasa origin: such were the kings of the solar race.

## वपुष्मतस्य मांसेन पिण्डदानं चकार ह। ब्राह्मणान्भोजयामास रचःकुलसमुद्रवान्। एवंविधा हि राजानो बभुवः सूर्यवंश्रजाः॥

<sup>3</sup> The Bhágavata has Bandhavat, Oghavat, and Bandha.¶

<sup>\*</sup> The Váyu-purána has Ráshtravardhana.

<sup>†</sup> CXXVIII., 33. † Ch. CXXXIV.

<sup>§</sup> I find सुता दशाणाधिपते:, "daughter of the king of Dasarna". See Vol. II., p. 160, note †; p. 178, note \*.

<sup>||</sup> Márkańdeya-puráńa, Calcutta edition, pp. 657, 658.

<sup>¶</sup> I find, in all the MSS. I have examined, Bandhumat and Vegavat; while the prevailing reading of the third name is Bandhu, of which Bandha and Budha are variants. The Váyu-puráňa agrees, as to all three names, with the Vishňu-puráňa.

named Ilavilá. 1\* The celestial nymph† Alambushá, becoming enamoured of Trinabindu, bore him a son named Visála, by whom the city Vaisálí was founded. 2

- ¹ The Váyu; and Bhágavata both add, that she was the wife of Viśravas, and mother of Kubera. § In the Linga Puráńa, she is said to have been the wife of Pulastya, and mother of Viśravas. The weight of authority is in favour of the former statement. See Vol. I., p. 154, note 2.
- <sup>2</sup> The Bhágavata names three sons, Visála, Śúńyabandhu, and Dhúmaketu. Vaisálí is a city of considerable renown in Indian tradition; but its site is a subject of some uncertainty. Part of the difficulty arises from confounding it with Visálá, another name of Ujjayiní:

# उज्जयिनी स्वादिशालावनी पुष्पकरण्डिनी।

Hemachandra. ¶ Also, in the Megha Dúta: \*\*

प्राप्यावन्तीमुदयनक्याकोविद्यामवृद्धां पूर्वोद्दिष्टामनुसर पुरीं श्रीविद्यालां विद्यालाम् ।

'Having arrived at Avanti, \* \* \* proceed to the illustrious city before indicated, Viśálá.' विशासामिधानामुज्जयिनी पुरीम । 'To the city Ujjayiní, named Viśálá.' Comment. Vaiśál톆, however, appears to be very differently situated. According to the Buddhists, amongst whom it is celebrated as a chief seat of the labours of Śákya‡‡ and his first disciples, it is the same as Prayága,

<sup>\*</sup> Only one of my MSS. has this name; one has Ilirilá; and all the rest have Ilivilá. Also see note ;, below.

The Bhágavata-puráía, IX., II., 31, represents Idavidá as daughter of Třínabindu and Alambushá. † Apsaras.

<sup>†</sup> The Váyu-purána has Ivida, and calls her mother of Visravas:

कन्या तुतस्य इविडा माता विश्ववसी हि सा।

<sup>§</sup> Called Dhanada, in the Bhágavata-purána.

<sup>||</sup> I find Dhúmraketu. ¶ Abhidhána-chintámańi, IV., 42.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sl. 32, Prof. Wilson's second edition.

<sup>††</sup> The genuine Rámáyaña has Viśálá; the Bengal recension, Vaiśálí. The latter name, Burnouf maintains, is that which was known to the Buddhists. Introduction à l'Histoire du Buddhisme Indien, Vol. I., p. 86, note 2.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corrected from "Sakhya."

The son of the first king of Vaiśálí was Hemachandra; his son was Suchandra; his son was Dhúmráśwa; his son was Srinjaya; his son was Sahadeva; his son was Kriśáśwa; his son was Somadatta, who celebrated, ten times, the sacrifice of a horse; his son was Janamejaya; and his son was Sumati. These were the

or Allahabad; † but the Rámáyana (I., 45) places it much lower down, on the north bank of the Ganges, nearly opposite to the mouth of the Sone; and it was, therefore, in the modern district of Sáran, as Hamilton (Genealogies of the Hindus;) conjectured. In the fourth century, it was known, to the Chinese traveller Fa-hian, as Phi-she-li, on the right bank of the Gandak, not far from its confluence with the Ganges. § Account of the Foe-kúe-ki: || Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. V., p. 128.

- ¹ Dhúmráksha and Samyama: Bhágavata.¶
- <sup>2</sup> The text is clear enough; सुझयात्सहदेव:। ततः क्रग्राश्वः। But, as elsewhere noticed (Hindu Theatre, Vol. II., p. 296), the commentator on the Bhágavata\*\* interprets the parallel passage,

तत्पुचात्संयमादासीत्जृशायः सहदेवजः। very differently, or: सहदेवजः। देवजेन सहितः।†† 'Krisáswa with Devaja,' or, as some copies read, Devaka, or Daivata, as if there were two sons of Samyama.

<sup>3</sup> The Bhágavata changes the order of these two, making Janamejaya the son of Sumati: or Pramati; Váyu. Sumati, king

<sup>\*</sup> Four of my MSS. have Swamati.

<sup>†</sup> Burnouf, where referred to in note †† in the preceding page, shows this opinion to be groundless. ‡ Pp. 37, 38.

<sup>§</sup> General Cunningham, with others, thinks it is now represented by Basádh, a village twenty-seven miles nearly north from Patna. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, 1863, Supplementary Number, p. lii.

<sup>||</sup> The article referred to was written by Professor Wilson.

<sup>¶</sup> The Bhágavata-puráńa, IX., II., 34, makes Hemachandra father of Dhúmráksha, father of Samyama, father of Krisáswa and Devaja.

<sup>\*\*</sup> IX., II., 34.

<sup>††</sup> The commentator adds: पाठान्तरे नामान्यत्यमात्रम्। अर्थसु स एव।

kings of Vaisálí;\* of whom it it is said:† "By the favour of Trinabindu, all the monarchs of Vaisálí: were long-lived, magnanimous, equitable, and valiant."

Saryáti (the fourth son of the Manu,) § had a daughter named Sukanyá, who was married to the holy sage Chyavana: 1 he had, also, a righteous son called Ánarta.

of Vaiśálí, is made contemporary with Ráma: Rámáyańa, I., 47. 17. The dynasty of Vaiśála kings is found only in our text, the Váyu, and Bhágavata. Hamilton ¶ places them from 1920 to 1240 B. C.; but the latter is incompatible with the date he assigns to Ráma, of 1700 B. C.\*\* The contemporary existence of Sumati and Ráma, however, is rather unintelligible; as, according to our lists, the former is the thirty-fourth, and the latter, the sixtieth, from Vaivaswata Manu.

' The circumstance of their marriage, of Chyavana's appropriating a share of offerings to the Aświni Kumáras, and of his quarrel with Indra, in consequence, are told, in detail, in the Bhágavata and Padma Puránas.

सत्य भव जयस

<sup>·</sup> Expressed by vaisalaka.

<sup>†</sup> सोकोऽष्य गीयते। And what follows is a stanza. It occurs in the Váyu-puráńa, as well, but not as if a quotation.

<sup>†</sup> Vaiśálaka.

<sup>§</sup> The Aitareya-brúhmańa, IV., 32, and VIII., 21, speaks of Śaryata, son of Manu. The Rigveda, also, has Śaryata. Perhaps this name is here a metronym.

If "The Kishi Chyavana married his [Vaivaswata's] daughter; and a solemn sacrifice was held on the occasion, at which Indra and the Aswins were present. Chyavana appropriated to himself the share of the oblation intended for the Aswins, at which Indra was very angry; and, to appease him, a fresh offering was prepared. The Scholiast quotes this story from the Kaushitaki Brahmana." Professor Wilson's Translation of the Rigveda, Vol. I., p. 139, note a.

<sup>¶</sup> Genealogies of the Hindus, &c., p. 38.

The son of the latter was Revata,¹ who ruled over the country (called, after his father,) Ánarta, and dwelt at the capital, (denominated) Kuśasthalí.² The son of this prince was Raivata, or Kakudmin, the eldest of a hundred brethren. He had a very lovely daughter; \* and (not finding any one worthy of her hand,) he repaired, with her, to the region of Brahmá, to consult the god where a fit bridegroom was to be met with. When he arrived, the quiristers † Háhá, Húhú, and others were singing: before Brahmá; and Raivata, \$ waiting till they had finished, imagined the ages that elapsed during their

सन्धमेव जयते

In most of the other Puránas, Reva, or Raiva. The Linga and Matsya insert a Rochamána before him; and the Bhágavata adds, to Ánarta, Uttánabarhis and Bhúrishena.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Bhágavata\*\* ascribes the foundation of Kuśasthalí to Revata, who built it, it is said, within the sea. The subsequent legend shows, that it was the same, or on the same spot, as Dwáraká; and Ánarta was, therefore, part of Cutch or Gujerat. See Vol. II., p. 171, note 4.††

<sup>\*</sup> The reading of my MSS. is तस्य च रेवती नाम कन्या, "and he had a daughter, Revati."

<sup>†</sup> Gandharva. For Haha and Huhu, see Vol. II., pp. 286, et seq.

<sup>‡</sup> श्वितानं नाम दिवां गान्धवंभगीयत। The piece of music which they were executing was an atitána,—a certain song, according to the commentary. A variant for gándharvam is gándháram, qualifying atitánam. The scholiast takes note of it.

<sup>§</sup> The original has Raivataka, here and below.

<sup>||</sup> My MSS. of the Vayu-purana have these two readings, and Rava, as well.

<sup>¶</sup> XI., III., 27.

<sup>\*\*</sup> IX., III., 28:

सो उनः समुद्रे नगरीं विनिर्माय कुश्र खलीम ।

<sup>††</sup> Also see Vol. II., p. 172, note 2.

performance to be but as a moment.\* At the end of their singing, Raivata prostrated himself + before Brahmá, t and explained his errand. "Whom should you wish for a son-in-law?" demanded Brahmá; and the king mentioned to him various persons with whom he could be well pleased. § Nodding his head gently, and (graciously) smiling, Brahmá said to him: "Of those whom you have named the third or fourth generation no longer survives; for many successions of ages ¶ have passed away, whilst you were listening to our songsters.\*\* Now, upon earth, the twenty-eighth great age of the present Manu is nearly finished, and the Kali period is at hand. ++ You must, therefore, bestow this virgin gem# upon some other husband: for you are, now, alone; and your friends, your ministers, ser-

<sup>\*</sup> Muhurta. The Sanskrit is: तावश्च चिमार्गपरिवर्तरनेकयुगपरि-वृत्ति तिष्टन्नपि रैवतकः युख्वसुहर्तसिव मेने। Trimarga is here a musical technicality.

<sup>†</sup> Pranamya.

सत्यमव जयस # Here, and just above, and also below, designated by his epithet of abjayoni, 'lotos-born.'

<sup>§</sup> And he further solicited Brahma's advice as to a choice: क एवा भगवतोऽभिमतः। वसी वन्यामिमां प्रयक्तामीति।

<sup>||</sup> The Sanskrit is अपखापत्यसंति:।

<sup>¶</sup> Chaturyuga.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Literally, 'this music', etad gándharvam.

<sup>††</sup> साम्प्रतं भूतने ६ ष्टाविंग्रतितममस्य मनोस्रतुर्युगमतीतप्रायम् । त्रासनो हि तत्केलि:। Comment: अख रैवतख मनोरष्टाविंश्रतितमं चतुर्युगं गतप्रायम् ।

What is meant is, that, in the meantime, twenty-eight four-yuga cycles of the manwantara or patriarchate then current had nearly elapsed, &c.

Compare the Bhágavata-purána, IX., III., 33.

For the length of a manwantara, see Vol. I., pp. 50-52.

<sup>##</sup> Kanyá-ratna.

vants, wife, kinsmen, armies,\* and treasures have long since + been swept away by (the hand of) time." Overcome with astonishment and alarm, the Raja then said to Brahmá: "Since I am thus circumstanced, do thou, lord, tell me unto whom the maiden shall be given." And the creator of the world, § whose throne is the lotos, (thus benignantly) replied to the prince, as he stood bowed and humble \$\ before \text{him:\*\* "The being" of whose commencement, course, ++ and termination we are ignorant; the unborn and omnipresent # essence §§ (of all things); he whose real and infinite | nature and essence ¶¶ (we do not know), is the supreme Vishńu.\*\*\* He is time, made up of moments, and hours, and years: +++ whose influence ## is the source of (perpetual) change. He is the universal form of all things, from birth to death. §§ He is eternal, without name or shape. Through the favour of that imperishable (being) am

<sup>\*</sup> Bala.

<sup>†</sup> Atyanta, 'entirely."

मन्यामेन जगर . The two substantives are to render sádhwasa.

<sup>§</sup> Sapta-loka-guru.

<sup>||</sup> Abjayoni.

<sup>¶</sup> Kritánjali-bhúta.

<sup>\*\*</sup> All the rest of this chapter is in verse.

tt Madhya.

<sup>#</sup> Sarvagata.

<sup>§§</sup> Dhátu.

III Para.

<sup>¶¶</sup> Sára.

<sup>\*\*\* &</sup>quot;Supreme Vishnu" corresponds to parameśwara.

<sup>+++</sup> Kalámuhúrtádi.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Vibhúti.

<sup>§§§</sup> I find ग्रजनाग्रस समस्तम्तेर्नामरूपस्य सनातनस्य। According to this, he is said to be 'unborn and indestructible,' &c. &c.

Achyuta. See Vol. I., p. 15, note 3.

I the agent of his power in creation; through his anger is Rudra the destroyer (of the world); and the cause of preservation, Purusha, proceeds, also, from him. The unborn, having assumed my person,\* creates (the world); in his own essence, † he provides for its duration; in the form of Rudra, he devours all things; and, with the body of Ananta, he upholds them. Impersonated as Indra; and the other gods, he is the guardian of mankind; \$ and, as the sun and moon, he disperses darkness. Taking upon himself the nature of fire, he bestows warmth and maturity, and, in the condition of the earth, nourishes all beings. As one with air, he gives activity to existence; \*\* and, as one with water, he satisfies (all wants); whilst, in the state of ether, associated with universal aggregation, he furnishes space for all objects. † He is at once the creator, and that which is created; the preserver, and that which is preserved; the destroyer, and, as one with all things, that which is destroyed: and, as the indestructible, # he is distinct from these three vicissitudes. In him is the world; he is the world; and he, the primeval self-

## ॥ पाकाय योऽभित्वमुपेत्व लोका-न्विभर्ति पृथ्वीवपुरव्ययाता।

<sup>\*</sup> Rúpa.

<sup>+</sup> Purusha-swarúpin.

<sup>.</sup> Sakra, in the original.

<sup>§</sup> Viśwa.

<sup>¶</sup> Śwasana, 'breath.'

<sup>\*\*</sup> Loka.

<sup>††</sup> ददाति विश्वस्थितिसंस्थितसु सर्वावकाशं च नभःस्वरूपी।

<sup>‡‡</sup> Avyayátman. See Vol. I., p. 17, note \*.

born, is again present in the world.\* That (mighty) Vishńu, who is paramount over all beings, is now, in a portion of himself, upon the earth. That (city) Kuśasthalí, which was, formerly, your capital, and rivalled the city of the immortals,† is now (known as) Dwáraká;¹ and there reigns a portion of that divine being, in the person of Baladeva. To him, who appears as a man, present her, as a wife. He is a worthy bridegroom for this excellent damsel; and she is a suitable bride for him."§

Being thus instructed by the lotos-born (divinity), Raivata returned (with his daughter,) to earth, where he found the race of men dwindled in stature, reduced in vigour, and enfeebled in intellect. Repairing to the city of Kuśasthalí, which he found (much) altered, the wise monarch bestowed his (unequalled) daughter on the wielder of the ploughshare, whose breast was

Váyu.

#### इतसी लमेनां तनयां नरेन्द्र प्रयच्छ मायामनुजाय जायाम्। झाध्यो वरोऽसी तनया तवेयं स्त्रीरत्नभृता सदृशो हि योगः॥

¹ So called from its many Dwáras, or gateways: क्वतां द्वारवतीं नाम बद्धद्वारां मनोरमाम्।

<sup>\*</sup> Compare the Bhagavad-gitá, Chapter IX., passim.

<sup>†</sup> The Sanskrit has amarávativa, "like Amarávatí." For this city, see Vol. I., p. 137; and Vol. II., p. 240, text and notes.

<sup>\*</sup> Keśava, in the original.

<sup>||</sup> Because, says the commentator, Krishna had reclaimed from the sea a shire of country measuring twelve yojanas in circumference, and, with the aid of Viśwakarman, had renovated the city. For Dwaraka, vide infra, Book V., Chapters XXIII. and XXVIII.

<sup>¶</sup> Sira-dhwaja, 'plough-bannered'.

as fair and radiant as crystal.\* Beholding the damsel of excessively lofty height, the chief whose banner is a palm-tree † shortened her with the end of his ploughshare, and she became his wife. Balaráma‡ having espoused, agreeably to the ritual, Revatí, the daughter of Raivata, the king retired to the mountain Himálaya, § (and ended his days) in devout austerities.¹

सन्यमेव जयते

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The object of this legend, which is told by most of the authorities, is, obviously, to account for the anachronism of making Balaráma contemporary with Raivata; the one, early in the Tretá age, and the other, at the close of the Dwápara.

<sup>\*</sup> Sphatikáchalábha, "brilliant as a mountain of crystal."

<sup>†</sup> Tálaketu.

<sup>†</sup> Indicated, in the Sanskrit, by his epithet siráyudha.

<sup>§</sup> Himáchala, in the original.

#### CHAPTER II.

Dispersion of Revata's descendants: those of Dhrishta: those of Nábhága. Birth of Ikshwáku, the son of Vaivaswata; his sons. Line of Vikukshi. Legend of Kakutstha; of Dhundhumára; of Yuvanáśwa; of Mándhátři: his daughters married to Saubhari.

PARAŚARA.—Whilst Kakudmin, surnamed Raivata, was absent on his visit to the region of Brahmá, the (evil spirits or) Rákshasas named Puńyajanas\* destroyed his capital, Kuśasthalí. His hundred brothers, through dread of these foes, fled in different directions; and the Kshattriyas, their descendants, settled in many countries. 1†

From Dhrishta, the son of the Manu, sprang the Kshattriya race; of Dharshtaka.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> So the Váyu, Linga, Agni, Brahma, and Hari Vamsa. § The Matsya names three sons of Dhrishta,—Dhrishtaketu, Chitranátha, and Ranadhrishta. The Bhágavata∥ adds, that the sons of Dhri-

¹ According to the Váyu; the brothers of Raivata founded a celebrated race called Śáryáta, from Śaryáti. The Brahma Puráńa says, they took refuge in secret places (gahana); for which the Hari Vamśa substitutes (parvata gańa) mountains. The Váyu has neither, and says, merely, that they were renowned in all regions: विखाता दिन सवास्त

Punyajana is usually considered as synonymous with yaksha. For the Yakshas, see Vol. I., p. 83; Vol. II., p. 75.

<sup>†</sup> सर्वदिन्त्रभवन् । ‡ Kshattra, in the original.

<sup>§</sup> Śl. 642. The Calcutta edition has Dhíishnu and Dhárshnaka. Also vide supra, p. 232, note ‡‡.

<sup>||</sup> IX., II., 17. Also vide infra, p. 280, note 2.

The son of Nabhága\* was Nábhága; his son was

shta obtained Brahmanhood upon earth, though born Kshattriyas:

• धृष्टाडार्ष्टमभूत्चत्रं ब्रह्मभूयं गतं चितौ । †

¹ But who is Nabhága? For, as above observed, (p. 231, note 1,) the son of the Manu is Nabhága-nedishťa;‡ and there is, in that case, no such person as Nabhága.§ On the other hand, if Nabhága and Nedishťha∥ be distinct names, we have ten sons of Vaivaswata, as in the Bhágavata.¶ The descendants of Nedishťha, through his son Nabhága, have been already specified; and, after all, therefore, we must consider the text as intending a distinct

## नभगस्य च दायादो नाभागो नाम वीर्यवान्।

Those Puranas which speak of Nedishtha (or Dishta, &c.) preceded by Nabhaga, in composition or apart, and also speak of Nabhaga (or Nabhaga), recounting, of the latter, the story told in note 1, above, have explicitly misrepresented ancient tradition, inasmuch as this story relates to Nabhanedishtha (बहिष्ठ preceded by बामा = बामी), in whose name we have the source of Nabhaganedishtha and numerous other corruptions previously particularized. There seems to be no authority, older than epic and Pauranik, for such a person as Nedishtha, or Dishta, or Arishta, father of a Nabhaga.

† The Váyu-purána has:

## घृष्टसं घाष्ट्रं चत्तं रणघृष्टं बभूव ह। विसाहसं तु स गणः चित्तयाणां महातानाम्॥

- † This should be Nábhága-nedishtha.
- § See the conjecture ventured in note \*, above. Also vide supra, p. 232, text and note \*; and the Translator's last note on Chapter V. of the present Book. But the Bhágavata-puráña, IX., II., 17, 18, gives an irreconcileable account of the offspring of Nfiga.
- || Changed, here and just below, from "Nedishta." Also read Nábhága, and so further on. ¶ Vide supra, p. 14, note \*; p. 232, note ‡;

<sup>\*</sup> This is the ordinary reading of my MSS., of which three, however, give Nábhága. It will contribute to harmonize the Vishňu-puráňa with itself, if we surmise,—vide sapra, p. 13,—that there is, here, an error for Nabhaga. So Ambarísha's grandfather is called in the Bhágavata-puráňa, IX., IV., 1; though the person there meant is, as the context evidences, identified with Nábhánedishtha of the Aitareya-bráhmańa. The Váyu-puráňa, likewise, in every MS. to which I have access, irregularly derives Nábhága from Nabhaga:

#### Ambarísha; his son was Virúpa; his son was Pri-

person by the name Nabhága; and such a name does occur, in the lists of the Agni, Kúrma, Matsya, and Bhágavata, unquestionably distinct from that with which it is also sometimes compound-The Bhágavata repeats the legend of the Aitareva Bráhmańa, + with some additions, and says, that, Nabhága having protracted his period of study beyond the usual age, his brothers appropriated his share of the patrimony. On his applying for his portion, they consigned their father to him, by whose advice he assisted the descendants of Angiras in a sacrifice, and they presented him with all the wealth that was left at its termination. Rudra claimed it as his; and, Nabhága acquiescing, the god confirmed the gift, by which he became possessed of an equivalent for the loss of territory. Most of the authorities recognize but one name here, variously read either Nabhága or Nábhága, the father of Ambarísha. The Váyu, as well as the Bhágavata, concurs with the text. :

¹ The Bhágavata§ considers Ambarísha as a king who reigned, apparently, on the banks of the Yamuná. He is more celebrated as a devout worshipper of Visháu, whose discus protected him from the wrath of Durvásas, and humbled that choleric saint, who was a portion of Śiva:—a legend which, possibly, records a struggle between two sects, in which the votaries of Visháu, headed by Ambarísha, triumphed.

<sup>2</sup> The Agni, Brahma, and Matsya stop with Ambarisha. The Váyu and Bhágavata proceed as in the text; only the latter adds, to Virúpa, Ketumat and Śambhu.

<sup>\*</sup> See Professor Wilson's Translation of the Rigveda, Vol. I., p. 122, note a. One MS. of the Vishńu-puráńa has Viśwarúpa.

<sup>†</sup> V., 14. For Nábhánedishtha, there mentioned, see, further, the *Kigveda*, X., LXI. and LXII.; and the *Taittiriya-samhitá* of the *Yajurveda*, III., I., IX., 4.

<sup>‡</sup> It does not appear so from my MSS. See note \* in the preceding page.

<sup>§</sup> IX., IV., 13, 30. || IX., VI., 1.

shadaśwa; his son was Rathítara,\* of whom it is sung: "These, who were Kshattriyas by birth, the heads of the family of Rathítara, were called Angirasas (or sons of Angiras), and were Brahmans as well as Kshattriyas." †

#### † एते चत्रप्रसूता वै पुनशाङ्गिरसः स्पृताः। रथीतराणां प्रवराः चत्रोपेता द्विजातयः॥

"These were born in a Kshattra or Kshattriya race, and were subsequently known as Angirases. They were the chief of the Rathitaras,— Branmans possessing the rank of Kshattras."

One of my MSS. gives प्रभवाः for प्रवराः.

Commentary: एते रथीतरस्य प्रवरा गोचजा:। चलप्रसूताः चित्रया अप्रजस्य रथीतरस्य भार्यायामङ्किर्सा जातत्वात्। तथापि तयोयौ-गात्पुनरङ्किरसो ब्राह्मणाः स्नृताः। ऋतः चलोपेता द्विजातयः। इत्यन्वयः। This explanation I have not accepted in full.

See Dr. Muir's remarks on this passage, in Original Sanskrit Texts, Part I, pp. 46, 47.

र्यीतरस्वाप्रजस्य भाषायां तन्तवेऽर्थितः। त्रक्षरा जनयामास ब्रह्मवर्चस्विनः सतान्॥

The same verse is cited in the Váyu, and affords an instance of a mixture of character, of which several similar cases occur subsequently. Kshattriyas by birth become Brahmans by profession; and such persons are usually considered as Angirasas, followers or descendants of Angiras, who may have founded a school of warrior-priests. This is the obvious purport of the legend of Nabhága's assisting the sons of Angiras to complete their sacrifice, referred to in a former note; although the same authority has devised a different explanation. Rathínara (or Rathítara, as read in some copies, as well as by the Bhágavata and Váyu,) being childless, Angiras begot on his wife sons

<sup>\*</sup> The "Rathinara" of the former edition I have altered, here and below, being convinced that it is merely a clerical error of a very few MSS.

<sup>‡</sup> Vide infra, p. 280, note 2.

<sup>§</sup> Note 1 in p. 256, supra.

<sup>||</sup> See note \*, above.

<sup>¶ 1</sup>X., VI., 2, 3:

Ikshwaku was born from the nostril of the Manu, as he happened to sneeze. He had a hundred sons, of whom the three most distinguished were Vikukshi, Nimi, and Dańda. Fifty (of the rest), under Śakuni, were the protectors of the northern countries. Fortyeight were the princes of the south.

radiant with divine glory, who, as the sons of the monarch by his wife, were Kshattriyas, but were Brahmans through their actual father. This, however, is an afterthought, not warranted by the memorial verse cited in our text.

1 So the Bhágavata:§

चुवतस्तु मनोर्जेचे द्वानुर्प्राणतः सुतः।

<sup>2</sup> The Matsya says, that Indra (Devaráj) was born as Vikukshi, and that Ikshwáku had one hundred and fourteen other sons who were kings of the countries south of Meru, and as many who reigned north of that mountain. The Váyu and most of the other authorities agree in the number of one hundred, of whom

#### एते जैचप्रसूता वै पुनस्ताङ्गिरसाः स्रृताः। रचीतराणां प्रवराः ज्ञोपेता द्विजातयः॥

These stanzas are thus rendered by Burnouf:

"Rathîtara n'eut pas d'enfants; c'est pourquoi il pria Angiras de lui donner des successeurs, et Angiras eut de la femme du prince des fils brillants de l'éclat du Vêda.

"Quoique nés de la femme de Rathîtara, ces fils d'Angiras n'en sont pas moins reconnus comme Angirasides; ils sont pour les Rathîtaras les ancêtres, auteurs de leur race, et ils appartiennent aux deux tribus des Kchattriyas et des Brâhmanes."

\* The scholiast considers that 'a hundred' is here used, as a round number, instead of 'a hundred and one.'

† Pravara. In the Váyu-puráńa and Bhágavata-puráńa, the word is ineshtha, 'eldest'.

ः श्वानिप्रमुखाः। Read, therefore, for "under Sakuni," "Sakuni and others".

§ IX., VI., 4.

Upon one of the days called Ashtaká, Ikshwáku (being desirous of celebrating ancestral obsequies,) ordered Vikukshi to bring him flesh suitable for the offering. The prince, accordingly, went into the forest, and killed many deer and other wild animals, \* (for the celebration). Being weary (with the chase), and being hungered, he (sat down and) ate a hare, after which, (being refreshed), he carried the rest of the game to his father. Vasishtha, † the family-priest; of the house of Ikshwáku, was summoned to consecrate the food; §

fifty, with Śakuni at their head, are placed in the north; and forty-eight in the south,—according to the Váyu,—of whom Vimati was the chief. The same authority specifies, also, Nimi and Dańda, as sons of Ikshwaku, as does the Bhágavata, which the addition of their reigning in the central regions. The distribution of the rest, in that work, is, twenty-five in the west, as many in the east, and the rest elsewhere,—that is, the commentator adds, north and south. It seems very probable, that, by these sons of Ikshwaku, we are to understand colonies or settlers in various parts of India.

<sup>1</sup> See pp. 168, 169, supra.

<sup>\*</sup> The original has only mriga.

<sup>†</sup> Several of my MSS. omit this name. ‡ Kuláchárya.

<sup>§</sup> This is expressed by the term prokshańaya, 'for aspersion.'

The reading is as in note ; in the preceding page.

<sup>¶</sup> The name appears to be Vimsati: विश्वतिष्रमुखा:, "Vimsati and others." Different MSS. of the *Harivamsa* give, in st. 664, Vasati, Vasati, and Śaśada.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Vikukshi, Nimi, and Dańdaka. IX., VI., 4.

<sup>††</sup> That is to say, between the Himálayas and the Vindhyas. So explains the commentator Śridhara.

<sup>\*\*</sup> IX., VI., 5:

तेषां पुरस्तादभवज्ञार्यावर्ते नृपा नृप। पञ्चविंग्रतिः पञ्चाच त्रयो मध्येऽपरेऽन्यतः॥

but he declared that it was impure, in consequence of Vikukshi's having eaten a hare from amongst it; (making it, thus, as it were, the residue of his meal). Vikukshi was, in consequence, abandoned by his offended father; and the epithet Śaśáda (hare-eater) was affixed to him by the Guru.\* On the death of Ikshwáku, the dominion of the earth descended to Śaśáda,¹ who was succeeded by his son Puranjaya.†

In the Tretá age, a violent war<sup>2</sup> broke out between the gods and the Asuras, in which the former were vanquished. They, consequently, had recourse to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Váyu states, that he was king of Ayodhyá, after the death of Ikshwáku.; The story occurs in all the authorities, more or less in detail.

<sup>2</sup> The Váyu § says, it was in the war of the starling and the stork (知可知 改革), a conflict between Vasishtha and Viśwámitra, metamorphosed into birds, according to the Bhágavata: || but that work assigns it to a different period, or the reign of Hariśchandra. If the tradition have any import, it may refer to the ensigns of the contending parties; for banners with armorial devices were, as we learn from the Mahábhárata, invariably borne by princes and leaders.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; प्राह । अलमनेनामेध्येनामिषेण। दुरात्मनानेन ते पुत्रेणैतन्मांसमु-पहतं यतोऽनेन शशको भिचतः । ततसासौ विकुचिर्गृक्णैवमुकः शशादसंज्ञामवाप पित्रापि च परित्यकः।

<sup>†</sup> Paranjaya is a variant of common occurrence, and the name sanctioned by the scholiast. Vide infra, p. 263, note 1.

<sup>ः</sup> द्त्वाकौ संस्थिते तिसाञ्ग्रशास पृथिवीमिमाम् ॥ प्राप्तः परमधर्मात्मासवायोधाधिपोऽभवत् ॥

<sup>§</sup> And so the Harivamsa, sl. 668.

IX., VII., 6. Also vide infra, p. 287, note 1.

Vishńu, for assistance, and propitiated him (by their adorations). The eternal ruler of the universe, Náráyańa, had compassion upon them, and said: "What you desire is known unto me. Hear how your wishes shall be fulfilled. There is an illustrious prince † named Puranjaya, the son of a royal sage: into his person I will infuse a portion of myself; and, having descended upon earth, I will, in his person, subdue all your enemies. Do you, therefore, endeavour to secure the aid of Puranjava, for the destruction of your foes." § Acknowledging, with reverence, the kindness of the deity, the immortals quitted his presence, and repaired to Puranjaya, whom they thus addressed: "Most renowned Kshattriya, we have come to thee, to solicit thy alliance against our enemies: it will not become thee to disappoint our hopes." The prince replied: "Let this your Indra, the monarch of the spheres, (the god) of a hundred sacrifices, consent to carry me upon his shoulders, and I will wage battle with your adversaries, as your ally." The gods and Indra readily answered: "So be it"; and, the latter assuming the shape of a bull, the prince mounted upon his shoulder. Being then filled with delight, and invigorated by the power of the eternal Truler of all movable and immovable

<sup>&#</sup>x27; प्रसन्न इदिवानामनादिनिधनः सक्तलजगत्परायणो नारायणः प्राह ।

<sup>†</sup> Kshattriya.

<sup>†</sup> Rájarshi. And the Sanskrit adds 'Śaśada.'

श्र तच्छरीरेऽहमंग्रेन खयमेवावतीर्य तानग्रेषानसुराज्ञिहनिष्यामि ।
 तज्ञविज्ञः पुरंजयोऽसुरवधार्थायेह कार्योबोगः कार्यः ।

<sup>|</sup> Prańaya.

<sup>¶</sup> Achyuta. See Vol. I., p. 15, note 3.

things, he destroyed, in the battle that ensued, all the enemies of the gods; and, because he annihilated the demon-host, whilst seated upon the shoulder (or the hump, Kakud,) of the bull, he, thence, obtained the appellation Kakutstha (seated on the hump).

The son of Kakutstha was Anenas² whose son was Prithu, whose son was Viśwagaśwa,³\* whose son was Árdra,⁴† whose son was Yuvanáśwa,‡ whose son was Śrávasta, by whom the city of Śrávastí⁵ was founded.

¹ The Bhágavata adds, that he captured the city of the Asuras, § situated in the west; whence his name Puranjaya, 'victor of the city.' He is, also, termed Paranjaya, 'vanquisher of foes:' he is, also, called Indraváha, 'borne by Indra.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Suyodhana: Matsya, Agni, Kúrma. ¶

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Viśwaka: Linga. Viśwagandhi: Bhágavata.\*\* Vishťaráśwa: Brahma Purána and Hari Vamśa. ††

<sup>4</sup> Ándhra: Váyu. Áyu: Agni. Chandra: Bhágavata.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Śávasta and Śávastí: Bhágavata. §§ Śravastí: Matsya, Linga, ¶¶ and Kúrma; which also say, that Śravastí was in the country of Gauda, which is eastern Bengal. \*\*\* But it is, more usually,

<sup>\*</sup> One MS. has Viśwaga; another, Viśwagata. The Váyu-puráňa seems to give Dřishadaśwa.

<sup>†</sup> Two MSS. have Chandra. The Linga-puraña, Prior Section, LXV., 33, has Árdraka.

<sup>\*</sup> Yavanáśwa seems to be the reading of the Vayu-purana.

<sup>§</sup> Daityas, in the original. || IX., VI., 12-16.

<sup>¶</sup> And so the Linga-purána. \*\* IX., VI., 20. †† Śl. 669. †† IX., VI., 20. §§ IX., VI., 21.

<sup>††</sup> Sl. 669. ‡‡ 1X., V1., 20. §§ 1X., V1., 21  $\parallel \parallel$  So reads the  $V\dot{a}yu$ -pur $\dot{a}\dot{n}a$ .

TT Prior Section, LXV., 34. I find Savasti, also: and the king is there colled Savasti

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> The term Gauda has not only this signification, but a much wider. See the references and quotations in Messrs. Böhtlingk and Roth's Sanskrit-Wörterbuch.

The son of Śrávasta was Brihadaśwa,\* whose son was Kuvalayáśwa.† This prince, inspired with the spirit of Vishńu, destroyed the Asura Dhundhu, who had harassed the pious sage! Uttanka; § and he was, thence, entitled Dhundhumára.¹ In his conflict with the demon,

placed in Kośala, by which a part of Oude is commonly understood. In my Dictionary I have inserted Śrávanti, upon the authority of the Trikáńda Śesha; but it is, no doubt, an error for Śrávasti. It is, there, also called Dharmapattana, being a city of some sanctity, in the estimation of the Buddhists. It is termed, by Fa-Hian, She-wei; by Hwan Tsang, She-lo-va-si-ti; and placed, by both, nearly in the site of Fyzabad in Oude. Account of the Foe-kúe-ki.\*\*

¹ This legend is told, in much more detail, in the Váyu and Brahma Puráńas. Dhundhu hid himself beneath a sea of sand, which Kuvalayáśwa and his sons dug up,—undeterred by the flames which checked their progress,—and finally destroyed most of them. The legend originates, probably, in the occurrence of some physical phenomenon, as an earthquake, or volcano.

#### बृहदश्वस्य प्रवाणां सहस्राखेकविंग्रतिः।

† The Váyu-purána has Kuvaláswa:

वृहदयसुतस्यापि सुवलाय इति श्रुतिः।

And from several passages that follow this it is evident that the name is Kuvaláśwa. The Harivainśa, śl. 671, etc., likewise has Kuvaláśwa; and so has the Linga-puráńa.

‡ Maharshi.

§ In two MSS. I find Utanka, the lection of the Bhágavata-puráńa, IX., VI., 22. The Harivańsa, śl. 676, has, in different MSS., Utanka and Uttanka. The Váyu-puráńa gives, in my MSS., Uttanka.

|| See Vol. II., p. 172, notes 2, etc. | ¶ II., I., 13.

\*\* By Professor Wilson; in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. V., pp. 122, 123.

Also see M. Vivien de Saint-Martin's Mémoire Analytique, etc., p. 105; and General Cunningham, in the Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, 1865, pp. 250—267.

<sup>\*</sup> According to the Váyu-puráńa, like our text, he had a most numerous family:

the king was attended by his sons, to the number of twenty-one thousand; and all these, with the exception of only three, perished in the engagement, consumed by the fiery breath of Dhundhu. The three who survived were Dridháswa, Chandráswa,\* and Kapiláswa;† and the son and successor of the elder of these; was Haryaśwa:§ his son was Nikumbha; his son was Samhatáswa; his son was Krisáswa; his son was Prasenajit; and his son was (another) Yuvanáswa.¹

¹ The series of names agrees very well to Samhatáśwa, called Barhańáśwa in the Bhágavata. ¶ We have, there, some variations, and some details not noticed in our text. The Váyu, Brahma, Agni, Linga, Matsya, and Kúrma ascribe two sons to Samhatáśwa, whom the two first name Křišáśwa and Akřišáśwa, and the rest, Křišáśwa and Rańáśwa.\*\* Senajit†† or Prasenajit‡‡ is, generally, though not always, termed the son of the younger brother; but the commentator §§ on the Hari Vamśa calls him the son of Samhatáśwa, whilst the Matsya, Agni, Linga, and Kúrma omit him, and make Mándhátři the son of Rańáśwa. ∏ The mother of Prasenajit and the wife of Akřišáśwa or Samhatáśwa,—according to the different interpretations,—was the daughter of Himavat,

<sup>\*</sup> In the Váyu-purána the name is Bhadráswa.

<sup>†</sup> The Bhágavata-puráńa, IX., VI., 24, has Dřidháśwa, Kapiláśwa, and Bhadráśwa.

<sup>‡</sup> The original here again names Dridháswa, but says nothing of his seniority: হৃত্যসাহ্যময় থ

<sup>§</sup> The Linga-purána, Prior Section, LXV., 37, interposes Pramoda between Dridháśwa and Haryaśwa.

<sup>||</sup> One MS. has Hitáśwa; another, Saínhitáśwa. The latter is the reading of some MSS. of the Váyu-puráńa; others giving Saínhatáśwa.

<sup>¶</sup> IX., VI., 25.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The Linga-puráńa distinctly calls Yuvanáśwa son of Rańaśwa.

<sup>††</sup> Bhágavata-purána, IX., VI., 25.

<sup>\*\*</sup> So reads the Váyu-purána.

<sup>§§</sup> Nilakańtha, on sl. 709. Arjuna Miśra comments to the like effect.

<sup>|| ||</sup> The Linga-purána represents Mándhátři to be son of Yuvanáswa.

Yuvanáśwa had no son, at which he was deeply grieved. Whilst residing in the vicinage of the holy Munis, he inspired them with pity (for his childless condition); and they instituted a religious rite, to pro-

known as Dřishadwatí, the river so termed\* (Vol. II., p. 142, note 4). The wife of Yuvanáśwa, according to the Váyu, or of Prasenajit, according to the Brahma, was Gaurí, the daughter of Rantínara, † who, incurring the imprecation of her husband, became the Báhudá river (Vol. II., p. 142, note 3). The Brahma and Hari Vamsa; call Yuvanáśwa her son; but, in another place, § the Hari Vamsa contradicts itself, calling Gaurí the daughter of Matínára, || of the race of Puru, the mother of Mándhátři; here following, apparently, the Matsya, in which it is so stated. The Brahma Purána is not guilty of the inconsistency. The Váyu of course gives the title to Mándhátři, with the addition, that he was called Gaurika, after his mother:

### तस्वासु गौरिकः पुत्रश्चक्रवर्ती वभूव ह। मान्धाता यौवनाश्वी वै वैसोक्यविजयी नृपः॥

Mándhátři's birth from Gaurí is the more remarkable, as it is incompatible with the usual legend, given in our text and in the Bhágavata, which seems, therefore, to have been of subsequent origin, suggested by the etymology of the name. In the Bhágavata, ¶ Mándhátři is also named Trasaddasyu, or "the terrifier of thieves."

\* The Váyu-puráňa states:

## क्रशायसाक्रशायस संहतायसुतावुभी। तस्य पत्नी हैमवती सतां मान्या दृषद्वती॥

Compare the Harivamsa, śl. 708, 709.

- † I have much doubt as to the correctness of this name.
- ‡ Śl. 709.
- § Śl. 1715, 1716.
- || Erroneously printed, in the former edition, "Matimara."
- ¶ IX., VI., 33:

# त्रसद्स्युरितीन्द्रोऽङ्ग विद्धे नाम तस्र वै। यस्रात्रसन्ति ह्यदिपा दस्रवो रावणाद्यः॥

cure him progeny. One night, during its performance, the sages, having placed a vessel of consecrated water upon the altar, had retired to repose. It was past midnight, when the king awoke, exceedingly thirsty; and, unwilling to disturb any of the holy inmates of the dwelling, he looked about for something to drink. In his search, he came to the water in the jar, which had been sanctified and endowed with prolific efficacy\* by sacred texts, and he drank it. When the Munis + rose, and found that the water had been drunk, they inquired who had taken it, and said: "The queen; that has drunk this water shall give birth to a mighty and valiant son." "It was I," exclaimed the Raja, "who unwittingly drank the water:" and, accordingly, in the belly of Yuvanáśwa was conceived a child. And it grew; and in, due time, it ripped open the right side of the Raja, and was born: and the Raja did not die. Upon the birth of the child, "Who will be its nurse?" said the Munis; when (Indra,) the king of the gods appeared, and said, "He shall have me for his nurse" (mám ayam dhásyati); and, hence, the boy was named Mándhátri. Indra put his fore-finger into the mouth of the infant, who sucked it, and drew from it (heavenly) nectar. § And he grew up, and became a mighty monarch, and reduced the seven continental zones under his dominion. And here a verse is recited: "From the rising to the going down of the sun, all that is irra-

Aparimeya-máhátmya.

<sup>+</sup> Rishi, in the original.

<sup>‡</sup> The original has: राज्ञी इस युवनाश्वस पत्नी।

<sup>§</sup> Amrita.

<sup>||</sup> Chakravartin.

diated by his light is the land of Mándhátri, the son of Yuvanáśwa."1

Mándhátri married Bindumatí,\* the daughter of Śa-sabindu,† and had, by her, three sons, Purukutsa,‡ Ambarísha, and Muchukunda:§ he had, also, fifty daughters.²

The (devout) sage | Saubhari, learned in the Vedas, ¶ had spent twelve years immersed in a piece of water; the sovereign of the fish in which, named Sammada,

<sup>1</sup> The Váyu cites this same verse, and another, with the remark, that they were uttered by those acquainted with the Puránas and with genealogies:

श्रवाणुद्राहरनीमौ झोकौ पौराणिका दिजाः। यावत्पुर्यस्य उदयो यावद्रसमनं भवेत्। \*\* सर्वव यीवनायस्य मान्धातुः चेवमुच्यते॥ श्रवाणुद्राहरनीमं झोकं वंश्विदो जनाः। यीवनायं महात्मानं राजानममितीजसम्। मान्धातारं तनुं विष्णोः पुराण्जाः प्रचकते॥

<sup>2</sup> The Brahma and Agni omit Ambarísha, for whom the Matsya substitutes Dharmasena. The following legend of Saubhari occurs, elsewhere, only in the Bhágavata, †† and there, less in detail.

<sup>\*</sup> A Bindumatí is spoken of, in the *Harshacharita*, as having slain Vidúratha. See my *Vásavadattá*, Preface, p. 53.

<sup>†</sup> Compare the Harivamsa, sl. 712.

<sup>†</sup> One MS. has Purushakutsa, a reading of no value; the like of which I often pass by unnoticed. The Purukutsa of the Rigveda—see IV., XLII., 8, 9,—is called son of Durgaha, who is again named in the same work, VIII., LIV, 12.

<sup>§</sup> The Linga-purána seems to read Muchakunda.

<sup>||</sup> Rishi. | ¶ Bahwricha. Vide supra, p. 50, note ‡.

<sup>\*\*</sup> In the Vishńu-purána this verse is read thus: यावत्सूर्य उदेति स्न यावस प्रतितिष्ठति।

<sup>++</sup> IX., VI., 39-55.

of large bulk, had a very numerous progeny. His children and his grandchildren\* were wont to frolic around him, in all directions; † and he lived amongst them happily, playing with them night and day. Saubhari, the sage, being disturbed, in his devotions, by their sports, contemplated the patriarchal felicity of the monarch of the lake, and reflected: "How enviable is this creature, who, although born in a degraded state of being, is ever thus sporting cheerfully amongst his offspring and their young! Of a truth, he awakens, in my mind, the wish to taste such pleasure; and I, also, will make merry amidst my children.": Having thus resolved, the Muni came up, hastily, from the water, and, desirous of entering upon the condition of a householder, went to Mándhátri, to demand one of his daughters as his wife. As soon as he was informed of the arrival of the sage, the king rose up from his throne, offered him the customary libation, \$ and treated him with the most profound respect. Having taken a seat, Saubharisaid to the Raja: "I have determined to marry. Do you, king, give me one of your daughters, as a wife. Disappoint not my affection. It is not the practice of the princes of the race of Kakutstha to turn away from compliance with the wishes of those who come to them for succour. There are, O monarch, other kings of the

<sup>\*</sup> पीचदीहिंचाः, "sons' sons and daughters' sons."

<sup>†</sup> The original says that they "frolicked at his sides, in front of him, on his back, and over his breast, tail, and head": पार्श्वतोऽयत: पृष्ठतो वजःपृच्छशिरसां चोपरि अमन्तः।

<sup>.</sup> The translation, hereabouts, is greatly compressed.

<sup>§</sup> Arghya.

<sup>||</sup> Prańaya.

earth to whom daughters have been born; but your family is, above all, renowned for observance of liberality in your donations to those who ask your bounty. You have, O prince, fifty daughters. Give one of them to me; that so I may be relieved from the anxiety I suffer through fear that my suit may be denied."

When Mándhátri heard this request, and looked upon the person of the sage, emaciated by (austerity and) old age, he felt disposed to refuse his consent: but, dreading to incur the anger and imprecation of the holy man, he was much perplexed, and, declining his head, was lost awhile in thought. The Rishi, observing his hesitation, said: "On what, O Raja, do you meditate? I have asked for nothing which may not be readily accorded. And what is there that shall be unattainable to you, if my desires be gratified by the damsel whom you must needs give unto me?" To this, the king, apprehensive of his displeasure,\* answered and said: "Grave sir, it is the established usage of our house to wed our daughters to such persons only as they shall, themselves, select from suitors of fitting rank; and, since this your request is not yet made known to my maidens, it is impossible to say whether it may be equally agreeable to them as it is to me. This is the occasion of my perplexity; and I am at a loss what to do." This answer of the king was fully understood by the Rishi, who said to himself: "This is merely a device of the Raja, to evade compliance with my suit. He has reflected that I am an old man, having no attractions for women, and not likely to be accepted by any of

<sup>\*</sup> Śápa, 'curse'.

his daughters.\* Even be it so: I will be a match for him." And he then spake aloud, and said: "Since such is the custom, mighty prince, give orders that I be admitted into the interior of the palace.† Should any of the maidens, your daughters, be willing to take me for a bridegroom, I will have her for my bride. If no one be willing, then let the blame attach alone to the years that I have numbered.": Having thus spoken, he was silent.

Mándhátíi, unwilling to provoke the indignation § of the Muni, was, accordingly, obliged to command the eunuch to lead the sage into the inner chambers; who, as he entered the apartments, put on a form and features of beauty far exceeding the personal charms of mortals, or even of heavenly spirits. His conductor, addressing the princesses, said to them: "Your father, young ladies, sends you this pious sage, who has demanded of him a bride; and the Raja has promised him, that he will not refuse him any one of you who shall choose him for her husband." \*\* When the damsels heard this, and looked upon the person of the Rishi, they were equally inspired with passion and desire, and, like a troop of female elephants disputing

<sup>\*</sup> वृद्धोऽयमनभिमतः स्त्रीणां किमुत कन्यानामित्यमुना संचिन्त्यैव-मभिहितम् ।

<sup>†</sup> यद्येवं तदादिश्वतामस्माकं प्रवेशाय क्रन्यानाःपुरवर्षधरः।

<sup>ः</sup> श्रन्यथा चैत्तदलमसाकमेतेनातीतकालार्भेण।

<sup>§</sup> Śápa.

<sup>||</sup> Siddha and gandharva, in the original.

<sup>¶</sup> Brahmarshi.

<sup>&</sup>quot; मया चास्य प्रतिञ्चातं यदासालान्यका काचित्रवनं वर्यति तला-न्यायाप्रक्न्द्रे नाहं परिपन्थानं करिष्यामि।

the favours of the master of the herd, they all contended for the choice.\* "Away, away, sister!" said each to the other: "this is my election; he is my choice; he is not a meet bridegroom for you; he has been created, by Brahmá, on purpose for me, as I have been created in order to become his wife; he has been chosen, by me, before you; you have no right to prevent his becoming my husband." In this way arose a violent quarrel amongst the daughters of the king, each insisting upon the exclusive election of the Rishi; and, as the blameless sage was thus contended for by the rival princesses, the superintendent of the inner apartments, with a downcast look, reported to the king what had occurred. † Perplexed, more than ever, by this information, the Raja exclaimed: "What is all this? And what am I to do now? What is it that I have said? And, at last, although with extreme reluctance, he was obliged to agree that the Rishi should marry all his daughters.

Having then wedded, agreeably to law, all the princesses, the sage took them home to his habitation, where he employed the chief of architects, Viśwakarman,—equal, in taste and skill, to Brahmá himself,;—to construct separate palaces for each of his wives: he ordered him to provide each building with elegant couches, and seats, and furniture, and to attach to them gardens and groves, with reservoirs of water, where the wild-duck and the swan should sport amidst beds

<sup>\*</sup> करेणव इवेभयूथपतिं तमृषिमहमहमिकया वर्याम्बभूतुः।

<sup>†</sup> Hereabouts the rendering is rather freer than usual.

<sup>ः</sup> विधातारमिवान्यम् ।

of lotos-flowers. The divine artist\* obeyed his injunctions, and constructed splendid apartments for the wives of the Rishi; in which, by command of Saubhari, the inexhaustible† and divine treasure called Nanda¹ took up his‡ permanent abode; and the princesses entertained all their guests and dependants with abundant viands of every description and the choicest quality.§

After some period had elapsed, the heart of King Mándhátfi yearned for his daughters; and he felt solicitous to know whether they were happily circumstanced. Setting off, therefore, on a visit to the hermitage of Saubhari, he beheld, upon his arrival, a row of beautiful crystal palaces, shining as brilliantly as the rays of the sun, and situated amidst lovely gardens and reservoirs of pellucid water. Entering into one of these magnificent palaces, he found and embraced a daughter, and said to her, as the tears of affection and delight trembled in his eyes: "Dear child, tell me how it is with you. Are you happy here, or not? Does the great sage treat you with tenderness? Or do you revert, with regret, to your early home?" The princess replied: "You behold, my father, how delightful a mansion I inhabit,—surrounded by lovely gardens and lakes,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The great Nidhi. A Nidhi is a treasure, of which there are several belonging to Kubera. Each has its guardian spirit, or is personified.

<sup>\*</sup> Twashťri. † Anapáyin.

<sup>†</sup> It is not clear why Professor Wilson here personifies nanda, as if this Purana were a Tantra. In his Essays, Analytical, &c., Vol. II., pp. 379, 380, there is a valuable note on the nine nidhis of Kubera.

<sup>§</sup> The translation of this paragraph is not very close; and the same may be said of the remainder of the chapter.

where the lotos blooms, and the wild swans murmur. Here I have delicious viands, fragrant unguents, costly ornaments, splendid raiment, \* soft beds, and every enjoyment that affluence can procure. Why, then, should I call to memory the place of my birth? † To your favour am I indebted for all that I possess. I have only one cause of anxiety, which is this: my husband is never absent from my dwelling; solely attached to me, he is always at my side; he never goes near my sisters; and I am concerned to think that they must feel mortified by his neglect: this is the only circumstance that gives me uneasiness."

Proceeding to visit another of his daughters, the king, after embracing her, and sitting down, made the same inquiry, and received the same account of the enjoyments with which the princess was provided. There was, also, the same complaint, that the Rishi was wholly devoted to her, and paid no attention to her sisters. In every palace Mándhátri heard the same story, from each of his daughters, in reply to his questions; and, with a heart overflowing with wonder and delight, he repaired to the wise Saubhari, whom he found alone, and, after paying homage to him, thus addressed him: "Holy sage, I have witnessed this thy marvellous power. The like miraculous faculties I have never known any other to possess. How great is the reward of thy devout austerities!" Having thus saluted the sage, and

<sup>\*</sup> The original here supplies but one epithet, manonukila, to four substantives.

<sup>†</sup> The question of the princess rather implies, that, in spite of this very luxury, she cannot forget her early home: तथापि केन वा जन्मभू-मिर्न सार्थते।

been received, by him, with respect, the Raja resided with him for some time, partaking of the pleasures of the place, and then returned to his capital.

In the course of time, the daughters of Mándhátri bore to Saubhari a hundred and fifty sons; and, day by day, his affection for his children became more intense, and his heart was wholly occupied with the sentiment of self.1 "These my sons," he loved to think, "will charm me with their infant prattle; then they will learn to walk; they will, then, grow up to youth, and to manhood; I shall see them married, and they will have children; and I may behold the children of those children." By these and similar reflections, however, he perceived that his anticipations every day outstripped the course of time; and, at last, he exclaimed: "What exceeding folly is mine! † There is no end to my desires. Though all I hope should come to pass for ten thousand or a hundred thousand years, still new wishes would spring up. When I have seen my infants walk: when I have beheld their youth, their manhood, their marriage, their progeny; still my expectations are unsatisfied, and my soul yearns to behold the de-

¹ Of Mamatá, 'mineness' (समता); the notion that wives, children, wealth, belong to an individual, and are essential to his happiness.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; एवमाद्मिनोर्थमनुद्गिकालसंपत्तिवृत्तिमविधैतत्संचिन्तथामास। "Having discovered that some such desire kept pace with the daily increase of time, he took thought as follows."

So the commentary explains this passage, and very naturally: अनुद्निं कालस्य संपत्तिराधिकां तस्या वृत्तिरनुवर्तनं यत्र मनोर्थे तमवेत्य ज्ञाला अचिनायत्। एतद्रस्थमाणम्।

<sup>†</sup> The rest of the chapter, from this point, is in verse.

scendants of their descendants. Shall I even see them, some other wish will be engendered; and, when that is accomplished, how is the birth of fresh desires to be prevented? I have, at last, discovered, that there is no end to hope, until it terminates in death; and that the mind which is perpetually engrossed by expectation can never be attached to the supreme spirit. My mental devotions, whilst immersed in water, were interrupted by attachment to my friend the fish. The result of that connexion was my marriage; and insatiable desires are the consequences of my married life. The pain attendant upon the birth of my single body is now augmented by the cares attached to fifty others, and is further multiplied by the numerous children whom the princesses have borne to me.\* The sources of affliction will be repeatedly renewed by their children, and by their espousals, and by their progeny, and will be infinitely increased: a married life is a mine of individual anxiety. † My devotions, first disturbed by the fish of the pool, have since been obstructed by temporal indulgence; and I have been beguiled by that desire for progeny which was communicated to me by association with Sammada.: Separation from the world

> ं दुःखं यदेवैकश्ररीरजन्म श्रतार्धसंख्यं तदिदं प्रमूतम्। परिग्रहेण चितिपात्मजानां सतैरनेकैर्बङ्गलीकृतं तत्॥

सुतैर्नेकैबेंडलीकृतं तत्।।
† "Individual anxiety" is to render mamatá, for which see the Translator's note in the preceding page.

ं चीर्णं तपो यनु जलाश्रयेण तस्वर्षिरेषा तपसो (न्तरायः। मत्स्यस्य संगादभवच यो मे सुतादिरागो सुषितो (स्मि तेन॥ is the only path of the sage to final liberation: from commerce with mankind innumerable errors proceed. The ascetic who has accomplished a course of self-denial falls from perfection, by contracting worldly attachments. How much more likely should one so fall, whose observances are incomplete!\* My intellect has been a prey to the desire of married happiness: but I will, now, so exert myself, for the salvation of my soul, that, exempt from human imperfections, I may be exonerated from human sufferings. † To that end, I will propitiate, by arduous penance, Vishnu, the creator of the universe, whose form is inscrutable, who is smaller than the smallest, larger than the largest, the source of darkness and of light, the sovereign god of gods.: On his everlasting body, which is both discrete and indiscrete substance, illimitably mighty, and identical with the universe, may my mind, wholly free from sin, be ever steadily intent, so that I may be born no more! To him I fly for refuge; to that Vishnu who is the teacher of teachers, who is one with all beings, the

<sup>&#</sup>x27; निःसंगता मुितपदं यतीनां संगादश्वाः प्रभवन्ति दोषाः । श्राक्ट्योगोऽपि निपात्यतेऽधः संगेन योगी निमुतान्यसिद्धिः ॥ † श्रहं त्वरिष्यामि तथात्मनोऽर्थे परिग्रहग्रहगृहीतबुद्धिः । यथा हि भूयः परिहीनदोषो जनस्य दुःविभविता न दुःखी ॥ ः सर्वस्य धातारमिन्त्यक्प-मणोरणीयांसमित्रमाणम् । सितासितं चेश्वरमीश्वराणा-माराधियिषे तपसैव विष्णुम् ॥

pure eternal lord of all, without beginning, middle, or end, and besides whom is nothing."\*

\* तिस्रविशेषीजिस सर्वक्षि-ख्यक्तविस्पष्टतनावनने। ममाचलं चित्तमपेतदोषं सदासु विष्णावभवाय भूयः॥ समस्तभूतादमलादनना-सर्वेष्वरादन्यदनादिमध्यात्। यसाञ्चं किंचित्तमहं गुक्णां परं गुरुं संश्रयमेति विष्णुम्॥



#### CHAPTER III.

Saubhar and his wives adopt an ascetic life. Descendants of Mándhátři. Legend of Narmadá and Purukutsa. Legend of Triśanku. Báhu driven from his kingdom by the Haihayas and Tálajanghas. Birth of Sagara: he conquers the barbarians, imposes upon them distinguishing usages, and excludes them from offerings to fire and the study of the Vedas.

HAVING thus communed with himself, Saubhari abandoned his children, his home, and all his splendour, and, accompanied by his wives, entered the forest, where he daily practised the observances followed by the ascetics termed Vaikhánasas\* (or anchorets having families), until he had cleansed himself from all sin. When his intellect had attained maturity, he concentrated in his spirit the (sacramental) fires,¹ and became a religious mendicant.† Then, having consigned all his acts to the supreme,‡ he obtained the condition of Achyuta, which knows no change, and is not subject to the vicissitudes of birth, transmigration,§ or death. Whoever reads, or hears, or remembers, or understands! this legend of Saubhari and his espousal of the daugh-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So Manu; "Having reposited, as the law directs, the holy fires in his breast," &c. VI., 25.¶

<sup>\*</sup> The vaikhanasa is the same as the vanaprastha, for a detail of whose duties, and of those of the bhikshu, vide supra, pp. 94-97.

<sup>†</sup> Bhikshu.
|| Avadháráyati.

<sup>‡</sup> Bhagavat.

<sup>§</sup> Avikára.

<sup>¶</sup> अपीं सात्मनि वैतानान्समारीय यथाविधि। अनपिरनिकेतः सानुनिर्मूनफलाग्रनः॥

ters of Mándhátri shall never, for eight successive births, be addicted to evil thoughts; nor shall he act unrighteously, nor shall his mind dwell upon improper objects, nor shall he be influenced by selfish attachments. The line of Mándhátri is now resumed.

The son of Ambarísha, the son of Mándhátři, was Yuvanáśwa:\* his son was Harita, †† from whom the Angirasa Háritas: were descended.

#### हरितो युवनायस्य हारिता यत चाताजाः। एते ह्याङ्गरमः पचे चचोपेता दिजातयः॥

'Harita was the son of Yuvanaswa, whose sons were the Haritas. They were on the part (or followers) of Angiras, and were Brahmans with the properties of Kshattriyas.' The Vayu has:

### हरितो युवनाश्वस्य हारीता भूरयः सृताः। एते ह्याङ्गरसः पुचाः चत्त्रोपेता दिजातयः॥\*\*

'Harita was the son of Yuvanáśwa, from whom were many

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Váyu, Linga, Kúrma, and Bhágavata § agree in this series: the others omit it.

² The words of the text are: तसाद्धितो यतोऽङ्गिसो हा-रिता:। And the commentator explains the phrase: 'The Angirasa Brahmans, of whom the Harita family was the chief.' || The Linga ¶ reads:

<sup>\*</sup> The Bhágavata-purána, IX., VII., 1, has Yauvanáśwa. See the next page, note ‡.

<sup>†</sup> Some MSS. have Hárita, like the Bhágavata.

<sup>‡</sup> All my best MSS., supported by the commentary, yield 'Haritas'.

<sup>§</sup> But see note ; in the page next following.

<sup>||</sup> I find: ऋष्वरीषस्य युवनाश्वः प्रिपतामहस्तनामा यतो हरिता-द्वारीता ऋष्किरसो दिजा हरितगोचप्रवराः। ऋष हरितानामार्षेया ऋष्किरसाम्बरीषयौवनाश्वेति प्रवर्षाठात्।

Trior Section, LXV., 40, 41.

<sup>\*\*</sup> My MSS. differ, as to this stanza, from those followed by Professor Wilson. Vide infra, p. 283, note ¶.

In the regions below the earth,\* the Gandharvas called Mauneyas† (or, sons of the Muni Kasyapa), who

called Hárítas. They were sons of Angiras, and Brahmans with the properties of Kshattriyas.' The Bhágavata; has only: मान्या-तृप्रवर्ग रूमे। These (Ambarísha, Purukutsa, and Harita,) were, according to Śrídhara Śwámin's comment, the chiefs of Mándhátŕi's descendants; being founders of three several branches: or it may mean, he says, merely that they had Mándhátŕi for their progenitor; Mándhátŕi being, by some, also named Angiras, according to Áśwaláyana. § It may be questioned if the compilers of the Puráńas, or their annotators, knew exactly what to make of this and similar phrases, || although they were, probably, intended to intimate, that some persons of Kshattriya origin became the disciples of certain Brahmans, particularly of Angiras, and, afterwards, founders of schools of religious instruction, themselves. Mándhátŕi himself is the author of a hymn in the Rig-veda. Asiatic Researches, Vol. VIII., p. 385.¶ Háríta is the name of an individual

#### भाषातुः पुत्रप्रवरा यादम्बरावः प्रकाशितः। पितामहेन प्रवृतो यौवनाश्वश्च तत्सुतः। हारीतस्तस्य पुत्रोऽभृत्यांधानुप्रवरा रुमे॥

<sup>\*</sup> Rasátala. See Vol. II., p. 209, note 1.

<sup>†</sup> The commentator says they were Chitrasena and others: see Vol. II., p. 285, note †. The term seems to be a general epithet of the Gandharvas, derived from their mother, Muni: see the Mahábhárata, Ádiparvan, śl. 2552; Harivańśa, śl. 11553. In Vol. II., p. 75, it is the Apsarases that spring from Kaśyapa and Muni; the Gandharvas being the offspring of Kaśyapa and Arishtá.

<sup>‡</sup> IX., VII, 1. Subjoined are the original and Burnouf's translation: मांधातुः पुत्रप्रवरो योऽस्वरीषः प्रकीत्तितः।

<sup>&</sup>quot;Le fils aîné de Mândhâtři qui est célèbre sous le nom d'Ambarîcha, fut adopté par son grand-père Yuvanâçva; c'est pourquoi le fils d'Ambarîcha est nommé Yâuvanâçva. Ce dernier prince eut pour fils Hârîta; ces [trois] personnages (Ambarîcha, Yâuvanâçva et Hârîta) out pour auteur commun Mândhâtři."

<sup>§ ?</sup> See Professor Max Müller's History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 383. || Vide supra, p. 255, note 2; p. 258, note 1.

<sup>¶</sup> Or Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 25.

were sixty millions in number, had defeated the tribes of the Nágas (or snake-gods), and seized upon their most precious jewels, and usurped their dominion. Deprived of their power by the Gandharvas, the serpent-chiefs addressed the god of the gods, as he awoke from his slumbers; and the blossoms of his lotos-eyes opened, while listening to their hymns.\* They said: "Lord, how shall we be delivered from this great fear?" Then replied the first of males, † who is without beginning: "I will enter into the person of Purukutsa, the son of Mándhátři, the son of Yuvanáśwa; and in him will I quiet these iniquitous Gandharvas." On hearing these words, the snake-gods bowed and withdrew, and, returning to their country, despatched Narmadá, to solicit the aid of Purukutsa.

Narmadá accordingly went to Purukutsa, and conducted him to the regions below the earth,: where, being filled with the might of the deity, he destroyed the Gandharvas. He then returned to his own palace; and the snake-gods, in acknowledgement of Narmadá's services, conferred upon her, as a blessing, that, who-

sage, considered as the son of Chyavana, and to whom a work on law is attributed. It is, probably, rather that of a school, however, than of an individual.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Narmadá, the personified Nerbudda river, was, according to the Bhágavata, § the sister of the Nágas.

<sup>\*</sup> The rendering here is somewhat lax.

<sup>†</sup> Purushottama. See Vol. I., p. 16, note ‡.

<sup>‡</sup> Rasátala.

<sup>§</sup> IX., VII., 2.

<sup>||</sup> For another origin of her, vide supra, p. 162, note ||; p. 165, note :.

soever should think of her, and invoke her name, should never have any dread of the venom of snakes.\* This is the invocation: "Salutation be to Narmadá in the morning; salutation be to Narmadá at night; salutation be to thee, O Narmadá! Defend me from the serpent's poison."† Whoever repeats this, day and night, shall never be bitten by a snake, in the dark, or in entering a chamber; nor shall he who calls it to mind, when he eats, suffer any injury from poison, though it be mixed with his food. To Purukutsa, also, the snake-gods announced, that the series of his descendants should never be cut off.

Purukutsa had a son, by Narmadá, named Trasadasyu,: whose son was Sambhúta, § whose son was

नमेदायां समुत्पन्नः संभूतस्त्रस्य चात्मजः। It seems, then,—vide supra, p. 165, Sanskrit extract in note †,—that Trasadasyu and Sambhuta are one, unless they are brothers.

|| IX., VII., 3. It says that Anaranya was son of Trasaddasyu.

Trior Section, LXV., 41, 42. Then follows:

विष्णुवृद्धः सुतस्रस्य विष्णुवृद्धा यतः सृताः। एते ह्याङ्गरसः पचे चचोपेताः समात्रिताः। संभूतिरपरं पुचमनर्ष्यमजीजनत्॥

¹ We have some varieties here. Instead of Trasadasyu, the Matsya has Dussaha, whom it makes the husband of Narmadá, and father of Sambhúti, the father of Tridhanwan. The Bhágavata || omits Sambhúti; the Linga¶ makes him the brother of Trasadasyu; and the Agni has, in his place, Sudhanwan.

<sup>\*</sup> For सर्पविषमयं there is a variant, सर्वविषमयं, "dread of any poison."

<sup>†</sup> नर्भदायै नमः प्रातर्नर्भदायै नमो निश्च। नमोऽस्तु नर्भदे तुभ्यं रच मां विषसर्पतः॥

<sup>\*</sup> Some MSS. read, like the Bhágavata-purána, Trasaddasyu. Vide supra, p. 266, note ¶, for this word as an epithet.

<sup>§</sup> The Váyu-purána reads, in my MSS.:

Anaranya, who was slain by Rávana, in his triumphant progress through the nations.\* The son of Anaranya was Prishadaśwa; † his son was Haryaśwa; his son was Sumanas; his son was Tridhanwan; his son was Trayyáruńa: and his son was Satyavrata, who obtained the appellation of Triśanku, § and was degraded to the

Sambhuti is, thus, provided with two sons, Vishnuvriddha-founder of the Vishnuvriddhas,—and Anaranya.

The first two of these lines-only with the substitution of प्रश: for पदी-occur in the Váyu-purana, likewise. Vide supra, p. 280, note \* \*.

\* The Váyu-purána distinctly says, in my MSS., that he slew Rávana: रावणी निहती येन चिलोकविजये पुरा।

- † Brihadaśwa. Linga-puráńa. And so, I think, the Váyu-puráńa is intended to read.
  - † One MS. has Trayyaruńi.
  - § Vide infra, p. 286, note †.
- || There, as in the Linga-puraña, Prior Section, LXV., 45, I find Vasumanas, with Drishadwati-which name seems to have been misread Brishadaswa—for his mother:

#### हर्ययान्त दृषद्वयां जन्ने वसुमना नृपः।

Vasumanas, son of Rohidaswa, is mentioned in the Anukramańika to the Rigveda, X., 179.

- The Harivainsa, sl. 715, 716, has, also, Sambhuta, Sudhanwan, Tridhanwan.
  - \*\* IX., VII., 4.
  - †† Particularly in the Rámáyańa.
- ‡‡ Read "Tryaruńa." Vide supra, p. 36, note †. In the Rigveda, V., XXVII., 3, Tryaruńa and Trasadasyu are mentioned as if contemporaries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Brishadaśwa: Váyu. | The Matsya, Agni, and Brahma omit all between Sambhuta and Tridhanwan. The Bhagavata \*\* has a rather different series, or, Anaranya, Haryaswa, Aruna, Tribandhana, Triśanku. As Anarańya is famous in Hindu story, †† and Trayyáruńa ‡ is a contributor to the Rig-veda, their omission shows careless compilation.

condition of a Cháńdála (or outcaste). 1\* During a twelve years' famine, † Triśanku provided the flesh of deer, for the nourishment of the wife and children of Viśwámitra; suspending it upon a (spreading) fig-tree; on the borders of the Ganges, \$ that he might not subject them to the indignity of receiving presents from an outcaste. || On this account, Viśwámitra, being highly

The Váyu states, he was banished, by his father, for his wickedness (Adharma). The Brahma Purána and Hari Vamáa I detail his iniquity at length; and it is told more concisely in the Linga. He carried off the betrothed wife of another man;—one of the citizens, according to the two former; of Vidarbha, according to the latter. For this, his father, by the advice of Vasishíha, banished him; and he took refuge with Śwapákas. The Rámáyańa\*\* has a different story, and ascribes Triśanku's degradation to the curse of the sons of Vasishíha, to whom the king had applied to conduct his sacrifice, after their father had refused to do so. Before that, he is described †† as a pious prince (सरावादी जितिह्य:); and the object of his sacrifice was to ascend to heaven.

<sup>\*</sup> For Triśanku, a Cháńdála king known to Buddhist tradition, and probably the same person, see Burnouf's Introduction à l'Histoire du Buddhisme Indien, Vol. I., pp. 207, et seq.

<sup>†</sup> Literally, 'drought,' anávrishti.

<sup>‡</sup> Nyagrodha.

<sup>§</sup> Jáhnaví, in the original.

<sup>||</sup> An expansion of चण्डालप्रतिग्रहपरिहार्णाय।

<sup>¶</sup> Śl. 717, et seq.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Bála-káńda, LVIII.

<sup>++</sup> Ibid., LVII., 10.

pleased with him, elevated him, in his living body, to heaven.

<sup>1</sup> The occurrence of the famine, and Satyavrata's care of the wife and family of Viśwamitra, are told, with some variations, in the Váyu, which has been followed by the Brahma and Hari Vamsa.\* During the famine, when game fails, he kills the cow of Vasishtha; and, for the three crimes of displeasing his father, killing a cow, and eating flesh not previously consecrated, he acquires the name of Triśanku (tri, 'three', śanku, 'sin'). + Vasishtha refusing to perform his regal inauguration, Viśwamitra celebrates the rites, and, on his death, elevates the king, in his mortal body, to heaven. The Rámáyana relates the same circumstance, but assigns to it a different motive, - Viśwamitra's resentment of the refusal of the gods to attend Triśanku's sacrifice. That work also describes the attempt of the gods to cast the king down upon earth, and the compromise between them and Viśwamitra, by which Trisanku was left suspended, head downwards, in mid-air, forming a constellation in the southern hemisphere, along with other new planets and stars formed by Viśwamitra. The Bhagavata; has an allusion to this legend, saying that Triśanku is still visible in heaven:

पितृश्वापरितोषेण गुरोदोंग्घ्रीवधेन च।
अप्रोचितोपयोगाच चिविधसे व्यतिक्रमः॥
एवं चीखस्य प्रङ्कृनि तानि दृष्टा महायशाः।
चिश्रङ्कारिति होवाच चिश्रङ्कासेन स स्रुतः॥

Also see Śridhara on the Bhágavata-puráńa, IX., VII., 5. ; IX., VII., 5.

<sup>\*</sup> Sl. 724, et seq. See Original Sanskrit Texts, Part I., pp. 86-88.

<sup>†</sup> Trišanku—"he of three delinquencies,"—was so called, according to the commentator on the Vishńu-puráńa, because he ate what was unhallowed, slew his spiritual teacher's cow, and disobeyed his father's commands: अप्रोजितभज्ञणगुरुधेनुवधियाज्ञालङ्घनरूपेस्तिभः गङ्ग-भिर्व हृद्विष्याहेतुभिस्तिग्रङ्क संज्ञामनाप। Then follows a quotation of the Harivańśa, śl. 748, 749:

## The son of Triśanku was Hariśchandra;1\* his son

#### सग्रीरो गतः खर्गमवापि दिवि दृश्यते।

The Váyu furnishes some further information, from an older source:

खवायुदाहरन्तीमौ झोकौ पौराणिका जनाः। विश्वामिवप्रसादेन विश्व द्वदिव राजते। देवैः साधं महातेजानुग्रहात्तस्य धीमतः॥ श्रुनैर्याति — रम्या हेमने चन्द्रमण्डिता।† खसंक्रता विभियामैस्त्रिशङ्कयहभूषिता॥

Both my copies leave a blank, where it is marked; and a similar passage does not elsewhere occur: but the word should, probably, be fatt; and the whole may be thus rendered: "Men acquainted with the Puránas recite these two stanzas: 'By the favour of Viśwamitra, the illustrious Trisanku shines in heaven, along with the gods, through the kindness of that sage. Slowly passes the lovely night in winter, embellished by the moon, decorated with three watches, and ornamented with the constellation Trisanku.'" This legend is, therefore, clearly astronomical, and alludes, possibly, to some reformation of the sphere by Viśwamitra, under the patronage of Trisanku, and in opposition to a more ancient system advocated by the school of Vasishtha. It might be no very rash conjecture, perhaps, to identify Trisanku with Orion, the three bright stars of whose belt may have suggested the three Sankus (stakes or pins) which form his name.;

! The Pauránik lists generally dismiss Harischandra very summarily; but he makes a conspicuous figure in legends of an apparently later date. In the Mahábhárata, Sabhá Parvan, § it is

#### श्नीर्यात्यवला रम्या हेमने चन्द्रमण्डिता।

The missing word is, then, चाबला, 'a woman.' In the next verse, my MSS. have भावे:, instead of यामै:.

<sup>\*</sup> According to the Harivainsa, sl. 754, he married Satyaratha, of the Kaikaya family. And so says the Váyu-puráña.

<sup>†</sup> The MSS. of the Váyu-purána used by me, and to which the Translator had access, read this line thus:

<sup>†</sup> The reading of the Váyu-purána, as now restored, militates against this conjecture. § Chapter XII.

#### was Rohitáśwa; his son was Harita; his son was

stated, that he resides in the court of Indra, to which he was elevated for his performance of the Rájasúya sacrifice, and for his unbounded liberality. This seems to have served as the groundwork of the tale told in the Markańdeya\* and Padma Purańas, of his having given his whole country, his wife and son, and, finally, himself, to Viśwamitra, in satisfaction of his demands for Dakshińa. In consequence, he was elevated, with his subjects, to heaven, from whence, having been insidiously led, by Nárada, to boast of his merits, he was again precipitated. His repentance of his pride, however, arrested his downward descent; and he and his train paused in mid-air. The city of Harischandra is popularly believed to be, at times, still visible in the skies. The indignation of Vasishtha at Viśwámitra's insatiableness produced a quarrel, in which their mutual imprecations changed them to two birds, the Sarálí (a sort of Turdus,) and the Baka (or crane). In these forms they fought for a considerable term, until Brahmá interposed, and reconciled them. The Bhágavata alludes to this story, in its notice of Harischandra; but the Váyu refers the conflict to the reign of a different prince: vide supra, p. 261, note 2. According to the Siva Purána, Harischandra was an especial worshipper of that deity; and his wife Satyavatí was a form of Jayá, one of Durgá's handmaids.

'Also read Rohita. † Traces of his name appear in the strong-holds of Rotas, in Behar; and in the Punjab. The Bhágavata has a legend of his having been devoted to Varuna, before his birth, by his father, who, having, on various pleas, deferred

<sup>\*</sup> Chapter VIII.

<sup>†</sup> In the Váyu-purána; in the Bhágavata-purána, IX., VIII., 8; in the Linga-purána, Prior Section, LXVI., 11, 12; and in the Harivanhéa, él. 756.

<sup>†</sup> The Harivamsa states that he founded Rohitapura.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Harischandra was a very great conqueror; and his son Rohita or Rohitaśwa founded, and is said to have resided at, the fortress which, from him, is called Rohitas, a name corrupted, in our maps, to Rotas." Hamilton's Genealogies of the Hindus, &c., p. 32.

Chunchu, \*\* who had two sons, named Vijaya and Sudeva. † Ruruka \* was the son of Vijaya, and his own son was Vrika, \* whose son was Báhu (or Báhuka §). This prince was vanquished by the tribes of Haihayas and Tálajanghas, \* || and his country was overrun by them;

offering his son, as promised, was afflicted by a dropsy. Rohita, at last, purchased Śunańśepha, ¶ who was offered, as a victim, in his stead: see hereafter, note on Śunańśepha. \*\*

- <sup>2</sup> Omitted: Agni, Linga, †† and Matsya.
- ¹ Omitted: Agni. Dhundhu: Linga‡‡ and Kúrma. Champa, founder of Champamáliní: §§ Bhágavata. || But all other authorities make Champa a different person, a descendant of Anga: see family of Anu, of the lunar race. ¶¶
  - <sup>2</sup> Kuruka: Linga\*\*\* and Kúrma. Bharuka: Bhágavata. †††
- 5 Descendants of Yadu. The first springs from a prince who
- \* Several MSS. give Chanchu; and other Puránas, and also the Harivamsa, exhibit the same variety.
- † Vijaya was son of Sudeva, according to the *Bhágavata-puráńa*, IX., VIII., 1. Instead of Sudeva, the *Linga-puráńa*, Prior Section, LXVI., 12, has Sutejas.
- ‡ One MS. has Vrisha. The Vayu-purana reads, in different MSS., Vritaka and Dhritaka.
- § I find this, the longer, form only in the Bhagavata-puráńa, IX, VIII., 2.
- || These are said to be a branch of the Haihayas. See the Translator's last note on Chapter XI. of this Book.
- ¶ Corrected, here and just below, from "Śunahśephas." See the Bhágavata-puráńa, IX., VII., 19, 22.
  - \*\* Chapter VII. of this Book.
- †† I find Harita, followed by Dhundhu, in the Linga-puráńa, Prior Section, LXVI., 12:

#### हरितो रोहितसाथ धुन्धुईारित उच्चते।

- ## See the preceding note.
- §§ I find Champapuri.
- | | IX., VIII., 1.
- TT Chapter XVIII. of this Book.
- \*\*\* This Purana has Ruchaka, in some MSS.
- ††† IX., VIII., 2.

in consequence of which, he fled into the forests, with his wives. One of these was pregnant; and, being an object of jealousy\* to a rival queen, the latter gave her poison, to prevent her delivery. The poison had the effect of confining the child in the womb for seven years. Báhu, having waxed old, died in the neighbourhood of the residence † of (the Muni) Aurva. His queen, having constructed his pile, ascended it, with the determination of accompanying him in death; but the sage! Aurva, who knew all things, past, present, and to come, issued forth from his hermitage, and forbade her, saying: "Hold! hold! This is unrighteous. A valiant prince, the monarch of many realms, § the offerer of many sacrifices, the destroyer of his foes, a universal emperor, is in thy womb. Think not of committing so desperate an act!" Accordingly, in obedience to his injunctions, she relinquished her intention. The sage then conducted her to his abode, and, after some time, a very splendid boy was there born. Along with

is the twelfth, and the second, from one who is the eighteenth, in the lunar line; and both are, thus, contemporary with a prince who is the thirty-fifth of the solar dynasty. The Váyu adds, that they were assisted by Śakas, Yavanas, Kámbojas, Páradas, ¶ and Pahlavas.

<sup>\*</sup> This is an inference. The original runs: तस्त्राश्च सपत्या गर्भसाभ-नाय गरो दत्तः।

<sup>†</sup> Áśrama.

<sup>‡</sup> Bhagavat.

<sup>श्र त्राखिलभूमण्डलपतिरतिवीर्यपराक्रमः।</sup> 

<sup>[]</sup> Chakravartin.

Torrected from "Paravas,"-a typographical error, presumably.

him, the poison that had been given to his mother was expelled; and Aurva, after performing the ceremonies required at birth,\* gave him (on that account) the name of Sagara (from Sa, 'with', and Gara, 'poison'). The same holy sage celebrated his investure with the cord of his class, instructed him (fully) in the Vedas,† and taught him the use of arms, especially those of fire, called after Bhárgava.:

When the boy (had grown up, and) was capable of reflection, he said to his mother, (one day): "Why are we dwelling in this hermitage? Where is my father? And who is he?" His mother, in reply, related to him all (that had happened); upon hearing which, he was highly incensed, and vowed to recover his patrimonial kingdom, and exterminate the Haihayas and Tálajanghas, \$ by whom it had been overrun. Accordingly, (when he became a man), he put nearly the whole of the Haihayas to death, and would have, also, destroyed the Śakas, the Yavanas, Kámbojas, Páradas, and Pahlavas, ¹ but that they applied to Vasishtha, the family-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Haihayas || we shall have further occasion to notice. The

<sup>\*</sup> जातकमीदिकां कियां निष्यादा। The játa-karman is described as "a ceremony ordained on the birth of a male, before the section of the navel-string, and which consists in making him taste clarified butter out of a golden spoon." Colebrooke's Digest, &c., Vol. III., p. 104, note †.

<sup>†</sup> Insert 'and all sciences', भ्रास्त्राखभेषाणि।

<sup>‡</sup> Read "called after Bhrigu": ऋस्त्रं चामेयं भागेवाख्यम् । The Váyu-purána alleges that Sagara's instructor in the use of such arms was Bhrigu himself. For Hindu fiery weapons, vide supra, p. 81, note •

<sup>&</sup>amp; Add "and others," adi.

For mention of this race in a medieval inscription, see the Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, 1862, p. 117, line 1.

priest\* (of Sagara), for protection. Vasishtha, regarding them as annihilated (or deprived of power), though

Sakas + are, no doubt, the Sacæ or Sakai of the classical geographers,-Scythians and Indo-Scythians, Turk or Tartar tribes, who established themselves, about a century and a half before our era, along the western districts of India, and who are, not improbably, connected with our Saxon forefathers. The Yavanas: are the Ionians or Greeks. The Kámbojas & were a people on the northwest of India, of whom it is said, that they were remarkable for a capital breed of horses. There is an apparent trace of their name in the Caumojees of Kaferistán, who may have retreated to the mountains before the advance of the Turk tribes. (Elphinstone's Account of the Kingdom of Caubul, &c., 1st ed., p. 619: see, also, Vol. II., p. 182, note 1). The Páradas | and Pahlavas I or Pahnavas may designate other bordering tribes in the same direction, or on the confines of Persia. Along with these, in the legend that follows, the Bhágavata\*\* enumerates Barbaras. †† The Váyu adds Máhishikas, #Dárvas, §§ Chaulas, and Khasas; ¶¶ the two former

<sup>\*</sup> Kula-guru. † See Vol. II., p. 165, note 8.

<sup>‡</sup> See Vol. II., p. 181, note 6.

<sup>§</sup> See Vol. II., p. 182, notes 1, etc.; p. 183, note 3, and annotations thereon.

<sup>||</sup> See Vol. II., p. 182, note 4; p. 183, note ‡.

<sup>¶</sup> This, undoubtedly, is the right word; and, on the authority of all my best MSS., I have amended the text accordingly, in the preceding page, and in p. 294, infra. See Vol. II., p. 187, note §. At p. 339 of that volume, I have silently corrected the statement previously made at p. 168, note ||, that the Pahlavas are not named in the genuine Rámáyańa.

<sup>\*\*</sup> IX., VIII., 5. Along with the Barbaras are there named only the Talajanghas, Yavanas, Sakas, and Haihayas.

<sup>††</sup> See Vol. II., p. 176, notes 8 and \*\*; p. 178, note §.

<sup>##</sup> See Vol. II., p. 166, notes 8, etc.

<sup>§§</sup> See Vol. II., p. 178, text and notes † and ††.

<sup>|</sup> Identified, here, with the Cholas, for whom see Vol. II., p. 178, note 13. The *Harivainsa*, in the corresponding passage, has Cholas.

<sup>¶¶</sup> See Vol. II., p. 186, note 5.

living,\* thus spake to Sagara: "Enough, enough, my

of which are people on the Malabar and Coromandel coasts; the two latter are, usually, placed amongst the mountaineers of the Hindu Kush. The Brahma Puráńa lengthens the list with the Kolas (the forest races of eastern Gondwana), the Sarpas,† and the Keralas,‡ (who are the people of Malabar). The Hari Vamśa § still further extends the enumeration with the Tusháras or Tokháras || (the Turks of Tokharestán), the Chínas ¶ (Chinese), the Madras \*\* (people in the Punjab), the Kishkindhas †† (in Mysore), Kauntalas ‡‡ (along the Nerbudda), Bangas §§ (Bengalis), Śalwas || || (people in western India), and the Konkańas ¶¶ (or inhabitants of the Concan). It is evident, from the locality of most of the additions of the last authority, that its compiler, or corrupter, has been a native of the Dekhín.

#### यः खधर्मात्परिभ्रष्टो विप्रैश्वैव बहिष्कृतः। स जीवन्नेव लोकेऽस्मिन्नत इत्यमिधीयते॥

"He who has fallen away from his duty, and is cast out by Brahmans, though living in this world, is declared to be dead."

† In the corresponding passage of the Harivanisa,—namely, at sl. 782,—I find, much more frequently than कोलसपा:,—yielding Kolas and Sarpas, or else Kolasarpas,—कोलिसपा:, कोलिसपा:, &c. All these, I strongly suspect, are corruptions of कलिसपा:, an epithet which the Váyu-purána—see a few lines before, in Professor Wilson's foot-note,—applies to the Máhishikas.

\* See Vol. II., p. 178, notes 1 and §. § \$l. 782-784.

\*\* See Vol. II., p. 163, notes 11, etc.

†† Tradition places the Kishkindhas in Odra, or Orissa. See the Śabdakalpadruma, sub voce; also, Vol. II., p. 177, note 3.

‡‡ Some MSS. have Kontalas. And see Vol. II., p. 157, notes 9, etc. I know not on what authority M. Langlois asserts, that these people, "aux longs cheveux étaient près des Tchînas."

§§ "Vangas", in Vol. II., p. 166.

|| || See Vol. II., pp. 133-135; p. 156, note \*.

¶¶ See Vol. II., p. 178, notes 14 and §.

<sup>\*</sup> Jivanm'ritaka. The scholiast hereupon quotes the following stanza from some unnamed Sm'riti:

son! Pursue no further these objects of your wrath, whom you may look upon as no more.\* In order to fulfil your vow,† I have separated them from affinity to the regenerate tribes, and from the duties of their castes." Sagara, in compliance with the injunctions of his spiritual guide, contented himself, therefore, with imposing upon the vanquished nations peculiar distinguishing marks.: He made the Yavanas¹ shave their heads entirely;§ the Śakas he compelled to shave (the upper) half of their heads; the Páradas wore their hair long; and the Pahlavas let their beards grow; in obedience to his commands.² Them, also, and other

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> And Kámbojas: Váyu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Asiatic nations generally shave the head, either wholly, or in part. Amongst the Greeks, it was common to shave the fore part of the head; a custom introduced, according to Plutarch, by the Abantes,—whom Homer || calls ὅπιθεν κομόωντες,—and followed, according to Xenophon, by the Lacedæmonians. It may be doubted, however, if the Greeks or Ionians ever shaved the head completely. The practice prevails amongst the Mohammedans; but it is not universal. The Śakas (Scythians, or Tartars) shave the fore part of the head, gathering the hair at the back into a long tail, as do the Chinese. The mountaineers of the Himálaya shave the crown of the head, as do the people of Kaferistán, with exception of a single tuft. What oriental people wore their hair long, except at the back of the head, is questionable; and the usage would be characteristic rather of the Teutonic and Gothic nations. The ancient Persians had long bushy

<sup>\*</sup> ऋजमेभिरतिजीवसृतकीरनुसृतैः।

<sup>†</sup> Pratijná.

<sup>‡</sup> Veśányatwa.

<sup>§</sup> Muńdita-śiras.

<sup>|</sup> Iliad. II., 542.

Kshattriya races, he deprived of the established usages of oblations to fire\* and the study of the Vedas; and, thus separated from religious rites,† and abandoned by the Brahmans, these different tribes became Mlechchhas. Sagara, after the recovery of his kingdom, reigned over the seven-zoned earth, with undisputed dominion. 1;

beards, as the Persepolitan sculptures demonstrate. In Chardin's time, they were out of fashion; but they were again in vogue, in that country, in the reign of the last king, Fath Sháh.

¹ So the Váyu, &c.; and a similar statement is given in Manu, X., 44, where, § to the Śakas, Yavanas, Kámbojas, Páradas, and Pahlavas, || are added the Pauńdrakas¶ (people of western Bengal), Odras\*\* (those of Orissa), Dravidas†† (of the Coromandel coast), Chínas (Chinese), Kirátas (mountaineers), and Daradas‡‡ (Durds of the Hindu Kush). From this passage, and a similar one in the Rámáyańa, in which the Chínas §§ are mentioned, the late Mr. Klaproth inferred those works to be not older than the third century B. C., when the reigning dynasty of Thsin first gave that

## ः सगरोऽपि स्वमधिष्ठानमागम्यास्त्रज्ञितचत्रः सप्तद्वीपवतीमिमामु-वीं प्रश्रास ।

<sup>\*</sup> Vashaťkára. See Vol. II., p. 29, note §.

<sup>†</sup> Dharma.

<sup>§</sup> See Vol. II., p. 183, note 3, and annotations thereon.

<sup>||</sup> Corrected from "Pahnavas." The Translator has Pahlavas in Vol. II., p. 183, note 3. Vide supra, p. 292, note ¶.

<sup>¶</sup> Professor Wilson had "Pauńdras," which I do not find in the original. For the Pauńdrikas, see Vol. II., p. 177, note ††.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This is, perhaps, to be altered. See Vol. II., p. 184, note  $\dagger$ ; p. 177, notes 3 and \*\*.

<sup>††</sup> Elsewhere read Drávidas. See Vol. II., p. 177, text and note 5.

<sup>\*\*</sup> See Vol. II., p. 185, notes 3, etc.

<sup>§§</sup> It is only in the Bengal recension of the Rámáyańa that the Chínas are mentioned. See Vol. II., p. 176, note \*\*.

name to China (see, also, Vol. II., p. 181, note 7). It was probable, he supposed, that the Hindus became acquainted with the Chinese only about 200 B. C., when their arms extended to the Oxus: but it is difficult to reconcile this date with the difference of style between the Rámáyańa, particularly, and the works of the era of Vikramáditya. It would seem more likely, that the later appellations were interpolated. It must have been a period of some antiquity, when all the nations from Bengal to the Coromandel coast were considered as Mlechchhas and outcasts.



#### CHAPTER IV.

The progeny of Sagara: their wickedness: he performs an Aśwamedha: the horse stolen by Kapila: found by Sagara's sons, who are all destroyed by the sage: the horse recovered by Amśumat: his descendants. Legend of Mitrasaha or Kalmáshapáda, the son of Sudása. Legend of Khatwánga. Birth of Ráma and the other sons of Daśaratha. Epitome of the history of Ráma: his descendants, and those of his brothers. Line of Kuśa. Bŕihadbala, the last, killed in the Great War.

SUMATI, the daughter of Kasyapa, and Kesini,\* the daughter of Raja Vidarbha,† were the two wives of Sagara. Eleing without progeny, the king solicited

In the Bengal recension of the Ramayana, Adi-kanda, LXXII., Angiras and Prachetas intervene between Kasyapa and Manu, Vivaswat being omitted; as is Kukshi, also.

The Ayodhyá-káńda, CXIX., in the same recension, names, somewhat discrepantly: Brahmá, Maríchi, Kaśyapa,—and then, a break being announced,—Vivaswat, Manu, Ikshwáku, Kukshi, Vikukshi, Reńu, Pushya, Anarańya, Přithu, Triśanku, Dhundhumára, Yuvanáśwa, Mándhátři, Susandhi, Dhřitasandhi (with Prasenajit), Bharata, Asita, Sagara.

Most of this is very different from what we meet with in the Puranas. § Bála-kánda, XXXVIII., 3, 4.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;So the Rámáyana. § Sumati is called the daughter of Arishtanemi: || the Mahábhárata¶ calls her Śaibyá. The story of Sa-

<sup>\*</sup> This was the elder wife, the Váyu-purána alleges.

<sup>†</sup> In the Váyu-purána and Harivamsa, he is called simply Vidarbha.

<sup>‡</sup> The following genealogy is given in the Rámáyańa, Bála-káńda, LXX., 19-37: Brahmá, Marichi, Kaśyapa, Vivaswat, Manu, Ikshwaku (first king of Ayodhya), Kukshi, Vikukshi, Báńa, Anarańya, Pŕithu, Triśanku, Dhundhumára, Yuvanáśwa, Mandhátíi, Susandhi, Dhruvasandhi (with Prasenajit), Bharata, Asita, Sagara. The same detail is found in the Ayodhyá-káńda, CX., 5-24.

<sup>||</sup> This is another name of Kaśyapa. See Vol. II., p. 28, note 2. For the stanza there cited from the Mahábhárata, see the Sánti-parvan, śl. 7574.

¶ Vana-parvan, sl. 8833.

the aid of the sage Aurva, with great earnestness;\* and the Muni pronounced this boon, that one wife should bear one son, the upholder of his race, and the other should give birth to sixty thousand sons; and he left it to them to make-their election. Kesiní chose to have the single son; Sumati, the multitude: and † it came to pass, in a short time, that the former bore Asamanjas,¹ a prince through whom the dynasty continued; and the daughter of Vinatá,‡ Sumati, had sixty thousand sons. The son of Asamanjas was Amsúmat.

Asamanjas was, from his boyhood, of very irregular conduct. § His father hoped, that, as he grew up to manhood, he would reform; but, finding that he continued guilty of the same immorality, Sagara abandoned him. The sixty thousand sons of Sagara followed the example of their brother Asamanjas. The path of virtue and piety being obstructed, in the world, by the sons of Sagara, it the gods repaired to the Muni T

gara and his descendants is told at length in the Rámáyańa, First Book; and in the Mahábhárata, Vana Parvan, III., 106, et seq.; as well as in most of the Puránas.

¹ Or Panchajana:\*\* Brahma.††

<sup>\*</sup> Samádhi, = chittaikágrya, the scholiast says.

<sup>†</sup> Insert "the Rishi having pronounced 'So be it'": तथित च ऋषि-णाभिहिते।

<sup>‡</sup> See Vol. II., pp. 26 and 73. § Apavritta.

<sup>🏿</sup> सागरैरपध्यस्तयज्ञादिसकार्गे जगति।

<sup>¶</sup> Substituted for Kishi.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This is an epithet of Asamanjas, in the Harivamsa, śl. 802, et seq.

<sup>††</sup> Asamanja: Rámáyaña, Váyu-puráña, and Linga-puráña. Asamanjasa: Bhágavata-puráña, IX., VIII., 14.

Kapila, who was a portion of Vishńu,\* free from fault, and endowed with all (true) wisdom. Having approached him with respect, they said: "O lord, what will become of the world, if these sons of Sagara are permitted to go on in the evil ways which they have learned from Asamanjas! Do thou, then, assume a visible form,† for the protection of the afflicted universe." "Be satisfied", replied the sage: "in a brief time, the sons of Sagara shall be, all, destroyed."

At that period, Sagara commenced the (performance of the solemn) sacrifice of a horse, who was guarded by his own sons: nevertheless, some one stole the animal, and carried it off into a chasm in the earth. Sagara commanded his sons to search for the steed; and they, tracing him by the impressions of his hoofs, followed his course, with perseverance, until, coming to the chasm where he had entered, they proceeded to enlarge it, and dug downwards, each, for a league. Coming to Pátála, they beheld the horse wandering freely about; and, at no great distance from him, they saw the Rishi Kapila sitting, with his head declined in meditation, and illuminating the surrounding space with radiance as bright as the splendours of the autumnal sun shining in an unclouded sky. Exclaiming This

<sup>\*</sup> Purushottama, in the original.

<sup>† &</sup>quot;Visible form" is to render sarira, 'body'.

<sup>ः</sup> वसुधातलमेकैको योजनं योजनमवनेश्वखान।

<sup>§</sup> This specification does not appear in the original. See the next note.

<sup>॥</sup> भगवन्तमपघने श्रात्कानिऽर्कमिव तेजीभिर्नवर्तमूर्ध्वमधयाशे-षा द्शियोद्भासयमानं कपिलर्षिमपश्चन्। Commentary: ग्रपघने। ग्रप-गतमेषे।

is the villain who has maliciously interrupted our sacrifice, and stolen the horse: kill him! kill him!" they ran towards him, with uplifted weapons. The Muni slowly raised his eyes, \* and, for an instant, looked upon them; and they were reduced to ashes by the (sacred) flame that darted from his person.

<sup>1</sup> The Bhágavata† has, for a Purána, some curious remarks on this part of the story, flatly denying its truth:

न साधुवादो मुनिकोपमर्जिता
नृपेन्द्रपुचा इति सत्त्वधामनि।
कथं तमो रोषमयं विभाव्यते
जगत्पविचात्मनि खे रजो भुवः॥
यखेरिता साङ्घमयी दृढेह नौयंया मुमुजुक्तरते दुरत्थयम्।
भवाणवं मृत्युपथं विपश्चितः
परात्मभृतस्थ कथं पृथङ्गातः॥

"The report is not true, that the sons of the king were scorched by the wrath of the sage. For how can the quality of darkness, made up of anger, exist in a world-purifying nature, consisting of the quality of goodness;—the dust of earth, as it were, in the sky? How should mental perturbation distract that sage, who was one with the Supreme, and who has promulgated that Sánkhya philosophy which is a strong vessel by which he who is desirous of liberation passes over the dangerous ocean of the world, by the path of death?":

<sup>\*</sup> The original, परिवर्तित लोचनेन, implies that he cast his eyes about.

<sup>†</sup> IX., VIII., 12, 13.

Burnouf's more exact translation of this passage is as follows:

<sup>&</sup>quot;Elle n'est pas vraie la tradition qui prétend que les fils du roi furent détruits par la colère du sage; comment en effet les Ténèbres que produit la colère eussent-elles pu exister chez un sage, dont la Bonté était le corps, et qui purifiait le monde? c'est comme si l'on voulait attribuer au ciel la poussière née de la terre.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Comment eût-il pu croire à des distinctions [comme elles d'ami et

When Sagara learned that his sons whom he had sent in pursuit of the (sacrificial) steed had been destroyed by the might of the great Rishi Kapila, he despatched Amsumat, the son of Asamanjas, to effect the animal's recovery. The youth, proceeding by the (deep) path which the princes had dug, arrived where Kapila was, and, bowing respectfully,\* prayed† to him, (and so propitiated him), that the saint said: "Go, (my son), deliver the horse to your grandfather; and demand a boon. Thy grandson shall bring down the river of heaven: (on the earth)." Amsumat requested, as a boon, that his uncles § who had perished through the sage's displeasure | might, although unworthy of it, be raised to heaven, through his favour. "I have told you," replied Kapila, "that your grandson shall bring. down upon earth the Ganges (of the gods); and, when her waters shall wash the bones and ashes of thy grand-

d'ennemi], ce sage identifié avec l'Esprit suprême, qui dirigea ici-bas le solide vaisseau de la doctrine Sânkhya, à l'aide duquel l'homme désireux de se sauver traverse le redoutable océan de l'existence, ce chemin de la mort?"

A tolerably full account of Kapila will be found in my edition of the Sánkhya-sára, Preface, pp. 13—21. Several things, however, are to be corrected there; as the work was sent out, by the Asiatic Society of Bengal, without my authority, and while I was known to be still employed on what would have made ten or twelve pages of additional matter, including various readings of MSS. collated since I left India, besides minute indexes and numerous emendations. The copies of the Daśa-rúpa in circulation are similarly unamended and imperfect.

<sup>\*</sup> Bhakti-namra. † Tushtava, 'lauded'.

the War of heaven" is for Ganga, the word in the Sanskrit.

<sup>§</sup> Amsumat rather strangely calls them pitri, 'fathers'. The scholiast explains that the term is used for pitrivya.

<sup>||</sup> The original has ब्रह्मद्रान्, "smitten by the punishment of a Brahman."

father's sons,\* they shall be raised to Swarga. Such is the efficacy of the stream that flows from the toe of Vishńu, that it confers heaven upon all who bathe in it designedly, or who even become accidentally immersed in it: those, even, shall obtain Swarga, whose bones, skin, fibres, hair, or any other part, shall be left, after death, upon the earth which is contiguous to the Ganges." Having acknowledged, reverentially, the kindness of the sage, Amsumat returned to his grandfather, and delivered to him the horse.† Sagara, on recovering the steed, completed his sacrifice, and, in affectionate memory of his sons, denominated Ságara the chasm which they had dug. 1:

¹ Ságara is still the name of the ocean, and, especially, of the Bay of Bengal, at the mouth of the Ganges. On the shore of the island called by the same name, tradition places a Kapiláśrama, or hermitage of Kapila, which is still the scene of an annual pilgrimage. Other legends assign a very different situation for the abode of the ascetic, or, the foot of the Himálaya, where the Ganges descends to the plains.§ There would be no incompatibility, however, in the two sites, could we imagine the tra-

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Thy grandfather's sons" is an expansion of the word for 'them'.

<sup>†</sup> ऋश्वमादाय पितामहयज्ञमाजगाम।

<sup>‡</sup> सागरं चात्मजप्रीत्या पुत्रत्वे कल्पयामास; "and, from love of his sons, he set up the ocean as a son."

Sagara, the word here used for 'ocean', is, in form, patronymic of Sagara. The commentator says: सागरं सगर्मतैः खननाद्वधितम्। ऋत एवा-त्राजप्रीत्या पुत्रले कल्पयामास स्थापयामास। तिसान्पुत्रबृद्धिं क्वतवानित्यर्थः।

<sup>§</sup> In the Padma-puráńa, Kapila is said to have dwelt in the village of Indraprastha.

The son of Amsumat was Dilípa; his son was Bhagíratha, who brought Gangá down to earth; whence she is called Bhágírathí.\* The son of Bhagíratha was Śruta; his son was Nábhága; his son was Ambarísha; his son was Sindhudwípa; his son was Ayutáśwa; his son was Řituparna, the friend of Nala, skilled profoundly in dice. The son of Řituparna was

dition referred to a period when the ocean washed, as it appears once to have done, the base of the Himálaya, and Saugor (Ságara) was at Haridwára.

- <sup>1</sup> Or Khatwanga: Brahma and Hari Vamsa:\*\* but this is, apparently, an error. Vide infra, p. 311, note 1.
  - <sup>2</sup> Omitted: Matsya and Agni. Viśruta: †† Linga.
  - <sup>8</sup> Nábha: ‡ Bhágavata.
- <sup>4</sup> Ayutáyus: §§ Váyu, Linga, and Kúrma. Śrutáyus: Agni. Ayutájit: || || Brahma.
  - <sup>5</sup> अबह्दयज्ञ:, 'knowing the heart of the dice.' The same epi-
  - \* The Váyu-purána hereupon gives the following quotation:

#### स्रवाष्ट्रदाहरनीमी झोकी पौराणिका जनाः। भगीरथस्तु तां गंगामानयामास कर्मभिः। तस्माद्वागीरथी गंगा कथ्यते वंग्नवित्तमैः॥

Two stanzas are here promised; but only one is cited.

- † A single MS. has Suhotra.
- ‡ According to the Bhágavata-purána, IX., IX., 16, he was son of Nábha; Ambarisha being there omitted.
  - § In two MSS. the name is Ayutáyus.
- || The Harivamsa has Kitapama, and gives the patronym Artapama to Sudása.
  - ¶ Saháya.
- \*\* Śl. 808. Khatwánga is given there as Dilipa's surname. Khatwánga, as the name of a royal sage, appears in the Bhágavata-purána, II., I., 13.
  - †† I find Sruta.
  - \*\* Corrected from "Nabhin".
- §§ So, too, reads the Bhagavata-purana.
- || This is the reading of the Harivamsa, also.

Sarvakáma;1\* his son was Sudása; his son was Sau-

thet, as well as that of 'friend of Nala,' is given him in the Váyu, Bhágavata, and Brahma Puránas, and in the Hari Vamáa, and leaves no doubt of their referring to the hero of the story told in the Mahábhárata. Nala, however, as we shall hereafter see, is some twenty generations later than Rituparna, in the same family; and the Váyu, therefore, thinks it necessary to observe, that two Nalas are noticed in the Puránas, and the one here adverted to is the son of Vírasena:

# नली द्वाविति विख्याती पुराणेषु दृढत्रती। वीरसेनासज्ञेव यसेच्वाकुक्वोद्वहः॥

whilst the other belongs to the family of Ikshwáku. The same passage occurs in the Brahma Puráňa and Hari Vamśa;† and the commentator; on the latter observes: निष्धाताओं नलो वीर्सेन्स्ताझनाद्यः। 'Nala the son of Nishadha is different from Nala the son of Virasena.' It is, also, to be observed, that the Nala of the tale is king of Nishadha, and his friend Rituparńa is king of Ayodhyá. The Nala of the race of Ikshwáku is king of Ayodhyá; he is the son of Nishadha, however; and there is, evidently, some confusion between the two. We do not find Virasena, or his son, in any of the lists. Vide infra, p. 320, note 1.

<sup>1</sup> There is considerable variety in this part of the lists; but the Vayu and Bhágavata agree with our text. The Matsya and others make Kalmáshapáda the son or grandson of Éituparúa,

ननी द्वावेव विख्याती पुराणे भरतर्षभ। वीरसेनात्मजञ्चैव यञ्चेच्वाकुक्लोद्दहः॥

We read, too, in the Linga-purana, Prior Section, LXVI., 24, 25:

नली द्वावेव विख्वाती पुराणेषु दृढवती। वीरसेनसुतश्चान्यो यश्चेत्वाकुकुलोक्चवः॥

<sup>\*</sup> Sárvabhauma: Linga-purána.

<sup>+ \$</sup>l. 831, 832:

<sup>!</sup> Nilakańtha.

and place Sarvakáma, or Sarvakarman, after him. † See further on. ‡

¹ The Váyu, Agni, Brahma, and Hari Vamsa read Amitrasaha, 'foe-enduring; '§ but the commentator on our text explains it Mitra, a name of Vasishtha, Saha, 'able to bear' the imprecation of; as in the following legend, which is similarly related in the Bhágavata. It is not detailed in the Váyu. A full account occurs

In Dr. Goldstücker's Sanskrit Dictionary there is, however, an article and the sandasa: according to the Vayu-, Agni-, Brahma-Pur. and the Harivansa; the Vishnu-Pur. calls him Mitrasaha." Were not these particulars taken from the note under annotation? Even a reference to so accessible a work as the Harivansa would have suggested a doubt of there being such a name as Amitrasaha.

|| The commentator's words are: मिचं वसिष्ठं प्रतिशृष्ट्रं समर्थोऽपि सहते सा। तेन मिचसहनाम। This imports, that Mitrasaha was so called, because, though he had it in his power to retaliate, in kind, the curse of his friend (mitra), namely, Vasishtha, he forbore (sahate sma, from sah).

<sup>\*</sup> The Bhágavata-puráńa, IX., IX., 18, says that he was called Kalmáshánghri, also. This is a synonym of Kalmáshapáda, for which epithet vide infra, p. 308.

<sup>†</sup> In the Harivańsa, śl. 817, Sarvakarman appears as son of Mitrasaha. In the next two stanzas, the names of his successors are: Anarańya, Nighna, Anamitra (and Raghu, his brother), Duliduha, Dilípa.

<sup>†</sup> Vide infra, p. 313, note 1.

<sup>§</sup> The first and fourth works named have—as has, also, the Linga-puráńa, Prior Section, LXVI., 27,—नास्ता मिनसहः; the second and third, राजा मिनसहः. The words of our text are सौदासो मिनसहनामा; and here, but for the commentary, there is just as good reason as there is in the other instances, to infer that the name is Amitrasaha. The momentary indignation of Saudása against Vasishtha justifies but ill the supposition that the term amitra, 'enemy', was applied to the latter. The Bhágavata-puráńa, IX., IX., 18, in its साइमिनसहं यं, reads Mitrasaha, unmistakably; and so does the Mahábhárata, Ádi-parvan, śl. 6720; Áśwamedhika-parvan, śl. 1690.

<sup>¶</sup> IX., IX., 19—39.

The son of Sudása, having gone into the woods to hunt, fell in with a couple of tigers, by whom the forest had been cleared of the deer.\* The king slew one of these tigers with an arrow. At the moment of expiring, the form of the animal was changed, and it became that of a fiend; of fearful figure and hideous aspect.

in the Mahábhárata, Ádi Parvan, s. 176, but with many and important variations. Kalmáshapáda, whilst hunting, encountered Śaktri, the son of Vasishtha, in the woods, and, on his refusing to make way, struck the sage with his whip. Saktri cursed the king to become a cannibal; and Viśwamitra, who had a quarrel with Vasishtha, seized the opportunity to direct a Rákshasa to take possession of the king, that he might become the instrument of destroying the family of the rival saint. Whilst thus influenced, Mitrasaha, a Brahman, applied to Kalmáshapáda for food; and the king commanded his cook to dress human flesh, and give it to the Brahman, who, knowing what it was, repeated the curse of Śaktri, that the king should become a cannibal; which taking effect with double force, Kalmáshapáda began to eat men. One of his first victims was Saktri, whom he slew and ate, and then killed and devoured, under the secret impulse of Viśwámitra's demon, all the other sons of Vasishtha. Vasishtha, however, liberated him from the Rakshasa who possessed him, and restored him to his natural character. The imprecation of the Brahman's wife, and its consequences, are told, in the Mahábhárata, as in the text; but the story of the water falling on his feet appears to have grown out of the etymology of his name, which might have referred to some disease of the lower extremities; the prince's designation being, at length, Mitrasaha Saudása Kalmáshapáda, or, 'Mitrasaha, son of Sudása, with the swelled feet.'

<sup>\*</sup> Apamriga.

<sup>†</sup> Rákshasa.

On the correct name, Sakti, vide supra, p. 35, note ##.

Its companion, threatening the prince with its vengeance, disappeared.

After some interval, Saudása celebrated a sacrifice, (which was conducted by Vasishtha). At the close of the rite, Vasishtha went out; when the Rákshasa, the fellow of the one that had been killed in the figure of a tiger, assumed the semblance of Vasishtha, and (came and) said (to the king): "Now that the sacrifice is ended, you must give me flesh to eat. Let it be cooked; and I will presently return." Having said this, he withdrew, and, transforming himself into the shape of the cook, dressed some human flesh, which he brought to the king, who, receiving it on a plate of gold, awaited the reappearance of Vasishtha. As soon as the Muni returned, the king offered to him the dish. Vasishtha, surprised at such want of propriety\* in the king, as his offering him meat to eat, considered what it should be that was so presented, and, by the efficacy of his meditations, discovered that it was human flesh. mind being agitated with wrath, he denounced a curse upon the Raja, saying: "Inasmuch as you have insulted all such holy men + as we are, by giving me what is not to be eaten, your appetite shall, henceforth, be excited by similar food."

"It was yourself," replied the Raja to the (indignant) sage, "who commanded (this food to be prepared)." "By me!" exclaimed Vasishtha. "How could that have been?" And, again having recourse to meditation,:

<sup>\*</sup> Daukśilya.

<sup>+</sup> Tapaswin.

<sup>‡</sup> Samádhi.

he detected the whole truth. Foregoing, then, all displeasure towards the king,\* he said: "The food (to which I have sentenced you) shall not be your sustenance for ever: it shall (only) be so for twelve years." The king, who had taken up water in the palms of his hands, and was prepared to curse the Muni, now considered that Vasishtha was his spiritual guide, † and, being reminded, by Madayantí, his queen, that it ill became him to denounce an imprecation upon a holy teacher who was the guardian divinity of his race,§ abandoned his intention. Unwilling to cast the water upon the earth, lest it should wither up the grain, for it was impregnated with his malediction, - and equally reluctant to throw it up into the air, lest it should blast the clouds, and dry up their contents, he threw it upon his own feet. Scalded by the heat which the water had derived from his angry imprecation, the feet of the Raja became spotted black and white; \*\* and he, thence, obtained the name of Kalmáshapáda, or he with the spotted (kalmásha) feet (páda). ++

<sup>\*</sup> ऋखानुग्रहं चकार।

<sup>†</sup> In the original, this consideration is suggested by Madayanti.

<sup>†</sup> Prasádita, 'appeased.'

<sup>§</sup> कुलंदेवताभूतमाचार्यम् ।

<sup>||</sup> This, though implied, is not expressed in the Sanskrit.

<sup>¶</sup> सस्याम्बुद्रजार्थं तच्छापाम्ब नोर्था नाकाग्ने चिनेप तेनैव स्व-पादी सिषेच। "That water for cursing he threw neither on the earth nor into the air, for sustenance of the grain or of the clouds; but he sprinkled his own feet with it."

<sup>\*\*</sup> This is borrowed from the scholiast, who says: कलापतां क्रण्पा-एडताम्।

मं तेन कोधशृतेनाससा दम्धक्तायी तत्पादी कलाषतामुपगती। ततस्य स कलाषपादसंज्ञामवाप।

In consequence of the curse of Vasishtha, the Raja became a cannibal\* every sixth watch of the day, † (for twelve years), and, in that state, wandered through the forests, and devoured multitudes of men. On one occasion, he beheld a holy person; engaged in dalliance with his wife. As soon as they saw his terrific form, they were frightened, and endeavoured to escape; but the (regal) Rákshasa (overtook and) seized the husband. The wife of the Brahman, then, also desisted from flight, and earnestly entreated the savage § (to spare her lord), exclaiming: "Thou, Mitrasaha, art the pride of the (royal) house of Ikshwaku, -not a (malignant) fiend. It is not in thy nature, who knowest the characters of women, to carry off and devour my husband."\*\* But all was in vain; and, regardless of her reiterated supplications, he ate the Brahman, as a tiger devours a deer. + The Brahman's wife, furious with wrath, then addressed the Raja, and said: "Since you have barbarously disturbed the joys of a wedded pair, and killed my husband, your death shall be the conse-

<sup>\*</sup> राचसभावसुपेता।

<sup>†</sup> Read "every sixth meal-time;" i. e., at the close of every third day. The original is षष्ठ काले, on which the comment is: षष्ठ षष्ठ काले तृतीयदिनानी।

<sup>†</sup> Muni.

<sup>§</sup> Bahu-éasta.

<sup>||</sup> Tilaka.

<sup>¶</sup> Rákshasa.

<sup>\*\*</sup> नाईसि स्त्रीधर्मसुखाभिज्ञो मव्यक्ततार्थायामिमं मद्गर्तारमत्तुम्।
Comment: स्त्रीधर्मो मेथुनं तत्सुखाभिज्ञः।

tt I find paśu.

quence of your associating with your queen."\* So saying, she entered the flames.

At the expiration of the period of his curse, Saudása returned home. Being reminded of the imprecation of the Brahmani, by his wife, Madayantí, he abstained from conjugal intercourse, and was, in consequence, childless; but, having solicited the interposition of Vasishtha, Madayantí became pregnant.† The child, however, was not born for seven years, when the queen, (becoming impatient), divided the womb with a (sharp) stone, and was thereby delivered. The child was, thence, called Aśmaka (from Aśman, 'a stone'). The son of Aśmaka was Múlaka, who, when the warrior tribe was extirpated upon earth, was surrounded and concealed by a number of females; whence he was denominated Náríkavacha (having women for armour). The son of Múlaka was Daśaratha; his son

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> His name, Múlaka, or 'the root', refers, also, to his being the stem whence the Kshattriya races again proceeded.§ It may be doubted if the purport of his title Náríkavacha is accurately explained by the text.

<sup>ै</sup> यसादिवं मयातृप्तायां त्वयायं मत्पतिर्भित्तितस्तात्त्वमत्वन्तमः नेपिनाम्यन्तमः नेपिनाम्यन्तमः विकासम्बन्ति ।

<sup>†</sup> Hereabouts the rendering is free.

<sup>‡</sup> Rather, "surrounded and guarded by garmentless women:" स्त्रीभ-विवस्ताभि: परिवार्य रचित:! I find no other reading than this.

<sup>§</sup> See the Bhágavata-puráña, IX., IX., 40, and Śrídhara's supplementation thereof.

 $<sup>\</sup>parallel$  The  $V\dot{a}yu$ - $pur\dot{a}\dot{n}a$  reports, as follows, on the origin of Múlaka's epithet:

was Ilavila; \* his son was Viśwasaha; † his son was Khatwánga, ‡ (called, also,) Dilípa, ¹ who, in a battle between the gods and the Asuras, being called, by the former, to their succour, killed (a number of) the latter. Having, thus, acquired the friendship of the deities in heaven, they desired him to demand a boon. He said to them: "If a boon is to be accepted by me, then tell me, as a favour, what is the duration of my life." "The length of your life is but an hour," § the gods replied. On which, Khatwánga, who was swift of motion, || de-

#### श्रवाषुदाहरनीमं मूलकं वै नृपं प्रति। स हि रामभयाद्राजा स्त्रीभिः परिवृतोऽवसत्। विवस्तस्त्रीणामिक्कन्वै नारीकवचमीश्वरः॥

Parasuráma is here intended, according to Śridhara, in his comment on the parallel passage in the *Bhágavata-puráňa*,—IX., IX., 40. Two verses, which, it may be conjectured, closely correspond, in a correct reading, to the stanza quoted in the preceding extract, appear in the *Linga-puráňa*, Prior Section, LXVI., 29.

- \* Not one of my MSS. has this name. Four give Ilivila; others, Idavila and Ailavila. The Váyu-purána reads, in different MSS., Idavida, Idivida, and Aidivida; the Linga-purána, Ilavila; the Bhágavata-purána, Aidavida. Compare the variants noticed in p. 246, note \*, supra.
  - † The Linga-purána interpolates Vriddhasarman before Viswasaha.
- † This name is frequently and variously corrupted, especially into Kafwanga and Khafwanga. It does not seem necessary to dwell on these depravations.
  - § Muhúrta.
- || Laghima-guña, "endowed with lightness." Laghiman is "the faculty of assuming levity," illustrated by "rising along a sunbeam, to the solar orb." It must be equally easy to the adept to travel a ray downwards. See Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., p. 250.
  - Wide supra, p. 303, note 1; p. 305, note †.

¹ This prince is confounded with an earlier Dilípa by the Brahma Purána and Hari Vamsa. ¶

scended, in his easy-gliding chariot, to the world of mortals. Arrived there, he prayed, and said: "If my own soul has never been dearer to me than (the sacred) Brahmans; if I have never deviated from (the discharge of) my duty; if I have never regarded gods, men, animals, vegetables, all created things,\* as different from the imperishable; † then may I, with unswerving step, attain to that divine being on whom holy sages meditate!" Having thus spoken, he was united with that supreme being, t who is Vásudeva; with that elder § of all the gods, who is abstract existence, and whose form cannot be described. Thus he obtained absorption, according to this stanza, which was repeated, formerly, by the seven Kishis: "Like unto Khatwanga will be no one upon earth, who, having come from heaven, and dwelt an hour amongst men, became united with the three worlds, by his liberality and knowledge of truth."1¶

Comment: मुह्नतं जीवित प्राप्य जाला। बुद्धा वासुदेव: सर्वमिति ज्ञानेन। यद्दानं समर्पणं खण्डनमिति वा प्रविकायनमिति यावत्ते-नाभिसंहिता विषयोक्ततास्त्रयो लोकाः। विष्णी प्रविकायिता इत्यर्थः। According to this, partially accepted, the last two lines may be ren-

¹ The term for his obtaining final liberation is rather unusual; चयोऽभिसंहिता लोका:, 'By whom the three worlds were affected,

<sup>\*</sup> Vŕikshádika.

<sup>†</sup> Achyuta.

<sup>†</sup> Paramátman.

<sup>§</sup> Guru.

<sup>||</sup> Sattá-mátrátman.

शब्दाङ्गेन समो नान्यः निश्चदुर्थां भविष्यति। येन ख्रगादिहागम्य मुर्ह्नतं प्राप्य जीवितम्। त्रयोऽभिसंहिता लोका बुद्धा दानेन चैव हि॥

The son of Khatwánga was Dírghabáhu; his son was Raghu; his son was Aja; \* his son was Daśaratha.¹

or beloved:'† विषयी क्रता:, the three worlds being identified with their source, or the Supreme. The text says, of this stanza, श्रूयते; and the Váyu, citing § it, says, इति श्रुति: ।। The legend is, therefore, from the Vedas.

<sup>1</sup> The lists here differ very materially, as the following comparison will best show:

Vishńu.

Matsya. ¶

Rámáyańa.\*\*

Kalmáshapáda

Kalmáshapáda

Kalmáshapáda

dered: "by whom, arrived here below from paradise, having obtained an hour's prolongation of life, the three worlds were mastered through wisdom and self-surrender."

The scholiast puts much more of Vedantism into these verses than it is likely they were intended to convey.

Compare the Harivainsa, sl. 809. The ordinary reading, there, in the last line, is अनुसंधिताः

- \* The Bhágavata-puráńa, IX., X., 1, has Raghu, Prithuśravas, Aja.
- + श्रीसंहित can scarcely bear either of these meanings. Its most probable signification, here, is 'contemplate thoroughly'.
  - † This means 'experienced', i. e., recognized as vanity.'
- \$ The Váyu-purána has only the last two verses, and does not call them a citation. It reads सत्येन for दानेन.
  - || The Váyu-purána uses this expression in connexion with something else:

#### दिलीपस्तस्य पुत्रोऽभूत्वद्वाङ्गद इति श्रुतिः।

Khatwangada is, moreover, the form here presented.

Whether the names particularized here, as in many other lists throughout this work, are correctly represented, or not, I do not pretend to pronounce. To this point I have already adverted; giving the reason why we must, at present, look with distrust, in most cases, upon any express or implied claim to punctual accuracy as regards Pauráńik minutiæ: see Vol. I., p. 153, note ‡. In annotating these volumes, all that, as a rule, I have undertaken to do, touching the numerous works referred to in the Translator's commentary, has been, to restrict myself to the most important and most accessible of them, and to collate these, in such manuscripts as are within my reach, and in the printed editions, with my best diligence.

\*\* Bála-káńda, LXX., 40-43; Ayodhyá-káńda, CX., 29-34.

The god from whose navel the lotos springs became fourfold, as the four sons of Daśaratha,—Ráma, Laksh-

Vishńu.	Matsya.	Rámáyańa.
Aśmaka	Sarvakarman	Śankhańa *
Múlaka	Anarańya	Sudarśana
Daśaratha	Nighna	Agnivarńa
Ilavila	Anamitra	Śighraga
Viśwasaha	Raghu	Maru
Dilípa	Dilípa	Praśuśruka†
Dírghabáhu	Aja	Ambarisha
Raghu	Dírghabáhu	Nahusha
Aja	Ajapála	Yayáti‡
Daśaratha	Daśaratha	Nábhága
	CASSA	Aja
		Daśaratha.

The Váyu, Bhágavata, § Kúrma, and Linga agree with our text, except in the reading of a few names: as, Śataratha, || for Daśaratha the first; Vairivíra, for Ilavila; and Kŕitaśarman, Vŕiddhaśarman, or Vŕiddhakarman, for Viśwasaha. ¶ The Agni and Brahma and Hari Vamśa agree with the second series, with similar occasional exceptions; \*\* showing that the Puránas admit two series, differing in name, but agreeing in number. The Rámáyana, however, differs from both, in a very extraordinary manner; and the variation is not limited to the cases specified; as it begins with Bhagíratha, as follows:

<sup>\*</sup> Corrected from "Sankana." The Bengal recension of the Rámáyańa has, in the Ádi-káńda, Sankhańa; in the Ayodhyá-káńda, Khanitra.

<sup>†</sup> For the Pauranik son of Maru, vide infra, p. 325, 1.6.

<sup>‡</sup> Both the genuine Rámáyańa and the Bengal recension omit Yayati in the Ayodhyá-káńda.

<sup>§</sup> The readings of this Purana I have detailed, as will have been seen.

<sup>||</sup> So read the Váyu-purána and the Linga-purána.

<sup>¶</sup> Vide supra, p. 311, note †.

<sup>\*\*</sup> All these, so far as the Harivamsa is concerned, have been specified in my annotations.

mańa, Bharata, and Śatrughna,\*—for the protection † of the world. Ráma, whilst yet a boy, accompanied Viśwamitra, to protect his sacrifice, and slew Tádaká. He afterwards killed Márícha with his resistless shafts;:

Puranas.
Bhagiratha
Śruta
Nabhaga
Ambarisha
Sindhudwipa
Ayutaswa T
Kituparna
Sarvakama
Sudasa

Kalmáshapáda

Rámáyańa. § Bhagíratha Kakutstha Raghu Kalmáshapáda

The entire Pauránik series comprises twenty descents; and that of the Rámáyana, sixteen. Some of the last names of the poem

We read, in the Rámáyana, Bála-kánda, XXX., 16-18:

र्युक्ता वचनं रामश्रापे संधाय वेगवान् । मानवं परमोदारमस्त्रं परमभाखरम् । चित्रेप परमकुद्धो मारीचोरसि राघवः॥ स तेन परमास्त्रेण मानवेन समाहतः। संपर्णं योजनञ्जतं चित्रः सागरसंस्रवे॥

It appears, from this, that Maricha, struck, in the breast, by the weapon Manava, discharged from Rama's bow, was projected, by the impact, a hundred *yojanas*, into the sea.

The story of the death of Máricha, in the guise of a golden deer, at the hands of Ráma, is told in the same poem, Áranya-kánda, XLIV.

<sup>•</sup> Insert 'as parts of himself,' आतांशन.

<sup>†</sup> Sthiti, 'stability'.

<sup>‡</sup> यज्ञे च मारीचिमिषुवाताहतं दूरं चिजेप। "And, at the sacrifice, he hurled to a distance Márícha, struck by the blast of his shaft."

<sup>§</sup> Bála-káńda, LXX., 39, 40; Ayodhyá-káńda, CX., 28, 29.

<sup>[]</sup> Corrected from the impossible "Kakutshtha."

<sup>¶</sup> Vide supra, p. 303, notes 4, §, §§, and || || .

and Subáhu and others fell by his arms. He removed the guilt of Ahalyá, by merely looking upon her. In the palace of Janaka, he broke, with ease, the mighty bow of Maheśwara, and received the hand of Sítá, the daughter of the king, self-born from the earth,\* as the prize of his prowess.† He humbled the pride of Paraśuráma, who vaunted his triumphs over the race of Haihaya,‡ and his repeated slaughters of the Kshattriya tribe. Obedient to the commands of his father, and cherishing no regret for the loss of sovereignty, \$ he entered the forest, accompanied by his brother (Lakshmańa) and by his wife, where he killed, in conflict, Virádha, Khara, Dúshańa, and other Rákshasas, (the headless giant) Kabandha, and Bálin ¶ (the monkey monarch).

occur amongst the first of those of the Puránas; but there is an irreconcilable difference in much of the nomenclature. The Agni, under the particular account of the descent of Ráma, has, for his immediate predecessors, Raghu, Aja, Daśaratha, as in our text; and the author of the Raghu Vamśa agrees with the Puránas,\*\*\* from Dilípa downwards.

<sup>\*</sup> Ayonijá.

<sup>†</sup> Virya-śulká.

<sup>‡</sup> अशेषहैहयकुलकेतुभूतम् ।

<sup>§</sup> ऋगणितराज्याभिलाषः।

<sup>||</sup> Corrected from "Kharadúshana". The original is विराध्यारदूष-णादीन्। For Khara and Dúshańa, see the Rámáyańa, I., I., 47; the Rughuvańsa, XII., 42, 46; the Bhágavata-puráńa; IX., X., 9; &c. &c.

प कवन्धवालिनी। Hence I have altered "Bali", which, however, is a form that occurs elsewhere.

<sup>\*\*</sup> With which of them, except the Agni-puráńa? In the Raghuvańsa, VI., 74-78, we find Dilípa, Raghu, Aja, and then, in VIII., 29, Daśaratha.

Having built a bridge across the ocean,\* and destroyed the whole Rákshasa nation, he recovered (his bride,) Sítá, whom their ten-headed (king, Rávańa,) had carried off, and returned to Ayodhyá, with her, after she had been purified, by the fiery ordeal, from the soil contracted (by her captivity), and had been honoured by the assembled gods, (who bore witness to her virtue).<sup>1</sup>

¹ This is an epitome of the Rámáyańa, the heroic poem of Válmíki, on the subject of Ráma's exploits. A part of the Rámáyańa was published, with a translation, by Messrs. Carey and Marshman, several years since; but a much more correct edition of the text of the two first books, with a Latin translation of the first and part of the second, have been more recently published by Professor Schlegel,—a work worthy of his illustrious name.† A summary of the story may be found in Sir William Jones's Works, Maurice's Hindustan, Moor's Pantheon, &c. It is, also, the subject of the Uttara Ráma Charitra, in the Hindu Theatre; in the introduction to which an outline of the whole is given. The story is, therefore, no doubt, sufficiently familiar, even to English readers. It seems to be founded on historical fact; and the traditions of the south of India uniformly ascribe its civilization,

<sup>\*</sup> बध्वा चाभोनिधिम्।

<sup>†</sup> For Signor Gorresio's edition of the spurious Rámáyańa, see Vol. II., p. 190, note \*. The genuine Rámáyańa—which Professor Wilson does not seem to have known, save in Schlegel's composite edition of the first two books,—has been lithographed at Calcutta and Bombay. For some idea of the difference between the two, the reader is referred to the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. XIX., pp. 303—308, and to Dr. Muir's Original Sanskrit Texts, Part IV., pp. 377—418. I have seen, in India, no less than seven different commentaries on the real Rámáyańa; a copy of one of which, accompanying the text, was transcribed nearly five hundred years ago.

Bharata made himself master of the country of the Gandharvas, after destroying vast numbers of them;\* and Śatrughna, having killed the Rákshasa chief, Lavańa, the son of Madhu, took possession of his capital, Mathurá.†

Having, thus, by their unequalled valour and might, rescued the whole world from the dominion of malignant fiends, Ráma, Lakshmańa, Bharata, and Śatrughna reascended to heaven, and were followed by those of the people of Kośala: who were fervently devoted to these incarnate portions of the supreme (Vishńu). §

Ráma (and his brothers) had (each,) two sons. Kuśa and Lava were the sons of Ráma; those of Lakshmańa were Angada and Chandraketu; || the sons of Bharata

the subjugation or dispersion of its forest tribes of barbarians, and the settlement of civilized Hindus, to the conquest of Lanká by Ráma.

The Váyu-purána reads:

# माधवं जवणं हता गला मध्वनं च तत्। श्रुच्चेन पुरी तच मधुरा संनिवेशिता॥

And the Bhágavata-purána says, at IX., XI., 14:

ण्रनुष्ट्रश्च मधोः पुत्रं लवणं नाम राचसम्। हत्वा मधुवनं चक्रे मथुरां नाम वै पुरीम्॥

Three of my copies of the Vishnu-purána, and two of the Váyu, have Madhurá, instead of Mathurá. It is not altogether certain that Madhurá was not the original name, and even that heard by Hiouen Thsang.

<sup>\*</sup> The original says that he destroyed thirty millions of terrible gandharvas: उग्रगन्धर्वकोटीसिसो जघान।

<sup>†</sup> Rather, "founded Mathurá"; the Sanskrit being niveśitá. Moreover, the original has nothing corresponding to "his capital".

<sup>‡</sup> Strictly, "people of the city of Kośala," को ऋजनगरजनपदाः।

<sup>§</sup> This sentence is very freely rendered.

A Chandraketu, prince of the city of Chakora, who was killed by an emissary of King Śudraka, is mentioned in the *Harshacharita*. See my edition of the *Vásavadattá*, Preface, p. 53.

were Taksha\* and Pushkara;† and Subáhu and Śúrasena¹; were the sons of Śatrughna.

# हिमवत्पर्वताथासे स्फीती जनपदी तयोः। अङ्गदस्वाङ्गदी या तु देशे कारपथे पुरी॥

Ángadí is, here, located in Kárapatha; as I find the name spelled. In the line following this stanza, the country seems to be named, of which Chandraketu's Chandravaktrá was the capital; but, unfortunately, it is illegible in all my MSS.

The Raghuvainsa, XV., 90, places both the brothers in Karapatha.

¶ A large number of useful references bearing on this city will be found in Messrs. Boehtlingk and Roth's Sanskrit-Wörterbuch, sub voce.

# " गन्धारविषये सिद्धे तयोः पुर्यी महात्रानोः। तद्यस्य दिनु विख्याता रम्या तत्रशिला पुरी। पुष्करस्यापि वीरस्य विख्याता पुष्करावती॥

Takshaśila and Pushkaravati have been identified with the  $Ta\xiia\lambda a$  of Ptolemy and the Hevzelawiis of Arrian. For the people of Gandhara, see Vol. II., p. 174, note 2.

¹ The Váyu specifies the countries or cities over which they reigned. Angada and Chitraketu §—as the Váyu terms the latter, —governed countries near the Himálaya, the capitals of which were Ángadí and Chandravaktrá. 

Taksha and Pushkara were sovereigns of Gandhára, residing at Takshaśilá ¶ and Pushkarávatí.\*\* Subáhu and Šúrasena reigned at Mathurá; and, in the latter, we might be satisfied to find the Suraseni†† of Arrian, but that there is a subsequent origin, of perhaps greater authenticity, in the family of Yadu, as we shall hereafter see.‡‡ 'Kuśa built Kuśasthalí on the brow of the Vindhya, the capital of Kośalá; and Lava reigned at Śrávastí (vide supra, pp. 249, 263) in Uttara

<sup>\*</sup> Two MSS. have the elongated form, Takshaka.

<sup>†</sup> One of my MSS. has Pushkala; and so has the Bhágavata-purána.

<sup>‡</sup> All my MSS., and most of those of the Váyu-puráňa, have Súrasena. Śrutasena: Bhágavata-puráňa, IX., XI., 13.

<sup>§</sup> My MSS. have Chandraketu,—the reading of the Raghuvańśa, XV., 90, also. But Chitraketu is the name in the Bhágavata-puráńa, IX., XI., 12.

<sup>††</sup> See Vol. II, p. 156, note 2.

<sup>\*\*</sup> In Chapter XI. of this Book.

The son of Kuśa was Atithi; his son was Nishadha; his son was Nala; his son was Nabhas; his son was Puńdaríka; his son was Kshemadhanwan; his son was Deváníka; his son was Ahínagu; his son was Pári-

(northern) Kośala:'

कुग्रस्य कोग्न्लाः राज्यं पुरी चापि कुग्रस्थली। रम्या निविधिता तेन विन्ध्यपर्वतसानुषु॥ उत्तरकोग्न्ले राज्यं लवस्य च महासनः। त्रावस्ती लोकविख्याता कुग्नवंग्नं निवोधत॥ §

The Raghu Vamsa describes Kusa as returning from Kusavati to Ayodhya, after his father's death; but it seems not unlikely, that the extending power of the princes of the Doab, of the lunar family, compelled Rama's posterity to retire more to the west and south.

- ¹ The Bhágavata is the only Purána that omits this name; as if the author had been induced to correct the reading, ¶ in order to avoid the necessity of recognizing two Nalas. Vide supra, p. 303, note 5.
  - <sup>2</sup> Here, again, we have two distinct series of princes, inde-

#### दश् वर्षसहस्राणि रामी राज्यमकारयत्।

With this compare the Linga-puráńa, Prior Section, LXVI., 37.

## नुग्रस्य चातिष्यसमान्निषधसत्सुतो नभः।

And Nabha's son is Pundarika.

<sup>\*</sup> Nabha, in a few MSS.

<sup>†</sup> Ruru has here been omitted by the Translator. One of my MSS.—that which, I believe, Professor Wilson generally, and all but exclusively, used,—here exhibits the mutilation 共而 电磁电 There is no Ruru, however, in the Váyu-puráńa.

<sup>‡</sup> Query, को श्रुले, in 'Kosala'?

<sup>§</sup> This extract is from a somewhat long quotation in the Váyu-puráña. In a verse a little before these, we are told that Ráma established a rule of ten thousand years:

<sup>||</sup> XVI., 25. From the same work, XV., 97, it appears on what ground the Translator identified one of the Kuśasthalis with Kuśavati. See Vol. II., p. 172, note ‡‡.

<sup>¶</sup> He reads-IX., XII., 1,-Nabha, in its stead:

pátra; his son was Dala; his son was Chhala; his son was Uktha; his son was Vajranábha; his son

pendently of variations of individual names. Instead of the list of the text, with which the Váyu and Bhágavata nearly, and the Brahma and Hari Vamsa indifferently, conform, we have, in the Matysa, Linga, Kúrma, and Agni, the following: Ahínagu, Sahasráswa (Sahásráya, or Sahasrabala), Chandrávaloka, Tárapída (or Tárádhísa), Chandragiri, Bhánuratha (or Bhánumitra), and Śrutáyus, with whom the list ends, except in the Linga, which adds Báhula, †† killed by Abhimanyu: enumerating, therefore, from Deváníka, but seven or eight princes, to the Great War, instead of twenty-three, as in the other series. The Raghu Vamsa gives much the same list as our text, ending with Agnivarna. ‡‡

- <sup>1</sup> Bala: Bhágavata. Nala: Hari Vamsa.
- ² Sthala: Bhágavata. Śala: §§ Váyu and Brahma. Śila: Raghu Vamśa. |||
  - <sup>3</sup> Omitted: Bhágavata, ¶¶

<sup>\*</sup> My MSS. leave it very dubious whether the name is Paripatra or Paripatra. There are two mountain-ranges, one imaginary, and the other real, regarding which there is, likewise, uncertainty as to the name being Paripatra or Paripatra. See Vol. II., p. 123, note; p. 128, notes, l. 8.

<sup>†</sup> A single MS. gives Bala.

<sup>‡</sup> I find Sala in one MS.

<sup>§</sup> The Harivamsa, sl. 825, 826, has Ahinagu, Sudhanwan, Śala, Uktha. For Śala I find Nala and Gaya, in some MSS.

<sup>||</sup> In some MSS. of the Linga-purana the name is Ahinara.

<sup>¶</sup> Here, I believe, the Linga-puráńa inserts Śubha.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Bhanuchandra seems to be the reading of the Linga-purana.

<sup>††</sup> I find Brihadbala.

<sup>‡‡</sup> Vide infra, p. 324, note ||.

<sup>§§</sup> My MSS. of the Váyu-purána have Bala.

<sup>|| ||</sup> Not so. The Raghuvamsa, XVIII., 17, make Sila son of Pariyatra, and mentions no one corresponding to Chhala.

<sup>¶¶</sup> The Raghuvamsa has Unnábha, son of Sila. The Váyu-purána seems to exhibit Auka.

# was Śankhanábha;1\* his son was Dhyushitáśwa;2† his

- 1 Śankha: Brahma.‡ Khagańa: Bhágavata.§
- <sup>2</sup> Dúshitáśwa: Váyu. Adhyúshitáśwa: || Brahma. Vidhŕiti: Bhágavata.
  - \* One MS. gives Sankhańa; one, Chhampańa.
- † By the kindness of Professor Monier Williams, of Oxford, I am enabled to state, that one of the MSS. of the text of the Vishiu-purana which formerly belonged to Professor Wilson has तसाद्धावितायः, i. e., Adhyushitaswa; another, ततो ध्वितायः, i. e., Vyutthitaswa, or Avyutthitaswa. Again, all Professor Wilson's and all my own MSS. that contain both the text and the commentary here read ततो खुवितायः; while my other MSS.—except one which has ततो खुवितायः,—give तसाद्धावितायः or गङ्खणाद्धवितायः, i. e., Dhyushitaswa and Vyushitaswa. Dhyushitaswa is the name—corrupted, in some copies, into Vyushitaswa,—in the Vayu-purana, where we read:

ग्रङ्खणस्य सुतो विद्यान्ध्यिषताश्व इति श्रुतः।
ध्युषिताश्वसुतश्चापि राजा विश्वसहः किल॥

In the Harivaínsa, likewise, the true reading, as shown by my best MSS., is, undoubtedly, Dhyushitáśwa. This has been corrupted into Vyushitáśwa, and regularized into Adbyushitáśwa. Vyutthitáśwa looks like a heedless and uninquiring venture at emendation, on the part of the commentator on the Vishńu-puráńa.

Conclusively, we find, in the Raghuvamsa, XVIII., 23:

## तस्यावसाने हरिद्यधामा पित्र्यं प्रपेदे पदमित्रक्यः। वेजातटेषूषितसैनिकायं पुराविदो यं धुषितायमाजः॥

"On his [Śankhańa's] death, one endued with the effulgence of the sun, handsome as the Aświns, and whom those conversant with antiquity call Dhyushitáśwa,—the horses of his troops having encamped on the declivity of the sea-shore,—acceded to the dignity of his fathers."

My best MSS. of the Raghuvańśa read as above; and the best MSS. of Mallinátha's commentary that I have access to give, likewise, Dhyushitáśwa. Moreover, from a grammatical point of view, Kalidása's very etymology, and, similarly, Mallinátha's gloss, unquestionably favour this name, as against Vyushitáśwa.

The strange, but not unjustifiable, name Dhyushitaswa would naturally

son was Viśwasaha; \*\* his son was Hirańyanábha, \*
who was a pupil of the mighty Yogin Jaimini, and
communicated the knowledge of spiritual exercises:
to Yájnavalkya. \*\* The son of this saintly king was

provoke, to a careless scholar, a surmise of mistake; and the close resemblance between **ty** and **a**, hastily written, may have seemed to support such a surmise. We thus see how, in all likelihood, Vyushitáśwa originated.

"Abhyutthitáśwa", the name in the former edition of the work under annotation, is in none of the MSS. that were used by Professor Wilson; and I have dismissed it for Dhyushitáśwa. It may be added, that Vyutthitáśwa is the name in the translation of the Vishiu-purána that was prepared for the Professor in Bengal.

Messrs. Boehtlingk and Roth, in their Sanskrit-Wörterbuch, referring to Professor Lassen,—who confessedly copies from Professor Wilson,—insert Adhyushitaswa, an unavowed alteration of Adhyushitaswa.

Turning, for comparison, to the Sanskrit Dictionary of Dr. Goldstücker, we here find that very critical work not only scrupulously holding with Professor Wilson, even to his long and short vowels, but doing so in silence, and, manifestly, without any care of verification. Abhyutthitáswa is registered; and equally is Adhyushitáswa,—a name I have found nowhere,—for the constituent participle of which, rendered "very diseased," recourse is had to the verb úsh, ægrotare, a mere invention, there is reason to suspect, of the grammarians. At the same time, Kalidása's word should seem to have escaped the observation of the learned and researchful lexicographer.

- † And Harivaínsa. § Śankhańa: Váyu-puráňa and Raghuvaínsa. || On this and "Dúshitáśwa", see note † in the preceding page and the present.
  - \* And so reads the Váyu-purána.
- † In one view, the Bhágavata-puráña, IX., XII., 2, 3, has, instead of Ahinagu, &c., Aniha, Páriyátra, Bala, Sthala, Vajranábha, Khagańa, Vidhítti, Hirańyanábha.
  - the expression "knowledge of spiritual exercises" is to render yoga.
  - § Premising the name of Viśwasaha, the Váyu-puráńa states:

हिर्ष्यनाभः की ग्रची वसिष्ठसत्सुतोऽभवत्। Hiranyanabha seems, thus, to be called the Vasishtha of Kosala. But

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Omitted: Brahma and Bhágavata.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Omitted: Brahma and Hari Vamsa; but included, with similar particulars, by the Váyu, § Bhágavata, and Raghu Vamsa.

Pushya; his son was Dhruvasandhi; his son was

See, also, p. 58, supra, where Kauśalya is, likewise, given as the synonym of Hiranyanabha; + being, as the commentator observes, his Viseshanam, his epithet or attribute,-born in, or king of, Kośala. The Váyu, accordingly, terms him हिर्ल्यनाभः कौग्रन्थः; but, in the Bhágavata, the epithet Kausalya is referred, by the commentator, § to Yájnavalkya, the pupil of Hiranyanábha: यतः सकाशात्कौशन्त्रो याज्ञवल्का ऋषिरध्यातां योगमध्यगात । The author of the Raghu Vamsa, not understanding the meaning of the term, has converted Kausalya into the son of Hiranyanabha. Raghu Varása, XVIII., 27. The Bhágavata, like our text, calls the prince the pupil of Jaimini; the Váyu, more correctly, जैसिने: पौचस्य भ्रिष्य:, 'the pupil of the sage's grandson'. There seems to be, however, something unusual in the account given of the relation, borne by the individuals named, to each other. As a pupil of Jaimini, Hiranyanábha is a teacher of the Sáma-veda (vide supra, p. 58); but Yájnavalkya is the teacher of the Vájasaneyi branch of the Yajus (vide supra, p. 57). Neither of them

some MSS. have Kauśilya, not Kauśalya. Vide supra, p. 58, note †. Then follows the stanza:

# पौचस्य जैमिनेः शिष्यः स्वृतः सर्वेषु शर्मसु। श्तानि संहितानां तु पञ्च योऽधीतवांस्ततः॥

Here, as before, Hiraúyanábha is associated with five hundred Sainhitás. \* In the Harivainśa, śl. 827, 828, we find Dhyushitáśwa, and then Pushya.

† Corrected from "Hirańyagarbha", a mere slip of the pen.

: IX., XII., 4:

## शिष्यः कौश्रच्य ऋष्यातां याज्ञवस्कोऽध्यगाद्यतः। योगं महोदयम्षिर्द्दयग्रन्थिभेदनम्॥

"C'est de ce maître que le Richi Yâdjnavalkya, qui était né dans le Kôçala, apprit le Yôga de l'Esprit suprême, ce Yôga qui donne des facultés si puissantes, et qui tranche le lien du cœur."

§ Śridhara.

<sup>||</sup> The Raghuvainsa, proceeding, makes Kausalya father of Brahmishtha; him, father of Putra; and him, father of Pushya.

Sudarśana; his son was Agnivarńa; his son was Śíghra; his son was Maru,² who, through the power of devotion (Yoga), is still living in the village called Kalápa, and, in a future age, will be the restorer of the Kshattriya race in the solar dynasty. Maru had a son named Prasuśruta; his son was Susandhi; his son was Amarsha; his son was Mahaswat; his son was Viśrutavat; and his son was Brihadbala, who was killed, in the

is specified, by Mr. Colebrooke, amongst the authorities of the Pátanjala or Yoga philosophy; nor does either appear as a disciple of Jaimini, in his character of founder of the Mímánsá school. Transactions of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. I.\*\*

- <sup>1</sup> Arthasiddhi: Brahma Purána and Hari Vamsa.
- <sup>2</sup> Maruta: Brahma Puráńa and Hari Vamsa. These authorities†† omit the succeeding four names.
  - <sup>3</sup> Sahaswat: Váyu.
  - Viśwasáhwan: ‡ Bhágavata.

# एकोनविंशप्रयुगे चत्तप्रवर्तकः प्रभुः।

Some MSS. read एकोन्चिंग्र, 'twenty-ninth.'

<sup>\*</sup> The Harivamsa has Kalapadwipa; some MSS. reading Kalayadwipa. For the situation of the village of Kalapa, vide supra, p. 197, note ||.

<sup>†</sup> ऋागामियुगे सूर्यवंश्चत्रप्रवर्तियता भविष्यति। The Váyu-puráńa seems to declare, that he will reestablish the Kshattras in the nineteenth coming yuga:

<sup>†</sup> All my MSS. but two—showing Susandhi,—have Sugavi. Sandhi is the reading of the *Bhágavata-puráňa*, IX., XII., 7. The *Váyu-puráňa* has Susandhi, distinctly.

<sup>§</sup> Amarshańa: Bhágavata-puráńa.

<sup>||</sup> One MS. has Viśwaváha.

<sup>¶</sup> The Bhágavata-purána, IX., XII., 7, 8, names Viśwasáhwan, Prasenajit, Takshaka, Bŕihadbala.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Or Colebrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I., pp. 230-236, 296.

<sup>++</sup> Harivamsa, sl. 829, 830.

<sup>\*</sup> Corrected from "Viśwasaha."

Great War,\* by Abhimanyu, the son of Arjuna. † These are the most distinguished princes in the family of Ikshwáku. Whoever listens to the account of them will be purified from all his sins. 1;

: एते ही व्वावुभूपालाः प्राधान्येन मयोदिताः। एतेषां चरितं शृखन्सर्वपापः प्रमुखते॥



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The list closes here; as the author of the Puránas, Vyása, is contemporary with the Great War. The line of Ikshwáku is resumed, prophetically, in the twenty-second chapter.

Bhárata-yuddha.

<sup>†</sup> The former edition had "Anjuna", by error of the press.

#### CHAPTER V.

Kings of Mithilá. Legend of Nimi, the son of Ikshwáku. Birth of Janaka. Sacrifice of Síradhwaja. Origin of Sítá. Descendants of Kuśadhwaja. Křiti the last of the Maithila princes.

THE son of Ikshwáku, who was named Nimi, instituted a sacrifice that was to endure for a thousand years, and applied to Vasishtha to offer the oblations.\* Vasishtha, in answer, said, that he had been preengaged, by Indra, for five hundred years, but that, if the Raja would wait for some time, he would come and officiate as superintending priest. † The king made no answer; and Vasishtha went away, supposing that he had assented.: When the sage had completed the performance of the ceremonies he had conducted for Indra. he returned, with all speed, to Nimi, purposing to render him the like office. When he arrived, however, and found that Nimi had retained Gautama and other priests to minister at his sacrifice, he was much displeased, and pronounced upon the king, who was then asleep, a curse, to this effect, that, since he had not intimated his intention, but transferred to Gautama the duty he had first entrusted to himself, Vasishtha, Nimi

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> None of the authorities, except the Váyu and Bhágavata, contain the series of kings noticed in this chapter.

<sup>· &</sup>quot;Chose Vasishtha as the hotii," literally.

<sup>†</sup> Ritwig.

<sup>‡</sup> Here the scholiast quotes a proverb, मीनं संमतिलचण्म, which may be translated, almost word for word, "Silence implies consent."

should, thenceforth, cease to exist in a corporeal form. When Nimi woke, and knew what had happened, he, in return, denounced, as an imprecation upon his unjust preceptor, that he, also, should lose his bodily existence, as the punishment of uttering a curse upon him, without previously communicating with him. Nimi then abandoned his bodily condition. The spirit of Vasishtha, also, leaving his body, was united with the spirits of Mitra and Varuńa, for a season, until, through their passion for the nymph Urvaśí, the sage was born again, in a different shape. \* The corpse of Nimi was preserved from decay, by being embalmed with fragrant oils and resins; and it remained as entire as if it were immortal. '† When the sacrifice was concluded,

<sup>&#</sup>x27;This shows that the Hindus were not unacquainted with the Egyptian art of embalming dead bodies. In the Kásí Khańda, s. 30, an account is given of a Brahman who carries his mother's bones, or, rather, her corpse, from Setubandha (or Rámeśwara) to Kásí. For this purpose, he first washes it with the five excretions; of a cow, and the five pure fluids, (or, milk, curds, ghee, honey, and sugar). § He then embalms it with Yakshakardama

<sup>\*</sup> For a more literal rendering of this sentence, see Original Sanskrit Texts, Part I., pp. 73, 74. The notes in the latter page may, also, be consulted to advantage.

<sup>†</sup> निमेर्पि तच्छरीर्मितमनोहर्तेलगन्धादिभिरूपसंस्त्रियमाणं नैव क्षेत्रादिकं दोषमवाप। सद्यो मृतमिव तस्थी। The whole of this paragraph would admit of being much more closely Englished.

<sup>\*</sup> They can hardly be generalized as "excretions". For particulars, see note \*\* to p. 193, supra.

<sup>§</sup> So says Raghunandana, in the Jyotistattwa.

According to Colebrooke, in his edition of the Amara-kośa, it consists of "camphor, agallochum, musk, and bdellium (or else the three first, with saffron and sandal-wood), in equal proportions."

the priests applied to the gods, who had come to receive their portions, that they would confer a blessing upon the author of the sacrifice. The gods were willing to restore him to bodily life; but Nimi declined its acceptance, saying: "O deities, who are the alleviators of all worldly suffering, there is not, in the world, a deeper cause of distress than the separation of soul and body. It is, therefore, my wish to dwell in the eyes of all beings, but never more to resume a corporeal shape." To this desire the gods assented; and Nimi was placed, by them, in the eyes of all living creatures; in consequence of which, their eyends are ever opening and shutting.\*

(a composition of agallochum, camphor, musk, saffron, sandal, and a resin called Kakkola), and envelopes it, severally, with Netra vastra (flowered muslin), Pattámbara (silk), Surasa vastra (coarse cotton), Mánjishthavásas † (cloth dyed with madder), and Nepála Kambala (Nepal blanketing). He then covers it with pure clay, and puts the whole into a coffin of copper (Támra samputaka). These practices are not only unknown, but would be thought impure, in the present day.

but the latter is given as a gloss on netravastra.

<sup>\*</sup> ततो भूतान्युक्तेषनिमेषं चक्रु:। This legend would connect the word nimisha, 'wink', with the name of Nimi.

<sup>†</sup> Corrected from "Manjishtha", which means only "dyed with madder".

<sup>ः</sup> धनंजयोऽपि धर्माता मात्भित्तिपरायणः। श्रादायास्त्रीन्ययो मातुर्गगामार्गस्त्रितोऽभवत्॥ पञ्चगंबेन संस्नाप्य ततः पञ्चाम्तेन वै। यजनर्दमंनेपेन निह्ना पुष्पैः प्रपूज्य च॥ त्राविध्यं नेत्रवस्त्रेण ततो माञ्चिष्ठवाससा। निपालकम्बलेनाथ मृदा चाथ विशुद्धया। ताम्रसंपुटने क्रला मातुरङ्गाणहो विणिक्॥ In the text of my MS., pattúmbara and surasavastra do not appear;

As Nimi left no successor, the Munis, apprehensive of the consequences of the earth being without a ruler, agitated the body of the prince, \* and produced, from it, a prince, who was called Janaka, from being born without a progenitor. † In consequence of his father being without a body (videha), he was termed, also, Vaideha (the son of the bodiless); and (he, further, received the name of) Mithi, from (having been produced by) agitation (mathana). The son of Janaka was Udá-

The Váyu-purána says:

#### तस्य पुत्रो मिथिनीम जनितः पर्वभिस्त्रिभिः। त्रुरःष्णां मध्यमानायां प्रादुर्भूतो महायशाः। नामा मिथिरिति ख्यातो जननाज्जनकोऽभवत ॥

A very complete dissertation on the arańi will be found in Dr. Goldstücker's Sanskrit Dictionary, sub voce.

† तव च कुमारो जज्ञे। जननाज्जनकसंज्ञां चासाववाप। It was by reason of the peculiar way in which he was engendered, that he was called Janaka.

The Bhágavata-purána, IX., XIII., 13, says:

# ्जन्मना जनकः सोऽभूदैदेहस्तु विदेहजः।

- "On l'appela Djanaka à cause de la manière dont il était ne", etc.
- Corrected from "Vaideha". See Vol. II., p. 165.
- § For these two rivers, see Vol. II., p. 146, note 3.
- || Bála-kánda, LXX., 4.

¹ These legends are intended to explain, and were, probably, suggested by, the terms Videha‡ and Mithilá, applied to the country upon the Gunduk and Coosy§ rivers, the modern Tirhoot. The Rámáyańa‡ places a prince named Mithi between Nimi and

<sup>\*</sup> अपुनस्थ तस्य मूभुजः श्रीरमराजनभीरवस्ते मुनयोऽर्ष्यां ममन्यः। All my MSS. have अर्ष्यां, by which we are to understand the nether piece of timber used to produce fire, by attrition, at sacrifices,—the aranic containing a socket, into which the king's body was introduced, by way of upper aranic. On the reading अर्ष्या, things would be reversed, and a socket for the upper aranic would be furnished in the royal corpse.

vasu;\* his son was Nandivardhana; his son was Suketu;† his son was Devaráta; his son was Brihaduktha; his son was Mahávírya; his son was Satyadhriti; his son was Dhrishtaketu; his son was Haryaśwa; his son was Maru; his son was Pratibandhaka; his son was Kritaratha; his son was Krita; †† his son was Vi-

Janaka, whence comes the name Mithilá. In other respects, the list of kings of Mithilá agrees, except in a few names. Janaka, the successor of Nimi, is different from Janaka who is celebrated as the father of Sítá. One of them—which, does not appear,—is, also, renowned as a philosopher, and patron of philosophical teachers. Mahábhárata, Moksha Dharma. According to the Váyu Puráńa, Nimi founded a city called Jayanta, near the Áśrama of Gautama. ‡‡ The remains of a city called Janakpur, on the northern skirts of the district, are supposed to indicate the site of a city founded by one of the princes so named.

<sup>\*</sup> Two of my MSS. have Udáravasu.

<sup>†</sup> The majority of my MSS. have Swaketu. But Suketu is the accepted reading, as in the Rámáyańa, Bála-káńda, LXXI., 5, 6; &c. &c.

<sup>‡</sup> Brihadratha: Rámáyana,

<sup>§</sup> Mahávíra: Rámáyańa.

Two MSS. give Sudhriti, the reading of the Rámáyańa and Váyupuráńa. The Bhágavata-puráńa exhibits Sudhriti and Sudhrit in one and the same stanza.

<sup>¶</sup> In one copy I find Pratika. Pratindhaka is read in the Rámáyańa and Váyu-puráňa, and is, almost certainly, the correct name. Pratipaka is the Bhágavata's ordinary corruption. The Bengal Rámáyańa has, according to Signor Gorresio, Prasiddhaka.

<sup>\*\*</sup> One MS. has Kritiratha; another, Śrutiratha. Krittiratha is the reading of the Rámáyańa and Váyu-puráňa. The Bengal Rámáyańa has Krittiratha, in Signor Gorresio's edition.

<sup>††</sup> I find Kriti. The Rámáyańa, the Váyu-puráńa, and the Bhágavata have Devamídha.

<sup>ः</sup> योऽसौ निवेश्यामास पुरं देवपुरोपमम् । जयनमिति विख्यातं गौतमस्याश्रमाभितः ॥

budha;\* his son was Mahádhriti;† his son was Kritiráta;‡ his son was Mahároman;§ his son was Suvarnaroman;|| his son was Hraswaroman; his son was Siradhwaja.

Síradhwaja ploughing the ground, to prepare it for a sacrifice (which he instituted), in order to obtain progeny, there sprang up, in the furrow,¶a damsel, who became his daughter, Sítá.¹\*\* The brother of Síradhwaja

# सीता सीरायतो जाता तस्रात्सीरध्वजः स्वृतः।

\*\* The Váyu-puráńa, having named Siradhwaja, says:

#### उद्मिना क्रवतो येन सीता राज्ञा यशस्त्रिनी।

Samsapayana interrupts with questions, which the other interlocutor, Suta, answers as follows:

#### अभिवेचे क्रष्यमाणे अश्वमेधे महातानः। विधिना सुप्रयुक्तेन तसात्सानुसमुत्यिता॥

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This identifies Síradhwaja with the second Janaka, the father-in-law of Ráma. The story of Sítá's birth, or, rather, discovery, is narrated in the Áranya Kánda of the Rámáyana, the Vana Parvan of the Mahábhárata, and in the Váyu, Brahma Vaivarta, Káliká, and other Puránas.

<sup>\*</sup> Viśruta is the name in the Bhágavata-purána.

<sup>†</sup> The Váyu-purána shows both this and Dhítit. One of them is, perhaps, for the sake of metre. Vide infra, p. 335, note †. The Rámáyana seems to have Mahidhraka, corrupted, in some copies, into Mahándhraka; while the Bengal recension has Andhaka.

<sup>†</sup> Kraturáta occurs in one MS. Kirttiráta: Rámáyana and Váyu-purána.

<sup>§</sup> The inferior character of the Bengal recension of the Rámáyańa is evidenced by the fact that it here reads, at variance with all authority, Kritiroman.

<sup>||</sup> A single copy has Swarńaroman, the synonymous reading of the Rámáyańa, of the Váyu-puráňa, and of the Bhágavata.

<sup>¶</sup> Sire, 'on his ploughshare'; for which the word for 'plough' seems to be here used by synecdoche. In the Bhágavata-purána, IX., 13, 18, we read as follows:

was Kuśadhwaja, who was king of Káśí: 1\* he† had a son, also, named Bhánumat. The son of Bhánumat was Śatadyumna; his son was Śuchi; § his son was Úrjaváha; his son was Satyadhwaja; his son was

- ¹ The Rámáyańa\*\* says, 'of Sánkásyá',†† which is, no doubt, the correct reading.‡‡ Fa Hian found the kingdom of Sang-kiashi in the Doab, about Mainpooree. §§ Account of the Foe-kúe-ki.||| The Bhágavata makes Kuśadhwaja the son of Síradhwaja.¶¶
- <sup>2</sup> The Bhágavata differs from our authority, here, considerably, by inserting several princes between Kuśadhwaja and Bhánumat; or, Dharmadhwaja, who has two sons, Kritadhwaja and Khánúikya: the former is the father of Keśidhwaja; the latter, of Bhánumat.\*\*\* See the last Book of the Vishúu.
- \* The text of all my MSS. but two has साङ्काश्चाधिपति:, 'king of Sánkásýá'. And herewith agrees the Váyu-puráńa. See the quotation in note \*\*\*, below. I have corrected the Translator's "Sankasya".
  - † Namely, Síradhwaja.
  - † One MS. gives Sudyumna. Pradyumna: Váyu-puráńa.
  - § In the Váyu-purána the name is Muni.
- || Or the equivalent Urjavaha, in some copies; as in the Váyu. One MS. has Urja.
  - ¶ Variants, each in one MS.: Śatadhwaja and Bharadwaja.
- \*\* Bála-káńda, LXXI., 19. Just before, the Rámáyańa states that Kuśadhwaja conquered Sánkáśyá from Sudhanwan.
  - †† And so reads the Váyu-purána. See note \*\*\*, below.
  - \*\* See the note next following.
- §§ For Sánkásyá, its site, &c., see the Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, 1865, Part I., pp. 195-203.
  - See the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. V., p. 121.
- ¶¶ See the next note.
- \*\*\* This requires correcting. According to the Bhágavata-puráńa, IX., XIII., 19—21, Síradhwaja begot Kuśadhwaja; he, Dharmadhwaja; he, Kritadhwaja and Mitadhwaja; Kritadhwaja, Keśidhwaja; Mitadhwaja, Kháńdikya; Keśidhwaja, Bhánumat.

But the Vishňu-puráňa has the support of the Váyu-puráňa, with regard to the relationship between Síradhwaja, Kuśadhwaja, and Bhánumat:

सीरध्वजानुजातस्तु भानुमान्नाम मैथिलः। भाता कुग्रध्वजसस्य साङ्काक्षाधिपतिर्नृपः॥ Kuńi; 1\* his son was Anjana; † his son was Ritujit; 1 his son was Arishtanemi; 2 his son was Śrutáyus; § his son was Supárśwa; his son was Sanjaya; 3 his son was Kshemári; 4¶ his son was Anenas; 5 his son was Mínaratha; 6\*\* his son was Satyaratha; his son was Sátyarathi; 7 his son was Upagu; 8†† his son was Śruta; 9 his son was Śáśwata; 10 his son was Sudhanwan; 11 his son was Subhása; § his son was Suśruta; 11 his son was

- ¹ Śakuni; and the last of the series, according to the Váyu.
- <sup>2</sup> Between this prince and Suchi the series of the Bhágavata is: Sanadhwaja, Úrdhwaketu, Aja, Purajit.¶¶ The following variations are from the same authority.
  - <sup>3</sup> Chitraratha.

4 Kshemádhi. \*\*\*

<sup>5</sup> Omitted.

<sup>6</sup> Samaratha.

<sup>7</sup> Omitted.

<sup>8</sup> Upaguru.

<sup>9</sup> Upagupta.

- Vaswananta.
- 11 Yuyudhan, ††† Subháshana, Śruta.
- \* The  $V\acute{a}yu$ - $pur\acute{a}\acute{n}a$  has Śakuni; and then follow Swágata, Suvarchas, Sutoya, Suśruta, Jaya, &c.
  - † In one copy, Arjuna.
  - \* Kratujit, in two MSS.; Kuntijit, in one.
  - § A single MS. gives Śatáyus.
  - || Supárśwaka, the longer form, in the Bhágavata-purána.
- ¶ One MS. has Kshemádhi; another has Kshemavat, followed by Váramatha, after whom comes Sátyarathi.
  - \*\* One copy has Manaratha. Also see the last note.
- †† In one MS. the name is Upagupta. In another MS., which I purchased at Ajmere, occur Upagu, Upagupta, Upayuta, Swága (Swágata?), Suvarchas, Supárśwa, Sustuta, Jaya, &c. Compare note •, above.
  - \*\* Vasuvarchas, in one copy.
  - §§ One MS. gives Subhavya.
  - ||| See note \*, above.
- $\P\P$  Corrected from "Purujit".
- \*\*\* Corrected from "Kshemadhi".
- ††† Corrected from "Yuyudhana".

Jaya; his son was Vijaya; his son was Éita; his son was Sunaya; his son was Vítahavya; his son was Dhriti; his son was Bahuláśwa; his son was Kriti, with whom terminated the family of Janaka. These are the kings of Mithilá, who, for the most part, will be proficient in spiritual knowledge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Śunaka.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> श्रात्मविद्याश्रयिणो∗ भविष्यन्ति || is the reading of all the copies; but why the future verb, 'will be', is used does not appear.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Descendants of two of the other sons of the Manu are noticed in the Bhágavata. From Níiga, it is said, proceeded Sumati, Bhútajyotis, Vasu, Pratíka, Oghavat, and his sister Oghavatí, married to Sudarśana. The Linga\*\* gives three sons to Níiga,—Vŕisha, Dhŕishtaka, and Rańadhíishta, †† and alludes to a legend of his having been changed to a lizard, by the curse of a Brahman. Narishyanta's descendants; were Chitrasena, Daksha, Madhwat, §§ Púrva, Indrasena, Vítihotra, Satyaśravas, Uruśravas,

<sup>\*</sup> Krita seems to be the name in the Váyu-purána.

<sup>†</sup> The Váyu-puráńa seems to place, here, Manodhriti, whom it forthwith calls Dhriti. Perhaps the first name is a lengthening of the second, to fill out the line. Vide supra, p. 332, note †. One of my MSS. of the Vishńu-puráńa, which I procured from Arrah, gives, instead of Vítahavya, Dhritahavya, followed by Sanjaya, Jitáśwa, Dhriti.

<sup>†</sup> The Bhágavata-purána gives him a son, Vasin.

<sup>§</sup> Práchuryeńa, 'abundantly'

<sup>||</sup> One of my MSS. has the present, भवन्ति; and this tense is implied in the parallel passage of the Bhágavata-purána.

<sup>¶</sup> Bhágavata-puráńa, IX., II., 17, 18.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Prior Section, LXVI., 46; where, however, I find Dhrishta, Dhrishtaketu, and Ranadhrishta.

<sup>††</sup> It looks as if there were some confusion between these persons and the Matsya-puráńa's sons of Dh'ishta and their father. Vide supra, p. 255, note 2.

<sup>##</sup> Bhágavata-puráńa, IX., II., 19—22.

<sup>§§</sup> I find Midhwas. Professor Wilson's "Madhwat" is, almost certainly, wrong. Pauránik names that are restrictively so, are, with rare exceptions,

Devadatta, Agniveśya (also called Játukarńa\*), a form of Agni, and progenitor of the Ágniveśya Brahmans.† In the Brahma Puráńa and Hari Vańśa, the sons of Narishyat—whom the commentator on the latter considers as the same with Narishyanta, —are termed Śakas (Sacæ or Scythians); whilst, again, it is said, that the son of Narishyanta was Dama, or, as differently read, Yama. As this latter affiliation is stated in the authorities, it would appear as if this Narishyanta was one of the sons of the Manu: but this is only a proof of the carelessness of the compilation; for, in the Vishńu, § Váyu, and Márkańdeya Puráńas, Narishyanta, the father of Dama, is the son of Marutta, the fourteenth of the posterity of Dishía or Nedishíha.

सन्यमेव जयत

significant. In the generality of instances, it is only when we recede into rather remote antiquity, that there is a difficulty in making Hindu proper names amenable to etymological investigation.

<sup>\*</sup> Likewise called Kanina.

<sup>†</sup> The Ágnivesyayanas.

We have, here, only two forms of one name.

<sup>§</sup> Vide supra, p. 353.

<sup>||</sup> Corrected from "Nedishta."

# CORRIGENDA, &c.

- P. 3, note \*. See Vol. II., p. 26, note 2.
- P. 4, l. 1. Read Úrja.
- P. 11, note ‡. See Vol. II., p. 100, note 1. We read, in the Bhagavata-purána, V., I., 28: ग्रन्थस्थामपि जायायां नयः पुत्रा ग्रासन्नत्त-मस्तामसो रैवत इति मन्वन्तराधिपतयः।
- P. 13, l. 1. Read Uru.
- P. 13, note §. For the Manu's wife, Śraddhá, see p. 233, note §. The Manu's correct epithet, as Dr. Muir reminds me, is Sraddhadeva, of which Śráddhadeva is a corruption. Śráddhadeva is, however, appropriate to Yama. See Original Sanskrit Texts, Part I., p. 209, note 66 (2nd ed.). Also see the Mahábhárata, Sánti-parvan, śl. 9449.

P. 13, note \*\*. For Dhrishtu read Dhrishnu. See p. 232, note \*\*, foot

of the page.

P. 13, note §§. Burnouf, in his Bhagavata-purana, Vol. III., Preface, p. CVI., takes the printer's error "Sanyati", in the former edition,

for a real reading.

14, note \*, end. The commentary there spoken of as ampler than the other is so, I am now convinced, only by interpolations; and these P. 14, note \*, end. are comparatively rare, after the first few chapters of Book III.

P. 16, note \*. Its last sentence may be a misstatement. See note below, on p. 24, note 1.

P. 16, note †. See p. 80, note †.

P. 22, l. 2 ab infra. I owe to Dr. Muir the indication of savarnya and sávarni in the Rigveda, X., LXII., 8-11; and of the Muni Sámvarani, in the same Veda, Valakhilya, III., 1. See, further, Original

Sanskrit Texts, Part I., p. 217, note 71 (2nd ed.).
P. 24, note 1. I am unable to decipher, in any of my MSS. of the Váyu-puráńa, the passage there abstracted in translation.
P. 24, I. 3. The ninth Mann and the eleventh are called Dakshaputra

and Dharmaputra, in a Pauranik extract already referred to: see Vol. II., p. 339, supplement to p. 131, note ¶.

- P. 26, l. 1. Read Rishis P. 26, l. 6. "Dharma-savarni." See supplementary note, just above, on p. 24, 1 3.
- P. 28, l. 6. Many particulars regarding the Manus, which I have not incorporated in my annotations, may be found in Messrs. Boehtlingk and Roth's Sanskrit-Wörterbuch, sub voce मन.
- P. 29, notes, l. 2 ab infra Read Santi-parvan.
- P. 34, notes, 1. 7. For यथा read यथा.
- P. 34, note §. For Vamra, son of Vikhanas, see Professor Wilson's Translation of the Rigveda, Vol. I., p. 293, note a.
- P. 34, notes, last line. For Traijaruni read Traijaruni. P. 37, notes, l. 11 ab infra. See p. 221, note ‡.
- P. 40, notes, l. 11 ab infra. Read दश्विधलम्.
- P. 40, notes, 1. 9 ab infra. Read pasubandha.
- P. 44, notes † and ‡. The commentator on the Vishnu-purana says: बाष्त्रस्य वाष्त्रस्यः स्वार्थे रूज्।

- P. 52, note †, line 1. Read যাজাবাই.
- P. 58, note †. See p. 323, note §. P. 63, l. 3. For the technicality vaitana, see Dr. Stenzler's edition of

Áswaláyana's *Gŕihyasútra*, Part II., p. 1, note 1. P. 66, note §. See, in explanation of *gáthá*, the same work, Part II., p. 90, III., 1, note 2.

P. 67, l. 1. Read Ágneya. P. 68, l. 2. For the artha-sástra, see the Mitákshará on the Yájnavalkyasmŕiti, II., 21.

P. 84, note †. In the Matsya-puráńa, Chapter CXXVIII., the brahmástra is represented as shot from a bow. For Bhrigu, in connexion with fiery weapons, see p. 291, text and note ‡.

P. 86, l. 4. Read Sudra.

P. 88, notes, l. 8. Read 夏尾頭、唇.

- P. 89, note ‡. In the Nirhayasindhu, the passage cited is attributed to Gautama.
- P. 90, notes, Il. 6-11. Compare the Nirhayasindhu, Bombay edition of 1857, III., B, fol. 10 r.
- P. 90, notes, 1 2 ab infra. Read जात.
- P. 93, note 2. Sayana Acharya, however, commenting on the Aitareyabráhmańa, VII., IX., cites the following śruti: यज देवानधीष्व वेदान्प्रजामृत्पादय। "Sacrifice to the gods, read the Vedas, beget offspring."

See, further, the Kaushitaki-brahmana Upanishad, and the Brihad-

aranyaka Upanishad, VI., IV.

P. 94, note †. See p. 123, note ||.
P. 98, l. 7 ab infra. Compare pp. 146, 147, text and notes.
P. 103, notes, ll. 5, 6. Read III., A, fol. 30 v.
P. 104, notes, last line. See p. 197, note ¶.
P. 107, note ‡. The Mitakshara, commenting on the Yajnavalkyasmriti, I., 7, has: सदाचार:। सतां शिष्टानामाचारोऽनुष्ठानम्।

P. 109, note \*. For Mitra, &c., see, further, the Laws of the Manavas, XII., 121.

P. 118, Il. 5, et seq. See p. 171, note §. P. 123, notes, l. 9. For swáhá, see Professor Wilson's Translation of

the Rigveda, Vol. I., p. 34, note a. P. 139, l. 2 ab infra. The double asterisks should be attached to the

word "towel".

P. 141, note \*, l. 12 ab infra. Read इंद्रमन्यज्ञ.

P. 146, ll. 1-4. The original is as follows:

#### सचैलस्य पितुः स्नानं जाते पुत्रे विधीयते। जातकर्म तथा कुर्याच्छा डमस्युदये च यत्॥

"When a son is born, the bathing of the father, in his clothes, is enjoined. Let him perform the játa-karman, also, and the śráddha for joyous occasions.

Compare p. 98, Il. 7-4 ab infra, the original of which is as follows:

## जातस्य जातकमीदि क्रियाकाण्डमग्रेषतः। पुत्रस्य कुर्वीत पिता त्राई चाभ्युदयात्मकम्॥

P. 146, note \*. See p. 291, note \*.

P. 151, notes, l. 4 ab infra. Read निवर्तेता चतुर्दशात.

P. 152, note ¶. Compare the following stanza. referred to the Kúrmapuráńa, quoted in the Nirńayasindhu, Bombay edition, III., C, fol. 18 v.:

#### वापाद्येव त्रात्मानं ख्यमग्युद्कादिभिः। विहितं तस्य नाशीचं नापि कार्योदकिक्या॥

P. 158, note \*\*. See p. 221, note \*.

- P. 159, notes, l. 12 ab infra For विश्वता: read विश्वता:
- P. 160, note ‡. See p. 147, notes, l. 1.
- P. 161, notes, 1. 3. Read Attáh.
- P. 162, note :, l. 1. Read 電行型順:
- P. 166, note †. Hemádri's quotations from the Nandi-puráña are, I find, too incorrect to be transcribed. They recognize five classes of pitris: Agnishwáttas, Barhishads. Kávyas, Sukálins, and Vyámas. The Nágara-khaňda of the Skanda-puráňa names six classes: Agnishwáttas, Barhishads, Ájyapas, Somapas, Raśmipas, and Upahútas. In the Vishňudharmottara we find seven classes, in two groups: Subháswaras, Barhishads, Agnishwáttas, without form: Kravyádas, Upahútas, Ájyapas, Sukálins, possessing forms. The Śráddhakalpa, from which these particulars are taken, is very full on the subject of the pitris.

The Vahni-purána reckons seven classes of pitris, entitled Subháswaras, Barhishads, Agnishwáttas, Ájyapas, Upahútas, Kravyádas, and Sukálins. It agrees, thus, precisely, with the Vishnudharmottara, as just above referred to.

In the Mahábhárata, Sabhá-parvan, sl. 341, the names are given of classes of pitris called, agreeably to the best MSS. within my reach, Phenapas, Ushmapas, and Sudhávats. In sl. 461—463, seven classes are enumerated: Vairájas, Agnishwáttas, Gárhapatyas, Somapas, Ekasíingas, Chaturvedas, and Kálas:

पितृणां च गणान्विद्ध सप्तैव पुरुष्षेभ।
मूर्तिमन्तो वै चलारस्त्रयश्वाप्यश्ररीरिणः॥
वैराजाश्व महाभागा श्रिप्धात्ताश्व भारत।
गाईपत्या नाकचराः पितरो लोकविश्रुताः॥
सोमपा एकगृङ्गाश्व चतुर्वेदाः कलास्त्रथा।
एते चतुर्षु वर्णेषु पूज्यन्ते पितरो नृप॥

The last-named classes are said to be honoured among the four castes. Compare p. 163, note †.

In the Rigveda, X., XIV. and XV., we read of the Kavyas, Barhishads, Agnishwattas, Upahutas, &c. &c.; and—compare p. 166, note \*,—the distinction is, thus early, made of pitris into the divisions of Agnidagdhas and Anagnidagdhas.

To exhaust the subject of these demigods, or even to collect all the more ancient passages in which they figure, would require con-

siderable research.

In the Váyu-purána, the origin of the pitris is stated as follows:

पितृवयन्यमानसान्पुत्रान्प्राध्यायत प्रभुः। पितरो ह्यपपचाभ्यां रात्र्यहोरन्तरामुजत्॥ तसात्ते पितरो देवाः पुत्रलं तेन तेषु तत्। यया मुष्टासु पितरसां तनुं स व्यपोहत॥

"Regarding himself as a father, he [Prajapati] thought upon these sons: he created Fathers (Pitris) from his armpits, in the interval between day and night. Hence these Fathers are gods: therefore that sonship belongs to them. He cast aside the body with which the Fathers were created." Original Sanskrit Texts, Part I., pp. 78, 79. (2nd ed.).

P. 170, note | In p. 66, note §, the Pitri-gita is instanced as a speci-

men of a gáthá.

- P. 175, note §. For further information regarding the sacrificial fires, see Sankara Acharya's commentary on the fourth chapter of the Praśna Upanishad.
- P. 184, notes, last line. For त हस्त read ते हस्त.

- P. 188, l. 2. Read Śráddha. P. 190, l. 15. The work there named seems to be entitled, in preference, Vahni-purána.
- P. 190, l. 18. Read रोचकशाद्भवाञ्चव.

P. 194, note \*\*, l. 1. Read priyangu.
P. 196, note || Compare p. 130, note \*.
P. 198, notes, l. 9. Read vague sense.

P. 205, Il. 6 et seq. ab infra. The original is as follows:

# वैलोक्यं यज्ञभागाञ्च देखेई।दपुरोगमैः। हतं नो ब्रह्मणोऽप्याचामुझङ्घ परमेश्वर॥ यदापशिषभृतस्य वधं ते च तवांश्रकाः। तथायविद्याभेदेन भिन्नं पश्चामहे जगत ॥

P. 209, note 1. Were the Saptatantavas a sect of Jainas? They are named, as are the Jainas, in the Harshacharita. See my edition of

- the Vásavadattá, Preface, p. 53. P. 215, notes, l. 2 ab infra. Trišanku, the Buddhist, uses the following language regarding Brahmans: "Quand ils veulent manger de la viande, voici le moyen qu'ils emploient: ils tuent les animaux en prononcant des Mantras, parce que, disent-ils, les brebis ainsi immolées vont droit au ciel. Mais si c'est là le chemin du ciel, pourquoi donc ces Brâhmanes n'immolent-ils pas aussi avec des Mantras eux et leurs femmes, leur père et leur mère, leurs frères et leurs sœurs, leurs fils et leurs filles? \* \* \* Non, il n'est pas vrai que l'eau lustrale et que les Mantras fassent monter au ciel les chèvres et les brebis; toutes ces inventions sont des moyens employés par ces méchants Brâhmanes pour satisfaire leur désir de manger de la viande." Burnouf's Introduction à l'Histoire du Buddhisme Indien, Vol. I., p. 209.
- P. 221, notes, 1. 6. Read स भोगान and संभोगान.

P. 221, notes, I. 6 ab infra. Read Triyaruńi.
P. 230, note || . See, further, Vol. II., p. 27.
P. 231, note || . The legend of the Aitareya-bráhmańa, there referred to, is translated, by Dr. Muir, as follows: "The brothers of Nábháne-

dishtha disinherited him, whilst he was living in the state of a Brahmacharin. Coming (to them), he said: 'What share have you given to me?' They replied: '(We have given thee) this judge and divider, (as thy share).' In consequence, sons, even now, speak of their father as the 'judge and divider.' He came to his father, and said: 'Father, they have given thee to me, as my share.' His father answered: 'Do not, my son, care about that. These Angirases are performing a sacrifice, in order to (secure) the heavenly world; but, as often as they come to the sixth day (of the ceremony), they become bewildered. Make them recite these two hymns (R. V., x., 61 and 62) on the sixth day; and, when they are going to heaven, they will give thee that provision of a thousand which has been made for the sacrifice.' He said: 'So be it.' He approached them, saying: 'Receive me, the son of Manu, ye sages.' They replied: 'With what object dost thou speak?' He said: 'Let me make known to you this sixth day; and then you shall give me this sacrificial provision of a thousand, when ye are going to heaven.' 'Let it be so,' they answered. He made them repeat these two hymns on the sixth day. They then knew the sacrifice and the heavenly world. Hence, when any one repeats these two hymns on the sixth day, it is with a view to a knowledge of the sacrifice, and to the revelation of the heavenly world. When they were going to the heavenly world, they said to him: 'This thousand, O Brahman, is thine.' As he was collecting (the thousand), a man in dark clothing rose up before him, from the north, and said: 'This is mine; what remains on the spot is mine.' Nabhanedishtha replied: 'But they have given it to me.' (The man) rejoined: 'It belongs to (one of) us; let thy father be asked.' He went to his father, who asked: 'Have they not given thee (the thousand), my son?' 'They did give it to me,' he replied; 'but a man in dark clothes rose up before me, from the north, and took it from me, saying, 'This is mine; what remains on the spot is mine." His father said: 'It is his; but he will give it to thee.' He returned, and said (to the man): 'This is thine, reverend sir; so my father says.' (The man) replied: 'I will give it to thee, who hast spoken the truth.' Wherefore one who has this knowledge should speak only truth. That is a hymn which bestows a thousand,—that Nabhanedishtha hymn. A thousand falls to his lot, he knows the heavenly world on the sixth day—the man who knows this." Original Sanskrit Texts, Part I, pp. 192, 193, (2nd ed.).

A relative passage, referred to in p. 257, note †, is then given, from the Taittiriya-sanhitti of the Yajurveda: "Manu divided his property to his sons. He disinherited his son Nabhanedishtha, who was living as a Brahmacharin. He came and said: 'How hast thou disinherited me?' 'I have not disinherited thee,' replied (his father); 'these Angirases are celebrating a sacrifice. They do not know the heavenly world. Declare to them this Bráhmaña; and, when they are going to heaven, they will give thee the cattle they have. He declared the Bráhmaña to them; and, when they were going to heaven, they gave him the cattle they had. Rudra came to him, as he was on the place of sacrifice, employed with the cattle, and said: 'These are my cattle.' 'But,' replied Nabhanedishtha, 'they have given them to me.' 'They have not power to do so: that which is left on the place of sacrifice is mine,' answered Rudra. Hence, the place of sacrifice must not be approached. (Rudra further) said: 'Give

me a share in the sacrifice, and I shall not injure thy cattle.' He offered him this libation of soma and flour. Then Rudra did not injure his cattle. Whenever any one knows this libation of soma and flour,

and offers it up, Rudra does not injure his cattle."

- P. 232, notes, l. 6 ab infra. According to the Linga-puráña, the Manu's sons were nine; and their names are as in the Márkańdeya-puráña, saving that Dhristáu and Arishfa take the places of Dhrishfa and Dishfa. Except for Arishfa instead of Dishfa, the nine names, in the Brahma-puráña, are the same as those in the Márkańdeya-puráña. The Vahni-puráña gives Manu ten sons, with appellations altogether peculiar, as a whole; and the same may be said of the Matsya-puráña, my MSS. of which here present, for the rest, a good number of various readings.
- P. 238, notes, l. 13. Read Ramayana.
- P. 240, notes, last line. Read वैश्वतां.
- P. 246, note \*. See p. 311, note \*.
- P. 248, note ||. The ensuing legend is taken from the Satapatha-brah-mana, IV., V., 1, etc.: Chyavana of the race of Bhrigu, or Chyavana of the race of Angiras, having magically assumed a shrivelled form, was abandoned. Saryata, the descendant of Manu, wandered over this [world], with his tribe. He sat down in the neighbourhood [of Chyavana]. His youths, while playing, fancied this shrivelled magical body to be worthless, and pounded it with clods. Chyavana was incensed at the sons of Saryata. He created discord among them, so that father fought with son, and brother with brother. Saryata bethought him, 'what have I done, in consequence of which this calamity has befallen us?' He ordered the cowherds and shepherds to be called, and said, 'which of you has seen anything here to day?' They replied, 'this shrivelled magical body which lies there is a man. Fancying it was something worthless, the youths pounded it with clods.' Saryata knew, then, that it was Chyavana. He yoked his chariot, and, taking his daughter Sukanya, drove off, and arrived at the place where the Rishi was. He said, 'Reverence to thee, Rishi! I injured thee, because I did not know. This is Sukanya: with her I appease thee. Let my tribe be reconciled.' His tribe was, in consequence. reconciled; and Saryata, of the race of Manu, strove that he might never again do injury to any one. Now, the Aswins used to wander over this world, performing cures. They approached Sukanya, and wished to seduce her; but she would not consent. They said to her: 'Sukanya, what shrivelled magical body is this by which thou liest? Follow us.' She replied: 'I will not abandon, while he lives, the man to whom my father gave me.' The Rishi became aware of this. He said, 'Sukanya, what was this that they said to thee?' She told it to him. When informed, he said, 'If they address thee thus again, say to them, 'ye are neither complete nor perfect, and yet ye speak contemptuously of my husband; and, if they ask in what respect are we incomplete and imperfect? then reply, make my husband young again, and I will tell you. Accordingly, they came again to her, and said the same thing. She answered, 'Ye are neither complete nor perfect, and yet ye talk contemptuously of my husband. They incurred the what respect are we incomplete and husband. They inquired, 'In what respect are we incomplete and imperfect?' She rejoined, 'make my husband young again, and I

will tell you.' They replied, 'take him to this pond, and he shall come forth with any age which he shall desire. She took him to the pond; and he came forth with the age that he desired. The Aswins then asked, 'Sukanya, in what respect are we incomplete and imperfect?' To this the Rishi replied: 'The other gods celebrate a sacrifice in Kurukshetra, and exclude you two from it. That is the respect in which ye are incomplete and imperfect.' The Aswins then departed, and came to the gods who were celebrating a sacrifice, when the Bahishpavamana text had been recited. They said, 'Invite us to join you.' The gods replied, 'We will not invite you; for ye have wandered about very familiarly among men, performing cures.' The Aswins rejoined, 'Ye worship with a headless sacrifice.' They asked, 'How [do we worship] with a headless [sacrifice]?' The Aswins answered, 'Invite us to join you, and we will tell you.' The gods consented, and invited them. They offered this Asswina draught (graha) to the Asswins, who became the two adhwaryu priests of the sacrifice, and restored the head of the sacrifice. It is related, in the Brahmana of the Divakirttyas, in what manner they restored the head of the sacrifice," etc., etc. Dr. Muir, in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, New Series, Vol. II., pp. 11-13

- P. 259, l. 1. The proper name Ikshwaku occurs in the Rigveda, X., LX., 4., on which Professor Max Müller remarks: "This is the first mention of Ikshwaku, and the only one in the Riqueda. I take it not as the name of a king, but as the name of a people.—probably, the people who inhabited Bhajeratha, the country washed by the northern Gangá or the Bhagirathi." Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, New Series, Vol. II., p. 462, note 1.
- P. 264, note †. Read 有更之为我们也.
  P. 264, note ||. See p. 319, note 1.
  P. 269, note †. Prishthatas rather means, there, 'behind him.'

- P. 283, notes, l. 7 ab infra. For note † read note ‡. There must be an omission, in the Váyu-purána, before the verse there quoted
- P. 297, note ‡. Another inconsistency of the Ramayana may be mentioned. In the Aranya-kanda, XIV., 8, 9, Marichi, Kasyapa, and Vivaswat are spoken of as sons of Brahma. Elsewhere in the same poem, as we have seen, they are represented as being, respectively, grandfather, father, and son.
- P. 308, note ¶. A better rendering is as follows: "With a view to the preservation from injury of the grain and the clouds, he threw that water for cursing neither on the earth nor into the air," &c.
- P. 312, notes, l. 4 ab infra. Read जीवितं.
- P. 313, note ¶. A special reason for my having declined to enter into particulars as to what is found in the Matsya-purána is, that the copies of it accessible to me are, for the most part, exceedingly incorrect, and, in the matter of proper names, exhibit the widest discrepancy. I may add, that my MSS, seem to support the list of names to which this note is appended.
- P. 316, notes, l. 5 ab infra. Read Bali.



सद्यमेव जयते